

Richmondshire Local Plan

Core Strategy

Post Hearing Proposed Modifications Tracked
Changes Version

April 2014



Richmondshire Local Plan Core Strategy Post-Hearings Proposed Modifications Tracked Changes Version - Summary Table

M		Chapter		CP/SP/Title		Mod Number	Paragraph	Comment	Pre-Hearing Mod Ref	Post-Hearing Mod Ref
M	/	1	/	0	/	01	1.4	Factual Change to reflect Regional Spatial Strategy revocation in February 2013 and results of Development Target Review (August 2013)	M001	-
M	/	1	/	0	/	02	1.7	To reflect the new perspective on sub-regional issues introduced by the Local Enterprise Partnership. Draft Economic and Investment Strategy 7/10/13.	M002	-
M	/	1	/	0	/	03	1.8	Update to reflect expectations for additional guidance documents and as a consequence of other changes in this table	M003	YES
M	/	1	/	0	/	04	1.9	Representation – 9146 - Coal Authority Include reference to and role of Mineral Safeguarding Areas. This is detailed in Core Policy CP2.	M004	-
M	/	2	/	0	/	01	2.4	Factual Correction	M005	-
M	/	2	/	0	/	02	2.7,2.8	Impact of main 2011 Census results and Development Target Review August 2013.	M006	-
M	/	2	/	0	/	03	2.9,2.10	Update with 2011 Census results and additional SHMA reference.	M007	-
M	/	2	/	0	/	04	2.12	Update as a result of Army Basing Plan and Catterick Garrison town centre approval.	M008	-
M	/	2	/	0	/	05	2.18	Update reflecting changed circumstance of A1 upgrade	M009	-
M	/	2	/	0	/	06	2.14,2.15,2.16	Update as a result of completion of Economic Led Projection (2014)	-	YES

M	/	3	/	Vision	/	01	Vision	Representation – 2282 - English Heritage – Text clarification	M010	-
M	/	3	/	SO	/	01	Strategic Objectives	To reflect amalgamation of CP3 and CP4	-	YES
M	/	3	/	LO	/	01	Local Objectives	To reflect amalgamation of CP3 and CP4	-	YES
M	/	3	/	SP4	/	01	3.1.25,3.1.26,3.1.27	Updated as a result of Development Target Review (2013) publication.	M011	-
M	/	3	/	SP4	/	02	3.1.26	Management of housing target, not a ceiling	-	YES
M	/	3	/	SP4	/	03	3.1.30	Army Basing Plan update 2013	M012	-
M	/	3	/	SP4	/	04	3.1.31	GTAA Update	M013	-
M	/	3	/	SP4	/	05	SP4 Policy	Army Basing Plan update 2013	M014	-
M	/	3	/	SP4	/	06	3.1.25,3.1.26,3.1.27	Economic Led Projection 2014	-	YES
M	/	3	/	SP5	/	01	3.1.35	A1 upgrade update and reference to LEP	M015	-
M	/	3	/	SP5	/	02	3.1.37	A1 Upgrade update	M016	-
M	/	3	/	SP5	/	03	3.1.43,3.1.44	A1 Upgrade update	M017	-
M	/	3	/	SP5	/	04	SP5 Policy	A1 Upgrade update	M018	-
M	/	3	/	SP5	/	05	3.1.39	Factual Correction of anticipated job growth figure	-	YES
M	/	3	/	CRSS	/	01	3.2.1,3.2.2,3.2.3	Factual update to include 2011 Census Results.	M019	-
M	/	3	/	CRSS	/	02	3.2.4,3.2.5	Update to include reference to Army Basing review and assimilate military requirements	M020	-
M	/	3	/	CRSS	/	03	3.2.7	Definition of small scale housing development	-	YES
M	/	3	/	CRSS	/	04	3.2.9	Text clarification RE. CRSS Strategic Development Growth Area, R.Hildyard Rep 2679	-	YES
M	/	3	/	CRSS	/	05	Figure 8	Figure 8 image clarification RE. CRSS Strategic Development Growth Area, R.Hildyard Rep 2680	-	YES

M	/	3	/	CRSS	/	06	3.2.10	Replacement of policy 83 and update to reflect inclusion of town centre maps in Core Policy CP9	M021	-
M	/	3	/	CRSS	/	07	CRSS Policy after 3e	Align to NPPF wording re. Brownfield	-	YES
M	/	3	/	CRSS	/	08	CRSS Policy 5	Text clarification RE. CRSS Strategic Development Growth Area, R.Hildyard Rep 2679	-	YES
M	/	3	/	CRSS	/	09	CRSS Policy 5.a	Align to NPPF wording re. Brownfield	-	YES
M	/	3	/	CRSS	/	10	CRSS Policy after 6.h	A1 Upgrade update 2013	M022	-
M	/	3	/	LWSS	/	01	3.3.2	Update to reflect 2011 Census	M024	-
M	/	3	/	NRSS	/	01	3.4.3,3.4.4	Update to reflect 2011 Census	M025	
M	/	3	/	NRSS	/	02	3.4.7	A1 Upgrade update 2013	M023	-
M	/	3	/	NRSS	/	03	NRSS Policy	A1 Upgrade update 2013	M026	-
M	/	4	/	CP1	/	01	Title, 4.1.4, Policy Title	Renumber CP1 to reflect CP3/CP4 amalgamation	M027	-
M	/	4	/	CP2	/	01	Title, Policy Title	Renumber CP1 to reflect CP3/CP4 amalgamation	M028	
M	/	4	/	CP2	/	02	Policy Part 1a, 4.1.7	Reference to provision of larger scale copies of Energy Opportunities Map	-	YES
M	/	4	/	CP2	/	03	Policy Part 1b, 4.1.9	Modify approach to adverse visual impacts	-	YES
M	/	4	/	CP2	/	04	Policy Part 2a, 4.1.10, 4.1.11 & 4.1.12	Delete requirements for consequential improvements	-	YES
M	/	4	/	CP2	/	05	Policy Part 2b, 4.1.11	Requirement for CSH Level 4 revised to exceed minimum standards where viable	M029	YES
M	/	4	/	CP2	/	06	Policy Part 2a, 4.1.11	Delete reference to BREEAM standard	-	YES
M	/	4	/	CP2	/	07	Policy Part 3a-3d, 4.1.18	Improve wording regarding climate change adaptation - Environment Agency 9112	M030	-

M	/	4	/	CP2	/	08	4.1.7	Provide clarity on renewable energy target	-	YES
M	/	4	/	CP2	/	09	4.1.10	Reference to planning considerations for renewable and low carbon energy development in NPPG	-	YES
M	/	4	/	CP2	/	10	Policy Part 2a	Update to reflect text clarification in CRSS regarding strategic growth area	-	YES
M	/	4	/	CP2	/	01	Title, 4.3.1, Policy Title	ReNUMBER CP3 to reflect CP3/CP4 amalgamation	M032	-
M	/	4	/	CP2	/	02	Policy	Text addition to address waste minimisation, Environment Agency Rep 9112	M033	-
M	/	4	/	CP2	/	03	Policy	Modify brownfield priority to reflect national policy	-	YES
M	/	4	/	CP2	/	04	Policy	Clarification of value of brownfield land, Natural England Rep 9117	M033	-
M	/	4	/	CP2	/	05	Policy	To ensure remediation of former mineral workings and include reference to and role of MSA's, Coal Authority Rep 9146	M033	-
M	/	4	/	CP3	/	01	Whole Section	Policy CP3 Deletion and amalgamation with CP4 to remove duplication. Greater clarity of the use of CP4 and supporting Settlement Development Guidance and 5 year land supply.	M034	-
M	/	4	/	CP4	/	01	Whole Section	Policy Deletion and amalgamation with CP4 to remove duplication. Greater clarity of the use of CP4 and supporting Settlement Development Guidance and 5 year land supply.	M034	-
M	/	4	/	CP4	/	02	Policy Part 1b	Renumbering of policy references to reflect CP3/CP4 amalgamation	M034	-
M	/	4	/	CP4	/	03	Policy Part 3b	To ensure consistency with Water Framework Directive, Dialogue with Environment Agency	-	YES
M	/	4	/	CP4	/	04	4.4.9, Policy CP4a	Inspector Comment/ National Policy for Traveller Sites Requirements	M034	-
M	/	4	/	CP5	/	01	4.5.4	Additional reference to ageing population	-	YES

M	/	4	/	CP6	/	01	4.6.1 to 4.6.6 + 4.6.8 to 4.6.11 + Policy	Inspector Comments to include more detail from SPD to ensure regulatory compliance	M035	-
M	/	4	/	CP6	/	02	4.6.7	Inclusion of Calculation of Off-Site Affordable Housing from SPD	-	YES
M	/	4	/	CP7	/	01	4.7.11	A1 Upgrade update 2013	M036	-
M	/	4	/	CP7	/	02	4.7.12	Update of reference to Infrastructure Delivery Plan		YES
M	/	4	/	CP8	/	01	Policy End	Update policy references to reflect Policy re-numbering		YES
M	/	4	/	CP9	/	01	4.9.3	Addition of reference to establishment of Town Centres Forum to assist in delivery of complementary town centre approach	-	YES
M	/	4	/	CP9	/	02	Policy Part 2 & Figs	Replaces Local Plan policy 83. Definition of Retail and Commercial areas for Richmond, Catterick Garrison and Leyburn in policy and revised policy wording to reflect changes	M038	-
M	/	4	/	CP9	/	03	4.9.7	Update for clarity	M037	-
M	/	4	/	CP9	/	04	4.9.9	Update to reflect definition of retail and commercial areas for Richmond, Catterick Garrison and Leyburn. Replace Local Plan Policy 83	M039	-
M	/	4	/	CP9	/	05	4.9.9	Inclusion of intention to regularly healthcheck defined town centres	-	YES
M	/	4	/	CP11	/	01	Title, Policy - Parts 1, 2, 2d, 3a, 3b, 4.11.6	Amend from Community and Recreation Assets to Community, Cultural and Recreation Assets, Theatres Trust Rep - 4504	M040	-
M	/	4	/	CP11	/	02	4.11.2	To reflect completion of settlement facilities study sporting supplement, Sport England Rep 9127	M041	-
M	/	4	/	CP11	/	03	4.11.3	Addition of reference to cultural buildings, Theatres Trust Rep 4504	M042	-
M	/	4	/	CP11	/	04	4.11.5	Correction	M043	-

M	/	4	/	CP11	/	05	4.11.5	Specification of how DDDPD will respond to underpinning updated evidence - shortfall and surpluses at settlement level	-	YES
M	/	4	/	CP12	/	01	4.12.11, 4.12.12, 4.12.13, 4.12.16, Policy Part 1, 1b, 1c, 1d viii, 3	Policy restructured to provide greater clarity and consistency in presentation. Reps from English Heritage -2282, Natural England - 9117 and Yorkshire Wildlife Trust - 5514	M044	-
M	/	4	/	CP12	/	02	Policy Part 2c	Addition of reference to Humber River Basin Management Plan - Dialogue with Environment Agency	-	YES
M	/	4	/	CP12	/	03	Policy 2 d iv	Textual clarification of agricultural countryside between Colburn Town, Colburn Village and Hipswell.	-	YES
M	/	4	/	CP12	/	04	4.12.6	Addition of reference to green infrastructure to be defined in Delivering Development Plan	-	YES
M	/	4	/	CP12	/	05	4.12.8	Textual clarification of agricultural countryside between Colburn Town, Colburn Village and Hipswell.	-	YES
M	/	4	/	CP13	/	01	4.13.3	Reflect replacement of Local Plan Policies 5, 12, 51, 88	M045	-
M	/	4	/	CP13	/	02	Policy End	Reflect replacement of Local Plan Policies 5, 12, 51, 88	M046	-
M	/	4	/	CP14	/	01	4.14.4	Correction	-	-
M	/	5	/	Infra	/	01	5.5	Inclusion of 5 year plan review cycle to clarify practical expectations for Local Plan Review	M047	-
M	/	5	/	Infra	/	02	5.6	Army Basing Plan Update 2013	-	YES
M	/	5	/	Infra	/	03	5.12	A1 Upgrade update 2013	M048	-
M	/	5	/	Infra	/	04	5.15	Change to reflect ongoing discussions with YW	M049	-
M	/	5	/	Infra	/	05	5.21	Correction and update to reflect renumbering of CP1 to CP2	M050	-

M	/	5	/	Infra	/	06	IDP Table	Update to reflect change in position of projects and funding, removal of projects that are not essential to facilitate the delivery of the strategy A1 Upgrade update, A6136 update + funding changes. Include education costs.	M051	YES
M	/	5	/	Mon	/	01	Table 7	Renumber policy references to reflect CP3/CP4 amalgamation	M034	-
M	/	5	/	Mon	/	02	Table 7	Change Indicator to provide more rounded indicator on renewable energy	-	YES
M	/	5	/	Mon	/	03	Table 7	Reflect deletion of consequential improvement requirements	-	YES
M	/	5	/	Mon	/	04	Table 7	Reflect policy change to Code for Sustainable Homes Requirements	-	YES
M	/	5	/	Mon	/	05	Table 7	Reflect deletion of requirement for BREEAM very good standard	-	YES
M	/	5	/	Mon	/	06	Table 7	Dialogue with Environment Agency - 9112	M030	YES
M	/	5	/	Mon	/	07	Table 7	Removal of typing error	-	YES
M	/	5	/	Mon	/	08	Table 7	Clarification that affordable housing requirement is subject to viability	-	YES
M	/	5	/	Mon	/	09	Table 7	To reflect inclusion of intention to healthcheck town centres	-	YES
M	/	5	/	Mon	/	10	Table 7	Reflect policy CP9 inclusion of town centre boundaries	M038	-
M	/	5	/	Mon	/	11	Table 7	Reflect inclusion of reference to Humber River Basin Management Plan - Joint written statement with Environment Agency 9112	-	YES
M	/	5	/	Annex1	/	01	Annex 1	To reflect replacement and incorporation of policies within Core Strategy contained in preceding modifications or simply superseded.	M052	-

CONTENTS

CHAPTER 1: Introduction

The Local Plan Core Strategy.....	1
-----------------------------------	---

CHAPTER 2: Richmondshire's Challenges

Portrait of the Plan Area.....	5
Settlements.....	5
Population.....	6
Housing.....	7
Military.....	8
Economy.....	8
Transport & Accessibility.....	9
Environment.....	10
Setting the Agenda: Key Strategic Issues.....	11

CHAPTER 3: The Strategic Approach

Vision for 2028.....	12
Strategic Objectives.....	13
The Policy Framework.....	14
Local Objectives.....	15
Spatial Principles.....	16
Spatial Principle SP1: Sub Areas.....	16
Spatial Principle SP2: Settlement Hierarchy.....	20
Spatial Principle SP3: Rural Sustainability.....	25
Spatial Principle SP4: The Scale & Distribution of Housing Development.....	25
Spatial Principle SP5: The Scale & Distribution of Economic Development.....	29
Spatial Strategy Summary and Key Diagram.....	35
Sub Area Strategies	
Central Richmondshire.....	37
Lower Wensleydale.....	48
North Richmondshire.....	55

CHAPTER 4: Core Policies

Role of the Core Policies.....	60
Core Policy CP1: Planning Positively.....	61
Core Policy CP2: Responding to Climate Change.....	62
Core Policy CP3: Achieving Sustainable Development.....	69
Core Policy CP3: Supporting the Settlement Hierarchy [OLD-NOW DELETED].....	68
Core Policy CP4: Supporting Sites for Development.....	74
Core Policy CP5: Providing a Housing Mix.....	79
Core Policy CP6: Providing Affordable Housing.....	81
Core Policy CP7: Promoting a Sustainable Economy.....	88
Core Policy CP8: Achieving Rural Sustainability.....	92
Core Policy CP9: Supporting Town & Local Centres.....	94
Core Policy CP10: Developing Tourism.....	102
Core Policy CP11: Supporting Community & Recreations Assets.....	103
Core Policy CP12: Conserving & Enhancing Environmental & Historic Assets.....	106
Core Policy CP13: Promoting High Quality Design.....	115
Core Policy CP14: Providing & Delivering Infrastructure.....	117

CHAPTER 5: Implementation and Delivery

Implementation.....	119
Infrastructure Delivery Plan.....	120
Existing Infrastructure.....	121
Infrastructure Delivery Risks.....	123
Community Infrastructure Levy.....	124
Monitoring & Review.....	132

Annexes:

Annex 1: Richmondshire Local Plan Superseded Policies.....	137
--	-----

List of Figures:

Figure 1: Richmondshire Plan Area.....	4
Figure 2: Spatial Principle 1: Sub Areas.....	19
Figure 3: Spatial Principle 2: Settlement Hierarchy.....	24
Figure 4: Spatial Principle 5: Employment Distribution.....	33
Figure 5: Key Diagram.....	34
Figure 6: Central Richmondshire Sub Area.....	36
Figure 7: Central Richmondshire Spatial Strategy.....	40
Figure 8: Strategic Development Growth Area – Richmond & Catterick Garrison.....	47
Figure 9: Lower Wensleydale Sub Area.....	49
Figure 10: Lower Wensleydale Spatial Strategy.....	51
Figure 11: Strategic Development Growth Area – Leyburn.....	52
Figure 12: North Richmondshire Sub Area.....	56
Figure 13: North Richmondshire Spatial Strategy.....	57
Figure 14: Catterick Garrison Town Centre.....	99
Figure 15: Richmond Town Centre.....	100
Figure 16: Leyburn Town Centre.....	101

List of Tables:

Table 1: Expected Change in Local Employment Sectors.....	9
Table 2: Policy Framework: Local Objectives.....	15
Table 3: Scale and Distribution of Housing up to 2028.....	29
Table 4: Households in Need.....	80
Table 5: Housing Delivery & Need.....	83
Table 6: Local Plan Infrastructure Delivery Plan Projects.....	130
Table 7: Spatial Principles & Core Policies: Indicators & Targets.....	133

CHAPTER 1: Introduction

The Local Plan Core Strategy

- 1.1 This Local Plan Core Strategy presents Richmondshire District Council's overall vision and strategy for delivering sustainable development up to 2028 in the District outside the Yorkshire Dales National Park. It replaces the strategic elements and most of the saved policies of the Richmondshire Local Plan 2001 (See Annex 1 for Superseded Policies).
- 1.2 The requirement to produce a Local Development Framework (LDF) with a Core Strategy was established by the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004, but this changed to a Local Plan with the Localism Act 2011 and the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF, March 2012). What had been consulted upon previously as the LDF Core Strategy has therefore now been updated and rebranded to be part of the new Local Plan system.
- 1.3 This Plan seeks to achieve sustainable development through spatial planning. Ultimately, this is concerned with making sure that the right development happens in the right places to encourage growth and support local needs while not harming the local area. This reflects the common theme and the presumption in favour of sustainable development set out in the NPPF, with which this Plan should be consistent in all respects.
- 1.4 The Local Plan Core Strategy provides the local perspective on future development consistent with the current statutory development plan system. The Council ~~has~~ responded to the expected abolition of Regional Spatial Strategies (RSS) by publishing its Local Strategy Statement (2011) setting a locally distinct strategic context for future development. This context reflects several stages of preparation and consultation from early 2009 to late 2011. Details of this process, its regulatory compliance and, most importantly, how the Local Plan Core Strategy has been shaped by consultation responses are given in the Local Plan Core Strategy Consultation Statement. The Yorkshire and Humber RSS was finally revoked in February 2013. The Council consulted on the impact of this change in its Development Target Review (August 2013) and respondents agreed that the final revocation had little impact on the Council's development strategy.
Comment [j1]: M/1/0/01
- 1.5 The approach to sustainable development set out in this Local Plan Core Strategy is supported by a Sustainability Appraisal (SA) incorporating a Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA). As a key part of ensuring that the Local Plan achieves sustainable development, the Council must undertake a separate and concurrent evaluation of the choices at the same time as the main Local Plan documents are prepared. That report, and all the background reports referred to in this document, are available online at: www.richmondshire.gov.uk.
Comment [j2]: M/1/0/01
- 1.6 The Local Plan Core Strategy is an important vehicle for the coordination and delivery of several related strategies across the plan area - including Community Strategies, the County Council's Local Transport Plan and School Organisation Plan, health bodies' strategies and the Highways Agency's programme. Central to these is the Richmondshire Sustainable Community Strategy 2010-2015, prepared by the Richmondshire Local Strategic Partnership. The Community Strategy guides activities to promote the

economic, social and environmental wellbeing of the area and improve the quality of life for everyone in this rural area. It focuses on:

- Affordable housing
- Facilities for young people
- Community safety
- Local access to public services
- Quality of the environment

1.7

Richmondshire has many local growth issues in common with its neighbouring districts. The introduction of York, North Yorkshire and East Riding Local Enterprise Partnership (LEP) has created a strong focus on the key drivers to change and the resources required to secure them. This is captured in the LEP's 5 priorities:

- Profitable and ambitious small and micro businesses
- A global leader in food manufacturing, agri-tech and biorenewables
- Inspired people
- Successful and distinctive places
- A well connected economy

Richmondshire shares many issues with its neighbouring districts. A North Yorkshire Community Plan 2011 – 2014 has been produced by Local Government North Yorkshire and York, which focuses on three countywide priorities:

- Enabling stronger local communities
- Protecting and supporting vulnerable people
- Supporting economic growth and employment

Comment [J3]: M/1/0/02

Neighbouring authorities including Darlington and County Durham have been engaged throughout the development of this strategy. The strategic significance of relevant issues has been addressed throughout this process.

1.8

The Core Strategy is the first part of the Council's Local Plan, which needs to be supplemented by further detailed policies and guidance, in the following additional key planning documents:

and will be followed shortly by these additional key planning documents:

- Delivering Development Plan – defining development limits for the larger settlements on the proposals maps and identifying detailed policies and site allocations for the following:
 - Housing
 - Employment
 - Town Centre Uses
 - MoD Uses
 - Infrastructure
 - Open Space and Green Infrastructure
 - Sport and Leisure Facilities

Site evaluation in the allocations process will include consideration of infrastructure requirements

- Supplementary Planning Documents – providing detailed guidance on the implementation of Core Strategy policies covering:
 - Affordable Housing Guidance

- [Heritage & Design Guidance](#)
- [Settlement Development Guidance](#)

[The timetable for their production will be published in the Council's Local Development Scheme.](#)

- ~~Delivering Development Local Plan — dealing with site allocations and the definition of Development Limits for the larger settlements on the Proposals Map~~
- ~~Supplementary Planning Documents — covering detailed guidance on the implementation of policies in the Core Strategy relating to Providing Affordable Housing and Responding to Climate Change.~~

Comment [j4]: M/1/0/03


1.9 ~~Minerals and waste planning issues, including the location, safeguarding and extraction of minerals, are dealt with through North Yorkshire County Council's Minerals and Waste Local Plans. [The Coal Authority defines local Mineral Safeguarding Areas \(MSA\) to ensure that development proposals consider the impact of past, current and future mineral extraction.](#)~~

Comment [j5]: M/1/0/04

1.10 **The Local Plan Core Strategy is an integrated spatial planning package for the future of the plan area. All its spatial principles and policies apply wherever relevant and should be read together when considering a specific proposal or issue.** This is a complex document and a glossary of terms is provided in Annex 2. The following chapters cover:

<p>Richmondshire's Challenges a picture of the local area and the issues that need to be addressed</p>
<p>The Strategic Approach a concise Vision for the future, which identifies a set of Strategic Objectives to guide delivery. It defines five Spatial Principles which give the Strategy its distinctive local dimensions and applies these through three sub area strategies for North and Central Richmondshire and Lower Wensleydale</p>
<p>Core Policies courses of action for development proposals to ensure they deliver the Local Plan Core Strategy's vision and objectives</p>
<p>Implementation and Delivery the approach to implementation, infrastructure delivery and monitoring, including the Infrastructure Delivery Plan covering, for example, road and utility improvements and schools necessary to achieve delivery of the strategy.</p>

Figure 1: Richmondshire Plan Area



CHAPTER 2: Richmondshire's Challenges

- 2.1 The Richmondshire plan area, shown on Figure 1, is situated in the east of the District and lies outside the Yorkshire Dales National Park. It stretches from the Tees Valley and Vale of Mowbray lowlands in the east, through the Pennine fringe into lower Swaledale and Wensleydale in the northern Yorkshire Dales. It also includes a small outlying area around Tan Hill.
- 2.2 The plan area sits within a wider geographic context bordering Darlington in the Tees Valley and the deeply rural Yorkshire and Durham Dales and rural lowlands. Darlington acts as a sub-regional centre for much of the plan area population, providing retail and other services, and employment. It is linked by several roads, including the A1, A66, A167 and B1263. The Richmondshire and Hambleton plan areas share many similarities, reflected in shared transport links between towns and villages. The A684 runs through Wensleydale to Bedale and the A1 at Leeming Bar. The Wensleydale Railway currently links Leeming Bar with Redmire via Leyburn. The towns of Leyburn, Richmond and Catterick Garrison provide important services and employment opportunities across this wide area and to the settlements within the Yorkshire Dales National Park. Barnard Castle provides a similar role for small settlements in the north of the plan area. The short boundary with Harrogate runs through a remote rural area in the Nidderdale Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

Settlements

- 2.3 Richmond was awarded 'Great Town of the Year' by the Academy of Urbanism, in 2009. Historically it has been the main administrative and service centre for the District. Its significance remains but, with the post-war growth of the military base at Catterick Garrison and neighbouring settlements, it is no longer as dominant as it once was and it has lost out to the growth in Darlington and other larger centres. A large part of the town and its immediate surroundings have been designated as Conservation Areas. Richmond Castle and its dramatic setting, together with outstanding built heritage is a major tourism draw.
- 2.4 Hipswell, Scotton and Colburn - including the Catterick Garrison main military site - is generally known as Catterick Garrison. This masks a complicated area that has evolved through the growth of military facilities, which have coalesced with the settlements. The building of a Tesco superstore and more recently a major leisure centre has begun to create a town centre that will continue to develop with the proposals for further redevelopment in the same area. Retail evidence shows that the impact of the superstore extends across the whole District. Although there is a strong military character to this area it is not exclusive and there are now large areas of open market housing following disposal of military accommodation and development on former military land. Colburn is the largest domestic settlement offering a range of housing and other services. A number of employment locations have been developed mainly in Colburn. The area has grown around the A6136 and there are concerns about the capacity of this road which is subject to some congestion at peak times. The Catterick Garrison Strategic Transport Assessment **2011** (2010) has reviewed this and concluded that the capacity of the road can be increased to meet future development requirements with a set of specific junction improvements.


Comment [j1]: M/2/0/01

- 2.5 Leyburn is the main town serving a wide rural catchment area covering Lower Wensleydale and Upper Swaledale. It is a market town serving the local agricultural economy and is also an important tourist centre. The town sits at a crossroads and operates as a hub for public transport in the area. Its connections favour east west travel along Wensleydale towards Bedale and Northallerton.
- 2.6 There are over 70 villages which vary in size considerably and are distributed across this large rural area.

Population

- 2.7 The population of the plan area is estimated to be **44,690** ~~43,310~~ (Census, 2011) (mid-2011 revised), which is 86% of the District total. Two thirds of this population live in the seven largest settlements, with estimated populations of Catterick Garrison **16,180** ~~14,600~~, Richmond **8,410** ~~8,140~~, Catterick Village (including Marne Barracks) **3,155** ~~2,785~~, Leyburn **2,550** ~~2,140~~ and Brompton on Swale **1,880** ~~1,800~~. Both Catterick Garrison and Catterick Village have large numbers of personnel living in communal accommodation. Despite the presence of a large military population, the population age structure tends to be older than regional and national profiles. The retirement age population accounts for **17.5%** ~~18.4%~~ of the District total, but this proportion will be smaller for the plan area, because of the military population. The plan area can expect an increase in its age profile as the 'baby boom' generation reaches retirement age during the plan period. Figure 2 below, using population data obtained from the 2001 and 2011 Censuses highlights how the District population is ageing. **95.4%** ~~94.6%~~ of the local population is white, which is greater than regional and national levels.

Figure 2: Richmondshire Population Ageing 2001 - 2011



- 2.8 Population change occurs mainly through migration to and from Richmondshire. This is driven by a mix of higher education, housing market

options, military policy and rural attractiveness. Research through the North Yorkshire Strategic Housing Market Assessment (2011) indicates that current mid 2008 sub-national population projections, prepared by the Office of National Statistics (ONS) have overestimated the level of growth because of problems with the calculation of international migration. The small size of the plan area population combined with its very large military component presents a methodological challenge for both population estimation and projection. The ONS series of projections based on 2001 Census were inflated through an error affecting the calculation of international migration (Development Target Review, August 2013). Although main 2011 Census results have been published at the time of writing, the first set of projections based on the full Census results has not been prepared. The interim mid-2011 based population and household projections are not considered suitable for strategic planning (DTR, 2013). But, in projecting prevailing recessionary trends they do indicate reduced local prospects in the absence of development. The Richmondshire Scrutiny of Population Estimates and Projections (2012) provides a revision to the mid 2008 based projections which addresses the migration overestimate using improved ONS methodology. These results showed a reduction in the level of expected growth over the plan period. The results show that a revised mid-2011 population estimate for the District should be 50,360 compared to the 52,840 expected using previous ONS methods. When this change in the calculation of international migration trends is projected forward it reduces the level of expected growth from 60,110 to 52,820. The Core Strategy adopts this revised, but more realistic, level of growth for strategic development. The Core Strategy has adopted this revised, but more realistic, level of growth for strategic development which is greater than the depressed growth indicated by the mid-2011 interim projections.

Comment [m2]: M/2/0/02

Housing

- 2.9 There are about 19,600 ~~19,150 (2011)~~ ~~(2010)~~ dwellings in the plan area, of which just over 85.80% are owner occupied or privately rented, which is higher than regional and national levels. The mix of tenures in the plan area includes nearly 1,750 ~~1,600~~ military homes or 10.9% of total dwelling stock. There is a much lower proportion of social rented housing (11%) ~~(10%)~~ compared to regional and national levels. The vacancy rate, including holiday homes and second homes, is 7.7%. This falls to 2.1% when only market properties are included (Empty and Underused Property Research, 2009).
- 2.10 There are two distinct Housing Market areas in Richmondshire. The central and northern parts of the plan area fall mainly into the Darlington and the Tees Valley area. The remaining, predominantly rural area, participates in much wider high value and leisure markets characterised by affluent commuters, quality of life, second and holiday homes. In-migration and rural attractiveness are strong drivers of the housing market. The ratio of lower quartile house price to lower quartile earnings has varied between 9.97 in 2005 and 8.44 in 2010 (CLG, 2012). The North Yorkshire Strategic Housing Market Assessment (NYSHMA 2011) confirms the ongoing affordable housing issue. Over the next 5 years 260 homes per year are estimated to be in housing need but cannot afford to move to address this need. with an estimated need for 260 affordable dwellings per annum over the next five years based on both the existing and emerging demand. This includes households seeking adaptations and emerging households which may look outside the District for their housing needs. It is also based on assumptions

Comment [m3]: M/2/0/03

concerning access to housing finance products available at the time of writing.

Comment [m4]: M/2/0/03

The lower average household income of £22,100 in the District is a barrier to owner-occupation for many families. The average lower quartile house price is £145,000 and requires a household income of £58,500 to purchase it (assuming a maximum mortgage spend of 20% of income and no other equity). The private rented sector has been buoyant, but affordability issues place increased pressure on the available stock. Rents were comparatively low compared with more urban markets in North Yorkshire. Social housing waiting lists accounted for 7% of households.

Military

2.11 There are two substantial military sites in the plan area. The Catterick Garrison main site has grown within the parishes of Hipswell, Scotton and Colburn since it was established in 1915, while Marne Barracks is at Catterick Village by the A1. The military population, following the complete 4 Brigade relocation from Germany, is estimated to be nearly 10,500 personnel and dependants, which is nearly a quarter of the plan area population.

2.12 The Army Basing Plan (MoD, 2013) presented the overall movements in military units required to return the Army from Germany and modernise it. This plan identifies major unit movements in to and out of Catterick Garrison up until 2017. The net impact of these movements is not expected to change the overall size of the military presence in Richmondshire. The Catterick Garrison Long Term Development Plan (CGLTDP, MoD 2008) identified sites to enable the Garrison to expand to accommodate a maximum of a further five units, equivalent to an estimated 3,000 military personnel. The Defence Secretary announced in summer 2011 that the overall size of the regular army would be decreased and that units currently based in Germany would relocate mainly to Scotland. As a result of these announcements little change is now expected in the size of the Garrison. National policy is for military personnel to stay at a home base for most of their career and to be deployed from there, aiming for personnel and their families to settle near to their base. In support of this, CGLTDP also aims to The development of a modern town centre contributes to the objective to make Catterick Garrison an attractive home for soldiers and their families, and meet the aspirations of the wider local community. Central to this aim is the development of a modern town centre in Catterick Garrison.

Comment [j5]: M/2/0/04

Economy

2.13 The plan area's working age population is about 30,600 of which an estimated 24,500 are economically active. The level of qualifications at all levels is higher than regional and national levels. The claimant rates for employment related benefits remain well below national and regional levels. (NOMIS). Average earnings in Richmondshire are lower than regional and national levels for both residents and for jobs located in the District.

2.154 The Ministry of Defence (MoD) employs about 9,400 people in the plan area, including military personnel (Economic Impact Study of the Military in North Yorkshire, 2010). The gross impact of this through salaries is estimated to be £204m.

2.165 Middleham, in Lower Wensleydale, is an important centre for the horse racing industry employing 227 people with around 550 racehorses in training. The

total value of this industry to the area is estimated to be £12.7m (Lower Wensleydale Study 2009).

2.146 The Employment Land Review Update (2012) looked at the structure of the local economy and how it was expected to change. It is based on the Regional Econometric Model forecast dated October 2011, which was a positive projection whose assumptions had not been seriously affected by the economic downturn. The overall projected growth in local jobs would be 2,200 by 2026 if expected trends are realised. The table below summarises the results for the main local employment sectors, which account for 90% of local employment outside the armed forces. Employment sectors have been ranked by their relative size in terms of the numbers of people employed. In general, the local economy is expected to retain its strong service sector. But, the mix of services is expected to change, with a sharp reduction in public service employment and also a rise in retail employment. This growth is focussed mainly on the service sector and relies heavily on housing growth to deliver the necessary consumption to drive it. The potential for such growth should be related to housing growth projections, particularly when projected employment growth could outstrip growth in the local workforce, without increased migration or in-commuting to fill the deficit. The employment-led demographic forecast (Employment-led Demographic Forecasts, 2014) indicated that this projected level of employment growth would require an increased level of in migration to support it and a raised development target if all of the increase in the local workforce was to be accommodated in the District. As economic recovery begins, the projected increase in local economic growth is likely to be delayed and is dependent, on the delivery of the A1 upgrade through the District and the building of Catterick Garrison Town Centre.

Comment [m6]: M/2/0/06

Table 1: Expected Change in Local Employment Sectors

	Rank (based on number of people employed)		Growth
	2011	2026	%
All Industry	-	-	6.5
Agriculture, Forestry and Fishing	2	3	14.8
Business Services	5	6	-9.4
Construction	1	2	5.6
Education	11	10	-3.9
Health	8	9	10.9
Hotels and Catering	4	4	13.9
Other Financial and Business Services	9	8	17.2
Other Services	3	1	44.9
Public Administration and Defence	7	11	-15.4
Retailing	10	5	32.5
Wholesaling	6	7	12.8

Transport and Accessibility

2.17 Transport and accessibility in the plan area reflects the housing market areas. The north eastern area facing the Tees Valley is close to the national road network via the A1 and A66. The main strategic road (A1) junctions in the

plan area are Scotch Corner in the north to Richmond (A6108), Catterick Village along the A6136 to Hipswell, Scotton and Colburn, and from Leeming Bar through Wensleydale along the A684 to Leyburn and the Yorkshire Dales National Park.

2.18 The recent A1 motorway upgrade between Dishforth and Leeming Bar has improved access to existing employment and residential areas, and this will be improved further if when the proposed A684 Bedale bypass is also built. The northern section of the proposed A1 upgrade from Leeming Bar to Barton has been cancelled. Proposed A1 junction upgrades in the Catterick Village area would have substantially improved access to the plan area. The completion of the northern section of the proposed A1 upgrade from Leeming Bar to Barton is expected by 2017. The proposed Catterick Central junction upgrade will substantially improve access to the plan area. The Catterick Garrison Strategic Transport Assessment (2011) identified a number of road junctions along the A6136, which are near to or approaching capacity. Potential mitigation measures to enable future development were also identified and funding for these is being sought.

Comment [j7]: M/2/0/05

2.19 Richmond and centres in Catterick Garrison have regular bus services that connect them to Darlington. Leyburn is a hub for local bus services through Wensleydale and across to Richmond. The most rural parts of the plan area have more limited services, whose frequency depends on how near they are to the main routes through the area.

2.20 Mainline and local train services can be reached at Darlington and Northallerton. The Wensleydale Railway is a tourist railway operating between Leeming Bar and Redmire via Leyburn and aims to re-establish links to the mainline at Northallerton.

2.21 Accessibility reduces as you move further away from the main corridors and into the more rural areas. Although the most rural wards in the plan area are among the least deprived in the country, the Index of Multiple Deprivation (IMD, 2010) places them in the country's most deprived areas when measured by the limited access to services and the housing market alone.

Environment

2.22 The plan area lies to the east of the Yorkshire Dales National Park, and the lower stretches of the Swale and Ure valleys form natural extensions of this nationally designated landscape area. The Nidderdale and North Pennines Areas of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB) extend from the south and north-west into small parts of the plan area. Within the remainder of the area there are many other identifiable landscapes with their own distinctive qualities and character. Many areas have a local landscape importance, particularly around the historic settlements. The present landscape has been influenced by several country estates. The Ministry of Defence (MoD) is the largest single landowner and operates training estates over very substantial areas of moorland.

2.23 The plan area is rich in its green infrastructure, encompassing extensive habitats, major landscape features such as river corridors and flood meadows, along with wide green corridors and ecological networks. The Tees, Swale and Ure river corridors are important wildlife habitats, as are the upland moorland areas, all supporting the locally rich biodiversity. Numerous

areas, sites and other features provide a network of nature conservation resources spread across the plan area. There are 11 Sites of Special Scientific Interest, along with over 200 local Sites of Importance for Nature Conservation, including Foxglove Covert, an important Local Nature Reserve in the Catterick Garrison military estate. West of the plan area, the North Pennines Dales Meadows, are designated as a Special Area of Conservation and this overlaps in part with the North Pennine Moors Special Protection Area which has rare and vulnerable birdlife. Both of these areas are of European importance.

- 2.24 Over 1,200 archaeological sites are identified by the North Yorkshire Environmental Record in the plan area. There are 41 designated Conservation Areas, and over 1,200 listed buildings and structures. In addition to formally recognised built heritage, there are many other buildings of genuine quality or features which enrich the urban and rural environments that give the plan area its local distinctiveness. Scott's Dyke is a nationally important linear earthwork running through the plan area and along the eastern edge of Richmond, in particular.
- 2.25 There is very little contaminated land and air quality is good. The River Swale and River Tees floodplains limit development, particularly in lowland areas. The River Ure floodplain does not pass through any settlements in the plan area. Large parts of the plan area provide important sources of groundwater. In the area east of the A1 and north of the A66 the district has potentially viable commercial wind energy resources.

The Key Strategic Issues

- 2.26 The assessment of the plan area identifies a number of local challenges for a future development strategy, including:
- a. achieving rural sustainability, while retaining local character
 - b. developing a complementary relationship between town centres in Richmond and Catterick Garrison
 - c. supporting the integration of communities in the wider Garrison area through the development of a main town centre and related services
 - d. securing wider local benefits of a sustained military presence
 - e. improving access to facilities in the villages
 - f. dealing with poor housing mix and lack of access to affordable housing
 - g. promoting a more diverse rural economy with better paid jobs
 - h. developing the quality of the tourism offer
 - i. conserving and enhancing natural and built heritage
 - j. increasing installed renewable electricity and heat capacity and reducing carbon emissions
 - k. complementing neighbouring areas and supporting communities in the Yorkshire Dales National Park

CHAPTER 3: The Strategic Approach

- 3.1.1 This chapter explores the challenges identified in Chapter 2 and considers the sort of place the plan area could be in 2028. It outlines strategic and local objectives designed to deliver this vision. The five Spatial Principles at the heart of the Core Strategy are defined and these address the different roles of sub areas, settlements, rural communities and the scale and distribution of housing and economic development. It also looks at the different characteristics of the three main sub areas and sets out their spatial strategies.
- 3.1.2 The following vision has evolved through the preparation of the Core Strategy and the many views expressed about local needs and aspirations. It guides the approach set out in the rest of this document.

Vision for 2028

In 2028, sustainable growth in the Richmondshire plan area's towns and villages supports the quality of life of rural communities and addresses their needs for local homes, work and leisure, through development and the provision of services. This growth has also complemented neighbouring areas, by supporting communities in the Yorkshire Dales National Park and the regeneration strategy in the Tees Valley.

The towns of Richmond, Catterick Garrison and Leyburn play distinct roles as centres for local communities and are the main focus for housing and employment offering a wide range of services and facilities. Their growth has led to improvements in links to and from surrounding villages and other centres. Richmond has built on its heritage has realised the potential offered by its heritage and increased its economic importance to the surrounding area. Private and military investments have transformed Hipswell, Scotton, Colburn and Catterick Garrison into an excellent living environment shared by local and military communities with a modern town centre, high quality development and improved road junctions. Historic Richmond and a modern Catterick Garrison town centre complement each other in the range of facilities and opportunities they offer to the District. Leyburn continues to be an important centre for a large rural area extending over Wensleydale and Swaledale. It retains its character and sense of place while offering wider housing and employment choices. The villages and countryside beyond continue to reflect their high quality settings, but a flexible approach to development has provided a range of accessible housing and employment opportunities for local people.

A diverse, competitive and successful rural economy, providing access to local job opportunities has grown alongside market and affordable homes. More people live and work locally, with commuting to and from the plan area has been reduced. The area's strong rural cultural identity, with its rich variety of environmental and historic assets, has been sustained and enhanced. Local measures have helped the area to respond to climate change and mitigate the impact of development. Installed renewable electricity and heat opportunities have been

Comment [j1]: M/3/Vision/01

realised and green renewable and low carbon industries have developed. These have supported the achievement of challenging levels of renewable energy provision and low carbon developments.

- 3.1.3 The vision for 2028 imagines a future for the plan area, which reflects its local characteristics, the challenges it faces and its potential for change. Realising this vision is a role that needs to be shared by the Council, local communities and investors. Only then can the resources for change be guided through an appropriate set of policies and later on by specific schemes or actions. The Core Strategy complements other plans by guiding development choices through the decisions of the Council as the local planning authority.

Strategic Objectives

- 3.1.4 The local strategic context was reassessed in the Local Strategy Statement (LSS) published by the Council in February 2011. The LSS summarised the local approach and replaced the Regional Spatial Strategy (RSS) with the following **six strategic objectives** for the plan area:
- a. **Overall change in Richmondshire should reflect the needs of the area** and its relative lack of potential for growth, taking into account the great concern to protect its high quality environment. This should ensure consistency in its relationships with its neighbours with similar or different objectives.
 - b. **A managed approach to ensure an appropriate level of housing and employment provision which will meet local social and economic needs**, helps to reduce commuting out of the plan area and supports regeneration and growth in the Tees Valley. Consistent with this, housing provision will be based on 180⁺ dwellings per annum. This will provide support for the rural economy and local social and community needs, including scope to provide for the identified need for affordable housing.
 - c. **Development and provision of services will be concentrated in locations which reflect a defined sustainable settlement hierarchy**. This hierarchy is headed by the settlements of Richmond and Catterick Garrison, where the strategy will seek to support their distinct roles in providing the main local focus for housing and employment (and also shopping, leisure, education, health, cultural activities and facilities), and will seek to encourage improvements in their accessibility from surrounding areas, and improved public transport links to other centres. Leyburn will be encouraged to fulfil its important service function to a wide rural area extending outside of the plan area, including provision of market and affordable housing, and new job opportunities to address local needs. Important priorities for Leyburn will include its enhancement and protection as an attractive and vibrant place, with excellent environmental, economic and social resources serving the wider rural area.

¹ The Local Strategy retained the Regional Spatial Strategy's housing target of 200 houses each year. This has been updated to 180 by the Richmondshire Scrutiny of Population (2012)

- d. **Development in Richmond and Catterick Garrison will promote their complementary roles and provision of facilities.** It will recognise their close relationship in terms of provision of services and impact of development in one on the other. The balance of physical development will be concentrated in the Catterick Garrison area rather than in Richmond because of its limited physical scope for expansion.
- e. **Rural sustainability will be supported, by:**
 - i. safeguarding local quality of life, environmental values and characteristics
 - ii. encouraging a more diverse, competitive and successful economy, with access to economic opportunities and increased self sufficiency within the rural economy, in ways which should allow people to live and work locally
 - iii. meeting locally generated needs for both market and affordable housing, and supporting the social needs of rural communities
 - iv. promoting its rural cultural identity, including supporting the role of market towns and tourism
 - v. supporting communities to provide for their own needs.
- f. **Priority will be given to protecting and conserving the rich variety of environmental and historic assets and mitigating and adapting to the prospects of climate change,** including through measures which support the achievement of challenging levels of renewable energy provision and low carbon developments.

The Policy Framework

- 3.1.5 Action to support the delivery of these objectives through development will be guided by the policy framework set out in this document. This is an integrated response to local conditions in the plan area which supports sustainable development, enabling people to satisfy their basic needs and enjoy a better quality of life, without compromising the quality of life for future generations.
- 3.1.6 The policy framework begins with five Spatial Principles (SP1 – SP5). These give geographical structure to the overall strategy for the plan area. Three sub area strategies, for Central Richmondshire (CRSS), Northern Richmondshire (NRSS) and Lower Wensleydale (LWSS) provide specific responses to the different circumstances of these areas. Core Policies (CP01 – CP14) provide direction for the delivery of development, ensuring that it responds to local needs and respects local conditions. The final element of the policy framework explains how local infrastructure can be improved to respond to the growth anticipated by the overall strategy and how the Council will ensure that the strategy responds to change.
- 3.1.7 The delivery of the overall strategy is summarised in the table below by linking detailed local objectives to the elements of the policy framework, which are expanded through the remainder of this document.

Comment [m2]: M/3/SO/01

Table 2: Policy Framework: Local Objectives

Local Objective		Policy
1.	Thriving and sustainable communities are supported by locating development where it will enable people to access jobs and key services like education, training, healthcare, recreation and other facilities	SP1-SP5, CP23, CP34 and CP11
2.	The need for travel is reduced and access to jobs and key services by sustainable forms of transport, such as public transport, walking and cycling, is made safer and easier	SP1 – SP5 and CP23
3.	The dynamic character of rural communities is supported across the whole plan area and their social and economic fabric is sustained	SP3 and CP8
4.	Local and military authorities work together to create lasting and mixed communities, which will benefit the whole District	SP4, SP5 and CRSS
5.	Future population and employment growth is accommodated in line with local requirements and responsive to neighbouring areas	SP4 and SP5
6.	Good quality housing is provided with an appropriate mix of different sizes, types and tenures which meet the housing needs of local communities	SP4, CP5 and CP6
7.	The adverse impact of society on the environment and the implications of climate change are responded to	CP42
8.	The historic heritage, character and identity of local towns and villages is protected and enhanced by ensuring that new developments are appropriate in terms of scale and location in the context of settlement form and character	CRSS, LWSS, NRSS, CP4 and CP12
9.	The countryside, wildlife diversity and habitats are protected and improved	CP4 and CP12
10.	Local economic growth is supported in ways which are compatible with environmental objectives and deliver increased prosperity for the whole community	SP3, SP5, CP7 and CP10

Comment [m3]: M/3/LO/01

Comment [m4]: M/3/LO/01

Comment [m5]: M/3/LO/01

Local Objective		Policy
11.	Vibrant and prosperous towns are supported to function as service centres with a range of good quality jobs, businesses, shops and services	CRSS, LWSS, CP10
12.	High quality design of new developments helps to create attractive and safe places to live, work and play in	CP13
13.	Effective partnership between public and private organisations and local communities delivers the vision for the plan area	CP14, Infrastructure Delivery Plan

3.1.8 Further planning policy documents will be produced after the Core Strategy to provide additional detail where necessary.

Spatial Principles

3.1.9 The five Spatial Principles (SP) are at the heart of the Core Strategy and make it locally distinct to the needs of the plan area.

SP1: Sub Areas

– identifies three sub areas and the strategic approach for each

SP2: Sustainable Settlement Hierarchy

– defines the levels of the hierarchy, the roles of each level and identifies the settlements

SP3: Rural Sustainability

– identifies the approach towards supporting the rural environment and economy

SP4: Scale and Distribution of Housing

– sets the total scale of open market and military related housing to be provided in the plan area, together with proportions for each sub area and each level in the settlement hierarchy

SP5: Scale and Distribution of Economic Development

– sets the overall scale of employment land to be provided in the plan area, together with the approach to key employment areas

Spatial Principle SP1: Sub Areas

3.1.10 The plan area is made up of many settlements that have developed over a long period to reflect the different characters of the area. Wensleydale, Swaledale and Teesdale give the basic framework for the local settlement pattern. Separated by upland areas, the dales give a strong east-west dimension to the local area. Leyburn and its neighbouring villages sit in Wensleydale, Richmond in Swaledale and the lower reaches of Teesdale form the northern boundary. The local road network also reflects this pattern: the A6108 leads through Richmond and into Swaledale; the A66 follows Teesdale and the A684 passes through Wensleydale. There are limited north-

south connections between these routes apart from the A1, which runs through the Vale of Mowbray in the east of the plan area.

- 3.1.11 The Catterick Garrison Town Centre Retail Study (2006) and LDF consultation responses (Plan Our Future 1, 2009) show the centres of preference for local people. These also tend to conform to the traditional dales pattern, despite the strong influence of Darlington in the north. Together, Richmond and Catterick Garrison fulfil district centre roles, providing a range of services with a catchment that extends westwards into the remoter rural areas. Leyburn fulfils the local centre role set in its well known high quality rural context. The northern part of the plan area is sparse and may be subject to development pressure from Darlington.
- 3.1.12 Three sub areas, which broadly reflect local geography and settlement pattern, are defined in the Core Strategy with a relevant policy response to each:
- Central Richmondshire contains the traditional District centre, main centres of population, Catterick Garrison military facilities and areas for potential development.
 - Lower Wensleydale is the most discrete, with its very clear centre in Leyburn set in a remoter rural area of great quality extending from the upper dales in the west towards Bedale and the A1 in the east.
 - North Richmondshire is a predominantly rural landscape with distributed settlements, which look to the larger centres of Darlington, Barnard Castle or Richmond.


Spatial Principle SP1: Sub Areas

Within the plan area, a different strategic approach will be taken for each of the following three broad sub areas:

- **Central Richmondshire is the area of greatest growth, reflecting the location of the main towns of Richmond and Catterick Garrison, the scope for development and the scale of existing facilities and infrastructure. This is the area where most housing and employment related development will take place.**
- **Lower Wensleydale is an area of modest growth, reflecting the location of Leyburn within the sub area, which has a substantial capability to support its rural hinterland. The scale of development in this sub area will also reflect its role in supporting and providing for the needs of the adjacent part of Richmondshire which lies within the Yorkshire Dales National Park.**
- **North Richmondshire is an area of more modest growth, reflecting its largely rural nature, the limited services available within its settlements and the need to resist development pressures from and support the regeneration of neighbouring Tees Valley settlements particularly Darlington. The strategy in**

this area will be to limit the scale of new housing development, in order to resist further in-migration from these adjacent parts, and decrease pressures for cross-boundary commuting.

Figure 2: Spatial Principle 1: Sub Areas



Spatial Principle SP2: Settlement Hierarchy

- 3.1.13 The Core Strategy supports thriving local communities by directing development to support the range of local services, facilities and employment opportunities, which should achieve a better balance between homes and jobs. Development should also be directed away from the most sensitive environments, including areas of biodiversity importance. Overall, this approach seeks to focus development on selected settlements where it can make the most positive benefit.
- 3.1.14 The main towns in the plan area are linked to a network of smaller settlements. Major services such as health, employment or shopping are more likely to be found in these centres. A range of additional services are also found in smaller settlements further reducing the need to travel. There are several primary schools and village halls in the more remote parts of the plan area. This pattern of services and the links between settlements is at the heart of the settlement hierarchy. The Settlement Facilities Study (2011) captured a full picture of the provision of and access to facilities across the plan area and has been used to inform the settlement hierarchy, which will be used to organise future service provision and development. This approach was endorsed in the Local Strategy Statement.
- 3.1.15 Spatial Principle SP2 aims to reflect and strengthen the centres at Richmond, Catterick Garrison and Leyburn and the network of communities extending from them into the villages found in the large rural hinterlands. The detailed implications of applying the settlement hierarchy are addressed in the Spatial Principles, Sub Area Strategies and Core Policies following Spatial Principle SP2. The settlement hierarchy is built around both the size of a settlement and the role it serves to local people. Four levels are defined:
- Principal Towns
 - Local Service Centres
 - Primary Service Villages
 - Secondary Service Villages

Principal Towns - Richmond and Catterick Garrison

- 3.1.16 Principal towns are the main local focus for housing and employment. They also provide shopping, leisure, education, health, cultural activities and facilities. They are accessible from surrounding areas with public transport links to other centres. They have viable town centres and contribute much to local character. Richmond and Catterick Garrison are the largest settlements with the most facilities. Catterick Garrison is a complicated area in which the three villages of Hipswell, Scotton and Colburn plus the Catterick Garrison main site have coalesced and a new town centre is emerging. Richmond and Catterick Garrison are closely related, in terms of location, service provision and impact of development in one on the other. Their future is considered in these terms and achieving their complementary development is a key local objective for the Core Strategy.

Local Service Centre – Leyburn

- 3.1.17 Although Local Service Centres are smaller than Principal Towns they are important hubs for a range of local housing, employment and services. Leyburn's role as an important service centre in Richmondshire should not be underestimated. Although a small town in its own right, it is at the centre of rural communities extending into wide areas of Wensleydale and Swaledale including the Yorkshire Dales National Park.

Primary Service Villages

- 3.1.18 Primary Service Villages provide services that supplement those found in larger towns and help meet needs in dispersed communities throughout the wider rural hinterland. A key principle, when looking at the scope for future development in these villages, is the maintenance of existing or new services. Primary Service Villages have been identified from the Settlement Facilities Study (2011) as fulfilling the following criteria:

- the availability of a good range of community facilities and services – a primary school, food shop, community hall and sport and recreation facilities
- their location throughout the sub area – seeking to ensure good access to local services
- public transport access to larger centres
- potential for some further development
- availability of local employment

- 3.1.19 The settlements that best fulfil these criteria are:

Central Richmondshire

- Brompton on Swale
- Catterick Village
- Scorton

Lower Wensleydale

- Middleham

North Richmondshire

- Barton
- Melsonby
- Middleton Tyas

Secondary Service Villages

- 3.1.20 Secondary Service Villages share some of the attributes of the Primary Service Villages but are smaller in size. Examples include remoter villages like Spennithorne and Ravensworth which are served by small primary schools, or Aldbrough, which has a GP surgery. The presence of these services also suggests clusters of neighbouring settlements sharing and supporting services between them. This category includes:

Lower Wensleydale

- Finghall-Harmby-Spennithorne cluster
- Hunton

North Richmondshire

- Aldbrough-Caldwell-Eppleby cluster
- Dalton-Newsham-Ravensworth cluster
- Gilling West
- North Cowton

Spatial Principle SP2: Settlement Hierarchy

A settlement hierarchy is defined to organise development and service provision in the plan area and improve links between the settlements in the hierarchy, particularly by public transport

The levels of the hierarchy are as follows:


- **Principal Towns: Richmond and Catterick Garrison**
acting in a complementary manner to constitute the main focus in the plan area for housing, employment, shopping, leisure, education, health and cultural activities and facilities
- **Local Service Centre: Leyburn**
serving the needs of its surrounding sub area, in particular providing appropriate levels of market and affordable housing, job opportunities and assisting in achieving long term economic and social sustainability
- **Primary Service Villages**
with key services to supplement those provided in the towns to help meet the needs of the dispersed rural communities

Central Richmondshire:	Brompton on Swale, Catterick Village and Scorton
Lower Wensleydale:	Middleham
North Richmondshire:	Barton, Melsonby and Middleton Tyas,
- **Secondary Service Villages**
settlements with fewer services that support the needs and sustainability of rural communities

Lower Wensleydale:	Finghall-Harmby-Spennithorne and Hunton
North Richmondshire:	Aldbrough-Caldwell-Eppleby, Dalton-Newsham-Ravensworth, Gilling West and North Cowton

3.1.21 Spatial Principle SP2 establishes the Core Strategy's settlement hierarchy to guide later sections. The approach to specific settlements is described in Spatial Principle SP4 (Scale and Distribution of Housing Development), Spatial Principle SP5 (Scale and Distribution of Economic Development), CRSS (Central Richmondshire Spatial Strategy) LWSS (Lower Wensleydale Spatial Strategy) and NRSS (North Richmondshire Spatial Strategy). The approach to areas and settlements beyond the Spatial Principle SP2 Settlement Hierarchy is provided in Spatial Principle SP3 and Core Policy CP8.

Figure 3: Spatial Principle 2: Settlement Hierarchy



Spatial Principle SP3: Rural Sustainability

3.1.22 Local rural distinctiveness is a key thread for the Core Strategy, relevant to the whole plan area and its neighbours. It reflects local concerns and priorities, evident through consultation responses. Of all the plan area's 70 plus settlements, only Richmond, the Hipswell/Scotton/Colburn area, Catterick Village, Leyburn and Brompton on Swale have more than 1,000 residents. The Council needs to strike the balance between growth and protecting the countryside when promoting locally distinctive rural communities. Its approach is based on support for development in the larger settlements which meets local needs. But, it also recognises that the countryside is a living working place that also needs to adapt to economic change through appropriate diversification of traditional rural industries and the reuse of their buildings which contribute character to the local landscape.

Spatial Principle SP3: Rural Sustainability

Priority will be given to supporting the rural sustainability of the whole plan area, protecting and enhancing its environmental assets and character, and sustaining the social and economic fabric of its communities, by promoting:

- **a sustainable rural economy**
- **social and economic regeneration**
- **conservation or improvement of the rural environment**
- **appropriate rural housing schemes to achieve sustainable communities**
- **the appropriate reuse of redundant buildings**
- **renewable energy generation and associated technologies.**

3.1.23 Spatial Principle SP3 promotes the rural vitality and quality of the whole plan area. Two main threads take this approach forward through the rest of the strategy. Spatial Principle SP1 Sub Areas and Spatial Principle SP2 Settlement Hierarchy give a pattern of settlements for organising development in this rural area. Core Policy CP8 provides the approach to the very large extent of the plan area outside the Spatial Principle SP2 Settlement Hierarchy including all the countryside and the smaller settlements. The rest of the Core Strategy supports these and works towards the continued sustainability of rural communities.

Spatial Principle SP4: The Scale and Distribution of Housing Development

3.1.24 The changing population is the most significant driver for local growth and having an appropriate scale and distribution of housing development is fundamental to responding to this change until 2028. The provision of future military related housing is an additional consideration for development policy, but this is driven by national defence strategy.

Scale of Housing Development

3.1.25 Population and household forecasts are the normal starting point when considering the scale of housing development. The Richmondshire population has proved difficult to project consistently and household projections have fluctuated widely in the past 10 years. The Regional Spatial Strategy proposed an annual target of 200 additional new houses each year. The ONS/CLG mid-2006 based household forecasts increased this to 400, which was subsequently decreased to 250 each year by the mid-2008 household forecasts. The first household projection following publication of the main 2011 Census results indicate a much reduced target of 80 homes per annum. The reasons for this variation stem from incorrect assumptions concerning international migration in the 2001 based series of population projections and the impact of the large military population on modelling assumptions. The international migration methodology problem has since been addressed by ONS in recent improvements to its methodology. The latest mid-2011 based interim population and household forecasts (April 2013) present a different problem tantamount to the population standing still over the whole plan period, with any household growth accounted for by decreasing household size. This comes from projecting forward the severe recessionary trends of the preceding five years. These issues were reviewed in detail in the Richmondshire Scrutiny of Population Estimates and Projections (2012) and the Development Target Review (August, 2013). Together these conclude that the growth expectations projected in the Richmondshire Scrutiny of Population Estimates and Projections (2012) presented the most realistic growth trend. The North Yorkshire Strategic Housing Market Assessment (NYSHMA, 2011) identified the risk that population estimates and projections produced by the Office for National Statistics (ONS) were inflated and recommended the production of a local population projection. The Richmondshire Scrutiny of Population Estimates and Projections (2012) presented a local population projection based on the mid-2008 ONS population projection, but with revised international migration estimates based on a methodology developed by ONS for future projections. This approach was also applied to mid year estimate calculations back to 2006 to ensure that the problems identified with ONS overestimation of international migration did not artificially inflate the projected population. This work removed the incorrect expectations for international migration from the Richmondshire population and indicated a more realistic housing target of an average of 180 houses each year. The future population is expected to change shape with an increasing proportion of ageing households.

Comment [m6]: M/3/SP4/01

3.1.26 The employment-led demographic forecast (2014) indicated that the projected level of employment growth (2,200 jobs) would require an increased level of in-migration to support it and a raised development target if all of the increase in the local workforce was to be accommodated in the District. This level of growth is unlikely to be fully realised because the projected increase in local economic growth is likely to be delayed as economic recovery begins and is dependent, to a large extent on the delivery of the A1 upgrade through the District and the building of Catterick Garrison Town Centre. Growth in military housing will also contribute to the local workforce, further reducing the need to increase the development target. It is therefore an unrealistic basis for development planning.

Comment [m7]: M/3/SP4/06

3.1.27 This target of 180 homes each year is not a ceiling for the level of expected growth. It is considered to be realistic, deliverable and therefore an

achievable target to address current and future needs. A managed approach will be taken should further suitable, sustainable and deliverable housing sites come forward, they will be considered on their merits. This housing target is also based on change in the whole Richmondshire population, but is related to development in the area outside of the Yorkshire Dales National Park. This recognises the national park's policy to address locally defined housing needs, the limited capacity for new housing development in this area and the district wide roles of the main towns in the Plan area. Monitoring of delivery against the target will, therefore, include net new housing development in the National Park area. It will also be related to economic growth indicators to enable the relationship between economic growth and housing growth to be managed.

Comment [m8]: M/3/SP4/02

Comment [m9]: M/3/SP4/01

Comment [m10]: M/3/SP4/01

Comment [m11]: M/3/SP4/06

Distribution of Housing Development

- 3.1.28 The distribution of housing development is based on the Spatial Principle SP1 Sub Areas, the Spatial Principle SP2 Settlement Hierarchy and the Spatial Principle SP3 Support for Sustainable Rural Communities. This reflects the roles and potentials of the individual settlements in each tier and their location across the plan area. Development is prioritised in locations close to existing facilities and infrastructure subject to its feasibility in terms of a range of constraints, infrastructure capacities, the availability of developable land and its consequences in terms of the local environmental impact of development.
- 3.1.29 Most of the plan area's development is expected to proceed in Catterick Garrison. Although Richmond performs the role of Principal Town, physical constraints around it are a major limit to future development extensions. The important role of Leyburn as Lower Wensleydale's Local Service Centre recommends additional modest growth. The Primary and Secondary Service Villages require an appropriate scale of development to meet local needs and support the continued delivery of local services, like primary schools and shops, in the most rural areas. There are few settlements with capacity for major growth in North Richmondshire. The approach for this area reflects its pattern of smaller settlements and the aim to reduce out-commuting to the Tees Valley. Small scale limited development in accordance with specific Core Policies is also appropriate in the remaining 40 villages beyond the Spatial Principle SP2 Settlement Hierarchy to support rural sustainability subject to respect for environmental considerations.

Military Housing Development

- 3.1.30 The implementation of the Army Basing Plan (MoD 2013) will not be complete until 2017. Ministry of Defence (MoD) policy changes and the range of potential requirements are a source of continuing uncertainty for local development planning. The likely scale of additional service families' housing required for military personnel at Catterick Garrison needs to be dealt with separately and planned for flexibly to account for changing national priorities. The Catterick Garrison Long Term Development Plan (CGLTDP, 2008) indicated that space is available on MoD sites to accommodate a major expansion large amount of new military housing. Current expectations for additional accommodation are more modest and flow from an expectation to consolidate this type of accommodation. Initial estimates suggest that, subject to military housing policy, between 300 and 500 homes could be built. Although plans have not been confirmed for this development, it is necessary MoD estimated a maximum requirement for 1,440 service families' homes

(MoD, 2010) plus military work areas for up to four additional units on the defence estate. The Defence Secretary (July 2011) announced that Catterick Garrison was expected to remain about the same size, but detailed plans had not been produced. This makes it necessary to retain military expansion capacity in the Core Strategy to ensure reasonable options for national defence policy can be addressed in the local context.

Comment [j12]: M/3/SP4/03

Gypsies and Travellers

3.1.31 The most recent evidence indicates a high level of vacancy in current provision for the Gypsy and Traveller accommodation and no expected demand (GTAA 2013). This is reflected in the lack of unauthorised encampments, enforcement action or applications for additional sites in Richmondshire, which does not call for strategic allocations. Any identified need can be met on existing sites and applications for further sites will be dealt with in the development management process, consistent with Planning Policy for Travellers Sites (CLG 2012) using Core Policy CP4, indicated a very low level of need for additional provision for the Gypsy and Traveller community (NYGTAA 2008). This amounted to three additional pitches. There has been no pressure for growth since this assessment and further need will be dealt with in the development management process, consistent with national policy, rather than strategic allocations.

Comment [j13]: M/3/SP4/04

Spatial Principle SP4: Scale and Distribution of Housing Development

The Council, housing providers and service providers will together seek to achieve the completion of 180 homes per year for general housing needs over the whole plan area to 2028. The additional dwellings will be distributed between the sub areas and settlement hierarchy as follows:

Sub Area distribution (Spatial Principle SP1)	
Central Richmondshire.....	79%
Lower Wensleydale.....	12%
North Richmondshire.....	9%
Settlement Hierarchy (Spatial Principle SP2)	
Catterick Garrison.....	62%
Richmond.....	8%
Leyburn.....	7%
Primary Service Villages.....	13%
Secondary Service Villages.....	5%
Elsewhere in the plan area.....	5%

Provision is also made for the development of up to 1,440,500 homes for military service families' accommodation at the Catterick Garrison main military site by 2028 if required and will be additional to the general housing requirement in Catterick Garrison.

Comment [m14]: M/3/SP4/03

Additional Gypsy and Traveller accommodation requirements will be met through Core Policy CP4.4.

Comment [m15]: M/3/SP4/04

3.1.32 The following table provides further detail of the expected scale and distribution of housing up to 2028.

Table 3: Scale and Distribution of Housing up to 2028

Settlement Type	Central Richmondshire		Lower Wensleydale		North Richmondshire		Total	
	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage
Catterick Garrison	1,900	62%	-	-	-	-	1,900	62%
Richmond	250	8%	-	-	-	-	250	8%
Leyburn	0	-	215	7%	-	-	215	7%
Primary Service Village	240	8%	45	1.5%	105	3%	390	13%
Secondary Service Village	-	-	45	1.5%	110	4%	155	5%
Elsewhere	20	1%	60	2%	70	2%	150	5%
Total	2410	79%	365	12%	285	9%	3,060	100%

Note: (1) Military housing requirements are additional to these targets and should be met on sites owned by MoD. (2) Table contents have been rounded and may not add exactly.

Spatial Principle SP5: The Scale and Distribution of Economic Development

3.1.33 Sustainable communities need a thriving economy and the Core Strategy aims to support the local economy ensuring continuing access to local work opportunities. Spatial Principle SP5 builds on Spatial Principle SP3's support for a sustainable rural economy by establishing the scale of employment land needed to support local growth and the strategic intentions for key sites within the plan area.

The Local Economy

3.1.34 Richmondshire's local economy reflects its rural situation with important tourism, service and land based sectors. It also has a large public sector, dominated by the Ministry of Defence. The local workforce is highly economically active, skilled and there is low unemployment. There is scope to promote certain key business sectors, such as the digital and creative sector, and food and drink, particularly if aligned with tourism. There are also problems to overcome: low wage levels reflecting the industry mix; a low manufacturing base and reducing agricultural employment. The District's sparse rural character can often be a barrier for local people accessing employment opportunities and for employers seeking staff and appropriate infrastructure. The degree of out-commuting to work, particularly north to the Tees Valley is also of concern. The plan area's important employment locations are in Richmond, Catterick Garrison, Leyburn and adjacent to the A1 at Brompton on Swale. In addition, land based industries are spread across the rural area.

Central Richmondshire

3.1.35 The Richmond Swale Valley Community Initiative (RSVCI) Strategic Framework (2009 – 2014) ~~prioritises~~ prioritised economic diversification and attracting new business. Richmond's important tourism offer and scope for creative industries is recognised, as is the need for complementary growth in Catterick Garrison to address the limited capacity for development in Richmond. The Local Enterprise Partnership has recognised that Catterick Garrison offers the greatest potential for economic growth in this part of North Yorkshire. The Economic Impact of the Military Presence in North Yorkshire (February 2010) looked at the economic impact of the military and its likely future growth. This study showed that military related employment accounts for 44% of total employment in Richmondshire. Military procurement is expected to continue on a predominantly national basis with few local growth opportunities apart from smaller local supply-chain contracting opportunities. The upgrade of the A1 to motorway standard through the District and its associated junction improvements will promote the longer term potential of the local economy as a whole through better connectivity particularly for the key employment areas in Richmond, Catterick Garrison and at Gatherley Road. It also introduces the prospect of appropriate development related to the Catterick Central junction, but subject to the known flood risk, archaeological and natural constraints and the feasibility of any connection to the strategic road network and links to the local road network. ~~The cancellation of the A1 motorway upgrade (October 2010) through the District and loss of associated junction improvements altered the longer term potential of areas adjacent to it and also lost the opportunity for improved access to and from much of Richmondshire.~~

Comment [j16]: M/3/SP5/01

Lower Wensleydale

3.1.36 'A Sustainable Future for Lower Wensleydale: Leyburn and Middleham reports' (Miller Consulting, August 2009) provides a similar local perspective, for Lower Wensleydale. Local employment is mainly in agriculture, construction, the horse racing industry and tourism, but there is significant outward commuting, by mainly higher skilled individuals. The reports recommended infrastructure improvements - including better broadband coverage, improved car parking, support for the horse racing industry, encouragement of retail and hospitality sectors, development of the creative industries and railway related improvements. The Wensleydale Railway Socio-Economic Study (2009) concluded that expansion of the railway westwards to Aysgarth and eastwards to the main line at Northallerton would have economic benefits, in terms of new jobs and opening up access to remoter rural communities.

North Richmondshire

3.1.37 North Richmondshire is predominantly rural, but also has major trunk road junctions at Scotch Corner and Barton. There are a few employment locations in the area. The Aske Hall development provides a range of employment units within former estate buildings near to Richmond. Dalton Gates is a small industrial estate on the site of a former wartime airfield five miles from Darlington. Although well located for both the A1 and A66, only a small amount of employment development has taken place at Scotch Corner. Planning permission was first granted for a major 7 hectare employment development next to Scotch Corner twenty years ago and remains a planning

commitment but development has not yet started The A1 upgrade improves prospects for this site and motorway related development at both Scotch Corner and Barton junctions. and little interest has been forthcoming.

Comment [j17]: M/3/SP5/02

Employment Land Supply and Development Prospects

- 3.1.38 The Employment Land Review (ELR, 2012) reassessed the scale and distribution of the existing employment land supply. Around 25 hectares of employment land are identified in the plan area's key employment locations in Catterick Garrison, Richmond, Leyburn and A1 related sites with planning permission including the Scotch Corner site. The Council's commercial property register also showed about 28,000m² of vacant floorspace, which is equivalent to about 7 hectares of employment land. The Catterick Garrison Long Term Development Plan (CGLTDP 2008) also identified locations for potential military related employment development within existing military sites, which do not feature in traditional assessments of employment land.
- 3.1.39 The ELR (2012) anticipated growth of just over ~~3,000~~ 2,200 jobs locally. The pattern of growth had changed, both in overall scale and also expected drivers of economic growth since the last ELR was carried out in 2007, and needs no more than an additional 2 hectares of traditional employment land up to 2026. Currently available land, therefore, provides more than enough scope and flexibility for the expected growth. The ELR (2012) shows that most employment growth is expected to come from other industry sectors. The direct relationship between employment growth in these sectors, floorspace and land is much less clear. These sectors include retailing and wholesaling uses whose land requirements need to be assimilated within town centres and will be provided, to a large extent, in the proposed Catterick Garrison town centre development rather than in making specific allocations.
- 3.1.40 The estimates of future employment growth and their related land requirements should be read in the context they have been produced under. Pessimistic economic prospects, limited development at key employment locations and an oversupply of employment land points to a more focused approach. But a reasonable supply of employment land will also need to be maintained to enable economic recovery. At the same time alternative uses should be considered for long standing sites that have not been developed or attracted interest, where this supports the Core Strategy.
- 3.1.41 Future employment development should complement housing growth, which recommends the promotion of sites in Catterick Garrison reflecting its capacity for a range of opportunities, including prestige type developments. This will focus on sites at Colburn Business Park and Walkerville Industrial Estate. At the same time a more flexible approach to the Colburndale mixed use site enables the creation of a neighbourhood centre adjacent to these areas offering further scope for other employment uses.
- 3.1.42 The removal of sites considered in the ELR (2012) with clear alternative uses leaves about 12 hectares of employment land, including the commitment at Scotch Corner. A reduction in the current supply of employment land enables a greater emphasis to be placed on existing employment areas at Gallowfields in Richmond, Harmby Road in Leyburn, the stables around Middleham and next to the A1 at Gatherley Road. Development in these places should seek to improve and consolidate each location and its infrastructure through a mix of appropriate uses, for example locating

Comment [m18]: M/3/SP5/05

transport related development near to the A1 at Gatherley Road. Periodic reviews will ensure that this strategic employment land capacity continues to be sufficient and relevant.

3.1.43 Further employment development can also be encouraged within, or if opportunities cannot be found, close to the town centres to help maintain a closer relationship between local homes and work places. This could include refurbishments or more intensive developments making better use of upper floors while respecting the quality of the town centres. ~~Neither of the northern A1 junctions at Scotch Corner and Barton relate well to the Spatial Principle SP2 settlement hierarchy, therefore further development will not be supported here beyond that already committed.~~

3.1.44 In addition to appropriate motorway related development and existing key employment areas, small scale economic development in Primary and Secondary Service Villages which meets local needs will be supported reflecting the role of these settlements in Spatial Principle SP2. Beyond these villages, again reflecting the intentions of Spatial Principle SP3, small scale limited development would be appropriate to support rural sustainability subject to satisfying important environmental considerations in these areas.

Comment [j19]: M/3/SP5/03

Figure 4: Spatial Principle 5: Employment Distribution





Figure 5: Key Diagram



Spatial Principle SP5: Scale and Distribution of Economic Development

Employment development should secure diverse economic improvement within the high quality environment. The Council, its partners and service providers will ensure that 12 hectares of land for employment development, excluding military related needs, are brought forward in the period to 2028 to sustain the economy of the plan area.

Major employment development will be encouraged on sites in the Colburn area

Support will be given for:

- development which enables the consolidation and improvement of the existing employment areas at the following key employment locations:
 - Colburn
 - Gallowfields Estate, Richmond
 - Gatherley Road, Brompton on Swale
 - Harmby Road, Leyburn
 - Stables around Middleham
- military related development on sites within the Catterick Garrison main site and Marne Barracks
- appropriate town centre business and tourism uses
- small scale development elsewhere to meet local employment needs

The complete loss of existing employment sites will be resisted where suitable alternative locations do not exist.

Appropriate economic development opportunities related to the A1 upgraded junctions at Catterick Central, Scotch Corner and Barton will be considered subject to a detailed appraisal of their requirements to link directly with the strategic road network, the feasibility of this link and local conditions that exist in these locations.

Formatted: List Bullet 4, Indent: Left: 3.6 pt


Comment [j20]: M/3/SP5/04

Formatted: Font: 11 pt, Bold

Spatial Strategy Summary and Key Diagram

3.1.45 The spatial approach of the Core Strategy is summarised in the Key Diagram (see Figure 5 opposite). This shows how the scale and distribution of development conforms to the local context set out in this Chapter.

Figure 6: Central Richmondshire Sub Area



Sub Area Strategies

3.2 Central Richmondshire

3.2.1 Central Richmondshire is defined by the River Swale and higher ground rising to the north and south; and has a population of around ~~31,000~~ 31,040 people, which is about two thirds of the plan area. The major settlements are Richmond and the Garrison Area, incorporating Colburn, Scotton and Hipswell and the Army's Catterick Garrison main site. The A1 runs through the east of the area, where there are the three large villages of Catterick Village, Brompton on Swale and Scotton. Good road links connect this area to Darlington in the Tees Valley City Region. Darlington exerts influence as the sub-regional centre serving a wide rural hinterland extending over Central Richmondshire and is reflected in housing market and travel to work areas.

Comment [j1]: M/3/CRSS/01

3.2.2 Richmond is the historic centre of Richmondshire with an estimated population of ~~8,410~~ 8,140. It has an active community and has benefited from a sustained renaissance strategy led by the Richmond and Swale Valley Community Initiative (RSVCI). It has two important cultural centres - the Georgian Theatre and the recently renovated Station, which also provides a range of other activities including business. Richmond is performing only adequately as a retail centre. All areas around Richmond have been assessed for new development, and strategic scale development has been ruled out because of environmental and historic constraints, with the river to the south, archaeological interests to the east and landscape and topography issues elsewhere. This would not exclude some limited opportunities for small scale development within or on the edge of the town. As a result, the development needs of Richmond will be mainly met in Catterick Garrison with some smaller scale development in the nearby Primary Service Villages.

Comment [j2]: M/3/CRSS/01

3.2.3 Catterick Garrison is a complicated place where the three villages of Hipswell, Scotton and Colburn, plus the Catterick Garrison main site have coalesced. It has a total population of around ~~16,200~~ 15,000. Despite its larger population Catterick Garrison has lacked a distinct centre. One is now emerging around Gough Road and Richmond Road, with the recently completed library and leisure centre, the Richmondshire Walk development including Tesco, and neighbouring independent retail and commercial facilities. The proposed Garrison Town Centre development adds further direction to future growth towards a stronger modern centre to the benefit of the whole District, offering a range of services and facilities for which there is only limited scope in Richmond and elsewhere. Catterick Garrison has an attractive setting based on a strong network of wooded green spaces, public footpaths and cycleways, which also act as biodiversity corridors. Outside the centre the village identities remain distinct, as do the military areas.

Comment [j3]: M/3/CRSS/01

3.2.4 The Catterick Garrison main military site was established in 1915 and is now home to over 7,500 personnel and their families, giving a total military population of about 10,500. This population is unusual in rural North Yorkshire. Its age structure is younger as a result of the significant military presence and large numbers of personnel live in communal military accommodation. National military policy seeks to enable personnel and their families to settle near to their base, and in support of this the Catterick Garrison Long Term Development Plan (CGLTDP, 2008) aims for:

a community which integrates military and civilian communities in an environmentally sustainable way, and which encourages social and economic development.

Military related development in Catterick Garrison is driven by national defence policy and is, to a large extent, independent of local conditions apart from the local capacity for development. CGLTDP has been superseded by the Army Basing Plan (2013) which envisages a set of unit movements contributing to overall army modernisation up to 2017, but with no significant change in the overall military presence. CGLTDP identifies the potential for the military presence to expand in Richmondshire by a maximum of five units. The circumstances under which it was written have changed with the national Security and Defence Review (SDR, 2010) and detail plans arising from this will still need to reflect the local context when published.

Comment [j4]: M/3/CRSS/02

Central Richmondshire Spatial Strategy (CRSS)


3.2.5. The Central Richmondshire Spatial Strategy (CRSS) addresses the challenges facing this area: sustaining and strengthening Richmond; improving Catterick Garrison to create a modern vibrant centre; accommodating growth; adapting to military change and creating a wider range of more integrated opportunities in Central Richmondshire for work, housing, leisure and shopping which reduce the need to travel to more distant centres. It takes its direction from the Core Strategy's Spatial Principles (figure 7) as the sub area for the largest amount of new development. Most of this will take place in Catterick Garrison, reflecting the opportunities for growth and constraints in the two Principal Towns. Some 79% of housing development (2,410 houses) in the plan area is expected to be delivered in this sub area. Provision is also made for the development of military related facilities and 500 additional service family homes if required to enable the implementation of national military strategy locally. In addition, provision is made for expansion of military related facilities and 1,440 service families' houses, to enable military development (if required) to accommodate an additional four military units above the current complement in 2011.

Comment [j5]: M/3/CRSS/02

3.2.6. The strategy for Central Richmondshire is focused on the important and related roles of Richmond and Catterick Garrison and the balance to be struck between accommodating the growth that provides for the improvement in quality of life for the District and protection of its historical and natural assets. The historic centre of Richmond, and Catterick Garrison's growing centre, are just two miles apart and this close relationship presents some unique opportunities. The principle of their complementary growth has been long established, and was renewed in the Council's Local Strategy Statement (2011). Together they can provide a range of services and facilities that neither town could aspire to on its own, while recognising the impact of development in one on the other. By 2028, Richmond and Catterick Garrison

will be distinct but complementary Principal Towns offering a wide range of sustainable services, housing choices, employment and enhanced retail and leisure opportunities.

Figure 7: Central Richmondshire Spatial Strategy



3.2.7 Historic Richmond will be an important centre offering opportunities for enhanced shopping, jobs, recreation and leisure provision, as well as providing improved educational and social benefits to the local population. Richmond's high quality local environment will be protected and enhanced and attract a growing visitor economy. The plan will support this national historic jewel as a living town, protecting and enhancing its unique heritage, character and setting. Support will also be given to a broad range of commercial and retail functions in the town centre and the strengthening and diversification of the town's retail offer, including but not limited to the development of specialist retail, cultural and tourism service and administrative roles. In the absence of a capacity for strategic development in Richmond, small scale opportunities for new housing and employment development to strengthen and sustain its Principal Town role will be sought within, and on the edge of, its built-up areas.

Small scale development in Richmond and Catterick Garrison predominantly comprises sites of 10 units or less and for all other settlements 4 or less.

Comment [j6]: M/3/CRSS/03

3.2.8 Catterick Garrison will provide excellent services, facilities and high quality development to thriving communities, bringing together the opportunities for development resulting from the District's growth needs together with the changing military context. At its heart will be a vibrant town centre serving retail, commercial, social and community needs, which is well connected to its diverse communities. New development will integrate with and respond to the area's network of green spaces, serving to enhance and retain its distinct and separate character and sense of place. The physical opportunities and availability of land in this area enable the provision of goods and services at a scale that cannot be met within Richmond, enhancing the district's retail offer and retention of local expenditure lost from the district, particularly for comparison goods. The scale and nature of development in Catterick Garrison envisaged in the Core Strategy, offers opportunities for renewable and low carbon energy development. The Local Renewable and Low Carbon Energy Capacity Study (2012) for the plan area will inform the Council's requirements for new development in this area and, in accordance with Core Policy CP1, requires new development of sufficient scale to investigate district heating opportunities.

3.2.9 The Catterick Garrison town centre development provides the key focus for strategic housing and economic development in Richmondshire. The constraints and opportunities for new development have been assessed for all areas around Catterick Garrison (Hipswell, Scotton and Colburn: Development Search Areas and Strategic Directions of Development, 2011). This assessment supports a 'town centre first' strategy, which prioritises development within the built-up area close to the town centre, preferably on previously developed land, and extending south eastwards from there ultimately into an area of greenfield land. A strategic growth area is defined (Figure 8), which is well related to the existing landscape and complements the existing settlement pattern. This area benefits from several access points to the existing road network and is not seriously affected by flooding. The existing green corridors alongside Sour Beck would be maintained by high quality and well landscaped development and strategic flood risk assessment of development proposals will be required to ensure flood risk issues in the area are fully investigated and provided for. Fig 8 indicates the general direction of strategic growth and, although not definitive, provides guidance for the identification of strategic development sites that are

well related to this area. Development areas will be formally defined in the Delivering Development Plan through specific land use and settlement development limit policies. Prior to the allocation of land in the Delivering Development Plan, the Council will consider development proposals that are well related to this area and contribute to the overall strategy for Catterick Garrison.

Comment [j7]: M/3/CRSS/04

Figure 8: Strategic Development Growth Area - Richmond & Catterick Garrison

[Revised Figure 8 Key Diagram]

~~*development in this area will be on a limited number of sites and will not cover the whole extent.~~

Comment [j8]: M/3/CRSS/05

3.2.10 The roles of the town centres of Richmond and Catterick Garrison are further defined in Core Policy CP9: Supporting Town and Local Centres, ~~and the scale and type of town centre developments in the Garrison Area will be determined in the Delivering Development Plan. Prior to the preparation of that Plan, planning applications for C~~commercial development in both the Garrison Area and Richmond will be expected to address the potential consequences upon the other centre in line with Core Policy CP9.

Comment [j9]: M/3/CRSS/06

3.2.11 The overall approach places the greatest emphasis for development on Colburn, Scotton and Hipswell and to a lesser extent on the villages near the A1. This places high demands on the infrastructure in this area and the timely delivery of necessary infrastructure improvements to support the growth will be critical. The Catterick Garrison Strategic Transport Assessment (2011) demonstrates that the scale of development, including potential military requirements, is feasible subject to necessary junction upgrades to maintain local highway network capacity. Increased capacity in schools, waste water treatment and water mains upgrading are all addressed in the Infrastructure Delivery Plan (See Chapter 5).

3.2.12 Reflecting the approach proposed in Spatial Principle SP2, Brompton on Swale, Catterick Village and Scorton are Primary Service Villages with services supplementing those provided in larger towns and supporting the rural population. They have some scope for development, which will be assessed in the context of focusing on new, or the maintenance of existing levels of services in partnership with other providers, and subject to infrastructure, environmental and historic constraints. Outside these villages, again reflecting the intentions of Spatial Principles SP2 and SP3, smaller scale limited development could also be appropriate, if it is in accord with the Core Strategy.

Central Richmondshire Spatial Strategy (CRSS)

Support will be given for:

Development which strengthens and sustains Richmond within its significant environmental and infrastructure constraints and is:

1. Sympathetic town centre development of commercial, retail, cultural, leisure and tourism uses at an appropriate scale, form and location which protects and enhances:

- a. its role as Principal Town and historical centre of Richmondshire;**
- b. the town centre offer and its vitality and viability;**
- c. the provision of services and facilities which can be shared with Catterick Garrison;**

- d. the high quality physical environment;
- e. the key views of the town and its setting; and
- f. sustainable transport connections between the two centres of Richmond and the Garrison Area.

2. Small scale, high quality, new housing development in sustainable locations, which protects and enhances:
- a. the unique historical heritage of the town;
 - b. the exceptional high quality landscape setting of the town;
 - c. the key views of the town and its setting; and
 - d. other significant environmental assets, including archaeology and nature conservation designations.

3. Employment development at Gallowfields Trading Estate, which:
- a. promotes an appropriate range of non-town centre uses complementary to Richmond town centre;
 - b. secures improvements in the stock and condition of existing premises and the visual amenity of the site as a whole;
 - c. encourages reduced heavy goods vehicle movements;
 - d. protects and enhances the setting and views of the unique historical heritage of the town, its landscape setting and other significant environmental assets including archaeology and nature conservation designations; and
 - e. limits further expansion to land to the north east of Racecourse Road.

The development of sustainable and accessible brownfield sites within the town will be prioritised above encouraged in preference to edge of settlement sites.

Comment [j10]: M/3/CRSS/07

Development will not be allowed where infrastructure constraints cannot be satisfactorily addressed by the proposed development, including highways and drainage in Richmond.

Development which supports the strategic growth of Catterick Garrison and helps to create a diverse and cohesive town of urban villages set within a strong green infrastructure setting, including:

4. Town centre development, including the provision of major comparison goods retailing, in the location indicated in Figure 8, which:
- a. creates a balanced and thriving town centre with excellent services and facilities
 - b. protects and enhances the vitality and viability of the Catterick Garrison town centre and its Principal Town role
 - c. provides for District and local retail needs
 - d. enables the provision of services and facilities which can be shared with Richmond
 - e. integrates with local communities
 - f. provides the required transportation infrastructure and

- improves sustainable transport connections with neighbouring communities and between the two centres of Richmond and Catterick Garrison; and
- g. provides high quality development and public realm.

5. High quality new housing and employment development, **which pending the Delivering Development Plan, is well related to the strategic direction of growth indicated in Figure 8, and; within the strategic growth area defined in Figure 8 which:**

- a. **encourages** prioritises existing vacant and previously developed sites in the built-up area, south east from Catterick Garrison town centre first, subject to the demonstrable availability and deliverability of sites;
- b. enhances the distinctive identities of Hipswell, Scotton and Colburn;
- c. promotes a neighbourhood centre in Colburn;
- d. protects and enhances the green infrastructure of the area;
- e. incorporates low carbon and renewable energy design, integrated with existing and planned development informed by the Local Renewable and Low Carbon Energy Capacity Study
- f. provides the required improvements to the A6136 phased with the delivery of new development as set out in the Infrastructure Delivery Plan;
- g. provides **improved accessibility for public transport and non-motorised users** ~~increased pedestrian and cyclist accessibility~~ within the built-up area, particularly between the new development, town centre and social and recreational facilities;
- h. enhances the existing employment areas in Colburn;
- i. supports integration of military and non military communities; and
- j. enables the operation of existing or future military units and provides for military personnel and their families at Catterick Garrison main site.

Comment [j11]: M/3/CRSS/08

Comment [j12]: M/3/CRSS/09

Comment [j13]: M/3/CRSS/08

Development in the Primary Service Villages of Brompton on Swale, Catterick Village and Scorton which:

- a. maintains and enhances the Primary Service Village roles of these three villages;
- b. facilitates the continued development of the Gatherley Road residential area and its integration with Brompton on Swale
- c. consolidates and enhances the Gatherley Road employment area particularly for general industry and logistics, reflecting its location in relation to the A1 and the growth areas of the plan and secures improvements to the stock and condition of existing premises and infrastructure;
- d. enables the operation of existing or future military units and provides for military personnel and their families at Marne Barracks;
- e. enhances the tourism and recreational potential of Catterick Racecourse subject to ensuring that it respects


- the character and heritage value of the Catteractonium Scheduled Ancient Monument;
- f. protects and enhances the provision of local facilities;
- g. is of an appropriate scale, location and design; and
- h. is on existing vacant and previously developed sites in the built-up area as far as reasonably practicable.

Development will be considered at the new Catterick Central junction on the upgraded A1 subject to Spatial Principle SP5.

Development outside of the settlement hierarchy, which meets identified local needs in accordance with the Core Strategy.

Comment [j14]: M3/CRSS/010

Figure 8: Strategic Development Growth Area - Richmond and Catterick Garrison



RICHMOND
SHIRE
DISTRICT COUNCIL

Reproduced by permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of HMSO. © Crown copyright and database right 2012. Ordnance Survey Licence number 100018555.


Strategic Direction of Growth Area		Ministry of Defence Training Areas		Water Courses	
Settlement Built Form		Major Military Areas		Key Woodlands/Tree Groups	
Main Roads		Road Junctions with Problems		Nature Conservation Areas	
Secondary Roads		Town Centres		Historic Areas	
				Important Views	

3.3 Lower Wensleydale

- 3.3.1 Lower Wensleydale is an attractive rural area rich in environmental and historic heritage bordering the Yorkshire Dales National Park. It has a strong local identity focused on Leyburn. This area has provided the gateway for travellers heading west through the Dales, with Middleham Castle and Jervaulx Abbey reminders of its historic significance. It is also an agricultural area with a strong horse racing tradition.
- 3.3.2 Its main settlements are Leyburn and Middleham, which provide a central focus for several smaller villages. Leyburn is identified as a Local Service Centre, serving a population of approximately ~~8,000~~ ~~7,000~~ people, of which about ~~2,550~~ ~~2,110~~ reside in Leyburn itself (2011 ~~population estimates~~ Census). It is a market town, central to the local agricultural economy and also a popular stop for travellers on the route across the northern Pennines. This traditional role has now evolved into the town becoming a visitor gateway to Wensleydale and the neighbouring dales within the Yorkshire Dales National Park.
- 3.3.3 Leyburn sits at a crossroads and operates as a hub for public transport in the Lower Wensleydale area. Access to the area may be improved by the upgrading works to the A1 and also through the Wensleydale Railway as it progresses towards Northallerton. Leyburn's connections favour west-east travel along Wensleydale towards the Vale of Mowbray. The reinstatement of the Wensleydale Railway could provide an alternative to car transport locally and aims to reconnect with the national rail network in the future.
- 3.3.4 An attractive rural environment helps to sustain the strongest housing market in the plan area. This creates affordability issues and limits available workforce. An ageing population and the lowest household income in the District may impede the longer term vitality of this area. Although Lower Wensleydale is well located for tourism, research indicates that the majority of tourists are day visitors.
- 3.3.5 Local employment is closely related to supplying the needs of this rural area. Agriculture and land-based businesses are numerous and Leyburn supports a number of light industrial firms. The horse racing industry is prominent around Middleham and is estimated to contribute an additional £3.1m into the local economy from an annual turnover of the stables of £12.7m (Lower Wensleydale Study, 2009).

Comment [j1]: M/3/LWSS/0
1

Figure 9: Lower Wensleydale Sub Area



Lower Wensleydale Spatial Strategy (LWSS)

- 3.3.6 The Lower Wensleydale Spatial Strategy (LWSS) seeks to enhance the strong identities and community relationships in the sub area. Although it is a large rural area, the towns of Leyburn and Middleham create a strong focus for all but the eastern most part of the area. This focus also extends further up Wensleydale into the Yorkshire Dales National Park.
- 3.3.7 Rural communities and the rural economy are not restricted to the main centres of population. The village schools in Spennithorne and Hunton extend the network of local communities into the more rural areas and the spatial strategy seeks to retain these important local services. Small scale development is also expected in these areas and will include the conversion of existing but redundant buildings to enable flexibility for local housing and business needs.
- 3.3.8 The LWSS expresses the approach to development growth in this sub area. In Lower Wensleydale, 12% (365 dwellings) of the District's housing growth requirement will need to be delivered. The approach has been produced following the consideration of local conditions, local views and the expectations from national policy. It should be read in conjunction with the whole policy framework presented in the Local Plan Core Strategy. Other policies will detail how, for example, elements of future development should proceed; how the quality of the local environment should be enhanced; and how affordable housing should be provided.
- 3.3.9 In accordance with Policy CP4 Supporting Sites for Development, broad locational guidance for new development is considered appropriate at this stage to help facilitate growth and so as not to cause delays to developments. Within Leyburn there is limited scope for new housing and employment, but where opportunities do exist, these should remain the priority and focus for new development as they are most sustainable. All areas around the town have been assessed for new development in the context of the constraints identified.
- 3.3.10 The preferred area for housing is identified to the north and north west of the town as this area is relatively close to the town centre and schools, is poorer quality agricultural land and has good vehicular access. It will have minimal impact on the town's character and the surrounding countryside. The creation of new footpath routes through the grounds of Thornborough Hall will be important to help better link the north western housing area to Leyburn's town centre. This broad area for housing growth in Leyburn is identified in Figure 11.

Figure 10: Lower Wensleydale Spatial Strategy





Figure 11: Strategic Development Growth Area – Leyburn



- 3.3.11 Given the limited take up of available employment land within Leyburn, there is not a need to identify a new area for employment expansion for the settlement within this Sub Area Strategy. However, new industry and commerce is considered to be most suited to the south east of the town and there are various existing opportunities for development in this area. It will be important to balance these development opportunities with the need to protect the separation of Leyburn and Harmby.

Lower Wensleydale Spatial Strategy (LWSS)

The Spatial Strategy for the Lower Wensleydale sub area comprises:

Local Service Centre - Leyburn:

- support will be given to maintaining the vitality and viability of the town centre, through encouragement of further appropriate retail, business and tourism uses, environmental and infrastructure improvements, and developments associated with the expansion of the evening economy
- support will be given to Leyburn's role as a gateway settlement to the Yorkshire Dales
- the scale and location of further housing and employment development in the town will reflect its role in the sub area including serving the Yorkshire Dales National Park
- the preferred direction of strategic growth for housing is in the area to the north and north west of the town
- development should incorporate low carbon and renewable energy design integrated with existing and planned development informed by the Local Renewable and Low Carbon Energy Capacity Study for the plan area
- the preference for new development is on existing vacant and previously developed sites in the built-up area,
- the separation of Leyburn from the nearby settlement of Harmby will be maintained
- support will be given to mixed use development but the complete loss of existing employment sites will be resisted
- retention and enhancement of the auction market will be encouraged, reflecting the importance of the facility to the town
- support will be given to tourism and operational developments associated with the operation of the Wensleydale Railway
- development of arts and workshop space for creative industries and provision of further cultural facilities will be encouraged

Primary Service Village - Middleham:

- support will be given to the Primary Service Village role of Middleham although environmental constraints suggest that there is limited potential for additional development beyond its existing built confines
- support will be given to developments and infrastructure related to tourism and the horse racing industry, including priority towards the retention of existing facilities, within the settlement and in the surrounding area

Secondary Service Villages - Harmby – Spennithorne – Finghall (cluster) and Hunton:

- **small scale and limited development may be acceptable in these Secondary Service Villages where it supports the social and economic needs and sustainability of the local community**

Elsewhere in the rural parts of the sub area, sustainable development in accordance with Spatial Principle SP3 will be supported, particularly development which reflects the sub area's role as an entry point and provides for the needs of the adjacent part of Richmondshire in the Yorkshire Dales National Park.

3.4 North Richmondshire

3.4.1 The North Richmondshire area sits in a triangle formed by Richmond, Barnard Castle and Darlington. The landscape extends from the Tees Lowlands in the east to an extensive area of moorland in the west. The western part has a major military training area and borders the Yorkshire Dales National Park. The River Tees runs along a major part of its northern boundary.

3.4.2 The A1 and A66 trunk routes converge at Scotch Corner in the middle of this area. The ease of communications provided by the A1, A66 and A167 place most of this area in the Darlington travel to work and housing market areas.

3.4.3 About ~~7,400~~ **7,600** people live in this area. Their age profile is older than the District as a whole, and has been influenced by migration from the Tees Valley and the loss of younger people through education, work and housing opportunities.

Comment [j1]: M/3/NRSS/01

3.4.4 Settlements in this area are more closely aligned to Tees Valley from Barnard Castle to Darlington along the A66, A1 and A167 routes. The largest settlements are Barton ~~(810)~~ **(840)**, Melsonby ~~(760)~~ **(735)** and Middleton Tyas ~~(560)~~ **(580)**, but there is no clear local service centre for the whole area. The recently revised Settlement Facilities Study (RDC, 2011) shows that none of the villages in the North Richmondshire sub-area have more than one shop each, but this area does have seven primary schools and eleven village halls, suggesting a dispersed pattern of active settlements.

Comment [j2]: M/3/NRSS/01


3.4.5 An attractive rural environment in North Richmondshire sustains a strong housing market that creates affordability issues and limits the available workforce. The area operates in the Darlington housing market. The Index of Multiple Deprivation (IMD 2007) records the limited range of services and the difficulty of access to the housing market in this area. All wards are in the bottom quartile for access to services and barriers to housing and the most extreme is in the bottom two per cent.

3.4.6 Agriculture is the dominant land use, but mineral extraction has also had an impact, particularly at Forcett and Barton. There are important parkland areas such as those at Forcett Hall and Aske Hall and the Iron Age fortifications at Stanwick Camp are of national archaeological importance.

3.4.7 Employment within the area is predominantly agricultural. The tourism sector includes a number of high quality hotels and Croft Circuit motor racing track. There are few employment centres. The development of the Aske Hall business units near to Richmond and other smaller units across the sub area take advantage of the area's good communications and this has promoted diversification in this rural area. Dalton Gates is a small industrial estate on the site of a former wartime airfield five miles from Darlington. Although well located for both the A1 and A66, only a small amount of employment development has taken place at Scotch Corner. Planning permission was first granted for a major 7 hectare employment development next to Scotch Corner twenty years ago and remains a planning commitment but development has not yet started and little interest has been forthcoming. The A1 upgrade improves prospects for this site and motorway related development at both Scotch Corner and Barton junctions.


Comment [j3]: M/3/NRSS/02

Figure 12: North Richmondshire Sub Area



Note: North Richmondshire also includes Tan Hill to the west of the District (see Figure 1)

Figure 13: North Richmondshire Spatial Strategy



North Richmondshire Spatial Strategy (NRSS)

- 3.4.8 North Richmondshire is a large sub area, which does not have a clear functional focus. It is better understood in terms of the characteristics shared by its many settlements, their rural location, small size and reliance on larger settlements outside the area for a range of services including ones outside the District. The spatial strategy seeks to retain and enhance the existing range of services that help to sustain local communities. It does this by focussing an amount of available development into selected locations. It does not, however, discount the remaining settlements and addresses the need for appropriate but very small development in the most rural areas, mainly from the conversion of existing but redundant buildings.
- 3.4.9 The proposed North Richmondshire strategy also seeks to support a strengthened District centre (Richmond/Catterick Garrison) and regeneration in Darlington by constraining development in the sub area, particularly near the boundary with Darlington.
- 3.4.10 The North Richmondshire Spatial Strategy has been produced after consideration of local conditions, local views and the expectations from national policy. It should be read in conjunction with the whole framework presented in the Core Strategy. Other policies will detail how, for example, elements of future development should proceed, how the quality of the local environment should be enhanced and how affordable housing should be provided.
- 3.4.11 There are few settlements with capacity for major growth in North Richmondshire. The housing target for this area (285) reflects its pattern of smaller settlements and the aim to reduce further potential out-commuting to the Tees Valley.

North Richmondshire Spatial Strategy (NRSS)

Growth in North Richmondshire will be modest and development will be small in scale, reflecting the role of the Sub Area and its relationship to Darlington.

In the Primary Service Villages of Middleton Tyas, Barton and Melsonby support will be given for:

- **the Primary Service Village roles of these three villages, which whilst relatively separate share a close geographical relationship to the A1 and A66, and to the limited employment opportunities associated with these routes;**
- **consolidation of the existing and committed employment development at Scotch Corner, with no further expansion onto undeveloped land;**
- **the approved A1:Barton junction Service Area proposal only.**

Comment [j4]: M/3/NRSS/03

In the Secondary Service Villages of Newsham – Ravensworth – Dalton (cluster), Eppleby – Caldwell – Aldbrough (cluster), North Cowton and Gilling West:

- **small scale and a modest level of development may be acceptable where it supports the social and economic needs and sustainability of the local community.**

Elsewhere in the rural parts of the Sub Area, sustainable development in accordance with Spatial Principle SP3 will be supported.

Priority will be given to:

- **consolidation of the existing and committed employment development at Scotch Corner, with no further expansion onto undeveloped land;**
- **the approved A1:Barton junction Service Area proposal**
- **appropriate motorway related development will be considered subject to Spatial Principle SP5**

Comment [j5]: M/3/NRSS/03

CHAPTER 4: Core Policies

- | 4.1.1 Taking forward the Spatial Strategy identified in Chapter 3, this chapter proposes a number of Core Policies which provide strategic direction and translate the vision, strategic objectives and spatial principles within the Local Plan Core Strategy into courses of action.
- | 4.1.2 The Core Policies (CP0 1 – CP14) provide more detail to the strategic approach to and delivery of development proposed within the Local Plan Core Strategy for the Richmondshire Plan Area throughout the plan period to 2028. The Core Policies also aim to ensure that the strategic approach to development responds to local needs and respects local conditions.

Core Policy CP01: Planning Positively

Comment [m1]: M/4/CP1/01

4.1.3 Richmondshire in 2028 is envisaged as a place where people value, understand, enjoy, respect and have pride in their environment, and where needs are met whilst sustaining and enhancing the natural as well as our built and historic environment. Underpinning this is the stated intention to achieve sustainability by ensuring that our actions balance and integrate the social, economic and environmental components of our communities and meet the needs of today without compromising the ability of future generations to meet theirs.

4.1.4 The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) advises that planning authorities should have a policy framework based on a presumption in favour of sustainable development and expects a clear policy on decision taking in the Local Plan to reflect this presumption. All policies within this document respond to this and guide how the presumption is to be applied locally. Therefore, this document and its policies should be read as a whole. To ensure that positive planning, and a presumption in favour of sustainable development, is delivered in the Richmondshire plan area, Core Policy CP01 sets out the approach the Council will take, working with developers and other partners, to achieve this in considering planning applications.

Comment [m2]: M/4/CP1/01

Core Policy CP01: Planning Positively

Comment [m3]: M/4/CP1/01

When considering development proposals, the Council will take a positive approach that reflects the presumption in favour of sustainable development contained in the National Planning Policy Framework. It will always work proactively with applicants jointly to find solutions which mean that proposals can be approved wherever possible, and to secure development that improves the economic, social and environmental conditions in the plan area.

Planning applications that accord with the policies in this Local Plan (and, where relevant, with policies in Neighbourhood Plans) will be approved without delay, unless material considerations indicate otherwise.

Where there are no policies relevant to the application or relevant policies are out of date at the time of making the decision then the Council will grant permission unless material considerations indicate otherwise – taking into account whether:

- i. any adverse impacts of granting planning permission would significantly and demonstrably outweigh the benefits, when assessed against the policies in the National Planning Policy Framework, taken as a whole; or**
- ii. specific policies in that Framework indicate that development should be restricted.**

Core Policy CP42: Responding to Climate Change

Comment [m1]: M/4/CP2/01

- 4.1.1 The importance of responding to Climate Change and reducing carbon emissions is embodied in the Strategic Vision and Strategic Objectives.
- 4.1.2 The National Planning Policy Framework states that Planning Authorities should adopt proactive strategies to mitigate and adapt to climate change in line with the Climate Change Act (2008). The Climate Change Act sets a legally binding target to reduce the UK's 1990 carbon emissions by 34% by 2020, 50% by 2025, and 80% by 2050. The UK Low Carbon Transition Plan sets out an approach to meeting national carbon saving targets. The UK is committed to supply 15% of gross energy consumption from renewable sources by 2020. The UK Renewable Energy Strategy anticipates that renewables will need to contribute around 30% of electricity supply, 12% of heating energy and 10% of transport energy to meet this target.
- 4.1.3 A 2011 Vantage Point carbon modelling assessment for Richmondshire revealed that the Council is unlikely to meet the national Climate Change interim target of 34% carbon reduction by 2020 (and 80% cut by 2050) simply through Central Government's Low Carbon Transition Plan actions alone.
- 4.1.4 To support the move to a low carbon future and in determining applications, the National Planning Policy Framework requires planning authorities to identify opportunities where development can draw its energy supply from decentralised, renewable or low carbon energy supply systems, plan for new development in locations and ways which reduce greenhouse gas emissions; and actively support energy efficiency improvements to existing buildings.

Core Policy CP42: Responding to Climate Change

Comment [m2]: M/4/CP2/01

1. Supporting Renewable and Low Carbon Energy Generation

The Local Planning Authority will support and encourage the generation of renewable and low carbon energy that:

- a. responds positively to the opportunities identified in the 'Richmondshire Local Renewable and Low Carbon Energy Capacity Study' (2012) and that study's Energy Opportunities Map;
- b. **satisfactorily addresses** ~~demonstrates that there will be no significant adverse effects~~ **landscape and visual impacts** on visual receptors or landscape character (particularly in relation to **including** cumulative impacts or impacts in the National Parks and Areas of Outstanding Natural Beauty arising from intervisibility) in accordance with the framework set out in 'Managing Landscape Change: Renewable & Low Carbon Energy Developments – A Sensitivity Framework of North Yorkshire and York' (2012), and
- c. demonstrates benefits for local communities.

Comment [m3]: M/4/CP2/03

2. Ensuring Carbon Savings

In accordance with the energy hierarchy all new development will be expected to make carbon savings by:

a. Existing Development – Consequential Improvements

~~When applications are made to extend dwellings the Council will seek to secure reasonable improvements to the energy performance of the dwelling. This will be in addition to the requirements under Part L of the Building Regulations for the changes for which planning permission is sought.~~

Comment [m4]: M/4/CP2/04

a. New Development

All new residential development will be expected to meet Code for Sustainable Homes Level 4; exceed the minimum level of the Code for Sustainable Homes or equivalent standards prevailing through Part L of building regulations at the time by achieving the highest level of Code for Sustainable Homes or equivalent standards that is feasible and viable on site;

Comment [m5]: M/4/CP2/05

~~All new non-residential development of 1000m² gross external floorspace or more will be expected to meet BREEAM very good~~

Comment [m6]: M/4/CP2/06

All new residential development of ten dwellings or more and non-residential development of 1000m² gross external floorspace will be expected to submit an energy statement that shows consideration of opportunities to deliver carbon savings in excess of Building Regulation requirements and to demonstrate that carbon savings have been maximised by incorporating these opportunities into design. Where greater carbon savings could be achieved through coordination and linking of infrastructure with neighbouring sites, this should be applied and demonstrated;

All new development of ten dwellings or more and non-residential development with a gross external floorspace of 1000m² within the Strategic Growth areas of Catterick Garrison (Figure 8) and Leyburn (Figure 11) which, pending its detailed definition in the Delivering Development Plan, is well related to the strategic direction of growth indicated in Figure 8, for Catterick Garrison and Figure 11, for Leyburn, will be required to demonstrate reasonable endeavours will be undertaken to actively contribute towards the development of a district heating network including:

Comment [j7]: M/4/CP2/10

- Establishing a new network onsite;
- Connecting to existing networks if/where available;
- Designing development to enable future connection.

b. Where carbon saving requirements are not feasible or viable

on- site, the Council will coordinate and accept contributions towards 'allowable solutions', or similar, to provide cost-effective carbon reduction and benefit communities elsewhere in the District.

3 Climate Change Adaptation

All new buildings development will be expected to be adaptable to climate change in terms of both its location and the specific design and layout of both buildings and associated external spaces. Accordingly, developers should have regard to the following: Development proposals should:

- a. Demonstrate how their design, orientation, materials and construction will minimise mechanical cooling needs and risk of overheating;
- b. Demonstrate how development will incorporate green infrastructure will be incorporated, including tree planting, green roofs and walls, and soft landscaping, where possible;
- c. ~~How development will be designed to minimise flood risk on-site and downstream of the development and~~ Be steered away from flood risk areas by adopting a sequential approach as set out in prevailing national guidance;
- d. Be designed to minimise flood risk on-site and elsewhere, by:
 - ~~How development will incorporate~~ Incorporating Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS) to minimise surface water flood risk, protect waterways and provide aesthetic and ecological benefits, unless they are demonstrated to be impracticable or they will pose an unacceptable pollution risk. SuDS should minimise surface water flood risk, protect waterways and provide aesthetic and ecological benefits;
 - Not building over or culverting watercourses unless it is to facilitate essential access and;
 - Encouraging the opening of existing culverts.
- e. Show how development will seek to minimise waste production.

Comment [m8]: M/4/CP2/07

Supporting Renewable and Low Carbon Energy Generation

- 4.1.5 To help increase the use and supply of renewable and low carbon energy, local planning authorities should recognise the responsibility on all communities to contribute to energy generation from renewable or low carbon sources and have a positive strategy and policies to promote and maximise energy from renewable and low carbon sources.
- 4.1.6 The 'Low Carbon and Renewable Energy Capacity in Yorkshire and Humber Study' (2011), provides a technical appraisal of the potential resources

available for use in renewable and low carbon energy generation. It highlighted that the main opportunity in Richmondshire for renewable electricity is from commercial scale wind energy (although severely constrained and outside of the National Park) and for renewable heat is from biomass. Smaller scale technologies, such as hydro, solar photovoltaics, solar thermal and heat pumps may also be able to make a significant contribution if widespread delivery is achieved.

4.1.7 It is also important to recognise that, although Richmondshire has the technical capacity for generating electricity and heat from renewable or low carbon resources, opportunities and constraints vary across the District. The 'Richmondshire Local Renewable and Low Carbon Energy Capacity Study' (2012) builds on the regional study to develop an Energy Opportunities Map (EOM) for the District (outside of the National Park). It identifies areas where there are specific physical, social and environmental constraints that would restrict renewable and low carbon energy delivery, and also identifies areas where there might be specific spatial opportunities; such as delivery of infrastructure accompanying strategic growth. Although the deployment of renewable energy will not be precluded outside these areas, it is expected that the EOM represents the most promising areas for renewable and low carbon energy generation. Larger scale copies of the Energy Opportunities Map are available where required and are accessible on the Councils website. ~~The study demonstrates that, due to the scale of potential for renewable electricity in Richmondshire, the Plan Area should seek to exceed the 30% national target for renewable electricity generation by 2020, equating to 74GWh, locally. National Planning Practice Guidance (Paragraph: 003 Reference ID: 5-003-20140306) states that "Whilst local authorities should design their policies to maximise renewable and low carbon energy development, there is no quota which the Local Plan has to deliver". The plan will therefore seek to maximise the development of the opportunities identified for renewable electricity generation. This will contribute to the achievement of the UK's legal commitments to cut greenhouse gas emissions and meet increased energy demand from renewable sources.~~

Comment [m9]: M/4/CP2/02

4.1.8 ~~There is also significant resource for generating heat through from renewable fuels. Delivery of the infrastructure to distribute this heat is however potentially more onerous. The greatest opportunity to maximise this potential comes from developing a district heating network coordinated with delivery of strategic development around Catterick Garrison. If in delivering The delivery of 1900 general housing need homes and the 440 500 MoD service families' homes in this area, presents a significant opportunity for all new homes could to obtain their heat from a District Heating Network. then the delivery of around 43GWh 12% of heat from renewable energy, 43GWh, would be obtainable. Although it is acknowledged that this presents The delivery of this project does however present considerable coordination challenges which would need to be addressed in order for it to be developed. should Richmondshire seek to meet this target.~~

Comment [m10]: M/4/CP2/08

4.1.9 The Sensitivity Framework developed within the 'Managing Landscape Change: Renewable & Low Carbon Energy Developments – A Sensitivity Framework of North Yorkshire and York' (2012) will be used in conjunction with the 'Richmondshire Local Renewable and Low Carbon Energy Capacity Study' (2012) to guide assessment of all applications for renewable electricity and heat production installations. Commercial scale proposals for low carbon

Comment [m11]: M/4/CP2/08

and renewable energy schemes that respond favourably to the opportunities and **satisfactorily address the** sensitivities identified in these documents and which meet the Spatial Principles and Core Policies, will be encouraged and supported. However long distant views of and intervisibility between adjacent nationally important landscapes in the Yorkshire Dales National Park to the west and North Yorkshire Moors National Park to the east, together with international nature conservation designations, means that all the plan area is judged to be at least medium landscape and visual sensitivity. It rises to high sensitivity in the upland areas bordering the Yorkshire Dales National Park. Therefore potential for larger scale technologies and multiple schemes are constrained.

Comment [m12]: M/4/CP2/0
3

4.1.10 Development proposals should take in to account specific planning considerations for renewable and low carbon energy development identified by the National Planning Practice Guidance (NPPG).

Comment [m13]: M/4/CP2/0
9

4.1.11 In accordance with the energy hierarchy all new development will be expected to make carbon savings by:

- lean use of energy,
- clean efficient energy supply, and
- use of green renewable and decentralised energy.

Existing Development – Consequential Improvements

4.1.10 It is estimated that 80% of buildings in the UK will still be in use by 2050. As such, it is important that these buildings use energy in the most efficient way. The 'Richmondshire Local Renewable and Low Carbon Energy Capacity Study' (2012) highlights that buildings across significant parts of the District have comparatively high heating demand, indicating that there are opportunities to increase energy efficiency. Furthermore, there are a significant proportion of homes that are not connected to the gas network, and are instead reliant on more carbon-intensive means of heating by using electricity, oil or coal. As such, the Council will support and encourage consequential improvements to properties when applications for extensions are made. Since consequential improvements for non-domestic buildings are required for the Building Regulations this policy focuses solely on housing.

4.1.11 The Council will support homeowners in delivering efficiency improvements by identifying financial support initiatives that are applicable to the proposed energy efficiency measures and likely to pay for themselves in energy cost savings in less than seven years. Support from the Council would be via identification of financial initiatives and grants to householder. A flexible approach will be applied when dealing with listed buildings or buildings in conservation areas. In cases where improvements cannot be made to an existing dwelling without causing harm to the significance of a heritage asset it may be suitable, as an alternative, to investigate whether specific measures could be incorporated that would help safeguard the asset from harm arising as a result of climate change. Ensuring the safeguarding of older dwellings can often be a more sustainable option than allowing their demolition and rebuilding of new dwellings to modern standards due to the CO2 emissions that would result from the demolition/construction process.

4.1.12 The Council will prepare a Supplementary Planning Document to set out the policy and procedure which will be applied to all householder applications for planning applications to extend their homes. Therefore this requirement of

Core Policy CP1 will not be fully implemented until the Supplementary Planning Document is adopted. It will seek to make the most of any straightforward opportunities for improvement that exist by working with householders. The SPD will set out the types of improvements; they may include, but not be restricted to, loft and cavity wall insulation, draught-proofing, improved heating controls and replacement boilers. The SPD will include a checklist which applicants will be asked to complete to identify which measures are appropriate to their home. The SPD will ensure reasonableness and proportionality.

Comment [m14]: M/4/CP2/04

New Development

- 4.1.11 New development across Richmondshire will add to energy demands and could have wider environmental consequences. Sustainable development and good environmental performance is a priority for Richmondshire, and all new residential development will be expected to meet a minimum of Code for Sustainable Homes Level 4 or equivalent exceed the minimum level of the Code for Sustainable Homes or equivalent standards prevailing through Part L of building regulations at the time by achieving the highest level of Code for Sustainable Homes or equivalent standards that is feasible and viable on site. Similarly, for non-domestic buildings the Local Planning Authority will expect BREEAM (2011) Very Good (and Defence Related Environmental Assessment Methodology (DREAM) for MoD development). In addition to providing a framework for assessing the energy credits, the Code for Sustainable Homes and BREEAM will also deliver wider sustainability performance of buildings. The Richmondshire Affordable Housing Viability Assessment (2011) demonstrates that there is likely to be capacity in property values to maintain viability while incorporating cost uplifts associated with higher standards. In addition during the seven year period 2004 – 2011, 23% of planning permissions granted were on sites for single dwellings, with an average of 57 per annum. The majority of these were in the villages and smaller settlements. If it is considered that the application of these standards in excess of the minimum would make development unviable, the developer will be expected to demonstrate this through an open-book approach.

Comment [m15]: M/4/CP2/05

Comment [m16]: M/4/CP2/06

Comment [m17]: M/4/CP2/05

4.1.12 The Council will also have regard to any potential environmental impacts arising from proposed measures, particularly when assessing measures impacting upon historic and environmental assets, for example listed buildings.

4.1.13 Larger scale developments can often utilise economies of scale to deliver greater carbon savings. As such, developments over ten dwellings or 1000m2 will be expected to submit an Energy Statement demonstrating how policy will be met and examining opportunities for achieving greater carbon savings.

4.1.14 The Leyburn and Catterick Garrison Strategic Growth Areas which have been identified as having the greatest potential for establishing a district heating network and/or a combined heat and power network. For residential and non-residential developments proposed in these areas, developers will be required to investigate the potential to deliver and/or actively contribute towards or connect to the network, and to implement these plans unless it can be shown to be technically or financially unviable. The Council will act to coordinate delivery of a network in this area and will facilitate the involvement of Energy Service Companies (ESCo) where suitable. Preference will be given to schemes that also capitalise on using biomass as a fuel source.

4.1.15 Proposed changes to Part L of the Building Regulations will increase energy efficiency and reduce carbon associated with new development. Where new development is unable to meet required carbon reduction levels, developers can contribute to 'allowable solutions' which reduce carbon elsewhere. The Council aims to coordinate and assess 'allowable solutions' to ensure that they will deliver cost-efficient carbon reduction and wider benefits in the District and may make provisions for them in the Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL).

4.1.16 It is the responsibility of the applicant to demonstrate they have investigated all options to maximise energy savings. Proposals which do not do so will be required to demonstrate that it is not feasible or viable or that there would be significant adverse environmental impacts as a consequence of the above requirements which could not be satisfactorily mitigated or compensated.

Climate Change Adaptation and Flood Risk Mitigation

4.1.17 Although the measures highlighted above will help to reduce future carbon emissions, emissions already released have already contributed to continuing climate change. As such, it is recognised that Richmondshire needs to be prepared for these future changes in climate. All new development should demonstrate that it has taken climate change effects into account in its design.

Comment [j18]: M/4/CP2/07

4.1.18 The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) sets out the approach to consideration of development in areas at risk of flooding and must begin with the application of a sequential, risk-based approach to the location of development to avoid where possible flood risk to people and property and manage any residual risk. The aim of the sequential test is to steer new development to areas with the lowest probability of flooding. With regard to flood risk, The North West Yorkshire Level 1 Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (2010) provides the basis for applying this test and informed the distribution of development and identification of the strategic growth areas within the strategy. It identifies the general areas and scale of flood risk in the plan area. This indicates particular flood risks relating to the Swale – downstream of Richmond, particularly around Catterick Village; to Skeeby Beck with problems for Gilling West; and the Tees – properties in Croft on Tees at risk from the Tees and Clow Beck. The Ure is considered unlikely to result in flood risks because the river runs through rural areas and wide natural floodplains. If following the application of the sequential test it is not possible for the development to be located in zones with a lower probability of flooding, the Exception test will be applied if appropriate. To comply with Core Policy CP1 flood risk assessments will be required for all new housing proposals of 1ha or more in Flood Risk Zone 1 and for all housing in Flood Risk Zones 2 or 3. In the Catterick Strategic Development Area all applications must include assessments to determine the most vulnerable areas to flooding and demonstrate an appropriate strategy to protect and mitigate against potential impacts.

Comment [m19]: M/4/CP2/07

Comment [m20]: M/4/CP2/07

4.1.19 Flood risk arising from surface water runoff from new development should be reduced by incorporating Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS) in the design of development. SuDS solutions should be easy to manage, provide both attenuation and water treatment, require little or no energy input, and be aesthetically attractive and ecologically beneficial.

Core Policy CP23: Achieving Sustainable Development

Comment [m1]: M/4/CP3/01

4.3.1 In Chapter 3, which establishes the fundamental Spatial Strategy at the heart of the Local Plan Core Strategy, the importance of securing sustainable development was identified as key to guiding all the elements of the plan – and this is encapsulated in the Strategic Objectives and Spatial Principles. Core Policy CP23 seeks to bring together a number of the main ways, and primary considerations, which need to be taken into account in securing development which is sustainable. It addresses basic factors and considerations relating to location, transport and accessibility. Issues to be addressed through good design are dealt with by Core Policy CP13.

Comment [m2]: M/4/CP3/01

4.3.2 It is important to ensure that sustainable development is treated in an integrated way in development plans and that those plans should seek to:

- provide a positive planning framework for sustainable economic growth
- promote urban and rural regeneration, including mixed use developments for locations that allow the creation of linkages between different uses
- promote communities which are inclusive, healthy, safe and crime-free
- bring forward sufficient land of a suitable quality in appropriate locations
- provide improved access for all to jobs, health, education, shops, leisure and community facilities, open space, sport and recreation, by ensuring that new development is located where everyone can access services or facilities on foot, bicycle or public transport rather than having to rely on access by car, while recognising that this may be more difficult in rural areas
- focus developments that attract a large number of people, especially retail and leisure development, in existing centres
- reduce the need to travel and encourage accessible public transport provision to secure more sustainable patterns of transport development
- promote the more efficient use of land through higher density, mixed use development and the use of suitably located previously developed land and buildings
- enhance as well as protect biodiversity, natural habitats, the historic environment and landscape and townscape character
- minimise the impacts of airborne pollution
- address climate change, pollution and natural hazards, and safeguard natural resources.

4.3.3 Taking this into account, the following Policy seeks to draw together a statement of the primary considerations for the achievement of sustainable development in the plan area.

Core Policy CP23: Achieving Sustainable Development

Comment [m3]: M/4/CP3/01

Support will be given for sustainable development which promotes:

- a. the efficient use of land and infrastructure including developments with a sustainable and complementary mix of uses;
- b. the conservation of scarce resources and reduction of their use, and encouragement of the use and re-use of sustainable resources;
- c. the health, economic and social well-being, amenity and safety of the population;

- d. a reduction in social inequalities and disadvantages within the community;
- e. the quality of natural resources including water, air, land and biodiversity and minimises the impacts of airborne pollution;
- f. the protection of the best and most versatile agricultural land;
- g. the natural drainage of surface water mitigating the effects of flash flooding of rivers, drains and drought;
- h. the vitality of the area;
- i. a high quality and adaptability of development;
- j. the character and quality of local landscapes and the wider countryside;
- k. the distinctiveness, character, townscape and setting of settlements;
- l. the historic, environmental and cultural features of acknowledged importance;
- m. the provision of essential services to the public;
- n. the reduction of waste, the promotion of recycling and the provision of suitable and accessible sites which foster sustainable waste management.

Comment [m4]: M/4/CP3/02

Development proposals will be encouraged to re-use or adapt ~~expected to prioritise the reuse or adaptation of~~ existing buildings. Where this is not practicable or is shown to be a less sustainable solution, proposals should seek to reuse existing materials, where possible.

Comment [m5]: M/4/CP3/03

Development ~~should~~ will be encouraged to utilise previously developed land first (brownfield land), where that land is in a sustainable location and is not of high environmental value, in preference to greenfield sites.

Comment [m6]: M/4/CP3/03

Comment [m7]: M/4/CP3/04

The use and development of land will be assessed against the community's housing, economic and social requirements. The sustainability and enhancement of the natural and built environment, minimisation of energy consumption and the need to travel will also be key factors. Development that would significantly harm the natural or built environment, or that would generate a significant adverse traffic impact, without appropriate mitigation, will not be permitted.

Development Proposals will be expected to provide an appropriate remediation strategy that addresses any issues of land contamination or land instability arising from past uses or activities.

Where non-mineral development is proposed within Mineral Safeguarding Areas defined by the Coal Authority, the local planning authority will expect consideration to be afforded to the extraction of the mineral resource prior to development.

Comment [m8]: M/4/CP3/05

Development and the provision of services should, as far as possible, be located so as to minimise the need to travel. Convenient access via foot, cycle and public transport should exist or be provided, where possible, encouraging the use of these modes of travel for local journeys and reducing the need to travel by private car and improving the accessibility of services to all. Transport schemes that lead to improvements in accessibility will be supported. The potential for more sustainable means of transport related to the uses and users of the development must be addressed. This includes the preparation of travel plans and

consideration of the scope to utilise local sourcing of materials and supply chains. The use of locally reclaimed and the re-use of more sustainable building materials will be supported, where appropriate, where this does not harm the character and appearance of historic and environmental assets.

Core Policy CP3: Supporting the Settlement Hierarchy

4.3.1 Spatial Principle SP2 sets out a settlement hierarchy for the plan area and explains its justification. This gives a framework for more detailed decision making about service provision and new development reflecting roles in the hierarchy. Spatial Principle SP4 indicates the broad distribution for new housing in the settlement hierarchy. The majority will be concentrated in the Principal Towns and Local Service Centre (with figures provided for Hipswell/Scotton/Colburn, Richmond and Leyburn), followed by the Primary Service Villages and lastly in the Secondary Service Villages. Core Policy CP3 defines the general extent of the development areas of settlements defined in the hierarchy where development and activities will be supported.

Core Policy CP3: Supporting the Settlement Hierarchy

Development or activities of a scale and nature appropriate to secure the sustainability of each settlement in the hierarchy defined in Spatial Principle SP2 will be supported within or adjacent to each settlement's Development Limits.

Development sites should be sought within the Development Limits (as defined on the Proposals Map) or the main built-up confines of Catterick Garrison, with priority given to brownfield sites.

Sites adjacent will only be supported if better deliverable opportunities do not exist within the settlement (and as an interim measure pending the definition of revised Development Limits in the Delivering Development Plan).

4.3.2 Development Limits were established in the Richmondshire Local Plan (2001) Policy 23 and defined on the Proposals Map for most settlements, down to the very small villages, but excluding Catterick Garrison Administrative Area.

4.3.3 The defined boundaries were intended to control the expansion of settlements into the surrounding countryside, taking account of development needs and opportunities up to 2006. They ensure new development is sympathetic in scale and location to the form and character of the settlement, does not conflict with environmental and other policies and respects local infrastructure and facilities capacity. However, they are fast becoming out of date and in need of review in order to guide the location of current and future development to 2028.

4.3.4 In many of the settlements in the hierarchy there is increasingly limited opportunity for new development to take place. Therefore, pending the formal review of Development Limits, the strategic approach needs to be more flexible and allow for appropriate expansion to support local services and ensure community sustainability and wellbeing. The policy therefore also refers to adjacent areas to Development Limits and main built-up confines, but this is expected to be only an interim measure until the next part of this Local Plan supersedes the current Richmondshire Local Plan Policy 23 designations on the Proposals Map.

4.3.5 This is expected to be undertaken through the Delivering Development Plan, which will redefine the boundaries for only those settlements in the hierarchy (and including Catterick Garrison administrative area for the first time) so as to encompass site allocations and other smaller areas of land and buildings suitable for development and redevelopment.

4.3.6 The interim scope for development adjacent to the settlement edge depends on the application of other policies and in particular Core Policies CP0, CP2, CP4, CP12 and CP14. In all cases the scale of development must respect the scale, form and character of the settlement and service and infrastructure provision. The settlement's position in the hierarchy and the scale of housing development established in Spatial Principle SP4 will be particularly important factors guiding the scale of development in individual settlements. The scope for development and activities in the higher order settlements will be greater than in those further down the hierarchy and will be limited in the smaller settlements.

4.3.7 In the interests of ensuring sustainable development and in accordance with Core Policy CP2 priority should be given to developing brownfield (previously developed) sites and sites within Development Limits or main built-up confines first.

4.3.8 Developments in the rural areas and villages beyond the settlements defined in the settlement hierarchy are covered by Core Policy CP8.

Comment [m1]: M/4/CP3/01

Core Policy CP4: Supporting Sites for Development

4.4.1 ~~It is essential for the plan's implementation that individual development sites are encouraged and supported to come forward. Core Policy CP4 provides guidance on site promotion and selection to facilitate development, sets a context for Neighbourhood Planning and supports the preparation of the forthcoming Delivering Development part of the Local Plan. Core Policy CP4 defines the general extent of development in settlements defined in the Spatial Principles where development and activities will be supported. Core Policy CP4 is essential for the plan's implementation and provides guidance to facilitate development, set a context for Neighbourhood Planning and support the preparation of future detailed land availability policies.~~

Comment [m1]: M/4/CP4/01

4.4.2 ~~There is a continuing impetus to meet needs for development for housing or employment driving the need to identify specific sites. Facing this is a delay until significant period until the site allocations plan can be prepared and adopted land availability policies can be updated and adopted in the Delivering Development Plan. The Local Plan Core Strategy establishes the strategic principles to guide change in the plan area, such as the scale and distribution of development. The Delivering Development Plan will provide for site allocations and Development Limits for settlements in the hierarchy and details to flesh out the strategy. However, The ability to meet immediate needs, or to make pressing decisions on planning applications, should not be frustrated by the time taken to prepare this further future detailed plan policies and. Therefore flexibility is needed until this Plan is in place is produced and adopted.~~

Comment [m2]: M/4/CP4/01

Comment [m3]: M/4/CP4/01

4.4.3 ~~Neighbourhood level planning and local ownership of decisions will require specific support and encouragement. This needs clear guidance which links the area-wide development plan to support community planning initiatives, wherever they may come forward, and encourage development and change, consistent and complementary with its wider context. The Local Plan Core Strategy establishes the strategic principles to guide change in the plan area. Spatial Principle SP2 sets out a settlement hierarchy for the plan area and explains its justification. This gives a framework for more detailed decision making about service provision and new development reflecting roles in the hierarchy. Spatial Principle SP3 recognises that the large rural area outside of the settlement hierarchy is also a living and working environment containing many villages where appropriate development will be required. Spatial Principle SP4 indicates the broad distribution for new housing in the settlement hierarchy and elsewhere in the countryside. Most new homes will be concentrated in the Principal Towns of Richmond and Catterick Garrison and the Local Service Centre at Leyburn, followed by the Primary Service Villages, the Secondary Service Villages and then Elsewhere in the smallest settlements.~~

Comment [m4]: M/4/CP4/01

4.4.4 ~~The Delivering Development (and Proposals Map) Local Plan documents will benefit from the strong steer about how the Local Plan Core Strategy's strategic principles can be translated into the most appropriate site allocations. Settlement Development Limits were established in the Richmondshire Local Plan (1999-2006) Policy 23 and defined on the Proposals Map for most settlements, down to the very small villages, but excluded the Catterick Garrison Administrative Area. The defined boundaries sought to manage the expansion of settlements into the surrounding countryside, taking account of prevailing development needs and opportunities. They sought to ensure new~~

development is sympathetic in scale and location to the form and character of the settlement, does not conflict with environmental and other policies and respects local infrastructure and facilities capacity. These aims remain important, but the Settlement Development Limits are becoming out of date and there is now increasingly limited opportunity for new development in many settlements.

Comment [m5]: M/4/CP4/01

4.4.5 Core Policy CP4 provides the essential working approach to promote needed development where it is appropriate and to guide determination of related planning applications and the preparation of the subsequent Delivering Development document and is underpinned by work on the identification, assessment and consultation on options for growth. Core Policy CP4 supports the broad strategic approach to the scale and spatial distribution of the development with reference to the roles of settlements in which development is proposed as defined in the hierarchy in Spatial Principle SP2. The Settlement Development Limits should be reviewed to guide the location of future development to 2028. This is a time consuming task and pending their formal review the strategic approach needs to allow for ongoing growth to support local services and ensure community sustainability and wellbeing. Core Policy CP4 therefore refers to areas adjacent to Settlement Development Limits and main built-up confines until the Richmondshire Local Plan (1999-2006) Policy 23 designations on the Proposals Map are superseded. This is expected to be undertaken through the Delivering Development Plan or future revisions to the Local Plan.

Comment [m6]: M/4/CP4/01

4.4.6 The scope for development adjacent to the settlement edge depends on the application of other policies and in particular Core Policies CP2, CP3, CP4, CP8, CP12 and CP14. In all cases, development proposals must respect the scale, form and character of the settlement and its service and infrastructure provision. The settlement's position in the hierarchy and the scale of housing development established in Spatial Principle SP4 will be particularly important factors guiding the scale of development in individual settlements. The scope for development and activities in the higher order settlements will be greater than in those further down the hierarchy and will be limited in the smaller settlements.

Comment [m7]: M/4/CP4/01

4.4.7 The criteria in this policy guide decision making by addressing location issues including infrastructure availability, local environmental impact and any settlement and area specific guidance. Location criteria seek to ensure that development is well-related to an existing settlement and priority should be given to sites within Settlement Development Limits or main built-up confines first, where such developable opportunities still exist. They express a preference for locations within the existing settlement, before supporting development in locations adjacent to it, in accordance with Core Policy CP3. They also seek that existing infrastructure either has capacity, or extra capacity or facilities will be provided, in accordance with Core Policy CP14. Environmental criteria ensure that locally important matters, such as open spaces or flooding risks, are taken into account in accordance with Core Policies CP2, CP3, CP11 and CP12. Cross reference is made to the broad locational guidance included in the Sub Area Strategies (Chapter 3) for specific settlements where significant change or pressures for change are anticipated.

Comment [m8]: M/4/CP4/01

4.4.8 Neighbourhood level planning and local ownership of decisions will require specific support and encouragement. This needs clear guidance which links

the area-wide development plan to support community planning initiatives, wherever they may come forward, and encourage development and change, consistent and complementary with its wider context. Settlement Development Guidance will be produced to provide detailed Local Plan assessments of each settlement. These would provide an initial step towards Neighbourhood Planning for those communities wishing to establish locally detailed policies.

Comment [m9]: M/4/CP4/01

4.4.9 The Council's updated Gypsies and Travellers Accommodation Assessment (October 2013) shows that there is high vacancy rate on local sites and no expected demand for this available capacity. Planning Policy for Travellers Sites (CLG, March 2012) requires a criterion based policy to assess applications for other private sites. This approach to the release of sites is analogous to that for general development set out in Core Policy CP4 subject to the specific requirements of the national policy for travellers sites provided for in CP4.4.

Comment [m10]: M/4/CP4/04

Core Policy CP4: Supporting Sites for Development

~~Support (including the granting of planning permission) will be given to development proposals, and allocations will be made, taking account of the following:~~ **Development or activities of a scale and nature appropriate to secure the sustainability of each settlement in the hierarchy defined in Spatial Principle SP2 and elsewhere through Spatial Principle SP3 will be supported taking account of the following:**

Comment [m11]: M/4/CP4/01

1. Proposals should reflect and deliver:

- a. the strategy for the future development of the plan area, in particular in terms of the scale and distribution of development defined by ~~Spatial Principles SP4 and SP5~~ **in the Core Strategy;**
- b. an effective response to Climate Change in accordance with Core Policy CP12 and sustainable development in accordance with Core Policy CP23, and consistent with national planning policy relating to the achievement of sustainable development and communities;
- c. the settlement or location specific guidance contained in the Sub Area Strategies **and supplementary guidance;**
- d. development which provides for the social and economic needs of the local community;
- e. development that is proportionate to the existing settlement size **and local service provision and the settlement's position in the sustainable Settlement Hierarchy as defined in Spatial Principle SP2;**
- f. the expressed preferences of the local community about the type, form and location of development in each settlement, including any advanced through Neighbourhood Planning processes;
- g. any future planning policy documents, including masterplans concerning detailed matters relating to the layout, design or other aspects of the development.

Comment [m12]: M/4/CP4/04

Comment [m13]: M/4/CP4/02

Comment [m14]: M/4/CP4/02

Comment [m15]: M/4/CP4/01

Comment [m16]: M/4/CP4/01

2. In terms of location, development The location of any development proposal should be:

Comment [m17]: M/4/CP4/0
1

- a. consistent with the Delivering Development Plan or revised Local Plan land availability policies once published. Pending the Delivering Development Plan or revisions to Local Plan land availability policies,
development proposals should be in, or if deliverable opportunities do not exist within (~~pending the Delivering Development Plan~~), adjacent to the settlement's ~~main built-up confines~~ or Development Limits as defined on the Local Plan 1999-2006 Proposals Map or main built up confines where they do not exist;
- b. in all cases:
- i accessible and well related to existing facilities;
 - ii within the capacity of existing infrastructure, or it can be demonstrated that necessary additional infrastructure will be provided.

Comment [m18]: M/4/CP4/0
1

Comment [m19]: M/4/CP4/0
1

Comment [m20]: M/4/CP4/0
1

Comment [m21]: M/4/CP4/0
1

Comment [m22]: M/4/CP4/0
1

3. Development should be consistent with the requirements of Core Policies CP11 and CP12, and should not:

- a. impact adversely on the character of the settlement or its setting, important open spaces and views; designated and undesignated heritage assets and the character of the landscape;
- b. lead to the loss of, or adverse impact on, or cause deterioration of important nature conservation, water bodies or biodiversity or geodiversity sites;
- c. result in the unacceptable loss of locally important open spaces or community facilities;
- d. be located in areas of flood risk or contribute to flood risk elsewhere;
- e. cause significant adverse impact on amenity or highway safety.

Comment [m23]: M/4/CP4/0
3

4. Provision will be made for travelling groups at the existing site of Lime Kiln Wood at Catterick Village. Where required, proposals for additional Gypsies and Travellers and Travelling showpeople sites, should satisfy the requirements of all policies contained within the Local Plan Core Strategy, where applicable, and be consistent with national policy for travellers sites, in particular they should:

- a not dominate but should respect the scale and form of the existing settlement;
- b be well related and in proportion to accessible local service provision including schools and healthcare reducing the need to travel as well as avoiding undue pressure on local infrastructure and services;
- c provide for the social and economic needs of the local community, appropriate to provide a safe and healthy environment for residents and encouraging a peaceful and integrated co-existence with the local community;

d positively enhance the environment and increase its openness through well planned landscaping which should avoid isolating the site from the rest of the community;

e consider the effect of the local environmental quality including noise and air quality on the health and wellbeing of travellers resulting from existing neighbouring and future proposed development uses;

Proposals for new traveller site development in the open countryside away from existing settlements will be strictly limited;

Comment [m24]: M/4/CP4/0
4

Core Policy CP5: Providing a Housing Mix

- 4.5.1 Providing an appropriate housing mix is an essential ingredient to help meet local needs. Offering a range of dwelling types to meet both needs and aspirations helps to reduce pressure on the limited housing supply and therefore influences affordability. It also enables residents to stay in their community and the creation of more mixed communities, allowing a greater participation in the local economy.
- 4.5.2 It is not only the size of a dwelling which is important but also how easy it is to adapt the dwelling to allow a resident to remain in occupation throughout their life. This in turn relates to accessibility which allows those with a disability or impairment to enjoy the dwelling to their full advantage. The plan can play a role in promoting the type and tenure of dwellings to be developed.
- 4.5.3 To inform the practical application of Core Policy CP5 the Council will ensure that its evidence base is both robust and up-to-date. A District-wide Strategic Housing Market Assessment (SHMA) was conducted in 2011. Parish based housing needs surveys are also undertaken. This research informs policy making concerning property size, type and tenure requirements including those for local needs arising from particular sectors of the community and the provision of dwellings to lifetime homes standard and extra-care schemes.
- 4.5.4 The changing shape of Richmondshire's population is an important consideration for future development. 2011 Census shows that, apart from the skewed younger age groups caused by the large military presence, the local population is broadly similar in structure to the national and regional populations. Between 2001 and 2011, the local population has aged as expected suggesting lower levels of movement, which are also observed in local migration statistics. The familiar "baby-boom" spike now sits at about 65 and is slightly larger in proportion to regional and national populations. Assuming no major migration changes then this spike in the population will age towards 80 by the end of the plan period. Of equal note is the smaller population of younger adults, with a deep trough around age 35, revealing a marked imbalance in the local population once the military population is accounted for. In general, the Richmondshire older population appears proportionately fitter, healthier and has a higher life expectancy than the regional and national populations in both 2011 Census and Public Health observatory results. Although a military component will affect this picture, this does not affect local older age groups and it is also likely that earlier migration trends and local quality of life have contributed to this fitter older population.
- 4.5.5 The long term changes in the local household profile expect: - growth in older person households, which will be either single person or couples; little or no growth in households aged 45 – 54 and some growth where the head of household is aged 25 – 34. This demographic change translates into demand for one and two-bedroom properties to accommodate the rising rate of smaller households and accounts for over 50% of demands for new dwellings. In comparison three-bedroom dwellings accounts for 39% and four-bedroom accommodation accounts for 10% of future demand. This evidence suggests that Core Policy CP5 should currently promote developments providing 10% of dwellings with one bedroom, 40% of dwellings with two bedrooms, 40% of dwellings with three bedrooms and 10% of dwellings with four or more bedrooms.

Comment [m1]: M/4/CP5/01

- 4.5.6 The type of dwelling required centres predominantly on traditional two storey houses although there is a potential demand for apartment/studio-type accommodation or one bedroom bungalows to provide for the needs of smaller households.
- 4.5.7 The SHMA provides evidence on the type and tenure of affordable housing and indicates that the greatest need is for one and two bedroom properties in all sub areas, as follows:

Table 4: Households in Need

Households in Need				
Sub Area	Number of Bedrooms Required (Bedroom Standard Model)			
	1	2	3	4+
Central Richmondshire	40%	50%	8%	2%
Lower Wensleydale	39%	46%	15%	0%
North Richmondshire	69%	31%	0%	0%
Total	43%	47%	8%	2%

- 4.5.8 A range of affordable housing under differing tenures can play a role in bridging the gap between social renting and owner occupation by allowing an occupier to acquire further equity in a property. The SHMA demonstrates that approximately 20% of households currently in affordable housing need could afford a 50% equity stake in an intermediate home at the average lower quartile price across the sub areas of £157,500. The study suggests that an appropriate split in the tenures of affordable housing sought should be 80% social rented and 20% intermediate products.
- 4.5.9 Affordable rent was introduced as an alternative to and in addition to social rent, which could provide another stepping stone between tenures. The financial capacity of those households in housing need may be stretched to afford this tenure type. For example only 30% of households in housing need could afford an 80% affordable rent for a two-bedroom home and less than 20% could afford a three bedroom home.
- 4.5.10 The SHMA identified that older people constitute 27% of all households, rising to over 48% by 2026. Of this number approximately 21% are in housing need, equating to 52 households in need annually. Over 70% of those households wish to stay in Richmondshire, many of which (37.1%) would prefer to stay in their own home while 35% would consider moving to a specialist older persons' development (sheltered/extra care). The majority (42.8%) would prefer a bungalow while 14% would consider a flat in a purpose-built scheme. Therefore provision should be made within new housing schemes for affordable bungalows or small scale developments of apartments suitable for older people, proportionate to the identified level of need.

Core Policy CP5: Providing a Housing Mix

Proposals for housing must take account of the local housing requirements across all sectors of the community in terms of size, type and tenure, and also the accessibility and adaptability of dwellings.

Core Policy CP6: Providing Affordable Housing

- 4.6.1 **The provision of more affordable housing to meet local needs is a key objective of the Council and Government.** One of the key objectives of the Government, the Council and this plan is to provide more affordable housing to meet local needs. Plans should be based on meeting objectively assessed development needs and in this respect the Council has obtained up-to-date robust information on local housing needs such as from the North Yorkshire Strategic Housing Market Assessment (NYSHMA) 2011. 4.6.2 In order to deliver affordable housing in new developments Core Policy CP6 sets out the threshold at which affordable housing will be required and what proportion of development should be affordable based on recent studies. **Development conditions vary from site to site and this policy ensures that affordable housing provision is subject to thorough economic viability assessment. Most affordable homes will be provided through the strategic scale and distribution of housing planned in Spatial Principle SP4. This places affordable homes directly in relation to local service provision. This approach may not address all needs that may arise in this rural area and provision is made for the exceptional development of affordable homes where permission may not normally be granted.** The overall sizes, types and tenures of dwellings, both market and affordable, will be negotiated and determined on a site by site basis with regard to the most up-to-date evidence on local housing need and demand in accordance with Core Policy CP5.

Comment [j1]: M/4/CP6/01

Comment [j2]: M/4/CP6/01

Core Policy CP6: Providing Affordable Housing

The Council will work with private developers and registered providers to achieve the following targets for affordable housing in all developments with a net gain in dwellings, subject to economic viability assessment:

Central Richmondshire and Lower Wensleydale Sub Areas.....40%

North Richmondshire Sub Area.....30%

In rural locations outside village Development Limits, small scale housing schemes may be permitted on suitable sites. These 'rural exceptions' must meet a proven local affordable housing need and the dwellings must remain affordable in perpetuity. In certain circumstances, the Council may accept an element of on-site market housing to help subsidise the delivery of the affordable homes.

Comment [j3]: M/4/CP6/01

- 4.6.32 The **North Yorkshire Strategic Housing Market Assessment (NYSHMA)** recent NYSHMA confirmed the high level of local housing need and the severe problems of affordability. It found that Richmondshire has experienced a rise in average house prices since 2000 peaking, in line with wider markets, at a high of £228,700 in 2007/08. The income required to purchase a lower quartile house, based on a 3.5 times multiplier of household income is £41,429. However, in the current market many lending institutions require that mortgage repayments should be less than 20% of household income. Therefore, the income level required to purchase a lower quartile property would be £58,495.

Comment [j4]: M/4/CP6/01

4.6.4 This provides a stark illustration of the affordability issue in the plan area where the average median gross household income is just less than £23,000 pa. Lower quartile house prices do show some variation across the three sub areas (£123,488 in Central Richmondshire, £170,000 in Lower Wensleydale and £179,000 in North Richmondshire) although median gross household incomes remain reasonably similar. Therefore, the affordability ratio between median gross household income and a lower quartile house in the Central area is in excess of five times income which extends to over eight times in North Richmondshire.

Comment [j5]: M/4/CP6/01

4.6.53 Spatial Principle SP4 sets out the scale and distribution of housing across the plan area, providing the spatial distribution of the proposed 180 dwellings per year build rate. It is through this pattern of development that most affordable homes will be delivered. The SHMA (2011) evidence indicates that The housing needs assessment indicates that the plan area will be required to provide for a gross annual affordable housing need of approximately 249 dwellings per annum over the next five years in order to both clear the existing backlog and meet future household need. over the next 5 years 260 homes per year are estimated to be in housing need but cannot afford to move to address this need. This includes all households indicating that their homes did not meet their current needs, but a number of these could address the issues identified without the need for a new home. This includes homes where adaptations would be needed and households seeking homes in other areas, for example. It is also based on assumptions concerning access to housing finance products available at the time of writing. This level of demand is also not apparent from the parish level housing needs surveys. Although the SHMA does need to be read with care, it remains more important than ever to set the most ambitious affordable housing targets possible, whilst maintaining the viability of local development. The following table indicates the need across all three sub areas.

Comment [j6]: M/4/CP6/01

4.6.64 The Richmondshire Affordable Housing Viability Assessment An Economic Viability Study (Richmondshire Affordable Housing Viability Assessment) (AHVA), 2011) examined the impact of differing percentages of affordable housing on a range of sites across the plan area. In particular it considered an appropriate target and threshold in the light of the varying local market and land supply conditions. It recommended general affordable housing targets of 30% in North Richmondshire and 40% in central Richmondshire and Lower Wensleydale as viable to maximise delivery across the three sub areas. The following table demonstrates the proportion of proposed development in each sub area compared to the 'gross annual housing need' identified in the SHMA. It can be seen that affordable housing need outstrips proposed delivery across all sub areas.

Comment [j7]: M/4/CP6/01

4.6.7 In terms of setting a threshold at which affordable housing would be required, the research did not find any evidence to suggest that a lower site size threshold than the national indicative rate (advised at the time) would affect viability or hold sites back. It was found that smaller sites can generate 'exclusivity' and hence produce higher land values supporting the policy that an affordable housing contribution should be sought from all developments either on-site or through an off-site contribution toward affordable housing provision. The Council will therefore seek contributions from all housing developments regardless of size, in order to maximise the provision of affordable housing and in effect set the threshold at a single dwelling net gain.

Comment [j8]: M/4/CP6/01

4.6.8 The starting point in negotiations will be for on-site provision. However, where it is not practical to provide affordable housing on-site, such as on a small site, there will be a contribution of a commuted sum towards provision elsewhere in the locality. Off-site provision in the locality may also be justified where greater affordable housing benefits could be achieved. The amount of commuted payment should be based on the equivalent amount which would be contributed by the developer/landowner were the affordable housing to be provided on-site.

Comment [j9]: M/4/CP6/01

4.6.9 It is intended that a Supplementary Planning Document be prepared and adopted alongside the Local Plan Core Strategy to provide the detailed guidance for implementing this policy, covering such matters as calculating commuted payments, exceptional developments, tenures, local occupancy conditions, etc.

Comment [j10]: M/4/CP6/01

4.6.10 During the seven-year period 2004 – 2011, some 23% of planning permissions granted were on sites for single dwellings, with an average of 57 per annum. The majority of these were in the villages and smaller settlements and a relatively high proportion were in the higher value Council Tax bands.

Comment [j11]: M/4/CP6/01

4.6.11 Spatial Principle SP4 sets out the Scale and Distribution of Housing across the plan area, providing the spatial distribution of the proposed 180 dwellings per year build rate. However, with the evidence provided in the SHMA 2011 of affordable housing need, it is unlikely that new development will ever meet the demand for affordable housing. Therefore it is more important than ever to set the most ambitious affordable housing targets possible, whilst maintaining the viability of local development.

Comment [j12]: M/4/CP6/01

4.6.12 The Core Policy CP6 affordable housing targets are set reflecting what the AHVA justifies as viable to achieve and as high as possible to accommodate the housing need identified in the SHMA across the three sub-areas. The following table demonstrates the proportion of proposed development in each sub-area compared to the 'gross annual housing need' identified in the SHMA. It can be seen that in all cases affordable housing need far outstrips proposed delivery across all sub-areas.

Comment [j13]: M/4/CP6/01

Table 5: **Annual Housing Delivery and Need**

Comment [j14]: M/4/CP6/01

Sub Area	Proposed Housing Delivery (pa)			Housing Need (pa)	
	Market		Affordable	%	No.
	%	No.			
Central Richmondshire	79	142	@40% = 57	72	180
Catterick	(62)	(112)			
Garrison	(8)	(14)			
Richmond	(9)	(16)			
Elsewhere					
Lower Wensleydale	12	22	@40% = 9	21	51
North Richmondshire	9	16	@30% = 5	7	18
Total	100	180	71	100	249

4.6.135 Rural exception sites play an important role in contributing to sustaining the rural economy by providing the opportunity for local people to live in a decent affordable home in the village in which they live and/or work. The AHVA did not find any evidence to suggest that a lower site size threshold would affect viability or hold sites back, except where significant constraints or abnormal site conditions prevail e.g. barn conversions. It found that smaller sites can generate 'exclusivity' and hence produce higher land values. During the seven year period 2004 – 2011, some 23% of planning permissions granted were on sites for single dwellings, with an average of 57 per annum. The majority of these were in the villages and smaller settlements and a relatively high proportion were in the higher value Council Tax bands. The Council will therefore seek contributions from all housing developments regardless of size, in order to maximise the provision of affordable housing and in effect set the threshold at a single dwelling net gain.

Comment [j15]: M/4/CP6/01

4.6.146 It is most practical to deliver affordable homes on-site in larger developments. The overall sizes, types and tenures of dwellings, both market and affordable, will be negotiated and determined on a site by site basis with regard to the most up-to-date evidence on local housing need and demand in accordance with Core Policy CP5. Commuted sum contributions will also be considered when, for example sites are small and contributions would not provide a complete home. The Council expects to pool any commuted sums received to be used in support of furthering affordable housing provision across the district. Single dwellings that are built to meet an affordable housing need will not make a contribution so long as that home is retained as an affordable home in perpetuity. This enables landowners to provide local small scale housing for family members or employees on their land, subject to its suitability for development. The provision of affordable housing is a corporate priority and rural exception sites assist to deliver housing which cannot be provided by the market. Former national policy (PPS3 Housing) restricted the use of rural exceptions sites to 100% affordable housing in perpetuity and, in most cases, their construction was subsidised through central government grants. Unfortunately, grant levels have been significantly reduced which may affect the Council's ability to provide new affordable housing where it is most needed.

Comment [j16]: M/4/CP6/01

4.6.157 The NPPF suggests that 'local planning authorities should in particular consider whether allowing some market housing would facilitate the provision of significant additional affordable housing to meet local needs'. The circumstances and conditions under which cross-subsidy will be permitted will be set out in the Affordable Housing Supplementary Planning Document. However examples of such circumstances may include: where no grant is available; or, where a reduced grant rate and/or abnormal site development costs render the proposed scheme economically unviable.

Comment [j17]: M/4/CP6/01

If the application of the sub area target on the total number of dwellings proposed does not produce a whole number of affordable dwellings then the balance will be provided as a financial contribution.

Calculation of off-site affordable housing contributions

3 steps can be taken to calculate the off-site affordable housing contribution:

Step 1. Calculate the Number of affordable dwellings required by:

- Sub Area Affordable Housing Target x Total Dwellings in Scheme

Step 2. Calculate the Gross Contribution by:

- No. Affordable Dwellings x Total Cost to Provide an Affordable Unit

(The total cost of providing an affordable unit includes: **Construction Cost** (Average gross internal floor area OR 100sqm, whichever is less X Assumed standardised cost of construction for an affordable dwelling per sqm), **Land, Infrastructure & Services** and **Professional Fees**)

Step 3. Calculate the Net Contribution by:

- Gross Contribution – Relative Registered Provider Transfer Price

(The relative transfer price will be reflective of the number of affordable units required; i.e. the No. affordable dwellings multiplied by the price paid per unit by the Registered Provider)

Further information is provided within the Affordable Housing Supplementary Planning Document (SPD)

Comment [j18]: M/4/CP6/02

4.6.8 Local Needs Housing Schemes assist to deliver housing which cannot be provided by the market and play an important role in sustaining the rural economy by providing the opportunity for local people to live and work in the same rural community. They are restricted to 100% affordable housing in perpetuity and, in most cases, their construction is subsidised through grant funding and below market land costs on sites that would not normally be granted planning permission. Such exceptional development is additional to the direct delivery of affordable housing through the development strategy. The Delivering Development Plan or revised detailed Local Plan land availability policies will through the formal review of settlement development limits deliver the flexible approach to land supply expected by NPPF (2012). This will enable exceptions to be defined for SP2 settlements. Until such a time, exceptions will be identified when development targets have been met or commitments are failing to deliver. The criteria to be used in the selection of appropriate Local Needs Housing Scheme sites will be CP4 1b-3e, this will ensure that these sites respect their proposed settings and reflect the local capacity for this additional development.

Comment [j19]: M/4/CP6/01

4.6.9 Local Needs Housing Schemes are provided for eligible occupiers who have a 'local connection' to the settlement in which the homes are built, as their main or principal residence and have a need to reside there because they are:

- i existing residents of the relevant parish establishing a separate household; or
- ii a head of household who or whose partner is in or is taking up permanent employment in an already established business within the relevant parish; or
- iii householders currently living permanently in a dwelling which is either shared but not self contained, overcrowded or is otherwise unsatisfactory by environmental health standards and which is within the relevant parish; or

iv persons having to leave tied accommodation within the relevant parish;
or

v persons currently living in accommodation which is temporary or occupied on insecure terms, or

vi persons having a medical need for alternative accommodation; or

vii former residents of the relevant parish with immediate relatives in the parish accepted in writing by the Council as having an exceptional need to return to the area

Categories set out in paragraphs (i), (ii), (iii), (iv), (v), (vi) above will apply only to persons who have resided or worked permanently in the relevant parish for the preceding three years. Housing provided through the Policy should be offered in the first instance to those who meet the local connection criteria relating to the Parish within which the need has been identified. If, no eligible occupier can be found within the Parish then those who meet the local connection criteria in the immediate neighbouring Parishes will be eligible. If no one here is available, then a third priority area would then become valid, embracing all Parishes, including those which fall within the Yorkshire Dales National Park.

Comment [j20]: M/4/CP6/01

4.6.10 The NPPF suggests that 'local planning authorities should in particular consider whether allowing some market housing would facilitate the provision of significant additional affordable housing to meet local needs'. Examples of such circumstances may include: where no grant is available; or, where a reduced grant rate and/or abnormal site development costs render the proposed scheme economically unviable. Cross-subsidy may be permitted where:

i A parish housing needs survey has identified a housing need; and, a suitable site has been found but HCA grant would not be available for a minimum of two years; or,

ii A reduced grant rate and/or abnormal site conditions make a 100% affordable housing scheme economically unviable; or,

iii The applicant is a Community Land Trust as defined in The Housing and Regeneration Act 2008 or any successor legislation.

The maximum number of open market dwellings permitted will be the minimum required to subsidise the development of the affordable housing. The Council will expect an 'open-book' approach to any application to cross-subsidise on an exception site and will not accept any land valuations which exceed comparable financial transactions in the Plan area.

Comment [j21]: M/4/CP6/01

4.6.11 An Affordable Housing Supplementary Planning Document (SPD) will support the delivery of Policy CP6 by providing detailed guidance regarding the implementation of the policy. The areas within the policy that the SPD provides further explanation and guidance on are:

- Calculating commuted payments
- Market Housing cross-subsidy

- Building your own affordable home/ Single plot exception sites
- Transfer Prices
- Safeguarding Affordable Housing
- Exempt Accommodation
- Application Negotiations
- Financial Viability

Comment [j22]: M/4/CP6/01

Core Policy CP6: Providing Affordable Housing

The Council will work with private developers and registered providers to achieve the following targets for affordable housing in all developments with a net gain in dwellings, subject to economic viability assessment:

Central Richmondshire and Lower Wensleydale.....40%

North Richmondshire Sub Area.....30%

In general, the affordable housing contribution will be met on site. Commuted sums in lieu of on-site provision will be considered where some or all of the contribution would not provide for a complete home. Commuted sums may be considered where they enable the Council to achieve greater affordable housing benefits than on-site.

The affordable housing contribution will be waived on small scale schemes whose purpose is to meet a proven local affordable housing need. Where the contribution is waived the dwellings must remain affordable in perpetuity. The contribution will be payable should the dwellings be sold on the open market.

Small scale Local Needs Housing Schemes may be permitted on suitable sites where development would not normally be permitted. These schemes must meet a proven local affordable housing need and the dwellings must remain affordable in perpetuity. Their suitability for development will be assessed through Core Policy CP4 1b – 3e. The Council may accept an element of on-site market housing to help subsidise the delivery of the affordable homes.

Comment [m23]: M/4/CP6/01

Core Policy CP7: Promoting a Sustainable Economy

- 4.7.1 The context for Core Policy CP7 is set out nationally through Policies 1 and 3 of the NPPF and, more locally, in Spatial Principle SP5 of this Core Strategy. The evidence base for the policy includes:
- the Joint Employment Land Review (JELR) (2007) revised by the Employment Land Review Update (ELR) (2011)
 - the Economic Impact of Military Presence in North Yorkshire (2010)
 - A Sustainable Future for Lower Wensleydale: Leyburn and Middleham Reports, (Miller, 2009).
- 4.7.2 Core Policy CP7 identifies priority measures, providing support for and promotion of development to ensure that the Richmondshire economy is able to develop sustainably.
- 4.7.3. Core Policy CP7 supports the delivery of the economic elements of the Sub Area Spatial Strategies (CRSS, LWSS and NRSS) and the Spatial Principles, particularly SP2, SP3 and SP5. The Infrastructure Delivery Plan (IDP) also provides further support for the delivery of Core Policy CP7 and identifies infrastructure investment projects, resulting from stakeholder engagement, required to deliver development.

Core Policy CP7: Promoting a Sustainable Economy

In order to develop and sustain the economy of Richmondshire, in accordance with Spatial Principle SP5, support will be given to:

- a. the development of employment activities that diversify the current offer in Richmondshire, and in particular those activities that will provide high quality jobs which can capitalise on and/or enhance the skills of the resident population;**
- b. development which promotes the sustainable growth of the key economic sectors within the area, particularly agriculture, food, military, retail, tourism, leisure and equine enterprises;**
- c. the development of digital, creative and cultural enterprises;**
- d. green, renewable and low carbon industries;**
- e. sustaining small and medium sized enterprises, including the development of support services to encourage existing and new business to grow;**
- f. the provision of education and training facilities to develop the District's skills base;**
- g. strengthening and enhancing the role and performance of Richmond, Catterick Garrison and Leyburn town centres to ensure their continued vitality and viability;**
- h. safeguarding the unnecessary loss of valued facilities and services;**
- i. small scale rural economic development to meet local needs;**
- j. the provision of high quality sites and premises suitable for B1 uses in Richmond, Catterick Garrison and Leyburn;**
- k. the development of mixed use sites, high quality layouts, landscaping and design;**

- l. developing institutional and commercial links with the Tees Valley and the North East;**
- m. infrastructure necessary to support economic development, including communications infrastructure, high speed broadband and transport investment.**

- 4.7.4 The JELR (2007), ELR Update (2011) and Miller studies (2009) provide background evidence for Core Policy CP7. They establish the sectors that are important, and forecast to be important, within the Richmondshire economy. In order to sustain and develop the Richmondshire economy, encouragement and positive planning for these growing sectors in appropriate locations will be key. Future local plan documents will provide land for employment, reflecting the needs of the growing sectors ensuring supply of the right type, quality and quantity of employment land. This will be informed by latest evidence and economic forecasting available at the time.
- 4.7.5 JELR and the Miller studies acknowledge the importance of the digital and creative industries to Richmondshire and the rural economy. The update to the Employment Land Review does not explicitly identify the importance of this sector. However, it is identified within the wider industry sector of Business Services and Other Services. Furthermore, as considered within the JELR and Miller studies, a significant number of jobs in this sector are through self-employment, home working and micro business which are difficult to capture data for. The absence of consideration to this industry within the updated ELR should not be seen as undermining the importance of the sector for the rural economy and the need to support development of digital, creative and rural enterprises. In order to facilitate the growth of this sector and the wider rural economy improvements to communications infrastructure (including high speed broadband) will be vital, particularly given its links with home working. In line with current national policy, these improvements are supported through Core Policy CP7.
- 4.7.6 The development of green, renewable, low carbon industries and movement towards a low carbon future is considered in Yorkshire Forward's Low Carbon Rural Capitals Scoping Study (Arup, 2008) to be an inextricable part of the creation of sustainable settlements. Support to the industries in Core Policy CP7(d) will be key to the achievement of rural sustainability and therefore the wider objectives of the Local Plan Core Strategy.
- 4.7.7 Current evidence points to a net outflow of residents for employment with strong links to Hambleton District and Darlington (SHMA, 2011). To achieve overall objectives of having a sustainable rural economy (Spatial Principle SP3) and reduce the use of energy, particularly given potential implications for rising fuel costs, shorter journeys to work and an increased containment of the labour force across skills and professions will be required. Core Policy CP7 seeks to promote the provision of high quality jobs to enable highly skilled people to live and work within the District, reducing current levels of out commuting to places such as Darlington. The provision of education and training facilities is critical to ensure that new members to the workforce have the necessary skills and can adapt to a diversifying economy and respond to needs of emerging and growing employment sectors.
- 4.7.8 The continued vitality and viability of the towns of Richmond, Catterick Garrison and Leyburn, and the lower order settlements will be vital to the

achievement of a prosperous and sustainable rural economy. It will be essential to balance new housing with economic development including retail and leisure opportunities. Support is therefore given through Core Policy CP9 for developments which strengthen and enhance the role and performance of the District's centres and for development in those settlements which provide a role in supporting rural/remote communities. This acknowledges the importance of appropriately scaled development, outside the centres of Richmond, Catterick Garrison and Leyburn, which supports the wider rural economy and provide for the most sustainable options in villages or other locations that are remote from local services. This is consistent with Spatial Principles SP2 and SP3, Core Policies CP8, CP9, CP11 and current national policy.

4.7.9 The commercial role of towns is important to the overall function, vitality and viability of town centres and the provision of high quality sites and premises for B1 uses within Richmond, Catterick Garrison and Leyburn are important.

4.7.10 The provision of necessary infrastructure will be critical to the delivery of the objectives of Core Policy CP7 and the wider objectives of the Local Plan Core Strategy. This includes improvements to the communication infrastructure such as high speed broadband, identified as important for development of the rural economy within the Miller studies in 2009 and within national policy. Support is given through Core Policy CP7 for the development of necessary infrastructure. Support is also given through Core Policy CP7(m) for improvements to transport infrastructure. This includes particular schemes which improve the links and improve local accessibility between jobs and homes across the District, improving accessibility between settlements in the settlement hierarchy, especially by sustainable forms of transport.

4.7.11 Through engagement with key infrastructure providers and consultation the following transport projects are is considered to be key to improving sustainable links and local accessibility across the District and to support economic performance and growth:

- Improved access along the Richmond–Catterick Garrison–A1 Corridor (the A6136)

4.7.12 Although the strategy is not dependent on the completion of the following transport projects, they contribute significantly to local economic conditions:

- A1 upgrade to motorway standard including the new Catterick Central junction
- A684 Bedale bypass improving accessibility along the Dales-Leyburn-A1 Corridor.
- Potential for upgrading the A1 to motorway and a new connection to the Central Richmondshire sub-area
- Improved accessibility along the Dales-Leyburn-A1 Corridor (the A684)
- Sustainable access improvements to Gallowfields Industrial Estate, Richmond
- Development of the Wensleydale Railway.

Comment [j1]: M/4/CP7/01

Comment [m2]: M/4/CP7/02

4.7.13² The Infrastructure Delivery Plan (IDP) provides further detail on these projects and other important infrastructure requirements that will occur within the Plan

area these and other important infrastructure requirements including and funding mechanisms and estimated timescales.

Comment [m3]: M/4/CP7/02

Core Policy CP8: Achieving Rural Sustainability

- 4.8.1 Spatial Principle SP3 establishes that in this essentially rural area, achieving rural sustainability is a key strand which should run throughout the plan. This means balancing the qualities of the rural environment against the need to promote sustainable rural communities where people can have good local access to facilities and work. As the explanation of Spatial Principle SP3 indicates, this approach is taken forward in a number of ways throughout the Local Plan Core Strategy. A main thread is the approach to the identification and support of the sustainable hierarchy of settlements (through Spatial Principle SP2, with supporting policies, particularly Core Policy CP3). Outside these settlements a wide range of measures is proposed to secure the rural sustainability of the wider countryside and smaller settlements in the following policy.
- 4.8.2 Core Policy CP8 gives expression to the approaches which need to be taken to achieve the Spatial Principle SP3 intentions to secure rural sustainability. It covers the main rural activities and expresses an approach which should lead to a more stable rural economy, but which also protects the rural nature of the countryside and the environment generally. The second part of the policy provides clear guidance on what forms of development should be supported, consistent with the rural sustainability objectives. It defines and gives substance to the approach which does not advance preservation, or stabilisation with no change, outside the settlement hierarchy, but instead seeks to encourage an appropriate scale of change consistent with a sustainable rural future.

Core Policy CP8: Achieving Rural Sustainability

- 1. Support will be given to the social and economic needs of rural areas lying beyond Spatial Principle SP2 settlements, by encouraging:**
 - a. small scale housing developments in or adjacent to smaller villages;**
 - b. expansion of rural businesses;**
 - c. re-use of suitable rural buildings for housing, tourism and employment generating uses supporting Spatial Principles SP3 and SP5;**
 - d. provision of live-work units in smaller villages or by conversion of traditional rural buildings;**
 - e. diversification of the agricultural economy;**
 - f. tourism related initiatives;**
 - g. recreation uses appropriate to a rural location;**
 - h. small scale renewable energy projects and businesses to serve the industry;**
 - i. arts and crafts based industries;**
 - j. technological developments needed to facilitate employment development in rural areas;**
 - k. improvement of public transport services.**
- 2. Development will be supported where:**
 - a. it is necessary to meet the needs of farming, forestry,**

recreation, infrastructure including water and sewerage, burial grounds, national defence training, tourism and other enterprises with an essential requirement to locate in a smaller village or the countryside;

- b. it is necessary to secure a significant improvement to the environment or the conservation of a heritage asset;
- c. it would provide community facilities which meet a local need;
- d. it would make provision for renewable energy generation, of a scale and design appropriate to its location;
- e. it would support the social and economic regeneration of rural areas;
- f. it would re-use existing rural buildings without substantial alteration, extension or reconstruction;
- g. it would involve extensions to buildings (excluding dwellings converted from rural buildings) where the scale and design complements the character of the main building;
- h. it would involve replacement buildings (excluding dwellings converted from rural buildings) of a similar scale and appropriate design which would achieve a more sustainable development than by conversion.

In all cases development should respond to climate change and be designed to be sustainable, consistent with the requirements of Core Policies CP42 and CP23; should not conflict with landscape character, amenity, environmental protection or nature conservation policies of the plan but should seek to enhance the environment; and should provide any necessary mitigating or compensatory measures to address harmful implications.

Comment [m1]: M/4/CP8/01

Core Policy CP9: Supporting Town and Local Centres

- 4.9.1 The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) (Policy 2) sets out the approach to promote the vitality and viability of town centres and planning for prosperity. It considers that local plans should define the retail network and hierarchy of town and local centres, the extent of the town centre and primary shopping area which should be based on a clear definition of primary and secondary frontages, the floorspace threshold for developments which should be subject to an impact assessment. It establishes the principle of a sequential approach to retail and leisure development and the approach to promoting competitive town centres. The NPPF also makes it clear that authorities should allocate a range of suitable sites to meet the scale and type of retail, leisure and commercial, community service and residential development in town centres. In particular, it states that retail and leisure needs are met in full and not compromised by limited site availability and that local planning authorities should assess the need to expand town centres to ensure a sufficient supply of sites. Local authorities should also set policies for the consideration of retail and leisure proposals which cannot be accommodated in, or adjacent to, town centres.
- 4.9.2 Spatial Principle SP2 identifies the settlement hierarchy and establishes the role and function of the hierarchy. Core Policy CP9 develops this and establishes the retail network and hierarchy of town and local centres, their role and function and the approach to new development opportunities.
- 4.9.3 The future role and performance of town centres within the plan area will be critical to the economic fortune of the District. Further, it will be critical that the right balance of development is achieved in both Richmond and Catterick Garrison town centres to ensure that the roles of each centre are complementary and do not have a significant adverse impact on each other. The achievement of a complementary relationship between Richmond and Catterick Garrison town centres and sustainable transport links between all centres is an important strategic objective of the Local Plan Core Strategy.
- The complementary town centre approach, between Richmond and Catterick Garrison aims to provide retail and leisure facilities that cannot be provided elsewhere in the District. The evolution of Richmond and Catterick Garrison Town Centres should be taken forward as a shared vision. The Council has a range of relationships with the local business, conservation and military communities. It has worked with the Richmond and Swale Valley Community Initiative (RSVCI), which has taken forward a range of initiatives designed to enhance the attractiveness of local town centres. A Town Centres Forum will be set up to enable local businesses and organisations to work together to promote the viability and vitality of the District Centre. The complementary roles of Richmond and Catterick Garrison will be progressed through regular healthchecks and other town centre focused activities. It will also assist in building a better understanding of the performance of each town centre to enable detailed consideration of development proposals and related opportunities as they arise.

Comment [m1]: M/4/CP9/01

Core Policy CP9: Supporting Town and Local Centres

1. Retail Network and Hierarchy:

Principal Towns – Serving the District

Richmond – has an important retail, commercial and tourism role serving the District with opportunities for growth whilst limited by environmental and heritage constraints. Support will be given to development that:

- a. maintains and enhances the existing role of the centre;
- b. broadens the range of retail offer including improvements to existing convenience goods provision;
- c. improves sustainable transport connections and car parking;
- d. delivers environmental improvements;
- e. makes the town centre more accessible, attractive and safe; and
- f. supports the evening economy and leisure role of the town centre.

Catterick Garrison Centre – has an important role in meeting the District’s retail and leisure needs. The area offers significant opportunities for expansion in the provision of town centre uses. Support will be given to development that:

- g. enhances the District’s hotel, leisure and retail offer and enables the accommodation of large format retailers;
- h. improves transport infrastructure, sustainable transport links, pedestrian routes; and
- i. delivers environmental improvements.

Local Service Centre - Serving a wide rural catchment

Leyburn – has an important role in supporting a large rural catchment including part of the Yorkshire Dales National Park, providing a wide range of retail and commercial uses, and has a strong independent retail offer. Support will be given to development that:

- j. maintains and enhances the existing range of services and retail offer;
- k. improves sustainable transport connections and parking provision; and
- l. delivers environmental improvements.

2 **2a.** Support will be given to maintaining and enhancing the vitality and viability of the town centres of Richmond, Catterick Garrison and Leyburn (defined in Figures 14, 15 and 16). Proposals within these centres for retail and other town centre uses which help create, protect, retain or enhance retail and other main town centre uses within these centres will be supported where either:

- a i. development is of a scale appropriate to the role of these centres, provided that development respects the character of the environment, including any special architectural and historic interest and assists in maintaining and/or enhancing its existing

Comment [m2]: M/4/CP9/02

function; or

- b ii. for developments over 500m², it is demonstrated that they will not adversely impact on the role, vitality and viability of the District's town centres or on existing, committed and planned public and private investment in a centre or centres in the catchment area of the proposal.

2b. Proposals involving the loss of retail uses (A1) within the primary shopping frontages of the town centres (defined in Figures 14,15 and 16) will only be supported where there is evidence that:

- i. the use is no longer required and is redundant;**
- ii. it is no longer, or cannot be made viable;**
- iii. satisfactory alternative provision can be made that outweighs the loss; or;**
- iv. the loss will not adversely impact upon the vitality and viability of the centre.**

Comment [m3]: M/4/CP9/02

3. Support will be given for retail and town centre developments of more than 500 m² outside of town centres where:

- a. there are no suitable, viable and available sites firstly within, then on the edge of, the existing town centre;
- b. an impact assessment has demonstrated that proposals will not have an adverse impact on the vitality and viability of the District's town centres, or on existing, committed and planned public and private investment in a centre or centres in the catchment area of the proposal; and
- c. it would be accessible by a choice of means of transport, and the local transport system is capable of accommodating the potential traffic implications.

4. Support will be given for proposals for small scale retail development outside town centres where:

- a. these serve residential neighbourhoods; and
- b. development is below 500 m² and is of a scale appropriate to the function of the settlement and where the development provides for the most sustainable option in villages or other locations that are remote from local services and would support the rural economy.

4.9.4 The retail hierarchy recognises the role Richmond town centre plays within the District. It has a retail, commercial and leisure role. There is a strong independent retail offer. However, a number of multiples are represented such as WH Smith, Boots and the Co-operative and at April 2011 there was a low vacancy rate (Retail Surveys, April 2011). There are opportunities to enhance its role, particularly through the District's growing sectors of retail and tourism, including hotels and catering.

4.9.5 Measures to protect and enhance the role and function of Richmond town centre have been identified in the Richmond and Catterick Garrison Retail Capacity and Impact Assessment (2004); the Retail Impact Assessment for Garrison Town Centre (2006) and the review in 2007. In terms of retail development there is potential to develop the range and quality of retail offer

including the development of specialist markets/destination shops and luxury goods, with some potential to attract further multiples, and the development of the evening economy, recognising its role for tourism. Enhanced convenience goods provision at existing sites has been linked to the ability to better retain expenditure and potential to increase linked trips to the town centre. Better quality provision is considered to reduce the impact of proposed development at Catterick Garrison. Improvements to sustainable transport connections and car parking and the delivery of environmental improvements including pedestrian routes and shop frontages schemes, and maintenance of its commercial function are also considered to assist in the protection and enhancement of the role of Richmond.

4.9.6 The Local Plan Core Strategy acknowledges that there are environmental and historic constraints in Richmond that limit opportunities for growth. The scale of growth that could be expected in Richmond would not be sufficient to divert the significant level of expenditure currently leaking out of the District. Evidence from the reports identified above suggests that around 86% of spend from the District on comparison goods leaks outside the District, particularly to centres such as Darlington and Northallerton. Expenditure going out of the District on clothing is higher than other comparison goods and loss of expenditure is higher in the Garrison than other areas of the District.

4.9.7 Opportunities for larger format comparison goods stores are required to help retain some of this leakage and support the achievement of a sustainable rural economy (Spatial Principle SP3). Catterick Garrison town centre provides such opportunities in the Gough Road / Richmondshire Walk / Shute Road / Richmond Road area. These are capable of supporting larger format stores (over 200 m²), particularly for comparison and discount retailers, which are difficult to provide for within the constraints of Richmond and Leyburn. Catterick Garrison Town Centre's role is therefore to complement Richmond and Leyburn Town Centres and supplement the District's existing retail, leisure and commercial offer. To enable the retention of some of this spend and support the achievement of a sustainable rural economy (Spatial Principle SP3) there is a need to provide opportunities for larger format comparison goods stores. The Garrison area provides the District with such opportunities in the Gough Road / Richmondshire Walk / Shute Road / Richmond Road area. It is capable of supporting larger format stores (over 200 m²), particularly for comparison and discount retailers, which are difficult to provide for within the constraints of Richmond. The Garrison town centre currently has an important role for retail and leisure. However, as considered above, it offers significant opportunities to expand on the District's retail offer which cannot be physically met within the District's other town centres of Richmond and Leyburn. The role of the Garrison area is therefore to complement the role and function of Richmond and become a vibrant principal town which supplements the existing retail, leisure and commercial offer in the District, enabling the diversion of some of the trade currently being leaked.

Comment [m4]: M/4/CP9/03

4.9.8 The Local Plan Core Strategy seeks to protect and enhance the role of each of the District's centres. Development proposals providing over 500 m² of retail and town centre uses, including offices which support the commercial function of the town, will be expected to demonstrate therefore that they will not have an adverse impact on any centres within the catchment of the proposed development.

* based on typical store format/size that could currently be accommodated within Richmond, the Garrison should be seeking to accommodate stores of a size and format which cannot typically be found within Richmond, in order to support the achievement of a complementary role rather than undermining the current role and function of the principal town of Richmond.


4.9.9 ~~Town centre boundaries, primary and, where relevant, secondary frontages and the uses appropriate to the frontages will be defined for the centres of Richmond, Catterick Garrison and Leyburn in later planning policy documents, in line with national policy. This will be based on up-to-date surveys and analysis. The primary and secondary frontages for Richmond, identified in Policy 83 of the Richmondshire Local Plan, will be saved for the interim period until replaced by those in the proposed Delivering Development Plan. NPPF Annex 2 defines the range of Main Town Centre Uses. Town Centre boundaries encompassing these uses and including primary and secondary frontages are defined where relevant for Richmond, Catterick Garrison and Leyburn in the following maps. The Council will seek to prevent the loss of retail uses (A1) in the primary frontages to maintain the vitality and viability of the centres. The Primary frontage in Catterick Garrison will include units in the proposed Town Centre Development upon its completion. Town centre healthchecks will be incorporated in to the annual monitoring process to ensure that the vitality and viability of the town centres at Richmond, Catterick Garrison and Leyburn can be closely monitored.~~

Comment [m5]: M/4/CP9/04

Comment [m6]: M/4/CP9/05

4.9.10 Where retail and town centre uses are proposed outside of these town centres (or the primary retail areas for retail uses) development of over 500 m² will only be supported in line with criteria 3(a), (b) and (c). The threshold of 500 m² has been set taking into account the scale of current retail provision and size of unit typically found within the centres, and reflects the nature of shopping facilities in the District. In line with the NPPF, any proposal under criterion 3(b) will be required to assess the impact of the development on town centre vitality and viability, including local consumer choice and trade, for up to 10 years from the time an application is made.

4.9.11 The Council recognises the role that local services and amenities provide in supporting the overall economy and the function of the District's centres. Therefore, support will be given for proposals for small retail facilities that are of an appropriate scale to the function of the settlement, and where they provide the most sustainable option in villages that are remote from local services, serving the day to day needs of the immediate locality and would support the rural economy.



© Crown copyright and database right 2014. Ordnance Survey Licence number 100018642

Figure 16: Leyburn Town Centre

Core Policy CP10: Developing Tourism

- 4.10.1 The NPPF (Policy 3) supports sustainable rural tourism, and recognises that it is vital to many rural economies. Encouragement is given to plans which support the provision and expansion of tourist and visitor facilities in appropriate locations, where the identified need is not met by existing facilities in rural service centres. It seeks to carefully balance the need, viability and environmental concerns, such as character of the countryside, with benefits to rural businesses, communities and visitors.
- 4.10.2 The local focus, through Core Policy CP10, is largely on supporting qualitative rather than quantitative improvements and on promoting sustainable tourism, drawing on the area's countryside and heritage assets, provided it does not cause harm to the local environment and its essential character. The major local tourism assets lie in the high quality of the existing natural and built environment. It is therefore important that new developments build on these inherent strengths and character. They should be compatible in scale and type with the local environment, which is generally small scale, low key and with low impact, both environmentally and socially. There may also be the opportunity to provide more medium to large scale proposals, particularly relating to tourist accommodation. These types of proposals are most likely to be located within the main settlements of the District. Schemes should also be ecologically sound and likely to have a long term economic value.

Core Policy CP10: Developing Tourism

Tourist related activities will be encouraged where they make a sustainable contribution to the local economy, do not have a detrimental impact on and, where possible, enhance the local environment and landscape. Particular priority will be given to supporting improvements to the range and quality of facilities and to redevelopment and conversion schemes rather than new building.

Medium to large scale hotel accommodation within the larger settlements of the settlement hierarchy such as Richmond, Catterick Garrison and Leyburn will be supported where a need is identified and such a proposal does not adversely affect the character and appearance of the settlement.

In rural areas, new tourist accommodation, including the location of caravans (static and touring), chalet accommodation and camp sites, should be small scale, low key and low impact, and will only be supported in the countryside if it does not adversely affect the character and appearance of the area, taking account of the capacity of the site and local area to absorb the development.

Core Policy CP11: Supporting Community, Cultural and Recreation Assets

Comment [m1]: M/4/CP11/0
1

4.11.1 The Government's objective is to create strong, vibrant and healthy communities with accessible local services and places to meet, that reflects community needs and supports well-being. Community, cultural, amenity and recreational assets all are critically important resources for the District, in terms of promoting healthy lifestyles and supporting the well-being of sustainable communities. This policy aims to protect, maintain, strengthen and enhance community and recreation assets and to ensure that new development creates place to meet to interact, formally and informally, inside buildings and outdoors. The aim is to facilitate social interaction and create inclusive communities by maintaining and delivering the right community and recreation assets that meet and promote the needs and health and well-being of existing and growing or enlarged communities.

4.11.2 The Settlement Hierarchy established in Spatial Principle SP2 is based on a network of communities with access to a range of services and facilities throughout the hierarchy. A Settlement Facilities Study was conducted in 2011 which shows a network of services and facilities that supports the population and a great deal of community life, social interaction and well-being in our local communities. In general, resources available and accessible to residents throughout the plan area are limited. Over recent years the network has been under pressure with the loss of some facilities. Loss of facilities is particularly a problem for rural communities due to distance to other facilities, dispersal and isolation. Despite this a re-assessment of local sporting facilities (Settlement Facilities Sporting Supplement 2013) using established Sport England methods does not show a deficit in provision. The Settlement Facilities Study will be updated through the annual monitoring process in order to monitor the change in circumstances of all types of community assets and the extent to which they contribute to local needs.

Comment [m2]: M/4/CP11/0
2

4.11.3 Community Assets include village halls or meeting rooms, local shops and post offices, convenience stores, nurseries, care homes, places of worship, public houses, cultural buildings and other key local commercial facilities such as petrol filling stations, local services such as doctors surgeries, libraries and schools as well as sports and recreation facilities. A Register of Community Assets is also to be produced under the Localism Act 2011. All entries in the Study and Register are community assets and all applications affecting them and other assets of value to the community, are covered by this policy.

Comment [m3]: M/4/CP11/0
3

4.11.4 Open spaces, and access to them, for sport, play, recreation and amenity underpin people's quality of life and well-being. They all have a vital role in promoting healthy living and preventing illness. There is a need to provide sufficient recreational and amenity open space to meet the needs of existing residents and those needs arising from future developments. Well planned facilities provide for social interaction and help build community cohesion. Open space should be taken to mean all open space of public value, including not just land, but also areas of water such as rivers and lakes which offer important opportunities for sport and recreation and can also act as a visual amenity. They include areas for formal sport or active recreational activities such as parks, allotments, play areas, playing pitches, tennis courts, bowling greens, golf courses and other areas for informal activities such as green corridors, spaces, active street frontages, village greens and verges.

Core Policy CP11: Supporting Community, Cultural and Recreation Assets

Comment [m4]: M/4/CP11/0
1

1. Support will be given to proposals that help create, protect, retain or enhance community, cultural and recreational assets (land and/or buildings) that:

Comment [m5]: M/4/CP11/0
1

- a. improve access to assets by non-car modes of transport;
- b. improve assets;
- c. provide additional assets;
- d. retain assets where there is scarcity;
- e. improve community well-being;
- f. improve the safety and accessibility of assets;
- g. improve the mix of uses in a development which encourage social interaction; and
- h. promote the role of settlements in the Settlement Hierarchy.

2. Proposals involving the loss or alternative development of existing community, cultural and recreational assets will only be supported where there is evidence that:

Comment [m6]: M/4/CP11/0
1

- a. the asset is no longer required and is redundant;
- b. it is no longer, or cannot be made, viable;
- c. satisfactory alternative provision can be made that outweighs the loss; or
- d. the proposal is for a new community, cultural or recreational asset, the need for which outweighs the loss and has the support of the wider community.

Comment [m7]: M/4/CP11/0
1

3. All new development will be expected to:

- a. plan positively to ensure the provision and integration of sufficient quality community, cultural and recreational assets for existing and future occupiers and recreational facilities, including formal and informal, equipped and unequipped areas for open space, sport and recreation and links to Public Rights of Way; and
- b. make provision, or contribute towards the provision, of new or enhanced assets to meet identified needs of new development or expanded communities; and locate buildings and land for new community, cultural and recreation assets where they will be well served by public transport and accessible by walking and cycling.

Comment [m8]: M/4/CP11/0
1

Comment [m9]: M/4/CP11/0
1

Applications involving a loss or change of use of assets (and particularly those identified in a Register of Community Assets) and applications for new development generating additional needs and demands will be required to be accompanied by an assessment of provision and need.

4.11.5. New housing must provide sufficient quantity and quality of accessible and

safe open space within the site boundary for the enlarged community, unless the Council has approved offsite provision, enhancement or funding in lieu of provision. ~~In addition to the Settlement Facilities Study 2011 the Council has a 2010 sporting facilities audit and indoor activities record of existing play and sport facilities/activities including village halls.~~ The Fields In Trust 'Planning and Design for Outdoor Sport and Play' (2008), formerly the National Playing Fields Association "Six Acre Standard", provides minimum national standards for play and recreation space. ~~Until such time as the Council produces its Delivering Development Plan new planning policy or guidance setting local standards for open space, the Council will use these documents, together with any other relevant local data available at the time of assessment, including Registers of Local Green Space produced by communities through Local or Neighbourhood Plans under the Localism Act 2011, to guide the assessment of the requirements for open space. New development must also provide safe and attractive areas for the Public Right of Way network, including new links where appropriate.~~ The future Delivering Development Plan document will seek to allocate areas for open space, sports and recreation facilities where necessary. This will be informed by an updated quantitative and qualitative assessment of open space, sports and recreation facilities and will include the identification of surpluses and shortfalls in the supply of these facilities at settlement level.

Comment [m10]: M/4/CP11/04

Comment [m11]: M/4/CP11/04

Comment [m12]: M/4/CP11/04

Comment [m13]: M/4/CP11/05

4.11.6 The loss or change of use of assets (land or buildings) which provide community, cultural or recreation facilities must be fully justified. An assessment which demonstrates that a community, cultural or recreational asset has no viable future or that is redundant will be required for planning applications that involve loss of, or compromise the quality and quantity of land or buildings used for those purposes, or compromise a community's ability to meet its day to day needs. Assessments may be required to include an up-to-date local needs survey and/or community consultation exercise to demonstrate the views of the wider community together with evidence of usage of facilities. It may also include, where appropriate, evidence demonstrating that the existing building or land cannot be used for another community, cultural or recreational use with an identified need in the area, or that suitable alternative provision is made in a timely way. Applications for new development generating additional needs and demands will be required to be accompanied by an assessment of provision and need to demonstrate that adequate provision will be provided for the new or expanded community and that the quality and quantity of the relevant assets will be adequate.

Comment [m14]: M/4/CP11/01

Comment [m15]: M/4/CP11/01

Comment [m16]: M/4/CP11/01

4.11.7 By designating land as Local Green Space local communities will be able to rule out new development other than in very special circumstances. Identifying land as Local Green Space should be consistent with Core Policy CP11.

Core Policy CP12: Conserving and Enhancing Environmental and Historic Assets

- 4.12.1 As the Strategic Objectives indicate, conserving and where possible enhancing the very high quality of the plan area's environmental and historic assets must be major concerns of the plan. The quality and diversity of these assets – whether the landscape, rural heritage and culture, built heritage, green infrastructure or biodiversity – are what makes the plan area truly distinctive, and essential aspects help to determine the quality of life in this part of the country. Heritage assets are an irreplaceable resource and should be conserved in a manner appropriate to their significance so that they can be enjoyed for their contribution to the quality of life of this and future generations.
- 4.12.2 The plan area's environmental and historic assets are very diverse. The environmental assets are set out within Chapter 2 of this Local Plan Core Strategy document. The plan area contains a large number of archaeological sites - over 1,200 recorded sites being within the North Yorkshire Historic Environmental Record (HER). There are 41 designated Conservation Areas, and over 1,200 listed buildings and structures. In addition to formally recognised built heritage, there are many other undesignated buildings or features of genuine quality which enrich the urban and rural environments, contributing to the special character of the plan area, such as the archaeologically sensitive historic cores of both Richmond and Middleham.
- 4.12.3 There is a strong policy context for the development of the plan's approach to these assets. Current national guidance provides the context for conserving and enhancing our environmental and historic assets. The role of the development plan is to provide local interpretation and definition of how our distinct local assets should be conserved and enhanced.
- 4.12.4 The NPPF requires the Council to set out within its Local Plan, a positive strategy for the conservation and enjoyment of the historic environment, including heritage assets most at risk through neglect, decay or other threats. Richmondshire's strategy for the historic environment is to support development and measures which conserve and enhance our environmental and historic assets; to reject detrimental developments and activities; to secure mitigation or compensation/recording measures where appropriate; to provide support for green infrastructure; and to show how details of this approach are to be addressed in the future.
- 4.12.5 It is the intention of the Local Authority to produce a separate Heritage Strategy, which will set the priorities for management of the plan area's historic environment. This will include the production of a 'Buildings at Risk' Register and a Local List of non-designated

heritage assets as well as work with English Heritage to revise and update the National Heritage List for England.

4.12.6 Green infrastructure is an important element of sustainable communities and although in some ways more relevant to urban areas, it also relates to the rural environment. Establishing the strategic and locally important elements of the plan area's rich green infrastructure will be an important responsibility of the plan, which will be defined in the Delivering Development Plan. Green infrastructure works at different levels so that in the wider countryside it is often viewed at a larger scale, encompassing large country estates or parks, extensive habitats, major landscape features such as river corridors and flood meadows, landscapes, along with the identification of wide green corridors and ecological networks.

Comment [j1]: M/4/CP12/04

4.12.7 The strategic green infrastructure corridors identified by Natural England within the plan area are along the River Ure; the Swale Valley; the Tees Valley; the Skeeby – Newsham corridor; the Ravensworth – Forcett – Cliffe corridor; the Scorton – Croft corridor and the Catterick Village – Leyburn corridor.

4.12.8 The urban area of Catterick Garrison is particularly notable for its green infrastructure, which is made up of corridors of open spaces, recreation areas and trees which flow through the urban area to compliment the regionally important corridors identified by Natural England. The agricultural countryside north of Catterick Road between Colburn Town, Colburn Village and Hipswell contributes to the overall openness of Catterick Garrison and acts as a buffer to the old village of Colburn and the landscape assets north of the River Swale. Detailed land use allocations and revised settlement development limits will formally define the extent of this area taking into account the impact of former military activity in this vicinity. Until the Delivering Development Plan is prepared, the Council will consider development proposals that are well related to the strategic site search area illustrated in Figure 8. Where such proposals impact on this area they should be designed to remediate areas of former military activity, and enhance the surrounding green infrastructure. In the urban area of Richmond, The Batts and Round Howe to the west of the town are particularly important.

Comment [j2]: M/4/CP12/05

Core Policy CP12: Conserving and Enhancing Environmental and Historic Assets

1. Development or other initiatives will be supported where they conserve and enhance the significance of the plan area's natural and man-made, designated or undesignated assets. Particular support will be given to initiatives to improve the natural environment where it is poor and lacking in diversity. Development will not be supported which:

- a. has a detrimental impact upon the significance of a natural or man-made asset;
- b. is inconsistent with the principles of an assets proper management.

2. Necessary mitigation or compensatory measures must be provided to address any potential harmful implications of development. This approach will apply to specific assets as follows:

- a. within the Nidderdale and the North Pennines Areas of Outstanding Natural Beauty which cover parts of East Witton and Muker Parishes respectively, priority will be given to the conservation and enhancement of the natural beauty of the landscape;
- b. the landscape character of the plan area will be maintained, enhanced and, where appropriate, restored to ensure a sustainable future for the natural environment;
- c. the biodiversity and geodiversity of the plan area will be maintained, enhanced and, where appropriate, restored to ensure a sustainable future for the natural environment in support of the Yorkshire and Humber Regional Biodiversity Strategy, the North Yorkshire Biodiversity Action Plan and the Richmondshire Biodiversity Action Plan;
- d. the green infrastructure network of the plan area will be protected and, where appropriate, enhanced to provide a high quality, accessible, diverse and well-connected network of green space to meet the needs of the community, businesses and visitors. The key green infrastructure network includes:

- I. playing fields, outdoor sports facilities, play parks and amenity green space;
- II. the Coast to Coast walk and views from it;
- III. corridors of green space, recreation areas and trees which flow through urban areas (particularly Catterick Garrison and Leyburn);
- IV. the gap between the settlements of Leyburn and Harmby, and Colburn and Hipswell;
- V. village greens and common land;
- VI. allotments, cemeteries, churchyards and civic spaces;
- VII. woodlands, scrubland, grassland, wetland, running water, wasteland, open land and parks and gardens, river banks, cycle ways and the Public Rights of Way network.

- e. designated heritage assets will be conserved and where appropriate enhanced to reinstate features of historic character to compensate for the necessary loss or alteration of other features.

3. The qualities of the historic assets across the plan area will be conserved and, where appropriate, enhanced to maintain Richmondshire's distinctive local character and sense of place. Particular attention will be given to designated heritage assets (Conservation Areas, Listed Buildings, Scheduled Monuments, Registered Parks and Gardens and Registered Battlefields), and their

~~settings as well as undesignated and locally designated heritage assets. Development within or affecting the feature or its setting should seek to conserve or enhance all aspects that contribute to its character and appearance.~~

~~4. Consideration of development proposals will also need to take into account the objective of securing the long term existence of the heritage asset. In this context, enabling development of a type or form not normally considered acceptable in the particular location (site or buildings), may be considered where all other alternatives have been explored, and the development or use proposed is the only practical means of securing the sympathetic retention of the feature.~~

Comment [m3]: M/4/CP12/0
1

4.12.9 Within the small areas of the Nidderdale and the North Pennines AONBs, development which would be inconsistent with the purpose of this policy will not be acceptable unless clear evidence is brought forward to show that it needs to be located in the AONB because of a lack of suitable sites elsewhere. Any new development will be expected to attain the highest standards of design in accordance with Core Policy CP13.

4.12.10 When considering matters affecting landscape assets, particular regard will be given to the following key landscapes:

- gritstone high plateaus
- vale fringes
- vale farmland with dispersed settlements
- settled vale farmland
- limestone dales
- river floodplains

4.12.11 The approach will take account of Natural England's Joint Character Areas; the North Yorkshire and York Landscape Characterisation Project; and more detailed local landscape character and enhancement. The Core Strategy is designed to provide a strategic policy framework with further detail and site specific matters to be addressed in the forthcoming Delivering Development Plan. That document will contain detailed policies and proposals maps for the various components of the local ecological network, including opportunities for habitat restoration and creation.

Comment [m4]: M/4/CP12/0
1

4.12.12 Prior to preparation of the Delivering Development Plan, when considering matters affecting biodiversity and geodiversity assets, particular regard will be given to the following key sites, habitats and species commensurate with their status and giving appropriate weight to their importance and the contribution that they make to wider ecological networks:

Comment [m5]: M/4/CP12/0
1

Internationally Designated Sites

Comment [m6]: M/4/CP12/0
1

Comment [m7]: M/4/CP12/0
1

- European Natura 2000 sites of the North Pennines Dales Meadows Special Area of Conservation (SAC) and the North Pennine Moors Special Protection Area (SPA) & SAC

Nationally Designated Sites

- Sites of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI)

Comment [m8]: M/4/CP12/01

Locally Designated Sites

- local Sites of Importance for Nature Conservation (SINC)
- the Foxglove Covert Local Nature Reserve

Comment [m9]: M/4/CP12/01

Locally Important Sites, Habitats and Species

- the Tees, Swale and Ure river corridors
- the upland areas of Kexwith Moor, Holgate Moor, Holgate Pasture, Hurst Moor, Marrick Moor, Redmire Moor, Preston Moor, Stainton Moor and Bellerby Moor
- the network of nature conservation resources including ancient and broadleaved woodland, semi-improved grassland, flushes and marshy grassland, ponds and open water, hedgerows, cliffs and rock faces
- priority habitats – woodland, lowland wood pasture, parkland and veteran trees, upland hay meadow, flood plain grassland, upland calcareous grassland, species rich grassland, upland heathland and blanket bog, moorland edge, fen, reedbed, flowing water and standing water
- priority species – otter, water vole, bats, black grouse and curlew.

Comment [m10]: M/4/CP12/01

4.12.13 The integrity of Natura 2000 sites shall be maintained and protected in accordance with their statutory protection. Development or other initiatives that have the potential to adversely affect the integrity of these sites, either in isolation or in combination with other development, plans or strategies, will not be supported unless it can be demonstrated that the legislative provisions to protect such sites can be fully met. This applies to proposed development and initiatives within and outside of the boundaries of the designated Natura 2000 sites. The impact of development and initiatives on the integrity of Natura 2000 sites [up to 20km](#) outside of the plan area should be equally considered.

Comment [m11]: M/4/CP12/01

4.12.14 The Biodiversity Action Plan (BAP) for Richmondshire was prepared in 2005. It provides a great deal of detailed information which is important in terms of developing the plan's approach to our environmental assets. In particular, it places an emphasis on 'priority habitats' such as lowland wood pasture and on 'priority species' such as the Curlew. Within the BAP there are individual action plans for twelve types of habitat and for five priority species. Reference should also be made to the regional Biodiversity Opportunity Areas Map when considering cross boundary issues of biodiversity importance.

4.12.15 There will be opportunity as part of new developments to enhance sustainable access to the towns and countryside in the form walking, cycling and riding routes. Focussing on this can contribute to the health and wellbeing of residents, drive tourism through improved access to surrounding countryside and link people by sustainable means to important goods and services in principal towns.

4.12.16 When considering matters affecting historic assets, particular regard will be given to the following list and also to any SPD covering such issues:

- those elements which contribute to the special architectural or historic interest of Richmondshire's designated Conservation Areas and their settings as identified in the respective Conservation Area Appraisal;
- ~~major archaeological sites at Cataractonium, Stanwick Fortifications, Jervaulx Abbey, Dere Street and Scots Dyke as well as other recorded sites of archaeological importance across the plan area;~~
- the pre-Roman heritage of the plan area such as Stanwick Fortifications and Scots Dyke;
- the Roman forts and civilian settlements at Cataractonium and along the line of Dere Street;
- other recorded sites of archaeological importance across the plan area and the archaeologically sensitive areas in and around the historic cores of Richmond and Middleham;
- the medieval heritage including the network of impressive fortifications such as Middleham and Richmond Castles, monastic houses such as Jervaulx Abbey and abandoned settlements and field-systems including Walburn Hall;
- buildings and structures included on the National Heritage List for England;
- opportunities to secure a sustainable future for assets sites on English Heritage's Heritage at Risk Register together with buildings or which may in the future be included on a Local At Risk Register;
- undesignated heritage assets which contribute to the overall character of the area;
- the character of Market Towns, including their grain, plot layouts and passageways;
- historic shop fronts in Richmond, Middleham and Leyburn as well as some villages;
- locally important boundary walls, hedges or railings, historic passageways and yards, important trees and tree groups, pinfolds, troughs, pumps, mounting blocks, pillar boxes, K6 telephone kiosks, orchards and historic plot layouts;
- historic public viewpoints from Richmond Castle Keep; Castle Walk, Richmond; Frenchgate Head, Richmond; Maison Dieu,

Comment [m12]: M/4/CP12/01

Comment [m13]: M/4/CP12/01

Comment [m14]: M/4/CP12/01

Richmond; the Grandstand on Richmond's former Racecourse; Slegill, south of Richmond; Middleham Castle Keep; and The Shawl, Leyburn;

- sites designated by English Heritage as Registered Parks and Gardens at Aske Hall, Constable Burton Hall, Forcett Hall, St. Nicholas, the Temple Lodge Grounds and Middleton Lodge;
- the landscape, buildings and other structures associated with and other local country estates including Croft Hall and Halnaby, Barningham Estate, Cliffe Hall, Bolton Estate, East Witton Estate and Hornby Castle.

Comment [m15]: M/4/CP12/01

Core Policy CP12: Conserving and Enhancing Environmental and Historic Assets

Development or other initiatives will be supported where they conserve and enhance the significance of the plan area's natural and man-made, designated or undesignated assets. ~~Particular support will be given to initiatives to improve the natural environment where it is poor and lacking in diversity.~~ Development will not be supported which:

Comment [m16]: M/4/CP12/01

- a. has a detrimental impact upon the significance of a natural or man-made asset;
- b. is inconsistent with the principles of an asset's proper management.

Environmental Assets

2. 1 Where avoidance of adverse impacts is not possible, necessary mitigation ~~or compensatory measures~~ must be provided to address any potential harmful implications of development. Where adequate mitigation measures are not possible, compensatory measures will be required. This approach will apply to specific assets as follows:

Comment [m17]: M/4/CP12/01

- a. within the Nidderdale and the North Pennines Areas of Outstanding Natural Beauty which cover parts of East Witton and Muker Parishes respectively, priority will be given to the conservation and enhancement of the natural beauty of the landscape;
- b. the landscape character of the plan area will be maintained, enhanced and, where appropriate, restored to ensure a sustainable future for the natural and historic environment;
- c. the biodiversity and geodiversity of the plan area will be maintained, enhanced and, where appropriate, restored to ensure a sustainable future for the natural environment in support of the Yorkshire and Humber Regional Biodiversity Strategy, the North Yorkshire Biodiversity Action Plan, and the Richmondshire Biodiversity Action Plan and the Humber River Basin Management Plan. Particular support will be

Comment [m18]: M/4/CP12/01

Comment [m19]: M/4/CP12/02

given to initiatives to improve the natural environment where it is poor and lacking in diversity;

d. the green infrastructure network of the plan area will be protected and, where appropriate, enhanced to provide a high quality, accessible, diverse and well-connected network of green space to meet the needs of the community, businesses and visitors. The key green infrastructure network includes:

- i playing fields, outdoor sports facilities, play parks and amenity green space;
- ii the Coast to Coast walk and views from it;
- iii corridors of green space, recreation areas and trees which flow through urban areas (particularly Catterick Garrison and Leyburn);
- iv the gap between the settlements of Leyburn and Harmby, and ~~Colburn and Hipswell~~; the agricultural countryside between Colburn Town, Colburn Village and Hipswell;
- v village greens and common land;
- vi allotments, cemeteries, churchyards and civic spaces;
- vii woodlands, scrubland, grassland, wetland, running water, wasteland, open land and parks and gardens, river banks, cycle ways and the Public Rights of Way network;
- viii sites important for biodiversity and geodiversity referred to at Paragraph 4.12.12.

~~e. designated heritage assets will be conserved and where appropriate enhanced to reinstate features of historic character to compensate for the necessary loss or alteration of other features~~

Historic Assets

~~3. The qualities of the historic assets across the plan area will be conserved and, where appropriate, enhanced to maintain Richmondshire's distinctive local character and sense of place. Particular attention will be given to designated heritage assets (Conservation Areas, Listed Buildings, Scheduled Monuments, Registered Parks and Gardens and Registered Battlefields), and their settings as well as undesignated and locally designated heritage assets. Development within or affecting the feature or its setting should seek to conserve or enhance all aspects that contribute to its character and appearance.~~

1. Those elements which contribute to the significance of the heritage assets across the Plan area will be conserved and, where appropriate, enhanced. Particular attention will be paid to those assets referred to in Paragraph 4.12.16 which make a particularly important contribution to the character and sense of place of Richmondshire.

2. Where a proposal is likely to result in harm to the significance of a designated heritage asset and there are compelling

Comment [m20]: M/4/CP12/01

Comment [j21]: M/4/CP12/03

Comment [m22]: M/4/CP12/01

reasons for allowing that development, opportunities will be sought to offset this harm by ensuring that other elements which contribute to the significance of that particular asset are enhanced or their significance better revealed.

Comment [m23]: M/4/CP12/01

- 4 3. Consideration of development proposals will also need to take into account the objective of securing the long term existence of the heritage asset. This is particularly the case for those assets which have been identified as being at risk. In this context, Enabling development ~~of a type or form not normally~~ may be considered acceptable in the particular location (site or buildings), ~~may be considered~~ where all other alternatives have been explored, and the development or use proposed is the only practical means of securing the ~~sympathetic retention of the feature~~ future conservation of a heritage asset.

Comment [m24]: M/4/CP12/01

Core Policy CP13: Promoting High Quality Design

- 4.13.1 Good design is a key element in sustainable development. Accordingly, reflected in the Strategic Objectives, the plan will promote high quality design, both in terms of built development, including the standard of architecture, and in securing the best environment through landscape design. It is an aspiration of the Council to raise the standard of design in all proposals. Supported by the strong commitment to apply the policies that protect historic and environmental assets, the plan will seek to ensure that development in the plan area enhances and protects its local distinctiveness, visual quality and quality of life. At the individual development level, the plan will promote buildings that are sustainable in construction and use. Good design is essential in achieving genuine sustainable development. It need not result in additional costs (e.g. it should not render housing unaffordable) and in the longer term will pay dividends. On a wider scale, the plan will encourage proposals that are designed to assist vitality and create a definable 'sense of place' in communities and neighbourhoods.
- 4.13.2 All new buildings and spaces must enhance and respect their surroundings and contribute towards the local identity. Developments must be of the appropriate scale, density, massing, height and materials for their location as well as provide suitable access, landscaping and conform to the design principles set out in Core Policy CP13. Public art may also make a significant contribution in enhancing local character and identity and will be encouraged wherever appropriate.
- 4.13.3 ~~In addition to the provisions of this policy, all those proposing development will be strongly advised to consult national design policy through the NPPF (Policy 7) and current Government guidance on design matters as well as local community guidelines such as Village Design Statements, Parish Plans, Conservation Area Appraisals and Local and Neighbourhood Plans. In accordance with national policy, the Council will require applicants to work closely with those directly affected by their proposals. The outcomes of this will be expected to be submitted within a Design Statement when making planning applications. This must also show how the proposal's design has evolved and how it responds to the context of its surroundings.~~ Development proposals should consider prevailing national and local design guidance. This should include where relevant NPPF, Neighbourhood Plans, Supplementary Planning Documents, Village Design Statements and Conservation Area Appraisals. In line with national policy, the Council expects applicants to work closely with those directly affected by their proposals. The outcomes of this will be expected to be submitted within a Design Statement when making planning applications. This must also show how the proposal's design has evolved and how it responds to the context of its surroundings.
- 4.13.4 Although Richmondshire is one of the safest areas in the country, there is no room for complacency. Crime and community safety – and as important – the fear of crime, remain amongst the public's top concerns. This high priority is also reflected in the Local Plan Core Strategy and its importance is expressed within Core Policy CP13.
- 4.13.5 The design of buildings and spaces can make a major contribution towards reducing the scope for crime, and create reassuring living environments. Open amenity spaces used for walking, sport and children's play must be designed to be as safe as possible and believed to be safe. The design and

Comment [m1]: M/4/CP13/0
1

layout of new developments should follow national advice, such as ‘Secured By Design’ guidance. Good design takes account of the scope to provide for public spaces to be overlooked by neighbours, and for the incorporation of potential escape routes. Elements of designing out crime are possible to achieve in almost all public spaces – including housing estates, town centres and industrial areas. However, a wide range of factors need to be considered when creating new developments and spaces. Design solely to counter the risk of crime should not be the over-riding consideration in the design and layout of new developments and spaces. Core Policy CP13 is intended to strike a balance between these competing requirements whilst ensuring that high quality design is not sacrificed out of hand for functional needs. A further consideration is that high quality design can have a positive impact on minimising light pollution from new development, particularly in the more rural areas of the plan area. Core Policy CP13 also seeks to address this issue.

- 4.13.6 Core Policy CP13 provides a checklist for new proposals in order to deliver the high quality design of developments. The selected design approaches to form, function, materials, security and sustainable construction are all elements which can contribute to high quality design results, enhancing not only the visual appearance of proposals but also how they interact with existing development, surrounding landscapes and operate in their particular use or function. This policy seeks to encourage developers to balance all these needs in a well considered and clear design solution.

Core Policy CP13: Promoting High Quality Design

High quality design of both buildings and landscaping is a priority in all development proposals. Support will be given for proposals that:

- a. provide a visually attractive, functional, accessible and low maintenance development;**
- b. respect and enhance the local context and its special qualities, including its design features, landscape, social activities, historic environment and nationally and locally recognised designations;**
- c. optimise the potential of the site;**
- d. minimise the use of scarce resources;**
- e. adopt sustainable construction principles;**
- f. facilitate access through sustainable forms of transport;**
- g. secure improvements to public spaces and incorporate public art, where appropriate.**

Design of all developments (including transport schemes) must take account of the need to promote safe living environments and reduce the opportunities for crime and the fear of crime, disorder and anti-social behaviour. A balance should be made to limit the impact of light pollution from artificial light on local amenity, intrinsically dark landscapes and areas of nature conservation.

Development proposals should be supported by a Design Statement.

Comment [m2]: M/4/CP13/0
2

Core Policy CP14: Providing and Delivering Infrastructure

- 4.14.1 A good, working infrastructure is crucial to the well-being of any society. From the roads, railways, footpaths and cyclepaths that criss-cross the District to the pipes and cables below ground that provide water, gas and telecommunications, a fully operational, well-planned and well-maintained infrastructure network has to be at the heart of good planning, now and in the future.
- 4.14.2 The provision of this physical infrastructure will be a crucial element in achieving the implementation of the policies and proposals in the Local Plan Core Strategy. Any additional or necessary infrastructure must be phased to take into account the timing of development and must be funded in advance of its delivery, be fit for purpose (i.e. to adoptable standards for the responsible body) and be able to cater for not only the provision of existing and proposed development but also a level of future development beyond the plan period.
- 4.14.3 The provision of social and green infrastructure is also required to deliver the overall strategy. Social infrastructure is wide ranging and can include the many activities and facilities that support a community, such as community centres, and organisations like residents associations and mother and toddler groups. Green infrastructure encompasses the green network, everything from parks and open spaces to allotments.

Core Policy CP14: Providing and Delivering Infrastructure

The Council will work with statutory undertakers, utility companies and other agencies to develop an Infrastructure Delivery Plan (IDP) for the Local Plan Core Strategy so as to ensure delivery of adequate infrastructure to serve development and support the local economy.

Any adverse impacts arising from new infrastructure should be minimised. Decisions on the provision of infrastructure should be taken on the basis of environmental sustainability as well as cost.

Development should provide, or enable the provision of, the infrastructure made necessary by that development. Where it cannot be provided directly, developer contributions will be required to meet the reasonable costs of the provision of new infrastructure made necessary by the development. These requirements will be identified and detailed in the Infrastructure Delivery Plan (IDP) and contributions will be calculated through the associated Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) Charging Schedule.

All infrastructure improvements and investments should be delivered by development, or through developer contributions, in a timely manner and to the required adoptable standards as specified by the relevant responsible organisation or authority.

- 4.14.4 The Infrastructure Delivery Plan (IDP) identifies the key strategic infrastructure which will be required within Richmondshire in order to deliver the specified level of development for the plan period up to 2028. Core Policy CP14 provides the policy link to these identified infrastructure schemes within the

IDP and the requirement to seek contributions from developers through development via the Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL). Further details about the CIL will be provided in the Richmondshire CIL Charging Schedule, expected to be adopted ~~in 2013~~ **by 2015**.

Comment [m1]: M/4/CP14/0
1

CHAPTER 5: Implementation and Delivery

Implementation

- 5.1 The preceding chapters have explained the challenges faced in the plan area, a future vision and the principles and policies designed to deliver it. This chapter explains how the Local Plan Core Strategy will be put into practice helping to deliver change in the plan area. It includes the Infrastructure Delivery Plan (IDP), which contains the necessary ingredients for delivery, their providers and feasibility. It explains the approach to monitoring and review helping to ensure that the plan remains on course.
- 5.2 The Local Plan Core Strategy does not simply express the District Council's views. Its successful delivery requires it to be implemented in partnership, with several organisations helping to co-ordinate investment and action. These include members of the Local Strategic Partnership, the County Council the Ministry of Defence, other Government departments and agencies, public utility providers, and the private sector. The views and support of these partners have been actively sought to ensure that the Local Plan Core Strategy is the best approach, helps to deliver their own strategies and addresses challenges through joint action.
- 5.3 The Local Plan Core Strategy is designed to be resilient to external influences and the right approach for a variety of economic circumstances. The full range of such external circumstances cannot be gauged now, but Spatial Principle SP2 on the settlement hierarchy and the distribution of growth under Spatial Principles SP4 and SP5 provide flexibility whatever the actual scale of development. The Strategic Housing and Employment Land Availability Assessment (SHELAA, June 2010) provides evidence of a range of suitable development opportunities capable of providing for different scales of development to be brought forward according to the provisions of the plan.
- 5.4 The Local Plan Core Strategy's implementation will require timely action to ensure it remains on track. The development management process can help to co-ordinate proposals to ensure achievement of plan objectives. Land in the ownership of key partners, and their investment programmes and service delivery strategies will be related to Local Plan delivery objectives. This will enable a joint response to stimulate needed development, or ensure that the scope for critically important, but perhaps scarce, pump-priming investment can be used to the best effect.
- 5.5 The plan or specific elements of it will be formally reviewed every 5 years from its start date of April 2012 to ensure that it stays on course and remains responsive to local and wider conditions. In these reviews adjustments to the scale and direction of development will be considered in the light of development progress, land availability assessment and market conditions. ~~periodically and to see if it is leading to outcomes significantly different from those intended.~~ Contingency arrangements are considered to be unnecessary in most of this rural area, where significant change is not expected. The exception to this is Catterick Garrison where ~~major change is expected, but is complicated by the uncertainty surrounding the scale and timing of future military-related development~~ will be affected by successive national Defence and Security Reviews, but the timing of the 5 year review cycle means that the strategy will remain alert to military policy. ~~Alternative~~

strategies are suggested to respond to different timings and scales of change, while maintaining the intended direction.

Comment [j1]: M/5/Infra/01

The Infrastructure Delivery Plan

5.6 Infrastructure provision and delivery are key issues for the Local Plan Core Strategy to ensure that the amount, type and distribution of development envisaged in the plan area is supported by necessary physical, social and green infrastructure. The number of net dwellings required for the plan period up to 2028 across the plan area is 3,060. The Local Plan Core Strategy proposes sub areas and a hierarchy of settlements as the organising basis for the scale and distribution of development in the plan area (Spatial Principles SP1 and SP2). The Principal Towns of Richmond and Catterick Garrison will accommodate the majority of housing development (70%). Leyburn is also expected to accommodate a proportion of housing development (7%) to support the needs of the surrounding Lower Wensleydale sub area. The Primary and Secondary Service Villages are expected to accommodate a limited amount (13 % and 5 % respectively) and development elsewhere will be strictly constrained (5 %) but spread over a wide area. The Local Plan Core Strategy also requires 12 hectares of land for employment development to be brought forward up to 2028. In addition, the Local Plan Core Strategy provides for military-related development for up to four extra military units and 1,440 500 more service families' homes, if required by Ministry of Defence.

Comment [j2]: M/5/Infra/02

5.7 Core Policy CP14 relates to the provision and delivery of infrastructure, the Infrastructure Delivery Plan (IDP) and the Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL). The IDP is an important supporting document which underpins the Local Plan Core Strategy and also covers the plan period up to 2028. The IDP ensures that there is the appropriate infrastructure to successfully accommodate and deliver the scale, type and distribution of development outlined above. Its main tasks are to:

- identify the relevant infrastructure and the public and private bodies responsible for its provision;
- identify existing and future infrastructure capacities;
- highlight current or future investment or improvement programmes and sources of funding;
- identify any existing or potential constraints (including gaps in funding) and 'showstoppers' with regards to the policies of the Local Plan Core Strategy including any potential solutions, and their estimated costs;
- provide a delivery plan clearly showing who is responsible for delivery, when and at what cost.

5.8 Infrastructure within the plan area is also influenced and affected by the policies, plans and strategies of other organisations and agencies, many of which have their own infrastructure-related priorities and budgets. For instance, North Yorkshire County Council (NYCC) is responsible for the local road network and the Highways Agency for the strategic road network in the plan area. The Local Plan Core Strategy's infrastructure-related policies will need to take into account the strategies, policies and budget constraints of these other organisations. Effective partnerships will also be needed with stakeholders to ensure that delivery of the Local Plan Core Strategy is supported by them where they have an impact upon the plan area.

- 5.9 The IDP's contents will be monitored annually and reviewed periodically to ensure that it, too, remains on track and to identifies any action needed.

Existing Infrastructure

- 5.10 This section looks at the current capacity of infrastructure in the plan area and identifies where improvements will be needed to provide for future development.

Highways

- 5.11 During consultation on the Local Plan Core Strategy and the continuing dialogue with the local highway authority (NYCC), no pre-existing, significant capacity issues on the road network were identified in the plan area. Concern was expressed about the capacity of the local road network in Catterick Garrison and the A6136 in particular to accommodate the proposed scale of development. The Catterick Garrison Strategic Transport Assessment (2011) investigated this in detail and identified a series of junction improvements to accommodate the growth. This work informs the IDP.

- 5.12 The A1 upgrade to motorway standard from Leeming Bar to Barton is expected to be complete by 2017. The design of the upgrade has been modelled to reflect the development growth contained within this Core Strategy. The creation of a new Catterick Central junction will significantly improve access not only to the main growth areas at Catterick Garrison but also to the wider district. This significantly improves local prospects for local economic growth, which is recognised by the Local Enterprise Partnership's recognition that Catterick Garrison has great potential for growth.

The Highways Agency (HA) raised no specific existing capacity issues affecting the strategic road network (SRN) (A1 and A66) in the plan area, in response to Local Plan Core Strategy consultation. The HA did raise general concerns about the potential impact of the scale of development on the SRN including development around Scotch Corner. The impact of growth on the strategic road network has been modelled and assessed in co-operation with the HA to ensure that it does not raise any capacity or safety issues related to the A1 route through the District, which could limit the amount of development in this area. The cancellation of the A1 upgrade through the area removes the potential for major junction improvements near Catterick Village and its impact has been accounted for in the infrastructure requirements identified along the A6136. However, it is considered that development will help to mitigate any impact. Further discussions with the HA regarding the impact of specific sites and broader locations on the SRN, and how these could be mitigated, will continue and any issues will be addressed through development and developer contributions to local highway improvements.

Comment [j3]: M/5/Infra/03

- 5.13 Although there are no existing road capacity issues in Leyburn, the proposed amount of development could raise localised traffic issues on the local road network. These issues are likely to be mitigated through specific development proposals which directly impact on the local road network.

Water Supply and Waste Water Treatment

- 5.14 Yorkshire Water supplies water and waste water treatment facilities for most of the plan area. Yorkshire Water has confirmed that overall there are no existing capacity issues and that the Yorkshire Grid System can accommodate

and supply water for the level of development proposed within the Local Plan Core Strategy. The only exception to this would be in Catterick Garrison where improvements to the mains water infrastructure may be required to accommodate planned growth.

- 5.15 Yorkshire Water has also indicated that there is sufficient existing waste water treatment capacity to accommodate ~~existing sites with planning permission~~ the scale of development expected over the first 5 years of the plan. However, ~~development on the scale proposed in the Local Plan Core Strategy~~ Longer term development would be restricted due to limited existing capacity at the larger Waste Water Treatment Works (WWTW) at Richmond and Colburn in particular. ~~Further strategic development proposals will require~~ New investment in the water infrastructure, possibly resulting in a completely new WWTW facility to serve Richmond and Catterick Garrison. The development of specific sites will need to be managed in line with the resolution of these capacity issues. ~~coordinated with~~ Yorkshire Water's future Asset Management Plan 6 (AMP6) covering the period 2015-2020, to ensure funding for improvements is available is expected to address these issues. Leyburn WWTW ~~should be able~~ has the capacity to accommodate the proposed level of growth ~~proposed in the Local Plan Core Strategy~~, subject to some local improvements to mitigate any adverse impacts on existing development. ~~However, any~~ Substantial growth in the smaller settlements could raise waste water treatment capacity issues in these settlements.

Comment [j4]: MM/5/Infra/04

- 5.16 Northumbrian Water supplies water and waste water treatment facilities for a substantial part of the North Richmondshire sub area. Northumbrian Water has confirmed that the proposed scale and distribution of development does not cause any concern in relation to its water supply and waste water treatment infrastructure capacities. Northumbrian Water's existing infrastructure in the plan area is small in scale. It would be concerned if development in the North Richmondshire Area was focused on one particular area or settlement.

Schools

- 5.17 North Yorkshire County Council's Children and Young People's Service confirmed that there is generally adequate existing capacity of pupil places in Catterick Garrison schools. However, the proposed scale of housing in this area over the plan period would quickly lead to a shortage of spaces in all schools. NYCC believes that additional classrooms would address the need for greater capacity arising from development over the next 15 years. However, there may be a need to deliver a new primary school in the Catterick Garrison area.
- 5.18 Richmond schools currently have limited additional capacity, with the exception of the Richmond School. Richmond School and St Francis Xavier pupil numbers are expected to drop over the plan period, but other schools in Richmond would not be able to accommodate the increase in pupil numbers forecast. Primary schools in Leyburn and Middleham currently have limited additional capacity, which will be exceeded by the scale of proposed housing development in the school's catchment areas.
- 5.19 Schools in the smaller settlements, excluding Croft, Ravensworth and Middleton Tyas primaries, generally have small additional capacity. The limited amount of development proposed in these settlements over the plan

period should mean that capacity issues in relation to most of these schools should not be a critical issue.

Electricity, Gas and Telecommunications

- 5.20 Contact has been made with the relevant utility companies during Local Plan Core Strategy consultation but no responses have been provided in relation to existing and future capacities (based on the proposed scale and distribution of development). Dialogue will continue with these providers.

Renewable Energy

- 5.21 The rural nature and pattern of development in the plan area restricts local capacity for renewable energy provision. Core Policy CP1 CP2 seeks to maximise the plan area's renewable energy potential within its highly sensitive environmental constraints guided by the Richmondshire Low Carbon and Renewable Energy Capacity Study. Development within the Catterick Garrison and Leyburn strategic growth areas and on medium to large scale sites elsewhere is expected to provide infrastructure for combined heat and power or district heating networks where viable and feasible. Core Policy CP1 CP2 requires all new housing developments and also commercial developments exceeding 1000m² 500m² to minimise carbon emissions and incorporate on site renewable energy technologies.

Comment [j5]: M/5/Infra/05

Comment [j6]: M/5/Infra/05

Comment [j7]: M/5/Infra/05

Healthcare

- 5.22 Contact has been made with North Yorkshire Primary Care Trust and the emerging GP Commissioning Body. This indicated existing and future capacities of healthcare resources relating to the proposed level of development. Discussions will continue throughout the plan period to ensure implementation of local requirements.

Wensleydale Railway

- 5.23 The proposed extension of the Wensleydale Railway may have a beneficial impact on tourism and accessibility to jobs and services in Leyburn, particularly if the planned extension between Leeming Bar and Northallerton goes ahead.

Green Infrastructure, Open Space and Recreation Facilities

- 5.24 The rural character of the plan area provides an abundance of green infrastructure and publicly accessible green spaces. The relationship of these resources to future development proposals needs to be considered, especially where major growth is planned. Significant open spaces and green corridors are identified in the Local Plan Core Strategy to ensure their maintenance and improvement. These include the extensive woodland areas of Catterick Garrison, Sour Beck, south of Colburn and Coronation Park in Hipswell. Core Policy CP11 is designed to ensure that valuable community assets, including open spaces and recreation facilities, continue to be provided.

Infrastructure Delivery - Risks

- 5.25 Infrastructure providers indicate that there is unlikely to be any 'showstopping' capacity or threshold problems and the proposed growth in the plan area, up

to 2028, can be accommodated subject to identified mitigation measures. Infrastructure capacity in the smaller settlements is limited, but largely adequate to accommodate the proposed small proportion of growth in these settlements. Significant issues are recognised concerning the achievement of growth in Catterick Garrison, where infrastructure delivery issues are critical to the success of the entire plan. The Infrastructure Delivery Plan (IDP) (Table 6) provides deliverable solutions to issues such as the increased traffic flow expected on the A6136 and coordination with Yorkshire Water investment plans. The IDP also summarises the likely costs and funding regimes required to implement these necessary improvements.

- 5.26 The greatest risks facing the delivery of the Local Plan Core Strategy come from the Council's ability to ensure that development proposals are fully coordinated with the major infrastructure providers and that charging mechanisms, such as the Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL), are sufficiently robust to deliver the necessary resources. A continuing problem with this is the uncertainty surrounding the future scale of military-related development. The Local Plan Core Strategy has provided for a maximum level of expansion for four military units, as advised by the Ministry of Defence, and this has been built into strategic infrastructure assessments to demonstrate the feasibility of delivery. However, in the absence of a firm commitment from the MoD that this will happen, it presents a problem for determining overall infrastructure requirements including military related development. It will be resolved through work on the CIL to ensure an equitable charging regime.

Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL)

- 5.27 The Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) was introduced in 2010 and can be charged by local planning authorities on new developments in their area. The money raised can be used to support development by funding infrastructure that the Councils, local community and neighbourhoods have identified through the Local Plan Core Strategy process – for example, new or safer road schemes, park improvements or a new health centre. Local authorities undertaking CIL need to have a Charging Schedule in place by April 2014. From this date, Section 106 Agreements will still be available but be very limited in nature for local authorities to gain financial contributions from developers. CIL will effectively be the only means of obtaining such contributions. The CIL Charging Schedule will provide developers with a transparent, fair and simple calculation which will be expected to contribute towards identified infrastructure requirements in the Infrastructure Delivery Plan. It is anticipated that a CIL Charging Schedule will be adopted for Richmondshire in 2013.

TABLE 6: LOCAL PLAN INFRASTRUCTURE DELIVERY PLAN PROJECTS

Comment [m8]: M/5/Infra/06

Location	Scheme	Purpose	Cost	Delivery Agencies	Funding Sources	Timescale	Comments
Road Network / Transport							
Central Richmondshire (A6136)	Catterick Bridge Junction Improvements	To increase capacity of traffic flow at key gateway junction	£290,000	NYCC Highways & Transportation	Developer Contributions (CIL)	2014-15	Key junction which provides the primary access to/from the A1 into the Central Area.
Central Richmondshire	A6136 Junction Improvements	To increase capacity of traffic flow along the A6136	£1.1 million	NYCC Highways & Transportation	Developer Contributions (CIL)	2017-21	Several junction improvements identified to improve traffic flow and increase highway capacity in the Central Area
Central Richmondshire (Richmond)	Sustainable Access Improvements to Gallowfields Trading Estate (inc. footpaths and cycleways)	To provide sustainable transport alternatives to mitigate traffic congestion in the area.	TBC	NYCC Highways & Transportation	NYCC Highways & Transportation Developer Contributions (CIL)	Up to 2016	Improved routes for sustainable modes of transport to discourage use of the private car and increases in road congestion.
Central Richmondshire / North Richmondshire	Potential for upgrading of the A1 through Richmondshire and a new junction at Catterick Garrison	To improve access to/from Richmondshire to the Strategic Road Network and improve safety on the A1 route.	Approx. £300m	Highways Agency	Department for Transport	Up to 2028	The latest proposals for this scheme were cancelled in 2010. However, such is the importance of the A1 upgrade to the economic wellbeing of Richmondshire, the scheme remains identified within the IDP with a view to being delivered at some point during the plan period.
Lower Wensleydale	Improved Accessibility on the Dales —	To improve accessibility along the	TBC	NYCC Highways & Transportation	Department for Transport	Ongoing up to 2028	Bedale/Aiskew/Leeming Bar bypass (BALB) would have some positive impact on

Location	Scheme	Purpose	Cost	Delivery Agencies	Funding Sources	Timescale	Comments
	Leyburn – A1 Corridor	identified corridor including public transport and other sustainable transport modes			NYCC Highways & Transportation Developer Contributions (CIL)		accessibility along the A684 corridor. Funding to deliver BALB (£36m) has been awarded to NYCC from DfT in 2011.
Lower Wensleydale	Development of the Wensleydale Railway	Improvements to services and facilities to increase the potential for sustainable transport links within Lower Wensleydale (inc. the extension to Northallerton)	Approx. £12 million	Network Rail Wensleydale Railway	Charitable Funds – Wensleydale Railway Government Grant Fundraising	Long term Up to 2028	The vast majority of the required funding is for the reinstatement of the rail link from Leeming Bar to Northallerton (outside Richmondshire District). However, the benefits of this will be felt within the Lower Wensleydale Sub Area towards Leyburn
Water							
Central Richmondshire	Colburn WWTW	Improvements to the WWTW to increase capacity for future development need	TBC	Yorkshire Water	Yorkshire Water Asset Management Plan 6 (2015-20)	2017-18	Potential to reuse the existing site for development.
Central Richmondshire	Town Centre Water Main Improvement	Improvements to the existing water main to accommodate proposed development in	TBC	Yorkshire Water	Yorkshire Water Asset Management Plan 6 (2015-2020)	2016-17	Funding of this scheme is likely to be dependent on Yorkshire Water funding which will not be available until 2015.

Location	Scheme	Purpose	Cost	Delivery Agencies	Funding Sources	Timescale	Comments
		the Garrison Town Centre area					
Schools							
Central Richmondshire (Richmond)	Expansion of Richmond CE Primary School	Expansion of school facilities to accommodate additional school places	Approx. £360,000	NYCC Children and Young Peoples Services	Developer Contributions (CIL) Central Government Funding	Throughout the plan period. Dependent on timing of development	School is close to capacity. New development in the area will require further school accommodation to cater for the potential additional school places.
Central Richmondshire (Richmond)	Expansion of Richmond Methodist Primary School	Expansion of school facilities to accommodate additional school places	TBC	NYCC Children and Young Peoples Services	Developer Contributions (CIL) Central Government Funding	TBC Dependent on timing of development	School is close to capacity. New development in the area will require further school accommodation to cater for the potential additional school places.
Central Richmondshire (Richmond)	Expansion of Richmond Secondary School	Expansion of school facilities to accommodate additional school places	TBC	NYCC Children and Young Peoples Services	Developer Contributions (CIL) Central Government Funding	TBC Dependent on timing of development	School is currently at capacity and will need expansion to accommodate potential additional school places.
Central Richmondshire (Catterick Garrison)	Expansion of primary school capacity across the Garrison Area	Expansion of school facilities to accommodate additional school places	Approx. £8.2 million	NYCC Children and Young Peoples Services	Developer Contributions (CIL) Central Government Funding	TBC Dependent on timing of development	Across the Garrison Area as a whole there is insufficient capacity to deal with the scale of development proposed in the area. This will include the requirement for new schools.
Lower Wensleydale	Expansion of Leyburn CE	Expansion of school facilities	Approx. £500,000	NYCC Children and Young	Developer Contributions	TBC	School is close to capacity. New development in the

Location	Scheme	Purpose	Cost	Delivery Agencies	Funding Sources	Timescale	Comments
(Leyburn)	Primary School	to accommodate additional school places		Peoples Services	(CIL) Central Government Funding	Dependent on timing of development	area will require further school accommodation to cater for the potential additional school places.
Energy							
Central Richmondshire (Catterick Garrison)	Combined Heat and Power or District Heating Catterick Garrison Strategic Growth Area	Provision of renewable heat infrastructure for new development	TBC	Developers and Energy Service Companies (ESCOs)	Developer Contributions	TBC Dependent on timing of development	Richmondshire Renewable and Low Carbon Energy Study 2012 identifies the potential but it will require feasibility studies and financial appraisal. Developers will be required to investigate the potential, to make provision for an energy site and to provide secondary infrastructure.
Lower Wensleydale	District Heating	Provision of renewable heat infrastructure for new development	TBC	Developers	Developer Contributions	TBC Dependent on timing of development	Richmondshire Renewable and Low Carbon Energy Study 2012 identifies the potential but it will require feasibility studies and financial appraisal. Developers will be required to investigate the potential, to make provision for an energy site and to provide secondary infrastructure.
District Wide	District Heating	Provision of renewable heat infrastructure for new development	TBC	Developers	Developer Contributions	TBC Dependent on timing of development	Richmondshire Renewable and Low Carbon Energy Study 2012 identifies the potential for medium to large scale developments, but it will require feasibility studies

Location	Scheme	Purpose	Cost	Delivery Agencies	Funding Sources	Timescale	Comments
							<p>and financial appraisal. Developers will be required to investigate the potential, to make provision for an energy site and to provide secondary infrastructure.</p>

TABLE 6: LOCAL PLAN INFRASTRUCTURE DELIVERY PLAN PROJECTS

Location	Scheme	Purpose	Cost	Delivery Agencies	Funding Sources	Timescale	Comments
Road Network / Transport							
Central Richmondshire	A6136 Junction Improvements	To increase capacity of A6136 to accommodate development traffic increase	£2.4M	RDC & NYCC	Local Growth Fund & Developer contributions	2015-21	Junction improvements identified to improve traffic flow and increase highway capacity in Catterick Garrison
Water							
Central Richmondshire	Colburn WWTW	Improvements to the WWTW to increase capacity for future development need	TBC	Yorkshire Water	Yorkshire Water Asset Management Plan 6 (2015-20)	2017-18	Potential to reuse the existing site for development. Yorkshire Water funding will not be available until 2015
	Town Centre Water Main Improvement	Water main improvements for proposed Garrison Town Centre development	TBC			2015-16	Yorkshire Water funding will not be available until 2015
Schools							
Central and North Richmondshire outside of Catterick Garrison	Expansion of primary and secondary school capacities.	Accommodate increased school rolls following development	£4.85M (estimated)	NYCC Children and Young Peoples Services	Developer contributions Central Government Funding for school development	Throughout the plan period. Dependent on timing of development	New development in the area will require further school accommodation to cater for the potential additional school places.
Central Richmondshire Catterick Garrison	Expansion of primary school capacity across Catterick	Accommodate increased school rolls following	£8.2M (estimated)			Throughout the plan period. Dependent on	There is insufficient primary school capacity to deal with the proposed scale of development across

Location	Scheme	Purpose	Cost	Delivery Agencies	Funding Sources	Timescale	Comments
	Garrison, including requirement for a new school	development				timing of development	Catterick Garrison. .
Lower Wensleydale (Leyburn)	Expansion of Leyburn CE Primary School	Accommodate increased school rolls following development	£500,000 (estimated)			Dependent on timing of development	School is close to capacity. New development in the area will require further school accommodation to cater for the potential additional school places.
Energy							
Strategic growth areas in Catterick Garrison and Leyburn	Combined Heat and Power or District Heating	Provision of renewable heat infrastructure for new development	TBC	Developers and Energy Service Companies (ESCOs)	Developer Contributions	Dependent on timing of development	Richmondshire Renewable and Low Carbon Energy Study 2012 identifies the potential for decentralised Core Policy CP2 requires developers to investigate this potential for an energy site and the provision of secondary infrastructure.

Monitoring and Review

- 5.28 The Local Plan Core Strategy needs to recognise and respond to changing social, economic or environmental conditions. Performance monitoring is also a key requirement of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act (2004) which sees every local authority have to demonstrate the achievement of its policies. A monitoring process is used to tell us whether the plan is on course and the capacity to review the plan's policies when circumstances dictate. The results will be published in an Annual Monitoring Report (AMR) as the plan period progresses up to 2028. This will also include progress reports on the delivery of key infrastructure and the performance of the Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) Charging Schedule. Table 7 outlines the targets and indicators needed to monitor the progress of each principle and policy – such as the percentage of affordable housing completions and form an overall picture of the Local Plan's effectiveness.
- 5.29 The robustness of the Local Plan Core Strategy does not rely upon monitoring alone, but in its capacity for alternative courses of action if delivery departs from what was expected. There are a number of conditions where this could occur and where change could be expected to trigger a review of the strategy or some of its parts - perhaps if targets fail to be met for a sustained period or if defence strategy changes result in increased demand on local infrastructure or conversely the release of additional land currently "behind the wire".
- 5.30 Failure to meet development targets can be caused by many reasons, some of which are beyond the control of the Council, particularly where development finance is affected by global economic conditions. However, it is important to ensure that it is not being caused by problems with land supply. In these circumstances alternatives need to be found which can maintain the integrity of the overall strategic approach. Strategic land availability reviews provide evidence that the potential supply of land is greater than required, enabling a series of options to be designed. The least radical would be to promote alternative sites within defined areas of strategic growth. Beyond this it may be necessary to reconfigure areas of growth using the same evidence. A final resort would be to reconsider the scale of growth in different settlements and to address alternate directions of growth, not previously available due to constraints or landowner decisions. These same options would also need to be considered if there was major change in military-related sites or accommodation policy. An alternative supply of sites in the centre of Catterick Garrison would enable an even stronger focus on the proposed town centre development. However, this would come at the cost of different traffic pressures along the length of the A6136.

Table 7: Spatial Principles and Core Policies: Indicators and Targets

Spatial Principle / Core Policy	Indicator	Target or direction of travel	
SP1 Sub Areas	Percentage of additional dwellings provided in the North Richmondshire, Central and Lower Wensleydale sub-areas	Central Richmondshire: 79 % Lower Wensleydale: 12 % North Richmondshire: 9 %	
SP2 Settlement Hierarchy	Percentage of additional dwellings provided in the Principal Towns; Local Service Centre; Primary Service Villages; Secondary Service Villages	Principal Towns: 70 % Local Service Centre: 7 % Primary Service Villages: 13 % Secondary Service Villages: 5 % Elsewhere: 5%	
SP3 Rural Sustainability	Amount of land or floor space developed for employment uses outside of settlement hierarchy	Net growth	
	Number of completed conversions of 'traditional rural buildings'	Increase in buildings in use	
SP4 The Scale and Distribution of Housing Development	Number of houses built (net completions)	180 per year	
SP5 The Scale and Distribution of Economic Development	Amount of floor space developed for employment use	Increase in employment opportunity	
	Amount of Employment Land developed	12 hectares during the plan period	
CP0 1 Planning Positively	Time taken to decide major applications	Improvement on current best practice	
CP1 2 Responding to Climate Change	Installed commercial wind renewable energy	Increase in generated capacity	Comment [m9]: M/5/Mon/01
	Installed large scale renewable energy – Wind, Hydro, Solar,	Maximise increase in generated capacity (NPPG, Ref 5-003-20140306)	Comment [m10]: M/5/Mon/01
	Installed renewable energy CHP and District Heating	One CHP plant at Catterick Garrison; maximise District Heating in all medium to large scale housing developments	Comment [m11]: M/5/Mon/02
	Carbon Emissions Climate change adaptation of existing stock	Decrease Maximise potential improvements identified in the 2011 Vantage Carbon Modelling Report	Comment [m12]: M/5/Mon/03

Spatial Principle / Core Policy	Indicator	Target or direction of travel	
	Climate resilience of new housing - Code for Sustainable Homes (or equivalent) standards.	All new housing approved to meet CSH level 4 minimum Increase proportion exceeding minimum standards	Comment [m13]: M/5/Mon/0 4
	Improved climate resilience of employment development	All employment development of 1000 m2 and above approved from 2013 to meet BREEAM very good standard	Comment [m14]: M/5/Mon/0 5
	Sustainable low carbon and green industries	Maximise opportunities	
	Flood Risk: Number of permissions granted in areas at risk of flooding contrary to sustained objections from the EA	0 (nil)	
	Incorporation of Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS)	Maximise until SuDS Approval Body (SAB) sets a target	Comment [m15]: M/5/Mon/0 6
CP2 3 Achieving Sustainable Development	Percentage of new and converted dwellings on previously developed land	Maximise	Comment [m16]: M/5/Mon/0 1
	Percentage of new (completed) dwellings in each level of the SP2 settlement hierarchy	Maximise development in relation to pattern of services	
CP3 Supporting the Settlement Hierarchy	See SP2	See SP2	Comment [m17]: M/5/Mon/0 1
CP4 Supporting Sites for Development	Area of land brought forward under CP4 and subsequent scale of development	Land supply is maximised	
	See SP2	See SP2	Comment [m18]: M/5/Mon/0 1
CP5 Providing a Housing Mix	House types and sizes to meet identified local needs	Overall new development meets local needs as evidenced in Strategic Housing Market Assessment (SHMALAA)	Comment [m19]: M/5/Mon/0 7
CP6 Providing Affordable Housing	Percentage of affordable homes completed per year	Central Richmondshire and Lower Wensleydale: 40 % subject to viability North Richmondshire: 30 % subject to viability.	Comment [m20]: M/5/Mon/0 8
CP7 Promoting a Sustainable Economy	See SP3 and SP5	See SP3 and SP5	
CP8 Achieving Rural Sustainability	See SP3	See SP3	

Spatial Principle / Core Policy	Indicator	Target or direction of travel
CP9 Supporting Town and Local Centres	Amount (floor space) of completed retail, office and leisure development in the town centres of Richmond, Catterick Garrison and Leyburn	Maximise
	Vitality and viability of town centres at Richmond, Catterick Garrison and Leyburn (assessed using healthchecks)	Increase in vitality and viability (index) of town centres at Richmond, Catterick Garrison and Leyburn.
	Number of (A1) retail units in defined primary shopping frontages	Maintain or improve upon proportion identified in existing surveyed levels
CP10 Developing Tourism	Number of new tourism related permissions (including change of use)	Maximise
CP11 Supporting Community and Recreation Assets	Net change in availability of community facilities in each settlement	Maintain or improve upon existing surveyed levels
	Number of planning applications and appeals affecting net change in availability of community facilities in each settlement	No applications/appeals result in loss of facility where need is proven
	Recreational facilities provided in new developments	All new permissions for development generating need provide the required level and quality of facilities
CP12 Conserving and Enhancing Environmental and Historic Assets	Change in priority habitats and species and areas designated for their environment value or geology	Minimise harmful change
	Buildings At Risk	Reduce number of Buildings At Risk
	Production of Conservation Area Appraisals	Follow programme of appraisal production
	Ability to deliver Humber RBMP actions	Maximise opportunities
CP13 Promoting High Quality Design	No indicator	No target

Comment [m21]: M/5/Mon/09

Comment [m22]: M/5/Mon/10

Comment [m23]: M/5/Mon/11

Spatial Principle / Core Policy	Indicator	Target or direction of travel
CP14 Providing and Delivering Infrastructure	Additional Infrastructure provided	Infrastructure capacity maintained within identified thresholds

5.31 Sub Area Strategies monitoring will be achieved through the monitoring of the relevant Spatial Principles and Core Policies.

ANNEX 1: Richmondshire Local Plan - Superseded Policies

The policies in the following list were saved in 2007 under paragraph 1(3) of Schedule 8 to the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004. They were originally adopted in 2001 as part of The Richmondshire Local Plan. They have now been superseded and are no longer saved.

Richmondshire Local Plan Policy No.	Richmondshire Local Plan Policy Title	
1	Basis for Development Control	
2	Protection of the Countryside	
3	Development in the Countryside	
4	Hamlets and existing houses in the Countryside	
5	<u>Design in the Countryside</u>	Comment [j1]: M/5/Mon/01
6	Areas of Outstanding Natural Beauty	
7	Areas of Great Landscape Value	
8	<u>Landscape Zones</u>	Comment [j2]: M/5/Mon/01
9	<u>Brompton on Swale Riverside Protection Area</u>	Comment [j3]: M/5/Mon/01
10	Structural Tree Belts	
12	<u>Traditional Barns and Barns Groups</u>	Comment [j4]: M/5/Mon/01
14	Business in the Countryside	
15	Agricultural Occupancy Conditions	
19	Sites of Local Nature Conservation Interest	
20	Habitats	
24	Suburban Areas	
25	Open Plan Estates	
26	Land for Community Facilities	
27	Open Land within Development Limits	
28	Open Areas at Gatherley Road	
29	Housing Allocations and Main Commitments	
31	Housing Land Reserved for Later Development	
34	Rural Areas Affordable Housing	
35	Employment Land Allocations	
38	Business Development	
41	Archaeologically Sensitive Areas	
42	Archaeological Interpretation	
48	Alterations to Unlisted Historic Buildings	
51	<u>Historic Shop Fronts</u>	Comment [j5]: M/5/Mon/01
56	Open Land within Conservation Areas	
57	Important Historic Vantage Points	
58	<u>Richmond: Residential Streets adjoining the Town Centre</u>	Comment [j6]: M/5/Mon/01
59	Richmond: Improvements to Waterloo and Bank Yard	
60	Richmond: Improvements to Gallowfields Trading Estate	
62	Dual Use Facilities	
63	Facilities in Villages	
64	Recreational Open Space Standards	
65	Open Space Allocations	
67	Children's Play	
69	<u>Scorton Lakes</u>	Comment [j7]: M/5/Mon/01

Richmondshire Local Plan Policy No.	Richmondshire Local Plan Policy Title
71	Larger Scale Tourism Development
72	Areas for Leisure Based Development
73	Motorway Service Area
74	Camping, Caravanning and Chalet Development
75	The Coast to Coast Walk
77	The Wensleydale Railway
78	New Shopping Development in Richmond and Leyburn Town Centres
79	Shopping Facilities at Catterick Garrison and Colburn
80	Other New Shopping Developments
82	Richmond: Town Centre Shopping Area
83	Richmond: Primary and Secondary Shopping Frontages
84	Opportunity Area: Queens Road, Richmond
85	Community Facilities in Richmond Town Centre
87	Upper Floors and Underused Land in Town Centres and Shopping Areas
88	Signs and Advertisements in Town Centres and on Commercial Premises Elsewhere
91	Vehicle Parking Standards
93	Car Parking in Villages
95	Arrangements for Pedestrians in Richmond Market Place
96	Public Transport in Richmond Town Centre
99	Water and Sewerage Facilities
105	Individual Wind Turbines
106	Light Pollution

Comment [j8]: M/5/Mon/01

Comment [j9]: M/5/Mon/01