Richmondshire District Council
Local Plan Core Strategy
Proposed Submission
August 2012
Representations Form

Please read the guidance notes before completing this form

This form comprises two parts:
Part A: Personal details
Part B: Your representation(s)

Both Part A and Part B of this form need to be completed in order for your representation(s) to be valid. Please complete a separate sheet (Part B) for each representation you wish to make. Further copies of this form can be downloaded from www.richmondshire.gov.uk/localplan.aspx . You do not need to fill out Part A for each representation, provided that all representations made are securely attached.

Your completed form must be returned to the following address to reach us no later than **4pm on Friday 14 September 2012:**

Planning Policy, Richmondshire District Council, Swale House, Frenchgate, Richmond, DL10 4JE

Alternatively, you can email: localplan@richmondshire.gov.uk and submit this form as an attachment.

Please note, all representations will be made available for public inspection on our website www.richmondshire.gov.uk/localplan.aspx with personal details (i.e. email address, telephone number and signature) removed.

Part A – Personal details

	Your Details	Agent's Details
Title	Mr	
First name	Mark	
Last name	Whyman	
Job title (if applicable)	Chairman Planning Committee	
Work/organisation (if applicable)	Richmond & District Civic Society	
Address	40, Bargate, Richmond, North Yorkshire	
Postcode	DL10 4QY	
Telephone No.	01748 821534	
Email address		

Part B - Your representation

Please use a separate sheet for each representation.

Name/Organisation	Richmond & District Civic Society

Q1.To which part of the Core Strategy Proposed Submission Document does this representation relate? (Spatial Principle, Sub Area Strategy or Core Policy)	
Policy number (e.g. SP2, CRSS or CP8)	CRSS & CP12
Page/paragraph number(s)	P 38 (CRSS) p85 (CP12)

<u>Please note:</u> Your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to fully support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations. <u>After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.</u>

Q2. Do you consider the Core Strategy Proposed Submission Document is…?						
(a) Legally compliant	Yes	X	No		Don't Know	
(b) Sound	Yes		No	X	Don't Know	
If you answered 'No' to (a) or (b) above, please continue to Q3, otherwise continue to Q5.						

Q3. **Do you consider the Core Strategy is unsound because it is not: (please refer to the guidance notes)**	
(a) Justified	YES
(b) Effective	NO
(c) Consistent with national policy	YES
(d) Positively prepared	YES

Please give details of why you consider the Core Strategy Proposed Submission Document is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible:
CSS It potentially restricts growth of the Gallowfields Trading Estate CP12 It omits important sites.

(Continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

Q4. Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Core Strategy Proposed Submission Document legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at Q3 where this relates to soundness. You need to say why this change will make the Core Strategy legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible:

Page 38 CRSS Gallowfields Trading Estate
3 c "which encourages reduced heavy goods vehicle movements."

We feel this is not desirable. As the major source of employment in Richmond, it is essential that business be encouraged to set up on the Trading Estate However the approval of recent planning applications having eliminated the possibility of widening the existing access road (Green Howards Road) and the increasing size of heavy goods vehicles means that in the long term an alternative access should be provided. In the meantime we feel that no restriction should be implied on the use of such vehicles.

Page 85 CP12
4.12.16 Bullet point 2
Easby Abbey and St. Agatha's Church at Easby should be added at bullet point 2. Recent roadworks as well as formal excavations in the past have identified this as an important archaeological site.

4.12.16 Final bullet point
Gillingwood Hall should be added. The area to the south east of the Hall is the framework of an early 18th century garden, which includes two Listed Buildings and bastions, the latter appear not to be listed but are of similar importance to those at Duncombe Park/ Rievaulx Terrace.

(Continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

Q5. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Core Strategy Proposed Submission Document, please use the space below to set out your comments:

We welcome the Core Strategy and look forward to its further development.

(Continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

If your representation is seeking a change to the Core Strategy Proposed Submission Document, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?	
No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination	X
Yes, I wish to participate at the oral examination	

If you have selected 'No', your representation(s) will still be considered by the independent Planning Inspector by way of written representations.

If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

If you wish to be notified of future stages of plan preparation, please tick the appropriate box(es) below.			
Submission for Examination		**Notify me by:** (please tick)	
Inspector's Report Publication		Post	**X**
Adoption		Email	**X**

Please Note: The Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.

Signature:	Mark Whyman, Chairman Planning Committee, Richmond & District Civic Society
Date:	4th September 2012