Craven Local Plan: Residential Site Selection Process

Settlement Name: XXX

Site Number and Address: XXXX

Stage 1: Settlement Level Analysis (Sustainability Appraisal)

	Sust	ainab	oility A	ppra	isal C	bject	ives															
Site reference,	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	Summary of Issues and	Mitigation and
name/address & area																					Recommendations	Recommendations

Result: Site performs satisfactorily in the Sustainability Analysis. The site is deemed sustainable in order to enter the **Pool of Sites** (with mitigation measures and recommendations). The site is a candidate for the Preferred Sites list for Skipton, subject to Stage 2 analysis below, and also a possible comparison of the site's merits with other sustainable sites in Skipton if a surplus of sites exists.

Stage 2: District Level Analysis

(I) Delivery of Affordable Housing

A.	The site is likely to deliver 11 or more dwellings (irrespective of being in a designated rural area or not). Affordable housing on site is obtained.	
В.	The site is in a designated rural area, and is likely to deliver 6 or more dwellings. A financial contribution towards affordable housing is obtained.	
C.	The site is unlikely to deliver affordable housing (either less than 11 dwellings is likely and the site is not in a designated rural area, or less than six dwellings is likely in a designated rural area).	

(II) Site Proximity to Designated Landscape Features
--

- A. Site is likely to have potential to harm the special qualities of the designated landscapes of the YDNP or the AONB, and any harm cannot be mitigated against.
 B. The location of the site in the context of the settlement (i.e. north-west, central, south-east, etc.; this gives an indication of relative proximity to YDNP or AONB, whichever is the nearest).
 - (III) Site Proximity to Designated Natural Environment Features
- A. Site is likely to have potential to harm the special qualities of the designated landscapes of the SPA, SAC or Ramsar, and any harm cannot be mitigated against.
 B. The location of the site in the context of the settlement (i.e. north-west, central, south-east, etc.; this gives an indication of relative proximity to a SPA, SAC or Ramsar, whichever is the nearest).
 - (IV) Site Position in relation to Health & Safety Executive (HSE) Zone
- A. The site lies in the outer Health & Safety Executive zone, or not in an HSE zone.
 B. The site lies in the Health & Safety Executive middle zone.
 C. The site lies in the Health & Safety Executive inner zone.

Result: The site passes all four District Level Analyses. After a comparison with other Pool of Site options in XXX, the site is not considered suitable as a **Preferred Site** in this settlement. [If a Preferred Site after comparison, explain in the narrative below, and if not a Preferred Site after comparison, explain comparative reasons here in the context of the settlement's chosen Preferred Sites]

Narrative of Site Analysis

Site XXXX has numerous positive aspects which have determined the site's selection as a Preferred Site.

There may be areas of archaeological significance beneath the site, which subject to the outcomes of site investigations, may possibly reduce the site's area or instead require other suitable mitigation measures.

Net Developable Area: ? hectares

Number of Dwellings Generated: ? dwellings (? hectares x 32 dwellings per hectare).

Expected Delivery Timeframe: One to five years from Local Plan adoption OR medium to long term.

Development Principles: