RICHMONDSHIRE DISTRICT COUNCIL

Richmondshire District Council
Local Plan Core Strategy
Proposed Submission
August 2012

Representations Form

Please read the guidance notes before completing this form

This form comprises two parts:
Part A - Personal details
Part B - Your representation(s)

Both Part A and Part B of this form need to be completed in order for your representation(s) to be valid. Please complete a separate sheet (Part B) for each representation you wish to make. Further copies of this form can be downloaded from www.richmondshire.gov.uk/localplan.aspx
You do not need to fill out Part A for each representation, provided that all representations made are securely attached.

Your completed form must be returned to the following address to reach us no later than 4pm on Friday 14 September 2012:

Planning Policy
Richmondshire District Council
Swale House
Frenchgate
Richmond
DL10 4JE

Alternatively, you can email localplan@richmondshire.gov.uk and submit this form as an attachment.

Please note, all representations will be made available for public inspection on our website www.richmondshire.gov.uk/localplan.aspx with personal details (i.e. email address, telephone number and signature) removed.

PART A - Personal details

	YOUR DETAILS	AGENT'S DETAILS
Title	Mr	
First name	CHARLES E. A.	
Last name	DUFF	
Job title (if applicable)	COUNCILLOR	
Work/Organisation (if applicable)	RICHMONDSHIRE D.C.	
Address	THE MILL CONSTABLE BURTON LEYBURN	
Postcode	DL8 5RQ	
Telephone no.		
Email address		

PART B - Your representation(s)
Please use a separate form for each representation

4780

Name/Organisation: C.E.A. DUFF

Q1 To which part of the Core Strategy Proposed Submission Document does this representation relate? (Spatial Principle, Sub Area Strategy or Core Policy)

Policy number (e.g. SP2, CRSS or CP8) CP6

Page/paragraph number(s) Page 67 paras 4.6.7 & 4.6.8

Please Note: Your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to fully support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations. After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

Q2 Do you consider the Core Strategy Proposed Submission Document is ...?

	Yes	*No*	*Don't know*
(a) Legally compliant	☐	☐	☑
(b) Sound	☐	☑	☐

If you answered '*No*' to (a) or (b) above, please continue to Q3, otherwise continue to Q5

Q3 Do you consider the Core Strategy unsound because it is NOT...? ***(please refer to guidance notes)***

- ☑ *Justified*
- ☐ *Effective*
- ☑ *Consistent with national policy*
- ☐ *Positively prepared*

Please give details of why you consider the Core Strategy Proposed Submission Document is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible:

Penalising single dwelling builders with a heavy tax at outset will greatly restrict the building of homes for local people, farmers' families etc.

If this policy is pursued, the average build of single dwellings could drop from 57 p.a to 7 p.a.

Furthermore the government has just relaxed the policy on affordable housing, so this policy is now not consistent with national policy

continue on a separate sheet if necessary

Q4 Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Core Strategy Proposed Submission Document legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at Q3 where this relates to soundness. You need to say why this change will make the Core Strategy legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible:

The exceptions set out in Cllr Blackie's letter of 28th August 2012 must be totally incorporated into the document:-

ie. a) houses for members of the owners family
b) new agricultural workers dwellings
c) self builders
d) to meet genuine affordable housing need

At the workshop in March, I suggested that no contribution should be made until the property was sold on the open market. This is perfectly reasonable because the money would then be available.

These exceptions must form part of the Core Strategy and not be left to the small print at a later date.

Q5 If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Core Strategy Proposed Submission Document, please use the space below to set out your comments:

continue on a separate sheet if necessary

If your representation is seeking a change to the Core Strategy Proposed Submission Document, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

- [ ] *No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination*
- [x] *Yes, I wish to participate at the oral examination*

If you have selected '*No*', your representation(s) will still be considered by the independent Planning Inspector by way of written representations.

If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

I can check on how the Independent Planning Inspector has received my comments and ask him pertinent questions

If you wish to be notified of future stages of plan preparation, please tick the appropriate box(es) below:

- [x] *Submission for Examination*
- [x] *Inspector's Report Publication*
- [ ] *Adoption*

Please notify me by:

- [x] *Post*
- [ ] *Email*

Please Note: The Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.

Signature: [redacted] **Date:** 10th Sept 2012