Ack

6251

RICHMONDSHIRE DISTRICT COUNCIL

Richmondshire District Council
Local Plan Core Strategy
Proposed Submission
August 2012

Representations Form

HAMBLETON D.C
REC'D 18 SEP 2012

PES	RES	CX
		ACK

Please read the guidance notes before completing this form

This form comprises two parts:
Part A - Personal details
Part B - Your representation(s)

Both Part A and Part B of this form need to be completed in order for your representation(s) to be valid. Please complete a separate sheet (Part B) for each representation you wish to make. Further copies of this form can be downloaded from www.richmondshire.gov.uk/localplan.aspx
You do not need to fill out Part A for each representation, provided that all representations made are securely attached.

Your completed form must be returned to the following address to reach us no later than **4pm on Friday 14 September 2012**:

Planning Policy
Richmondshire District Council
Swale House
Frenchgate
Richmond
DL10 4JE

Alternatively, you can email localplan@richmondshire.gov.uk and submit this form as an attachment.

Please note, all representations will be made available for public inspection on our website www.richmondshire.gov.uk/localplan.aspx with personal details (i.e. email address, telephone number and signature) removed.

PART A - Personal details

	YOUR DETAILS	AGENT'S DETAILS
Title	Mr	
First name	John	
Last name	Gill	
Job title (if applicable)	Parish Council Chairman	
Work/Organisation (if applicable)		
Address	Manor Farm Cottage Aldbrough St John Richmond North Yorkshire	
Postcode	DL11 7TB	
Telephone no.		
Email address		

PART B - Your representation(s)
Please use a separate form for each representation

6251

Name/Organisation: Aldbrough St John Parish Council

Q1 To which part of the Core Strategy Proposed Submission Document does this representation relate? (Spatial Principle, Sub Area Strategy or Core Policy)

Policy number (e.g. SP2, CRSS or CP8) CP 6

Page/paragraph number(s) Page 66 para 4.6.7

Please Note: Your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to fully support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations. After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

Q2 Do you consider the Core Strategy Proposed Submission Document is ...?

	Yes	*No*	*Don't know*
(a) Legally compliant	☐	☐	☑
(b) Sound	☐	☑	☐

If you answered '*No*' to (a) or (b) above, please continue to Q3, otherwise continue to Q5

Q3 Do you consider the Core Strategy unsound because it is NOT...? ***(please refer to guidance notes)***

- ☑ *Justified*
- ☑ *Effective*
- ☑ *Consistent with national policy*
- ☑ *Positively prepared*

Please give details of why you consider the Core Strategy Proposed Submission Document is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible:

Despite having sight of the supplementary papers that were issued on September 5th the council were concerned about CP6 they represent the small rural village of Aldbrough St. John that is in the North Richmondshire Sub Area.

Most development that has taken place in recent years has been barn conversions and small "wind fall" sites for single dwellings. To demand up to £40,000. contribution towards the affordable housing pot in other parts of Richmondshire will make such development unviable.

To expect Planning Officers to negotiate this development tax will lead to inconsistenses and appeals on its interpretation.

It will lead to even less sites coming forward which is contrary to government policy.

The council found the wording of the policy vague and difficult to understand.

continue on a separate sheet if necessary

Q4 Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Core Strategy Proposed Submission Document legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at Q3 where this ~~relates~~ to soundness. You need to say why this change will make the Core Strategy legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible:

6251

Withdraw the £40,000 contribution for all housing developments regardless in size.

continue on a separate sheet if necessary

Q5 If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Core Strategy Proposed Submission Document, please use the space below to set out your comments:

continue on a separate sheet if necessary

If your representation is seeking a change to the Core Strategy Proposed Submission Document, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

- [x] *No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination*
- [ ] *Yes, I wish to participate at the oral examination*

If you have selected '*No*', your representation(s) will still be considered by the independent Planning Inspector by way of written representations.

If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

If you wish to be notified of future stages of plan preparation, please tick the appropriate box(es) below:

- [ ] *Submission for Examination*
- [x] *Inspector's Report Publication*
- [ ] *Adoption*

Please notify me by:

- [x] *Post*
- [ ] *Email*

Please Note: The Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.

Signature: **Date:** 13.09.2012

Forwarded Message ----
From: JAMES WILSON
To: planourfuture@richmondshire.co.uk
Sent: Tuesday, 3 August, 2010 14:39:09
Subject: Preferred Strategy

The Parish Councillors of Aldbrough St JOhn have read your June report and have the following comments:

1. North richmondshire sub area is down for only 7% of the suggested 200 houses per year.
2. The suggestion is to support expansion of Developments at Scotch Corner.
3. Barton quarry to be restricted to use only as a service area.
4. We are considered to be a secondary service village clustered with Eppleby and Caldwell.
5. The Primary service villages are Middleton Tyas, Barton and Melsonby.
6. The Housing Minister's Community Right to Build programme seems to pour cold water on Richmondshire's ideas.
7. Does the Strategy infer only 'windfall' development would be allowed in Aldbrough St John and no alteration to the definitive limits.

Regards
Joyce Wilson
Parish Clerk