

OPD/1

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 12 July 2012 13:34
To: ldf
Subject: Selby District Local Plan
Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed
Attachments: SCAN0011.JPG

For : Policy & Strategy Team : response to Letter 11th July, received 13.20 12th July.

Hello,

I demand, yet again, instant rejection of the BOCMPauls Ltd planning application for a housing build on land known as 'Olympia Park' (BAR 009 'Selby and District Sites Allocations Document' (SaDSAD) supported by the criminal land theft Public Document 'Updated Masterplan and Deliver Document - December, 2010, (UMaDD) and unlawfully concurred with by it being willingly preferred to 'core strategic site' in the Selby District 'Core Strategy' by Selby District Council and the Chief Planning Officer, an instant rejection demanded by the Law of the Land : namely, Section 17 of the 1998 Crime and Disorder Act making it incumbent on Authorities to plan to avoid ".. crime and disorder in the community .." a previous Planning Decision in 2002 by Selby District Council having upheld the Law of the Land.

Although all evidence of the extant and legally binding Planning Decision refusing planning permission on grounds of ".. crime and disorder in the community .." was unlawfully deleted from public records at some time between mid- 2008 and December 2010 (possibly earlier, but forensic investigation would be required to determine actual date and culprit/s) reportage of the decision at the time from the archives of a reputable source 'The Press' of York offers a sufficient representation to reveal the grounds for instant dismissal of the BOCMPauls Ltd planning application relating to 'Olympia Park' (BAR 009), and at risk of Corporate murder and manslaughter charges being laid against all conspirators and progressors of the criminal conspiracy and enterprise which is the BOCMPauls Ltd 'Olympia Park' application on occurrence of the first loss of life due to the application being accepted and the houses built, which god forbid!

I have made many similar requests and to all parties concerned and starting well over a year ago, so all parties are indeed aware and must needs be aware that it is 'awareness' which will determine their measure of culpability on the scale of 'murder' toward the lesser 'manslaughter' charges.

Be warned!

Sincerely

Ian T Hinchey Ousebank where (nearly 40 families live in continual dread of permanent threat to life and limb and livelihood (not from cycling accident costs as CPO now pays, personally!)

07/08/2012

OPL/1

5

THE PRESS

ARCHIVE - FRIDAY, 25 OCTOBER 2002

Get in touch: send your photos, videos, news & views by texting YORK to 80360 or send an email

SEARCH

for the latest jobs follow us on **Twitter**

FIND BY DATE

Oct		2002				
Su	Mo	Tu	We	Th	Fr	Sa
		1	2	3	4	5
6	7	8	9	10	11	12
13	14	15	16	17	18	19
20	21	22	23	24	25	26
27	28	29	30	31		

OTHER WAYS TO SEARCH

- [Advanced search](#)
- [Browse by topic](#)
- [Site map](#)

SEARCH

ALSO LOOK FOR

- [Jobs](#)
- [Homes](#)
- [Cars](#)
- [Buy & Sell](#)
- [Events](#)
- [Buy photos](#)
- [Advertise](#)

Never miss anything again. Sign up for our RSS news feeds and Newsletters.

ADS BY GOOGLE

55/NO Mum Looks 35 Mum Reveals Shocking Trick for Erasing Wrinkles! Doctors Hate Her
www.CollagenRenew.net/Anti_Wrinkles

Electrician in York
 Reliable, friendly service and putting customer's happiness first
www.yorkselectrics.co.uk

Selby hostel plan scrapped

CONTROVERSIAL plans for a new hostel for the homeless in Selby have been abandoned, the Evening Press can reveal today.

The shock decision follows weeks of talks between leaders of the charity Emmaus and local residents, instigated by Selby MP John Grogan.

The plans to convert Barby Farm into a community home triggered a public outcry when they were unveiled five months ago.

Objectors - who feared the hostel could pose a threat to the local community - said today they were "ecstatic" with the decision.

In July, worried residents petitioned Selby District Council. They claimed Emmaus would not give any guarantees that the home would not house paedophiles, sex offenders, recently-released long-term prisoners and ex-drug addicts.

Emmaus chiefs confirmed today that they had withdrawn their planning application for Barby Farm.

The decision had been made "entirely on planning grounds" after Selby Council had set out some concerns about the application, which was due to go before the planning committee next month.

The chairman of Emmaus North Yorkshire, John Walker, said they were disappointed that Barby Farm had not proved viable.

He said Emmaus communities were an "enormous asset" to any locality, and the search for the right site in North Yorkshire would now start again.

An Emmaus spokesman denied that fierce local opposition had played a part in the decision.

He said: "We decided it simply wasn't the right place."

A council spokesman confirmed they had written to Emmaus, pointing out that fear of crime and disorder and community safety were material planning considerations, which had to be taken into account.

When Emmaus unveiled their plans in June, they said Barby Farm would provide a home and work for the homeless, helping them to rebuild their lives.

Protestor Paul Hill, of Ousebank, Barby, said today: "I'm ecstatic. They have listened to us, and a lot of people in Barby and Selby are going to be happy today."

Mr Grogan said that Emmaus did some excellent work in assisting the homeless, but in the end all parties had agreed that the Barby Farm application should not be pursued.

He said he would be happy to discuss with Emmaus their search for a new site in North Yorkshire.

Updated: 15:29 Friday, October 25, 2002

Print Email 0 Recommend Recommend

09/07/12

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 17 July 2012 09:27
To: ldf
Subject: Fwd: Selby District Local Plan
Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Red
Attachments: Selby District Local Plan

Aiding and abetting a criminal conspiracy based on perverting the course of justice by unlawful deletion of public records of a planning decision which should have instantly disqualified the planning application (and certainly not permitted its preferment to 'core strategic site' to guarantee the sites pro-forma acceptance October last) for a housing build on 'Olympia Park' (BAR 009 in the criminally deceitful 'Selby District Sites Allocations Document' (SaDSAD) supported by the criminal land theft Public Document 'Updated Masterplan and Delivery Document - December 2010' (UMaDD)).

Sir/Madame,

will you please confirm by return that Selby District Planning Department has disqualified and therefore rejected out of hand the 'Hybrid' application from BOCMPauls Ltd for planning permission in respect of housing on 'Olympia Park' land purposely hidden in the 'Hybrid' to avoid consideration, and in accordance with the Law of the Land; namely, Section 17 of the 1998 Crime and Disorder Act, and as requested most recently in my email copy below.

And at risk is of being pursued for personal culpability in the further progressing Public Documents aimed at criminal ends, if you do not.

Sincerely

Ian T Hinchey Ousebank where (nearly) forty families live in continual dread of
 permanent threat to life and limb and livelihood
 (not for cycling accident costs as CPO now pays, personally!)

07/08/2012

OPD/11

ryan king

From: ryan king
Sent: 18 July 2012 14:00
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Selby District Local Plan

Dear Mr Hinchey

Thank you for your email below in which you seek clarification.

My email dated 17 July 2012 acknowledged your representation dated 12 July 2012, but i mistakenly related it to the 6th Set of Proposed Changes to the Submission Draft Core Strategy, rather than as a response to our letter dated 11 July in respect of the Olympia Park Supplementary Planning Document (SPD) which is currently out for consultation.

Your comments have therefore been added to the SPD responses and this email can be treated as an acknowledgement of your representation to the SPD. I apologise for any confusion caused.

Please note that the Policy and Strategy Team deals with the Core Strategy and other policy documents such as the Supplementary Planning Document so that all the other emails which you have copied to the Policy and Strategy Team which do not relate specifically to these have not been included as representations and we will not be responding to them.

Planning applications are dealt with by the Development Management Team so any comments you have relating to the planning application should be sent to them for consideration.

I hope this clarifies the Council's position, however if you have any queries do not hesitate to contact me.

Kind regards.



RYAN KING
 Assistant Policy Officer

Tel 01757 705101 Email info@selby.gov.uk Web www.selby.gov.uk
 Selby District Council Civic Centre, Doncaster Road, Selby YO8 9FT

The information in this e-mail, and any attachments, is confidential and may be subject to legal professional privilege. It is intended solely for the attention and use of the named addressee(s). Its contents do not necessarily represent the views or opinions of Selby District Council. If you are not the intended recipient please notify the sender immediately. Unless you are the intended recipient, or his/her representative, you are not authorised to, and must not, read, copy, distribute, use or retain this message or any part of it.

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 17 July 2012 11:49
To: ldf
Subject: Fwd: Selby District Local Plan

Mr King,

I made no 'COMMENT' but made a LAWFUL DEMAND!!

And, namely, that you et al and your departmental proceduralists conform to by complying with the Law

07/08/2012

of the Land, as all procedures MUST and we as citizens MUST!

An attempt to hide behind instituted procedures, as though no previous case has ever existed or ever arisen where evident criminality forced the suspension of procedures, is wrong!

Your chosen seeming 'autonomic response' - why only to this email and not my others? - reveals a kid-ology of 'autonomic response' which suggests you are more than aware of your own 'smarts'.

I demand, yet again, that the progressing of the BOCMPauls 'Hybrid' planning application, presented after criminal conspirators perverted the course of justice by deletion of the Selby District Planning Decision Public Records to prevent immediate disqualification of the application, be rejected immediately and to stop any further progressing of the criminality entailed, and which you are more than aware of : the investment inciting false claim of 'the site already benefiting from planning permission' in the 'SaDSAD' Public Document : Section 18 'Barlby & Osgodby' ; the falsification of the 'SaDSAD' 'green line' boundary of Ousebank residents by the 'UMaDD' Public Document 'red line' in order to steal land Ousebank residents' land, for examples.

Stonewalling by taking recourse in following procedure offers culpability to all concerned. And with the measure of culpability to be determined at a future date by the Courts of the Land.

Comply with the Law of the Land now, while you et al still may!

Sincerely

Ian T Hinchey Ousebank where (nearly) forty families live in continual dread of
permanent threat to life and limb and livelihood
(not cycling accident costs as CPO now pays, personally!)

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 17 August 2012 12:27
To: ldf
Subject: SDC "Water" convinces of closure of railway if homes built on 'Olympia Park'

Pre- 23rd August submission for Selby Local Plan consultation

I attempt to prevent yet again the further progressing of criminal Public Documents which evidence support of a criminal enterprise by supporting the 'Olympia Park' housing build, and which prevention has been sought since March, 2011.

The Second World War, 1939-1945, put on hold the building plans of the then owners of the land now known as 'Olympia Park', and plans kept on hold during the post-war austerity in a nearly bankrupt UK where food itself was rationed and allotments were provided as a statutory right nationwide by local councils so that families might feed themselves.

In 1947 Selby, including the land behind Ousebank Cottages which is 'Olympia Park', suffered a severe flood.

And with the flood came the realisation that to build a wall high enough to prevent a similar flood would mean the railway track would be lower. So either the railway would have to be closed, or the building of homes on 'Olympia Park' could not be allowed given that the continuing protection that would have to be given to residents would eventually force closure of the railway when the wall rose higher than the track itself.

A greater force has now being added to this argument by the Selby District Council's publication "Water"!

It presents a sea level higher than in 1947 and rising much faster than previously, and this combines with the Environment Agency now being committed to building walls higher and higher as river levels rise accordingly and to thus make it inevitable that the Selby railway track will eventually be lower than the required flood defence wall and will therefore have to close if homes are ever built on 'Olympia Park'.

'Olympia Park' is, after all, land in a 'meander', a loop, of a flood-plain river and which unstoppable natural forces intend to turn next into an 'Ox Bow lake', as any pupil in first year 'Geography 1' will tell you.

The unexpected death of George VI and accession of Queen Elizabeth II to The Throne in 1952 combined with the national need for land for allotments to provide a solution for the problem of what to do with land unable to be built on without forcing the future closure of the vital railway link.

The land was munificently gifted "So no Ousebank family need ever be hungry!" as oral tradition has it, in honour of Her Majesty's accession to The Throne.

Who believes they have the right to override a gifting? No third party has such a right!

And who would want to negate such a gift, given the entailed insult to Her Majesty?

And who would go even further by attempting to use as yet undisturbed 'brown field' on which Weapons of Mass Destruction were manufactured to substitute for allotments, for land on which food must be grown?

The supporting Public Document for the 'Olympia Park' housing build, the 'Updated Masterplan and Delivery Document - December, 2010' (UMaDD) proceeds in the most deceitful and obfuscating way possible to move the allotments gifted in The Queen's name onto contaminated land!

What certification for a lack of contamination is possible for land undisturbed for a century?

Ian T Hinchey Ousebank where (nearly) forty families live in continual dread of

permanent threat to life and limb and livelihood
(not fro cycling accident costs as CPO now pays, personally!)
in contravention of Section 17 1998 Crime & Disorder Act' 1998, the
'UMaDD' instigating a mass trespass by theft of deeded land, and
which Ousebank residents having occupier liability must contest!

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 17 August 2012 15:19
To: ldf
Subject: Fwd: Criminality, presented in full, demands withdrawal of SDC 'core strategi...
Attachments: Fwd: Criminality, presented in full, demands withdrawal of SDC 'core strategi...
Re- submission for pre- 23rd August Local Plan public consultation. (Second submission)

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 27 April 2012 17:20
To: ldf
Subject: Fwd: Criminality, presented in full, demands withdrawal of SDC 'core strategi...
Attachments: Criminality, presented in full, demands withdrawal of SDC 'core strategic site'

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: 27 April 2012 17:18

To: programmeofficer

Subject: Criminality, presented in full, demands withdrawal of SDC 'core strategic site'

Chosen by Selby District Council as 'core strategic site' at the behest of the Chief Planning Officer combined with the request of BOCMPauls Ltd and its promoter Spawforths Ltd, all conspiring together to confound Section 17 of 1998 Crime & Disorder Act previously upheld in a 2002 planning decision and with evidence of this decision having been wilfully deleted by the conspirators before its time contrary to Local Government Advice standards and with the wilful intent to unlawfully pervert the course of justice by its deletion, and by further using unlawful deceit in a Public Document, the 'Selby and District Site Allocations Document', by claiming in the Document that the site 'already benefited from planning permission' to thereby convince of an increase in potential value in the eyes of potential investors, 'Olympia Park' the 'core strategic site' as chosen, WILL FLOOD AGAIN!

As any current Geography pupil will tell you, the land on which the 1,000 dwelling 'Olympia Park' is projected to be built is inside the MEANDER of a FLOOD PLAIN RIVER and the next stage in the natural development of any such MEANDER is to become an OX BOW LAKE : in a high flood the direct force of the river joins with the next direct arm of the river to miss out the loop of the meander and leave it to exist as a lake – photographs of the 1947 flood show such a site; sorry! 'sight'.

Hiding behind a lack of neither a Selby District Council nor North Yorkshire County Council 'Policy Document' regarding 'Strategic Planning Restraints' although the seminal work on the subject commissioned by Communities and Local Government Department to benefit of policy-free Authorities 'Strategic Gap and Green Wedge Policies in Structure Plans' (2000) had been available for nearly a decade, a choice from two other suitable sites in a NON-FLOOD ZONE (which everybody knows will be built on eventually) meant the conspiracy had the excuse to accept the unlawful choice of site, and a choice which could not be contested without a contesting of the Selby District Council 'Core Strategy' – the unlawful choice was, in other words, MADE INCONTESTABLE by the conspirators in pursuit of a fool-proof conspiracy.

Unfortunately for the conspirators there existed amongst Ousebank residents non-fools that were instrumental in ensuring failure of the mid-2008 Bellway Homes / BOCMPauls partnership build of 600 dwellings (the Coalition Government since reduced statutory density – 1,000 dwellings can now be built in 'Olympia Park' space) and by making the Principals aware of, amongst other things, the "strictly planning grounds" of the 2002 planning decision which refused 'Emmaus Yorkshire' permission to build a hostel for some two-dozen persons on Ousebank and because of "... fear of crime and disorder and community safety." But evidence still existed, even though the conspirators had had the foresight to criminally pervert the course of justice by removing all evidence of the Decision.

And when a build-project for the same 'Olympia Park' site and which residents had every reason to believe would never raise its ugly head again, appeared in December 2010 as a £300 million 'Christmas Present' development, Ousebank residents knew devious means had kept it under the radar and outside their knowledge as even the hint of a possibility – this made possible by advertising as 'Selby District with mention of village names to the fore' thus ensuring Selby readers would dismiss the adverts after the enquiring glance, plus also the 'cabal politic' engendered by the misapplication of the confidentiality rule 'that the Government wants to get rid of as being undemocratic with the 'Localism Bill' was rife at

Selby District Council, even making the local press, with the current Head Councillor stating that his political party were only doing that which the political party before them did.

The 1947 flood revealing the Ox Bow lake was probably instrumental in the gifting by the owners at the time of the land to Ousebank residents as allotments in 1952, and for 'each family on Ousebank to be able feed their family'

A 1952 'gifting' that has been compounded by 60 years of 'custom and usage' and since further compounded by the statutory right of persons to expect Local Authorities to consider it their duty to provide allotments, not take them away completely, as the Chief Planning Officer attempted to do in the 2005–2008 Plan { and, by the way, the only available Plan for the Environmental Agency to work to when it built the flood defence wall connecting to the railway, and on a stretch of embankment previously designed to overtop into the allotments, but which the Plan changed to 'playing field']

Who, therefore, has the right to undermine 'gift' and 'sentiment of the gifting' given the statutory right of Ousebank residents to expect an allotment which accords with the gifting supported by 60 years of 'custom and usage'? And who has the right to place allotments on a yet to be disturbed 'brownfield' where Weapons of Mass Destruction were made over 90 years ago – because, make no bones about it, this whole conspiracy was necessary to provide that which BOCMPauls Ltd has not provided over nearly a century, namely financial provision to clean up nearly 100 YEARS LATER the remnants of WMD manufacture and also heavy oil/tar usage.

Floods expected in the near future, as well as the 'North Sea surge' and which the Dutch have spent £billions in anticipating, will yet again turn the 'Olympia Park' site into an Ox Bow Lake.

The discrepancy of height difference at the junction of the concrete flood defence wall and railway bank's comparatively flimsy brick-built 'bunker', where the small gap from top to base of defence wall may well see the 'bunker' pushed aside and for flood waters to rapidly undermine the railway itself and the earth embankment on which the concrete wall and heavy duty fencing are built on the inner side to weaken it, and to thereby threaten the lives and properties of some 4,000 people [Ousebank residents' private curtilage is being eroded at ITS APEX that should be high enough to prevent flood, and by footfall considerably less than the proposed extra 4,000 inhabitants of 'Olympia Park,' and is now lower than the 1.9 kilometres of flood defence wall built 2008 and may well soon be as low as the brick 'bunker' at the railway embankment]

The conspirators were 'willingly blind to' this easily visible threat, as evidenced by choosing 'a desk based inspection' where a 'physical inspection' was demanded, and because of the 'special circumstance' of 'defence wall meeting railway embankment'.

But to contend with the cost of possible requirement to meet this problem would have added more to a cost that according to the 'evidence base' in the 'Updated Masterplan and Delivery Document – December 2010' could not readily be afforded anyway, the 'financial consultant' stating that 'access to public funds' was required to make the proposition the Document entertained financially viable as it was presented, none of which 'public funds' applied for having much of a chance because in competitions for 'innovation', and it was admitted to the Inspector that needs were 'only cosmetic'.

The District Comptroller also considered the likelihood of BOCMPauls Ltd obtaining £4 million against the project from capital improvements made several years before (details kept 'secret' until the 30th September, 2011 'Matters 6' meeting, the last day of the Inspection by the Independent Government Inspector and only days before the conspirators expected a pro forma adoption of the site by Selby Council in October) to be questionable, so offered two figures for S106 considerations, one for if this was somehow managed (creative accounting?) and the other if not.

BOCMPauls Ltd lack of financial provision for clearing-up the WMD left-overs and remnants of heavy oil and tar usage and for the absence of which contamination (?) the 'UMaDD' provides no evidence, and which as an undisturbed 'brownfield', if such evidence did indeed exist, would be negated once 'brownfield' was disturbed, should not require that Ousebank residents should have their 60 year usage of gifted allotments consolidated by statutory right unlawfully taken from them, the allotments to be placed directly on an as yet undisturbed derelict 'brownfield' where Weapons of Mass Destruction were made during the 1914-1918 war; nor have had their rights of land and property cunningly undermined over time by the Chief Planning Officer; nor have had accepted by Selby District Council a planning application which ignores to contraven Section 17 of the 1998 Crime & Disorder Act TO THEREBY LICENSE 'crime and disorder' and lack of 'community safety'; nor should the Council have permitted a unlawful financial deception in a Public Document for the incitement of investment; nor should the Council have accepted as evidence base the obviously un-evidenced and desk- based inspection of a 'Flood Zone 3a' site given the 'special circumstances of a 'flood defence wall meeting a railway embankment'; nor should the Council have accepted with a view to adoption a planning application supported by an 'evidence base' dependent on obvious land theft from Ousebank residents.

The crime and disorder that will be generated if 'Olympia Park' is ever built will not simply be by Ousebank residents contesting the trespass on their private, un-adopted roads, and because of communal 'occupier liability' costs where little case law exists to give guidance thereby threatening life and limb and livelihood of all residents, a trespass and thus contesting of trespass which 'UMaDD' increases geometrically by advocating mass access to Selby through Ousebank's private curtilages and roads and by committing land theft to ensure the 'mass access' that is used to justify the choice of 'Olympia Park' as 'core strategic site', access not available to other proposed sites.

No! More 'crime and disorder' will be generated by a take-over by youth of the two linked railway underpasses to prevent this 'mass access', and which also offers a link across the railway swing-bridge staff walkway.

The scenarios of possible necessary police pursuit along either the railway service road or visibly accessible railway, and leading to possible train and bus pursuits, and also pursuits on foot through Selby town, should leave the police force in the area somewhat stretched, and more so now given reducing police numbers.

Perhaps this is what the two police officers saw when seemingly inspecting the 200 metres of path from the former toll bridge to Ousebank homes, and what they meant also when then asking a resident how people had the nerve to live in such a place.

It will take much more nerve to travel the path if 'Olympia Park' is ever built!

 Ian T Hinchey Ousebank resident, pre- 11th May submission to Government Inspector

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 17 August 2012 15:23
To: ldf
Subject: Fwd: Final pre- 11th May Submission
Attachments: Fwd: Final pre- 11th May Submission

Re- submission to LDF of pre- 11th May submission for pre- 23rd August submission for Local Plan public consultation. (3rd submission)

OPD/1(3)

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 06 May 2012 11:49
To: ldf
Subject: Fwd: Final pre- 11th May Submission
Attachments: Final pre- 11th May Submission

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 06 May 2012 11:47
To: programmeofficer
Subject: Final pre- 11th May Submission

BOCMPauls Ltd must be made to pay for Selby, North East gateway clear-up, NOT life and limb and livelihood of Ousebank residents.

Selby District Council's 'Core Strategy' was wilfully criminally corrupt and criminally negligent at conception, and wilfully criminally corrupt and criminally negligent in its inception, and its promotion, and should be abolished in accordance with the Coalition Government's abolishing of Regional Spatial Strategy, it being the Regional Spatial Strategy responsible for the SDC Core Strategy – the 'secrecy' maintained, and until the very last hours of Independent Inspection in one case of project finance, ensured no genuine public consultation regarding elements of the Core Strategy could ever take place, the conspiracy behind the Core Strategy as it stands ensuring from the very beginning being that the Core Strategy's choice of 'core strategic choice' was made incontestable, though a 'Flood Zone 3 a' site with 'special considerations'.

Conceived to 'tidy up' the mess created by ONE company at the North East gateway to Selby, Selby District Council must ensure that BOCMPauls Ltd complies in full with its commercial liability to clean up this mess, and to the extent of freezing any assets and sales income for and from any part of the business until payment for the clear-up is guaranteed, when the Core Strategy as it relates to the North East gateway to Selby is abolished because of the criminality entailed and its consequence, namely the entitlement by Ousebank residents to prefer manslaughter charges and therefore the certain preferment of manslaughter charges against all Corporate and Public Body Executives in the event of one death or more caused by proceeding to implement Selby District Core Strategy with BOCMPauls Ltd 'Olympia Park' as 'core strategic site.

BOCMPauls Ltd choice, supported by the Chief Planning Officer and the Selby District's unminuted, agenda-less 'cabal politic', to jackboot criminally over the rights of Ousebank residents, and to then be supported by Mr Cooper's unlawful S.106 'bribes', to build new changing rooms for footballers amongst other things for example, was totally misguided given the mid- 2008 failure of the Bellway Homes / BOCMPauls 'Olympia Park' build partnership remaining current in residents' minds.

The criminal attempt to pervert the course of justice by removing the files relating to the 2002 'Emmaus Yorkshire' decision refusing planning permission on Ousebank for some 24 people, who the Chief Constable at the time agreed would eventually have to be prevented from trespassing and because Ousebank roads and curtilages are on a 'desire line' (a planning reality) to Selby, was bound to be noticed, as residents, at first totally ignorant of the labyrinthine nature of planning law, flailed around seeking the reasons for conspirators being able to place them under permanent threat to life and limb and livelihood and without a previous hint that this was going to happen.

The very real consequence of one or more deaths being the direct outcome of Selby District Core strategy, and not the threat of manslaughter charges to be levelled at the conspirators, should be sufficient to abolish, as the Government of the day mandates, the Selby District Core Strategy, and, as a consequence, that BOCMPauls Ltd be legally constrained to clear-up the mess the conspirators sought to clear-up for whatever financial reasons, by jackbooting over Ousebank residents rights to threaten their life and limb and livelihood on a permanent basis, so very much a criminal contravention of Section 17 of the 1998 Crime and Disorder Act.

Ian T Hinchey Ousebank resident - final pre- 11th May submission to Independent Inspector.

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: 17 August 2012 15:28

To: ldf

Subject: Fwd: Error in Final pre- 11th May submission sent 6th May, 2012

Attachments: Fwd: Error in Final pre- 11th May submission sent 6th May, 2012

Re- submission to LDF for pre- 23rd August submission for Local Plan public consultation.

(4th submission)

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 08 May 2012 12:19
To: ldf
Subject: Fwd: Error in Final pre- 11th May submission sent 6th May, 2012
Attachments: Error in Final pre- 11th May submission sent 6th May, 2012

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 08 May 2012 12:17
To: programmeofficer
Subject: Error in Final pre- 11th May submission sent 6th May, 2012

For :- Mr Martin Pike - Independent Government Inspector

Sir,

I am in error : there can be no such thing as 'WILFUL MANSLAUGHTER'.

Where a death is caused by 'wilful action' and by those aware of Executive Liability, and where those 'acting wilfully' are aware that persons are being put at risk of their lives by a contravention of the law of the land and one they have willingly perpetrated by unlawful conspiracy, then the term I used in my 6th May email, 'MANSLAUGHTER', becomes an insufficient classification for the consequent loss of life.

'MURDER' seems the only possible defining term able to cover loss of life caused by 'wilful action' of the aware.

And 'the aware' having been constantly informed over more than a year that their awareness was known because having been pointed out to all parties with an interest in the issues created by the Core Strategy.

The Selby District Core Strategy will therefore give rise to 'MURDER', not 'MANSLAUGHTER'

I beg you to consider 'WILFUL MURDER' to be a sufficient ground for the immediate dismissal of the Selby District Core Strategy.

And because a UK public body must act and strive to act in a manner compatible with the UK 1998 Human Rights Act, the fundamental premise of a civilised society, and namely

Human Rights Act 1998 Schedule 1. Part 1 Rights and Freedoms

Article 2.

1. The Right to Life

Everyone's right to life shall be protected by law.

Sincerely

Ian T Hinchey Ousebank resident where 40 families live in continual dread of permanent threat to life and limb and livelihood (but not for cycling accident costs, as CPO now pays, personally!)

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 17 August 2012 15:34
To: ldf
Subject: Fwd: A 'smash and grab' raid on Ousebank residents' property rights?
Attachments: Fwd: A 'smash and grab' raid on Ousebank residents' property rights?

Re- submission of previous pre- 28th June submissions for Local Plan consultation cancelled by Selby Chief Executive Mr Martin Connor by taking 'Hybrid' BOCMPauls Ltd planning application out of 'Local Plan' considerations, but re- instituted for pre- 23rd August Local Plan public consultation

(5th submission)

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 11 June 2012 07:05
To: ldf
Subject: Fwd: A 'smash and grab' raid on Ousebank residents' property rights?
Attachments: A 'smash and grab' raid on Ousebank residents' property rights?

For pre- 28th June submission

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 11 June 2012 07:03
To: joe osullivan
Subject: A 'smash and grab' raid on Ousebank residents' property rights?

Joe Sullivan, letter 7th June 201211th June 2012

Ref No. 2012/0540/FUL
 Alt Ref. 8/16/97Y/PA

Town and Country Planning Act 1990

Proposed Demolition of redundant and vacant mill buildings.....
 Location, Boem Olympia Mill Barlby Road
 Applicant, Mr Richard Cooper

Sir/Madam,

A 15 year old, pre- 1998 'Crime and Disorder Act', planning application's attempt at a 'smash and grab' raid on 2,900 years remaining of Ousebank residents' property rights to aid now a criminal 'Core Strategy'? Or not?

Does this development in any way whatsoever undermine Ousebank resident's property right of curtilage over the level crossing and through the mill road?

Curtilage is necessary to make viable the homes and the usual domestic routines and arrangements of Ousebank residents, so any interference with our rights of curtilage, our property rights, by the proposal must be contested.

There exists some 2,900 years of a 3,000 year lease deeded to Ousebank residents for access through the railway underpass at Recreation Road, and therefore via the level crossing at the mill, it being, because of flooding of underpasses (the railway swing-bridge floods to the underpass roof) a vital element in the combination of three curtilages that are necessary to permit the successful fulfilment of the domestic arrangements for Ousebank residents' properties and families, and therefore the Ousebank residents' property rights.

The swing-bridge curtilage therefore, and in its slightly differing nature of restricting access by privacy over an earthen embankment now weakened by a heavy-duty concrete wall and fence on its inner edge, has as a material consideration that it be kept totally private (but has been recently compromised by CPO signposting of 'Cycling' without asking residents' permission) as curtilage which exists along the river bank, west through the swing-bridge railway underpass to reach the former Selby toll bridge : except, that is, for the heirs and tenants of the selling company of the land for the build of Ousebank Cottages, but, the company, never having built on the land to have tenants, instead gifted the land to allotments and sports field after the 1947 flood turned the land into an ox-bow lake to convince of no future build being possible - the allotments were gifted to Ousebank residents 60 years ago in 1952 and the sports fields gifted to Selby and District sports (by virtue of unimpeded 'custom and usage' after the Olympia Sports Club became defunct).

So a material consideration also must be sustaining curtilage for allotment holders other than Ousebank residents, and sports fields users, via both the Recreation Road and mill level-crossing, the third curtilage along the river bank and its need to be recognisably private being

yet another material consideration, and all due full lawful considerations if Ousebank residents' property rights are to be given the due respect due according to law.

For Mr Cooper's proposal to go forward uncontested by Ousebank residents which must inevitably cause the proposal to fail, it must be clearly stated for posterity in unambivalent legally binding terms that the development of "... car park, HGV circulation routes and turning circles, landscaping and construction of new vehicular access .." are NOT a preliminary to a subterfuge for the formulation of EITHER a back-up plan should the £4 million for the bridge that must be built before 'a brick can be laid' not be available because prevented by lawful accounting principles, NOR a substitute for the bridge that cannot now be built because unaffordable therefore, and are not indeed intended to undermine to negate Ousebank residents' property rights of curtilage.

Such a back-up or substitute plan would aid an existing and criminal 'smash and grab' attempt on Ousebank residents' property rights that can be found in the criminal Public Document 'Updated Masterplan and Delivery Document – December 2010' which unlawfully because ignoring to contravene an extant planning decision that supported Section 17 of the 1998 'Crime and Disorder Act', set up, incontestably, the adoption of 'Olympia Park' as 'core strategic site' of the SDC 'Core Strategy' which emerged full blown out of nowhere to be unlawfully accepted by Selby District Council, Chief Planning Officer, Planning Committee and Councillors of a 'cabal politic' persuasion, inasmuch as obvious and criminal land theft and criminally 'willing blindness' to the threat posed to 4,000 lives, are instantly recognisable, along with several other criminal elements.

Should the proposal indeed prove to be a subterfuge, and shown by an unwillingness on the part of Mr Cooper to agree to a clear and unambivalent statement for posterity that there is no intention nor actual possibility of the proposal leading to it ever being considered to be or implemented to be a substitute for a bridge, and so as to thereby allow a progressing of a housing build on 'Olympia Park', it will fail along with the criminal conspiracy which produced the Selby District 'Core Strategy' and which will also soon cause dismissal of this life-threatening 'Core Strategy'.

So in order to prevent a lawfully successful dismissal of the proposal, Mr Cooper, as an applicant fully authorised in law (though a prime mover in the criminal conspiracy that prompted the Selby District 'Core Strategy' with its focus on the BOCMPauls Ltd clear-up) must be willing to sign a clear and unambivalent statement to the effect that there exists no intention that the proposal was ever considered to be or will ever become a substitute for the bridge proposed in 'UMaDD' Public Document and so as thereby to permit the progressing of an application for a housing build/s on 'Olympia Park' [BARL 009 'Selby and District Sites Allocation Document' (SaDSAD).] and also offers no threat by undermining to negate Ousebank residents' property rights.

Sincerely

Ian T Hinchey Ousebank where (nearly) 40 families live in continual dread of
 permanent threat to life and limb and livelihood
 (not now from cycling accidents as CPO pays, personally!)

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 17 August 2012 15:37
To: ldf
Subject: Fwd: Immediately reject this criminal and disgusting application
Attachments: Fwd: Immediately reject this criminal and disgusting application

Submission previously submitted but ignore, but now for LDF pre- 23 August Local Plan public consultation submission.

(5th submission)

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 13 June 2012 14:35
To: ldf
Subject: Fwd: Immediately reject this criminal and disgusting application
Attachments: Immediately reject this criminal and disgusting application

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
 Sent: 13 June 2012 14:32
 To: joe osullivan
 Subject: Immediately reject this criminal and disgusting application

Joe Sullivan, letter 11th June.13th June, 2012.

Ref No :- 2012/0541/EIA
 Alt Ref :- 8/16/97X/PA

Proposal :- Hybrid application
 Applicant :- BOCMPauls Ltd.

Sir/Madame,

Conspiring to pervert the course of justice by unlawful deletion of an extant Selby District Council Planning Decision supporting Section 17 of the 1998 Crime and Disorder Act which would be able to cause automatic disqualification of the BOCMPauls Ltd 'Proposal' (in its according with the highly questionable 'evidence' base of 'Updated Masterplan and Delivery Document- December 2010' (UMaDD) which appeared out of nowhere to reveal incontestable acceptance by Selby District Council and its elected Officers and Employees by its being unquestioned but 'preferred' still to 'Core Strategy' 'core strategic site' though a 'Flood Zone 3a' site with non-flood zone alternatives and requiring 'special considerations' because of a 'flood wall meeting an angled railway embankment' that the chosen 'desk based inspection' could not meet) and if the Selby District Council Planning Decision to refuse the 'Emmaus Yorkshire' planning permission remained lawfully available to be held-up by a member of the public to demand a continued compliance with Section 17 of the 1998 Crime and Disorder Act in accordance with the decision's "...refusal..." on "... strictly planning ground..." and simply because of "... FEAR of crime and disorder in the community...": and conspiring in the County of North Yorkshire at sometime between mid- 2008, when the partnership between Bellway Homes Ltd and BOCMPauls to build 600 dwellings was questioned by means of and eventually discontinued due to this planning decision; and the final completion date of the December 2010 'UMaDD' which sets out to ignore to contravene Section 17 of the Crime and Disorder Act to place Ousebank residents in a permanent future of threat to life and limb and livelihood because having now to contest MASS trespass through their un-adopted roads and curtilages and car park where communal occupier liability for accident applies, and where little case law nor precedent exists, most cases having been settled out of court, to thereby threaten possible costs to residents that threaten livelihoods as well as life and limb during contesting of trespass, and advocated en masse by UMaDD.

BOCMPauls Ltd 'Proposal' for a 'hybrid application' for planning permission hides, and is meant to intentionally hide so it will not be exposed to a separate and distinct consideration of its individual merit or demerit, a housing build on 'Barl. 009' 'Selby and District Site Allocations Document' (SaDSAD) known as 'Olympia Park'

But, as was known by the conspirators, their 'Proposal' depends upon seriously compromising the property rights of Ousebank residents' and to thereby permanently put at risk their lives, limbs and livelihoods, pointed out to all and sundry 8,000 times.

This disgusting proposal must be rejected to comply with the Law of the Land.

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 17 August 2012 15:41
To: ldf
Subject: Fwd: FW: Letter to Emmaus explaining planning refusal for Ousebank hostel app...
Attachments: Fwd: FW: Letter to Emmaus explaining planning refusal for Ousebank hostel app...
(6th submission) **for pre- 23rd August submission for Local Plan public consultation.**

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 15 June 2012 10:36
To: ldf
Subject: Fwd: FW: Letter to Emmaus explaining planning refusal for Ousebank hostel app...
Attachments: Fwd: FW: Letter to Emmaus explaining planning refusal for Ousebank hostel app...

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 15 June 2012 10:29
To: joe osullivan
Subject: Fwd: FW: Letter to Emmaus explaining planning refusal for Ousebank hostel app...
Attachments: RE: FW: Letter to Emmaus explaining planning refusal for Ousebank hostel appl...

Joe Sullivan, letter 11th June

Ref No :- 2012/0541/EIA
Alt Ref :- 8/16/97X/PA

Proposal :- Hybrid application
Applicant :- BOCMPauls Ltd

Sir/Madam,

I follow up my letter of the 13th "**Conspiring to pervert the course of justice by unlawful deletion of an extant Selby District Council Planning Decision supporting section 17 of the 1998 Crime and Disorder Act which would be able to cause automatic disqualification of the BOCMPauls Ltd 'Proposal'**" which responded to your 11th June letter referenced above, by enclosing a copy of my enquiry and its eventual termination with an understandable lack of response from Mr Richard Sunter.

Sincerely

Ian T Hinchey

ryan king

From: janet morley
Sent: 09 August 2011 11:36
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: FW: Letter to Emmaus explaining planning refusal for Ousebank hostel appl...

Dear Sir,

I have forwarded your enquiry on to Richard Sunter, Lead Officer (Planning). If you have any further questions or queries regarding this please contact him on:

risunter@selby.gov.uk

Regards,



JANET MORLEY

Business Administration Assistant

Tel 01757 705101 Email info@selby.gov.uk Web www.selby.gov.uk
 Selby District Council Civic Centre, Doncaster Road, Selby YO8 9FT

The information in this e-mail, and any attachments, is confidential and may be subject to legal professional privilege. It is intended solely for the attention and use of the named addressee(s). Its contents do not necessarily represent the views or opinions of Selby District Council.

If you are not the intended recipient please notify the sender immediately. Unless you are the intended recipient, or his/her representative, you are not authorised to, and must not, read, copy, distribute, use or retain this message or any part of it.

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 08 August 2011 17:18
To: janet morley
Subject: Re: FW: Letter to Emmaus explaining planning refusal for Ousebank hostel appl...

Hello Leanne,

I went to 'Access Selby' only to find that all information had been deleted, when? , I know not!

Are 'letters of refusal' of planning permission legal documents, similar to 'awards' of planning permission?

Irregardless, how long must such documents be safely kept by Selby & District Council?

The eventual withdrawal of application for planning permission in response to the 'refusal' letter written by 'Selby Council', occurred 8th November 2002.

As this date is less than 10 years, should not information regarding the application, its refusal and its withdrawal continue to exist according to law?

I await your reply anxiously

28/08/2012

Sincerely

Ian T Hinchey Ouse bank where 40 families live in continual dread of permanent threat to life, limb and livelihood.

In a message dated 03/08/2011 14:13:49 GMT Daylight Time, jrichardson@selby.gov.uk writes:

Dear Sir,

Planning reference number: CO/2002/0634 - 8/16/255E/PA for Alteration, conversion and extension of existing buildings to form a community development comprising residential use with associated light industrial (Class B1) and retail (Classes A1 & A3) uses at Barlby Farm, Ousebank, Selby by Emmaus Yorkshire was Withdrawn by the applicant on 8th November 2002.

You can view the application at our Access Selby Customer Contact Centre, Market Cross Shopping Centre, Selby.

If you require copies of any documentation, these can be purchased at the above office.

Regards,



JANET MORLEY

Business Administration Assistant

Tel 01757 705101 Email info@selby.gov.uk Web www.selby.gov.uk
Selby District Council Civic Centre, Doncaster Road, Selby YO8 9FT

The information in this e-mail, and any attachments, is confidential and may be subject to legal professional privilege. It is intended solely for the attention and use of the named addressee(s). Its contents do not necessarily represent the views or opinions of Selby District Council.

If you are not the intended recipient please notify the sender immediately. Unless you are the intended recipient, or his/her representative, you are not authorised to, and must not, read, copy, distribute, use or retain this message or any part of it.

From: leanne fox **On Behalf Of** Info
Sent: 01 August 2011 15:40
To: development support
Subject: FW: Letter to Emmaus explaining planning refusal for Ousebank hostel application

Hi

Please see email below sent to the info inbox.

Kind Regards



LEANNE FOX
Customer Services Advisor

Tel 01757 705101 Email info@selby.gov.uk Web www.selby.gov.uk
Selby District Council Civic Centre, Doncaster Road, Selby YO8 8FT

The information in this e-mail, and any attachments, is confidential and may be subject to legal professional privilege. It is intended solely for the attention and use of the named addressee(s). Its contents do not necessarily represent the views or opinions of Selby District Council. If you are not the intended recipient please notify the sender immediately. Unless you are the intended recipient, or his/her representative, you are not authorised to, and must not, read, copy, distribute, use or retain this message or any part of it.

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 01 August 2011 09:58
To: Info
Subject: Letter to Emmaus explaining planning refusal for Ousebank hostel application

Hello,

just prior to October 25th 2002, a 'council representative' wrote to Emmaus North Yorkshire, (possibly addressed in person to Mr John Walker, the Chairman) to explain refusal of planning permission for an Emmaus hostel on Ousebank, Selby. 'fear of crime and disorder and community safety were material considerations'.

May I please be

a) informed of the name of the council representative who wrote the explanation, and upon whose behalf? (which committee, or what minute of which proceedings provided the prompt for the letter?).

b) a copy of the actual letter so prompted and agreed to, and by whom agreed to?

Regards

28/08/2012

Ian T Hinchey 6 Ousebank, Selby YO8 5AY 01757 706862

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 17 August 2012 15:43
To: ldf
Subject: Fwd: Is BOCMPauls Ltd LAWFULLY CONSTITUTED to pursue speculative building etc
Attachments: Is BOCMPauls Ltd LAWFULLY CONSTITUTED to pursue speculative building etc
Submission for pre- 23rd August Local Plan public consultation.

(7th submission)

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: 21 June 2012 12:19

To: joe osullivan

Subject: Is BOCMPauls Ltd LAWFULLY CONSTITUTED to pursue speculative building etc

Sir,

I asked you in my email 12th June entitled 'My democratic and human right to free expression' if BOCMPauls Ltd was lawfully constituted to enter into speculative house and commercial property building and a civil engineering project according to its legally binding Articles of Association.

Selby District Council has 'Certified' that no legal prohibitions exist to prevent the 'Core Strategy' proceeding, so a check must have been carried out at the time by some Department or some individual Office having responsibility for verifying this particular legal requirement.

So it must be relatively simple internal task to obtain the information and for you to thereby deliver a reply.

Will you therefore please reply by return?

Sincerely

Ian T Hinchey Ousebank where forty families live in continual dread of permanent threat to life and limb and livelihood (but not from cycling accidents, as CPO now pays, personally!)

OPD11 (9)

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 17 August 2012 15:45
To: ldf
Subject: Fwd: Is BOCMPauls Ltd LAWFULLY CONSTITUTED to pursue speculative building etc
Attachments: Fwd: Is BOCMPauls Ltd LAWFULLY CONSTITUTED to pursue speculative building etc
Submission for pre- 23rd August Local Plan public consultation.
(8th submission)

OPD 11 (9)

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 21 June 2012 16:22
To: mail@spawforths.co.uk
Subject: Fwd: Is BOCMPauls Ltd LAWFULLY CONSTITUTED to pursue speculative building etc
Attachments: Fwd: Is BOCMPauls Ltd LAWFULLY CONSTITUTED to pursue speculative building etc

OPD 11 (9)

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 21 June 2012 15:46
To: joe osullivan
Subject: Fwd: Is BOCMPauls Ltd LAWFULLY CONSTITUTED to pursue speculative building etc
Attachments: Is BOCMPauls Ltd LAWFULLY CONSTITUTED to pursue speculative building etc

Sir,

You have not replied to my request this morning for an answer by return - not even to say you were delayed by difficulty in obtaining the required information.

If you are indeed suffering difficulties in obtaining the required information from Selby District Council administrative or management systems failures, you may obtain it from Companies House 'Annual Returns' from BOCMPauls Ltd for, presumably, pre- the pre- mid-2008 though eventually cancelled partnership with Bellway Homes, or pre- December 2010 'UMaDD' acceptance by Selby District Council, and where any change either at the two years stated or the years in between, when a vote of shareholders in favour of a major change in direction of previous Articles of Association binding the company legally will have to have been declared.

I look forward to a speedy a response as is now been made possible by my providing you with the necessary foundation for discovering the lawfulness or otherwise of the BOCMPauls Ltd 'Hybrid' and 'civil engineering' proposal.

Sincerely

Ian T Hinchey Ousebank where (nearly) forty families live in continual dread of
permanent threat to life and limb and livelihood
(not now from cycling accidents as CPO now pays, personally!)

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: 21 June 2012 12:19

To: joe osullivan

Subject: Is BOCMPauls Ltd LAWFULLY CONSTITUTED to pursue speculative building etc

Sir,

I asked you in my email 12th June entitled 'My democratic and human right to free expression' if BOCMPauls Ltd was lawfully constituted to enter into speculative house and commercial property building and a civil engineering project according to its legally binding Articles of Association.

Selby District Council has 'Certified' that no legal prohibitions exist to prevent the 'Core Strategy' proceeding, so a check must have been carried out at the time by some Department or some individual Office having responsibility for verifying this particular legal requirement.

So it must be relatively simple internal task to obtain the information and for you to thereby deliver a reply.

Will you therefore please reply by return?

Sincerely

Ian T Hinchey Ousebank where forty families live in continual dread of permanent threat to life and limb and livelihood (but not from cycling accidents, as CPO now pays, personally!)

OPD/1 (10)

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 17 August 2012 15:48
To: ldf
Subject: Fwd: Criminality, presented in full, demands withdrawal of SDC 'core strategi...
Attachments: Fwd: Criminality, presented in full, demands withdrawal of SDC 'core strategi...

Submission for pre- 23rd August Local Plan public consultation.

(9th submission)

OPD 11 (10)

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 23 June 2012 11:22
To: ldf
Subject: Fwd: Criminality, presented in full, demands withdrawal of SDC 'core strategi...
Attachments: Fwd: Criminality, presented in full, demands withdrawal of SDC 'core strategi...

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 23 June 2012 11:10
To: timmadgwick@northyorkshire.pnn.police.uk
Subject: Fwd: Criminality, presented in full, demands withdrawal of SDC 'core strategi...
Attachments: Criminality, presented in full, demands withdrawal of SDC 'core strategic site'

A defrauding of the 40 families living in Ousebank Cottages of their statutory right for protection of life by law contrary to the 1998 Human Rights Act Article 2. 1. Rights and Freedoms 'Everyone's life shall be protected by law'

Sir,

I present for your consideration the last few emails I have sent over the last 18 months in the attempt to prevent the criminal conspiracy to pervert the course of justice by deleting public records that would automatically stop a totally unexpected housing build which puts the lives of 4,000 people at risk.

Had I not been prevented from conducting a citizen's arrest of those progressing the Document by threat of my arrest by Selby Police Station Commander and because stating that the Criminal Investigation Department (CID) had the situation under consideration (?), the criminal document unlawfully accepted by Selby District Council because based on obvious falsification of the officially presented land boundaries of Ousebank resident's properties and a criminally negligent 'desk based inspection' when 'special considerations' of a flood defence wall meeting a railway embankment and which offers threat to 4,000 lives therebyfor non-comprehensive examples, the progress of the criminal conspiracy based on a perversion of the course of justice to contravene by deletion before their time all details of an extant Selby District Planning Decision which supported supported Section 17 of the 1998 Crime & Disorder Act, as did a previous Chief Constable, though not Grahame Maxwell who stated there was nothing I could do to or say which would gain his involvement so filed all my communications unread, the progress of the conspiracy would have been prevented in mid- 2011.

Ian T Hinchey Ousebank resident.

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]**Sent:** 27 April 2012 17:18**To:** programmeofficer**Subject:** Criminality, presented in full, demands withdrawal of SDC 'core strategic site'

Chosen by Selby District Council as 'core strategic site' at the behest of the Chief Planning Officer combined with the request of BOCMPauls Ltd and its promoter Spawforths Ltd, all conspiring together to confound Section 17 of 1998 Crime & Disorder Act previously upheld in a 2002 planning decision and with evidence of this decision having been wilfully deleted by the conspirators before its time contrary to Local Government Advice standards and with the wilful intent to unlawfully pervert the course of justice by its deletion, and by further using unlawful deceit in a Public Document, the 'Selby and District Site Allocations Document', by claiming in the Document that the site 'already benefited from planning permission' to thereby convince of an increase in potential value in the eyes of potential investors, 'Olympia Park' the 'core strategic site' as chosen, WILL FLOOD AGAIN!

As any current Geography pupil will tell you, the land on which the 1,000 dwelling 'Olympia Park' is projected to be built is inside the MEANDER of a FLOOD PLAIN RIVER and the next stage in the natural development of any such MEANDER is to become an OX BOW LAKE : in a high flood the direct force of the river joins with the next direct arm of the river to miss out the loop of the meander and leave it to exist as a lake – photographs of the 1947 flood show such a site; sorry! 'sight'.

Hiding behind a lack of neither a Selby District Council nor North Yorkshire County Council 'Policy Document' regarding 'Strategic Planning Restraints' although the seminal work on the subject commissioned by Communities and Local Government Department to benefit of policy-free Authorities 'Strategic Gap and Green Wedge Policies in Structure Plans' (2000) had been available for nearly a decade, a choice from two other suitable sites in a NON-FLOOD ZONE (which everybody knows will be built on eventually) meant the conspiracy had the excuse to accept the unlawful choice of site, and a choice which could not be contested without a contesting of the Selby District Council 'Core Strategy' – the unlawful choice was, in other words, MADE INCONTESTABLE by the conspirators in pursuit of a fool-proof conspiracy.

Unfortunately for the conspirators there existed amongst Ousebank residents non-fools that were instrumental in ensuring failure of the mid-2008 Bellway Homes / BOCMPauls partnership build of 600 dwellings (the Coalition Government since reduced statutory density – 1,000 dwellings can now be built in 'Olympia Park' space) and by making the Principals aware of, amongst other things, the "strictly planning grounds" of the 2002 planning decision which refused 'Emmaus Yorkshire' permission to build a hostel for some two-dozen persons on Ousebank and because of "... fear of crime and disorder and community safety." But evidence still existed, even though the conspirators had had the foresight to criminally pervert the course of justice by removing all evidence of the Decision.

And when a build-project for the same 'Olympia Park' site and which residents had every reason to believe would never raise its ugly head again, appeared in December 2010 as a £300 million 'Christmas Present' development, Ousebank residents knew devious means had kept it under the radar and outside their knowledge as even the hint of a possibility – this made possible by advertising as 'Selby District with mention of village names to the fore' thus ensuring Selby readers would dismiss the adverts after the enquiring glance, plus also the 'cabal politic' engendered by the misapplication of the confidentiality rule 'that the Government wants to get rid of as being undemocratic with the 'Localism Bill' was rife at

Selby District Council, even making the local press, with the current Head Councillor stating that his political party were only doing that which the political party before them did.

The 1947 flood revealing the Ox Bow lake was probably instrumental in the gifting by the owners at the time of the land to Ousebank residents as allotments in 1952, and for 'each family on Ousebank to be able feed their family'

A 1952 'gifting' that has been compounded by 60 years of 'custom and usage' and since further compounded by the statutory right of persons to expect Local Authorities to consider it their duty to provide allotments, not take them away completely, as the Chief Planning Officer attempted to do in the 2005–2008 Plan { and, by the way, the only available Plan for the Environmental Agency to work to when it built the flood defence wall connecting to the railway, and on a stretch of embankment previously designed to overtop into the allotments, but which the Plan changed to 'playing field']

Who, therefore, has the right to undermine 'gift' and 'sentiment of the gifting' given the statutory right of Ousebank residents to expect an allotment which accords with the gifting supported by 60 years of 'custom and usage'? And who has the right to place allotments on a yet to be disturbed 'brownfield' where Weapons of Mass Destruction were made over 90 years ago – because, make no bones about it, this whole conspiracy was necessary to provide that which BOCMPauls Ltd has not provided over nearly a century, namely financial provision to clean up nearly 100 YEARS LATER the remnants of WMD manufacture and also heavy oil/tar usage.

Floods expected in the near future, as well as the 'North Sea surge' and which the Dutch have spent £billions in anticipating, will yet again turn the 'Olympia Park' site into an Ox Bow Lake.

The discrepancy of height difference at the junction of the concrete flood defence wall and railway bank's comparatively flimsy brick-built 'bunker', where the small gap from top to base of defence wall may well see the 'bunker' pushed aside and for flood waters to rapidly undermine the railway itself and the earth embankment on which the concrete wall and heavy duty fencing are built on the inner side to weaken it, and to thereby threaten the lives and properties of some 4,000 people [Ousebank residents' private curtilage is being eroded at ITS APEX that should be high enough to prevent flood, and by footfall considerably less than the proposed extra 4,000 inhabitants of 'Olympia Park,' and is now lower than the 1.9 kilometres of flood defence wall built 2008 and may well soon be as low as the brick 'bunker' at the railway embankment]

The conspirators were 'willingly blind to' this easily visible threat, as evidenced by choosing 'a desk based inspection' where a 'physical inspection' was demanded, and because of the 'special circumstance' of 'defence wall meeting railway embankment'.

But to contend with the cost of possible requirement to meet this problem would have added more to a cost that according to the 'evidence base' in the 'Updated Masterplan and Delivery Document – December 2010' could not readily be afforded anyway, the 'financial consultant' stating that 'access to public funds' was required to make the proposition the Document entertained financially viable as it was presented, none of which 'public funds' applied for having much of a chance because in competitions for 'innovation', and it was admitted to the Inspector that needs were 'only cosmetic'.

The District Comptroller also considered the likelihood of BOCMPauls Ltd obtaining £4 million against the project from capital improvements made several years before (details kept 'secret' until the 30th September, 2011 'Matters 6' meeting, the last day of the Inspection by the Independent Government Inspector and only days before the conspirators expected a pro forma adoption of the site by Selby Council in October) to be questionable, so offered two figures for S106 considerations, one for if this was somehow managed (creative accounting?) and the other if not.

BOCMPauls Ltd lack of financial provision for clearing-up the WMD left-overs and remnants of heavy oil and tar usage and for the absence of which contamination (?) the 'UMaDD' provides no evidence, and which as an undisturbed 'brownfield', if such evidence did indeed exist, would be negated once 'brownfield' was disturbed, should not require that Ousebank residents should have their 60 year usage of gifted allotments consolidated by statutory right unlawfully taken from them, the allotments to be placed directly on an as yet undisturbed derelict 'brownfield' where Weapons of Mass Destruction were made during the 1914-1918 war; nor have had their rights of land and property cunningly undermined over time by the Chief Planning Officer; nor have had accepted by Selby District Council a planning application which ignores to contravene Section 17 of the 1998 Crime & Disorder Act TO THEREBY LICENSE 'crime and disorder' and lack of 'community safety'; nor should the Council have permitted a unlawful financial deception in a Public Document for the incitement of investment; nor should the Council have accepted as evidence base the obviously un-evidenced and desk- based inspection of a 'Flood Zone 3a' site given the 'special circumstances of a 'flood defence wall meeting a railway embankment'; nor should the Council have accepted with a view to adoption a planning application supported by an 'evidence base' dependent on obvious land theft from Ousebank residents.

The crime and disorder that will be generated if 'Olympia Park' is ever built will not simply be by Ousebank residents contesting the trespass on their private, un-adopted roads, and because of communal 'occupier liability' costs where little case law exists to give guidance thereby threatening life and limb and livelihood of all residents, a trespass and thus contesting of trespass which 'UMaDD' increases geometrically by advocating mass access to Selby through Ousebank's private curtilages and roads and by committing land theft to ensure the 'mass access' that is used to justify the choice of 'Olympia Park' as 'core strategic site', access not available to other proposed sites.

No! More 'crime and disorder' will be generated by a take-over by youth of the two linked railway underpasses to prevent this 'mass access', and which also offers a link across the railway swing-bridge staff walkway.

The scenarios of possible necessary police pursuit along either the railway service road or visibly accessible railway, and leading to possible train and bus pursuits, and also pursuits on foot through Selby town, should leave the police force in the area somewhat stretched, and more so now given reducing police numbers.

Perhaps this is what the two police officers saw when seemingly inspecting the 200 metres of path from the former toll bridge to Ousebank homes, and what they meant also when then asking a resident how people had the nerve to live in such a place.

It will take much more nerve to travel the path if 'Olympia Park' is ever built!

 Ian T Hinchey Ousebank resident, pre- 11th May submission to Government Inspector

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: 17 August 2012 15:51

To: ldf

Subject: Fwd: Potential for UK's worst ever manmade disaster

Attachments: Fwd: Potential for UK's worst ever manmade disaster

Submission for pre- 23rd August Local Plan public consultation.

(10th submission)

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 28 June 2012 09:30
To: ldf
Subject: Fwd: Potential for UK's worst ever manmade disaster
Attachments: Potential for UK's worst ever manmade disaster

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 28 June 2012 09:29
To: joe osullivan
Subject: Potential for UK's worst ever manmade disaster

FINAL 28th June submission opposing BOCMPauls Ltd CRIMINAL 'Hybrid' planning application

It is self-evident to any practitioner of common sense that the building of 'Olympia Park' will lead to closure of the Hull to Selby railway line.

'Olympia Park', the element purposely hidden in the BOCMPauls Ltd 'Hybrid' planning application to avoid considerations, will lead to closure of the Hull to Selby connection for the London and Transpennine routes if build permission is granted, because future precedence will be given to a continuing protection of the lives and property of the near 4,000 people projected to live on the 'Flood Zone 3a' site, and by the flood barrier being built higher and higher until the railway track level is much lower and becomes a flood threat unable to be mastered by anything other than closure of the railway line and swing bridge over the River Ouse.

The product of a criminal enterprise in which the conspirators BOCMPauls Ltd, Mr Richard Cooper et al, Selby District Chief Planning Officer, Mr Richard Sunter et al Selby District 'cabal' Councillors, and Spawforths Ltd, Adrian Spawforth and Paul Bedwell et al, aimed at the nearly £40 million profit available by being willing to enter into seven areas of criminality (email to LDF 'The Rampant Criminality Permeating Selby District Core Strategy' 01/01/2012) and unconcerned by the persisting threat to the projected 4,000 lives which had to be kept hidden, and was kept hidden by their conducting a 'desk based inspection' of the site instead of a 'physical inspection' which would have revealed the 'Hybrid' application's threat to life, currently and in the near future, and the eventual need for closure of the railway line.

The conspirators' initially perverted the course of justice by removal of public records of the extant Selby District Planning Decision refusing planning permission to 'Emaus Yorkshire' on "...strictly planning grounds ... of fear of crime and disorder and for community safety" (York Press archives) that would have automatically disqualified 'Olympia Park' and to place the 40 families of Ousebank under continuous threat of life limb and livelihood, and by theft of Ousebank residents' land accepted in the 'Updated Masterplan and Delivery Document - Dec 2010' (UMaDD) for 'Olympia Park' by Selby District Council that would guarantee future mass trespass through Ousebank residents' private and un-adopted roads (a selling point of 'UMaDD) that would have to be continually contested to prevent possible onerous costs from occupier liability, there being virtually no precedents extant in law most previous cases having been settled out of court. A false statement in a Public Document 'Selby and District Site Allocations Document' (SaDSAD) that the 'Olympia Park' site "...already benefited from planning permission" and to incite investment, being another criminal act amongst others.

The threat of a Tsunami type wave across the current allotments and sports pitches still exists to threaten Ousebank residents because of the couple of metres of height discrepancy where the flood-defence wall meets the railway tract near to the swing-bridge across the River Ouse. And it was criminally negligent of the conspirators, but necessary for them to avoid unaffordable costs, to not have conducted the 'physical inspection of the 'Olympia Park' site demanded by the 'special considerations' of a flood-defence wall meeting a railway, and which would have revealed this height discrepancy having the potential to create the UK's

worst ever manmade disaster, the loss of 4,000 lives and their properties.

The 1.9 kilometres of fence wall is a constant height. So, other than the threatening height discrepancy, there is no means left for a slow 'over topping' into the allotments that would remove the threat of Tsunami from a surge which will find the gap.

The concrete fence-wall would have to be lowered a little to allow slow overtopping.

The ignored problem must be addressed or the Tsunami type wave created could totally undermine to wash away the rail track itself, and possibly a train with it.

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 17 August 2012 15:53
To: ldf
Subject: Fwd: The actual permanent nightmare awaiting half the residents of Ousebank
Attachments: Fwd: The actual permanent nightmare awaiting half the residents of Ousebank
Submission for pre-23rd August Local Plan public consultation.
(11th submission)

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 30 June 2012 09:10
To: joe osullivan
Subject: Fwd: The actual permanent nightmare awaiting half the residents of Ousebank
Attachments: The actual permanent nightmare awaiting half the residents of Ousebank

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 30 June 2012 09:09
To: Barlby and Osgodby Parish
Subject: The actual permanent nightmare awaiting half the residents of Ousebank

Selby Parish Council

Councillors,

Ousebank is 8 blocks of 4 homes separated by the curtilage which makes the 4 homes viable as homes - a home must have another way of taking a wheelbarrow full of compost from front to rear of the home other than through the living room : some terraced homes have an access private to the two homes at the middle of the terrace, Ousebank cottages have curtilage. And curtilage is not simply a 'right of way' but a 'right of property' and necessary in order to make the homes viable as homes, as with the three property rights of curtilage existing along the river bank, at Recreation road and at the railway crossing through the Mill, they are 'property rights' NOT simply 'rights of way'!

BOCMPauls Ltd with the aid of Spawforths Ltd and accepted by the Selby District Chief Planning Officer and Selby District Council Councillors, attempted to steal the rear road that is the deeded property of Ousebank homes by falsifying the official green boundary boundary line on the Public Document 'Selby and District Site Allocations Document' (SaDSAD) with the green boundary line drawn in the 'Updated Masterplan and Delivery Document - December 2010' (UMaDD).

Evidence of deed and 'SaDSAD' and 'UMaDD' boundary falsification have been placed at differing times in 2011 with Selby Police Station (receipt kept) and the Independent Government Inspector.

Now BOCMPauls Ltd/Spawforths Ltd want access to the land they wanted to steal by means of 'UMaDD', ostensibly to build a nice new road at the rear of Ousebank homes.

I say ostensibly, because in that road being built there lies a permanent nightmare for half the residents of Ousebank (but all residents really), the end-of-block houses having windows onto the curtilage, something which Mr Welch and one other advocate of the road build have not been able to consider because living at the centre of a terrace.

At night when the pubs have emptied, merrymakers (from some 4,000 residents of the proposed 'Olympia Park' housing build) will think nothing of 'joking' with residents of the end-of-block homes by tapping on the windows and running away laughing - I speak from experience, and from when I was a young and an extremely fit club rugby player.

It is an incredibly difficult thing to stop, short of having police on standby every night. And it becomes a tension, a terror, to be dreaded every night, but creates a permanent sense of dread. And, further, the people contested then have to be passed on a narrow path, and in a darkened railway underpass. This will be a 'running of a gauntlet' that everybody will dread and nobody will want to do. It will ensure residents will use their cars to travel over a bridge, a much longer distance than now to Selby, not take eco friendly walks to town.

The road build is necessary (hence the blatant theft recorded in 'UMaDD' to open up the curtilages) to permit the mass access that the 'UMaDD' promises in justification of the 'Olympia Park' build being more eco friendly than any other choice of site for 'core strategic site'. And without opening up of curtilages, 4,000 people will have to funnel through the metre-wide stone steps at the far corner of the allotment. But what is not mentioned, but well understood by all, is that those coming off the Selby Farms ground at the far end of Ousebank will be following the 'desire line' through Ousebanks un-adopted roads and curtilages - how is that to be contested to prevent communal costs of accidents to be demanded by 'no win no fee' lawyers, and who will make hay because there exists virtually no precedents to depend on. Making precedents in court to gain some protection will be a very expensive business.

All this, as well as theft of land gifted to allotments and sports to kill off both, to be thrust unwanted onto Ousebank residents because BOCMPauls Ltd has not made financial provision for clearing up the 100 years of mess it has created?

The only objection to BOCMPauls Ltd 'Hybrid' planning application is to the 'Olympia Park' house build.

Mr Welch's 'survey' of Ousebank residents published as two, one-sided A 4 pages "**Results of the Question, would you be willing to let Spawforths /BOCM on to your land to build a new road?.**)(stet) delivered within the monthly 'Barlby and Osgodby Magazine, isolates myself and another resident as being opposed to all other residents who we rob of enjoyment of a new road, and who apparently said 'yes' to the 'Question', although no certification of the validity of the survey is offered.

This must surely be considered to be contrary to good order and an incitement to disorder on Ousebank by unlawfully setting neighbour against neighbour, and which I warned of in my previous email 25/06/2012 "Setting neighbour against neighbour" in its relating to the behaviour of Mr Welch, Ousebank's elected representative, and another resident. If anything untoward comes from this vindictive document proceedings will ensue for incitement - I also mentioned to the anonymous magicmonkeypants@hotmail.com that I would be keeping his email as evidence of neighbour being set against neighbour by Spawforth/Bocm.

Will you therefore please confirm by return that Mr Welch has acted without the involvement, and certainly not on behalf of Barlby Parish Council?

Sincerely

Ian T Hinchey Ousebank where (nearly) forty families live in continual dread of permanent threat to life and limb and livelihood (not from cycling accidents, as CPO now pays, personally!)

OPD/1 (13)

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 17 August 2012 15:56
To: ldf
Subject: Fwd: Selby District Council Local Development Framework
Attachments: Fwd: Selby District Council Local Development Framework
Submission for pre- 23rd August Local Plan public consultation.
(12th submission)

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 01 July 2012 13:48
To: martin connor
Subject: Fwd: Selby District Council Local Development Framework
Attachments: Fwd: Selby District Council Local Development Framework

Mr Connor,

I preface my preface to the 34 differing emails sandwiched between two copies of 'The Actual permanent nightmare awaiting half the residents of Ousebank', the first sent 30/06/2012, the latter, the last email sent 01/07/2012.

This 'sandwich' should allow to reach the conclusion that I have little faith in sending anything to Mr Richard Sunter having sent a copy of every one of the emails sent since requesting an explanation from him in August 2011 for the deletion of the Selby District Council Planning Decision refusing the 'Emmaus Yorkshire' application and its withdrawal 8th November 2002 (copy enclosed in 'sandwich').

All emails sent go to a wide audience, to Eric Pickles, his senior civil servants, including the Independent Government Inspector, MP, Chief Constable of North Yorkshire, CPO, SDC Planners, SDC Councillors, Barby Parish Council, private planning companies, Joint Parliamentary Commission on Human Rights (JCHR), local and national press and t-v, and, of course, a courtesy copy to my neighbours. And all at my own expense!! (nasty neighbours note!)

This email will also be received by all.

After 20 odd months and some 8,000 odd emails aimed at canvassing elected representatives at every level, and hoping at least one would display qualities that would soon put paid this criminal enterprise, I have become somewhat cynical of any British person 'doing the right thing', and which is why I contacted Europe.

And you will know that UK Planning Law remains under warning still from Europe.

Sincerely

Ian T Hinchey Ousebank where (nearly) forty families live in continual dread of permanent threat to life and limb and livelihood
(not from cycling accidents as CPO now pays, personally!)

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 01 July 2012 12:04
To: martin connor
Subject: Fwd: Selby District Council Local Development Framework
Attachments: Selby District Council Local Development Framework

Submission for 22nd June - 27th July representation to Mr Martin Connor, Senior Executive Selby District Council OPPOSING BOCMPauls Ltd 'Hybrid' planning application now that it has been removed from the Selby District 'Local Development Plan' as advertised by posters drawing-pinned to selected telephone poles on Ousebank canvassing for representation.

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 06 October 2011 12:48
To: ldf
Subject: Selby District Council Local Development Framework

NOTICE OF PUBLIC CONSULTATION

Site Allocations Development Plan Document Preferred Options

Sir/Madame,

I write in response to being canvassed by postcard to add comment to the SADPD public consultation.

CONTRAVENING '125. DRAFT NATIONAL PLANNING FRAMEWORK (July 2011)'

The 'preferred option' of 'Flood Zone 3' site 'Olympia Park' (Barl 009 - SaDSAD) , 6.2 'Conclusions' 'No. 7 - Strategic Development Sites (February 2010)' contravenes Draft National Planning Framework - July 2011 -

" Sustainable Communities

125.

The planning system can play an important role in facilitating social interaction and creating inclusive communities. Local planning authorities should create a shared vision with communities of the residential environment and facilities they wish to see. To support this, local planning authorities should aim to involve all sections of the community in the development of local and neighbourhood plans and in planning decisions. Planning policies and decisions, in turn, should aim to design places which promote:

- opportunities for meetings between members of the community who might not otherwise come into contact with each other, including through mixed-use developments which bring together those who work, live and play in the vicinity
- safe and accessible environments where **crime and disorder, and the fear of crime, do not undermine quality of life or community cohesion** : and
- **accessible developments, containing clear and legible pedestrian routes** "

FACT : 'fear of crime and disorder and safety in the community' were the 'material planning considerations' that caused the refusal (Letter by council representative to Mr John Walker Director of 'Emmaus Yorkshire') "... strictly on planning grounds" of the Emmaus planning application on Ousebank in 2002.

I hereby ask that the legally valid application of the same 'material planning considerations' of 'fear of crime and disorder and safety in the community' be applied to the planning application by BOCMPauls Ltd for 'Olympia Park' (Barl 009 'SaDSAD').

These 'material planning considerations' render the site unacceptable for consideration as a site allocation, and therefore dismiss it from its status as the 'preferred option' of 'core strategic site' for the Selby District 'Core Strategy' and "... strictly on planning grounds."

Councillors and Planners are aware also of the criminal conspiracy charges lodged against the choice of this 'Flood Zone 3.a' site, which will lead to its choice as 'core strategic site' suffering legal dismissal when successful.

It would indeed be expedient if Selby District Council therefore accepted the addition of 'and Selby' to 'Core Strategy Housing Deliverability and Green Belt Issues - 28th September, 2011'

6.2 - Bullet Point 2 "a localised review of Tadcaster **and Selby** to meet the RSS and Core Strategy objectives including delivering housing land requirements"

A review of the Selby and Brayton 'gap' would give grounds for a reconsideration of two 'Low Flood Risk sites E. and F.' as sound 'core strategic site' substitutes for the dismissed 'Flood Zone 3' site (its 'defensive quality' having been shown to be highly questionable) and with the greater density per hectare now permitted by the current Government leading possibly to a greater number of dwellings to contribute further to housing requirements.

Let the law and common sense expediency rule.

Sincerely

Ian T Hinchey Ousebank where 40 families live in continual dread of
permanent threat to life and limb and livelihood
heightened by circumvented material planning considerations
by means of the crafty theft of Ousebank residents' land.

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 17 August 2012 15:58
To: ldf
Subject: Fwd: Adolf Hitler and his part in our downfall!
Attachments: Adolf Hitler and his part in our downfall!

Submission for pre- 23rd August Local Plan public consultation.

(13th submission)

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 03 July 2012 23:09
To: newsdesk@thepress.co.uk
Subject: Adolf Hitler and his part in our downfall!

Dear Editor,

I have been banned from submitting to the established and establishment local newspaper, the 'Selby Times'

Will you please permit me the right of the free speech that others are able to enjoy in Selby by publishing this banned letter in the hope it might reach into the conscience of Selby District and Local councillors.

Adolf Hitler's National Socialist party's agenda before they came to power in Germany in 1934 was to abolish democracy to institute the rule of 'one strong man'. The German voters were quite openly told beforehand that democratic rule would be no more : even Adolf, unlike some of his 'Little Hitler' followers, upheld the democratic process albeit in order to overthrow the democratic process!

Why, in the past, were Selby District tax payers not told beforehand that the democratic process would stop for their elected local and parish councillors, and therefore for the voters, as soon as the councillors entered the council or parish chamber?

This was caused by the wrongful use of the 'pre-determination rule' which has totally wrongfully and because anti-democratically, prevented elected councillors from discussing council business with their electorate, even though it must be straight-forwardly obvious to all that local democracy would be best served by such discussion and its generating informed opinion.

But the Coalition government has actually gone out of its way to state that this was indeed a past perversion of the 'pre-determination rule' and that the contrary is the case : local democracy is indeed served by OPEN discussion of ALL local issues.

This re-statement by government in pre- 'Localism Bill' commentary and of what most people would regard as the 'bleedingly obvious', may now serve to release elected representatives from all past constraints and to serve the public interest by talking/publishing about particular issues which they were banned from speaking about at risk of personal prosecution if they did.

Is it not now the civic duty of all local and parish councillors to in all conscience tell their electorate about the local issues which they were unable to raise at the time because of being under threat from the mis-applied constraint of 'pre-determination', and upon which 'Little Hitlers' depended so much for the exercise of their un-checkable power?

In the past councillors could not even tell their electorate that they could not tell them!

Please tell us now?

Ian T Hinchey Ousebank, Selby

08/08/12 (15)

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 17 August 2012 16:01
To: ldf
Subject: Fwd: Competence of the Chief Executive of Selby District Council
Attachments: Competence of the Chief Executive of Selby District Council

Submission for pre- 23rd August Local Plan public consultation.

(14th submission)

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 05 July 2012 10:49
To: programmeofficer
Subject: Competence of the Chief Executive of Selby District Council

For :- Mr Martin Pike : Independent Government Inspector

Sir,

reservations exist regarding the competence of Mr Martin Connor to manage the existence of the criminal conspiracy, based on a perverting of the course justice aimed at defrauding Ousebank residents of lawful protection from crime and disorder in accordance with Section 17 of the 1998 Crime and Disorder Act, that is at the heart of the Selby District Planning department having Mr Richard Sunter as Chief Planning Officer, and which caused the BOCMPauls Ltd 'Hybrid' planning application and its criminal 'land theft' support document 'Updated Masterplan and Delivery Document - December, 2010' (UMaDD) that has been recently removed from Selby District 'Local Plan' and, one must assume, as nothing has been said, therefore removed from the Selby District 'Core Strategy' as 'core strategic site'.

The Chief Executive continues to progress the criminal enterprise that is BOCMPauls Ltd 'Hybrid' planning application that hides the criminal 'Olympia Park' housing build proposal which could bankrupt Selby District taxpayers and cause closure of the Hull/Selby railway line and its London and TransPennine links, as well as stealing land from Ousebank residents, and land gifted to allotments and local sports and to kill off both.

Mr Connor has put one of the leading members of the criminal conspiracy in charge of the transitional proceeding (?) that can truly be said to be of 'an unknown and indeterminate nature'.

No information has been forthcoming from Mr Connor regarding the status of the representations made to Mr Sullivan during the period ending 28th June, 2012 - do representations made then regarding the BOCMPauls Ltd 'Hybrid' planning application stand, or have to be repeated?

Why has Mr Sullivan not responded to my question as to whether or not BOCMPauls Ltd is lawfully constituted in its Articles of Association to engage in speculative house building and civil engineering projects ? - the company is a constituted animal feed producer, for god's sake!

Why are my emails to Mr Sullivan returned? - is it just mine? or every one's email?

Did Barlby Parish Council ever come forward to Mr Sullivan to support Ousebank residents, or not?

Why are my emails to Mr Sullivan returned ? - is it just my emails, or everybody's?

Will someone else be addressing these questions? Questions to be repeated? Or not?

Can CPO Mr Sunter as arch-conspirator reasonably be considered to be the right person to oversee already submitted/repeated representations?

We are in a democratic limbo in Selby District!!

And this indeterminate period of democratic limbo can NOT be good for Selby taxpayers! (Though no doubt wonderful for the gang of wannabe criminal profiteers!!)

Nor now can Mr M Connor, Chief Executive of Selby District Council, be considered a viable representative, because questions are now bound to be asked regarding competence, and especially in relation to the criminal conspirators whose aims he seems (wittingly or unwittingly?) to be assisting and progressing!

Perception is all when it comes to reputation, and Mr Connor seems to be acting without recourse to any form of explanation to the Selby taxpayers regarding necessary process for ridding the District of a bunch of criminals who thought nothing of putting 4,000 lives at risk nor bankrupting Selby taxpayers!

28/08/2012

What can be done about the Selby District democratic limbo given that all elected and paid representatives sit back and do nothing, as they all have for nearly two years now?

Sincerely

Ian T Hinchey Ousebank where (nearly) forty families live in continual dread of permanent threat to life and limb and livelihood
(not now from cycling accident costs as CPO pays, personally!)

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 17 August 2012 16:02
To: ldf
Subject: Fwd: Evil prospers when good people do nothing
Attachments: Fwd: Evil prospers when good people do nothing

Submission for pre- 23rd August Local Plan public consultation.

(15th submission)

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 07 July 2012 18:08
To: malcolm.spittle@northyorks.gov.uk
Subject: Fwd: Evil prospers when good people do nothing
Attachments: Evil prospers when good people do nothing

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 07 July 2012 17:59
To: martin connor
Subject: Evil prospers when good people do nothing

Buckingham Palace

7th July, 2012

Your Majesty,

I beg restoration of the rule of Sovereign Law in Selby District, in the County of North Yorkshire? - and I beg sincerely, forgiveness for giving notice of lawlessness in Great Britain during Your celebratory year?

But the forty families of Ousebank Cottages in Barlby Bridge, Selby have had their own diamond jubilee of sixty years of status quo beginning in 1952 put under total threat by rampant because un-checkable though easily evidenced criminality.

A criminality sponsored by Selby District Council, its Chief Planning Officer, a 'cabal politic' prevalent in Selby District Council, all in a criminal conspiracy with two local companies aimed at removing the lawful protection from "crime and disorder in the community" (Section 17 1998 Crime and Disorder Act) from Ousebank residents in order to steal deeded land, allotments and sports fields gifted to Ousebank residents and the District during the year of your accession to The Throne.

These criminals now have their enterprise progressed by the Chief Executive of Selby District Council, though it offers threat to 4,000 lives and possibility of bankrupting Selby District taxpayers : all for £40 million profit, or £10,000 per head!

The Secretary of State for Local Government and Communities is "not minded to intervene". The Chief Constable of North Yorkshire wrote to say "There is nothing you can do that will make me intervene" when persistently appealed to : my emails were "to be filed unread". Our MEP and MP will do nothing. A current, interim Chief Constable has not thought fit to respond in any way to alter this situation, although it was a previous Chief Constable who ensured that Section 17 of the Act was upheld in 2002, but the conspirators have removed all evidence of the content of the planning decision she prompted by deleting all files from public record and to prevent a presentation that would disqualify the planning application causing mass trespass and a future of crime and disorder for Ousebank residents. A Deputy Justices' Clerk at York Courts refused to forward my submission in accordance with the Prosecutions of Offences Act 1985 to the Director of Public Prosecutions though the sub-sections quoted should have ensured this, and by his stating I had "no case!" though blatant land theft was evidenced. Nor could I arrest Independent Inspector's progressing of the criminal land-theft Document. My own Parish Council, having a direct personal connection into the heart of Selby District Planning Department, has, incredible though it may seem, have distributed to all Ousebank residents a pseudo- survey aimed at unlawfully setting all neighbours against myself and another.

There is no lawful representation at any level that has not bowed to the criminality of BOCMPauls Ltd and its carpetbagger promoters Spawforths Ltd, a subservience bought by threat (by threat of forcing onerous costs on residents) and bribe (using S.106 payment unlawfully to bribe, with new football changing rooms, for example).

I have the honour to be Madam, Your Majesty's humble and obedient subject

Ian T Hinchey Ousebank resident.

28/08/2012

OPD/1 (17)

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 17 August 2012 16:07
To: ldf
Subject: Fwd: Selby District Local Plan
Attachments: Selby District Local Plan

Submission (a re-submission to LDF of a previously ignored submission) for pre- 23rd August Local Plan public consultation.

(16th submission)

OPD11 (17)

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 12 July 2012 13:34
To: ldf
Subject: Selby District Local Plan
Attachments: SCAN0011.JPG

For : Policy & Strategy Team : response to Letter 11th July, received 13.20 12th July.

Hello,

I demand, yet again, instant rejection of the BOCMPauls Ltd planning application for a housing build on land known as 'Olympia Park' (BAR 009 'Selby and District Sites Allocations Document' (SaDSAD) supported by the criminal land theft Public Document 'Updated Masterplan and Deliver Document - December, 2010, (UMaDD) and unlawfully concurred with by it being willingly preferred to 'core strategic site' in the Selby District 'Core Strategy' by Selby District Council and the Chief Planning Officer, an instant rejection demanded by the Law of the Land : namely, Section 17 of the 1998 Crime and Disorder Act making it incumbent on Authorities to plan to avoid "... crime and disorder in the community ..." a previous Planning Decision in 2002 by Selby District Council having upheld the Law of the Land.

Although all evidence of the extant and legally binding Planning Decision refusing planning permission on grounds of "... crime and disorder in the community ..." was unlawfully deleted from public records at some time between mid- 2008 and December 2010 (possibly earlier, but forensic investigation would be required to determine actual date and culprit/s) reportage of the decision at the time from the archives of a reputable source 'The Press' of York offers a sufficient representation to reveal the grounds for instant dismissal of the BOCMPauls Ltd planning application relating to 'Olympia Park' (BAR 009), and at risk of Corporate murder and manslaughter charges being laid against all conspirators and progressors of the criminal conspiracy and enterprise which is the BOCMPauls Ltd 'Olympia Park' application on occurrence of the first loss of life due to the application being accepted and the houses built, which god forbid!

I have made many similar requests and to all parties concerned and starting well over a year ago, so all parties are indeed aware and must needs be aware that it is 'awareness' which will determine their measure of culpability on the scale of 'murder' toward the lesser 'manslaughter' charges.

Be warned!

Sincerely

Ian T Hinchey Ousebank where (nearly 40 families live in continual dread of permanent threat to life and limb and livelihood (not from cycling accident costs as CPO now pays, personally!))

28/08/2012

5

THE PRESS

ARCHIVE - FRIDAY, 25 OCTOBER 2002

Get in touch: send your photos, videos, news & views
by texting YORK to 80360 or send an email

SEARCH

for the latest jobs follow us on **twitter**

FIND BY DATE

Oct 2002						
Su	Mo	Tu	We	Th	Fr	Sa
		1	2	3	4	5
6	7	8	9	10	11	12
13	14	15	16	17	18	19
20	21	22	23	24	25	26
27	28	29	30	31		

OTHER WAYS TO SEARCH

Advanced search
Browse by topic
Site map

SEARCH

ALSO LOOK FOR

Jobs
Homes
Cars
Buy & Sell
Events
Buy photos
Advertise

Never miss anything again. Sign up for our RSS news feeds and Newsletters.

ADS BY GOOGLE

55/YO: Mum Looks 35
Mum Reveals Shocking Trick for Erasing Wrinkles! Doctors Hate Her
www.CollagenRenew.net/And_Wrinkles

Electrician in York
Reliable, friendly service and putting customer's happiness first.
www.yorkselectrics.co.uk

Selby hostel plan scrapped

CONTROVERSIAL plans for a new hostel for the homeless in Selby have been abandoned, the Evening Press can reveal today.

The shock decision follows weeks of talks between leaders of the charity Emmaus and local residents, instigated by Selby MP John Grogan.

The plans to convert Barlby Farm into a community home triggered a public outcry when they were unveiled five months ago.

Objectors - who feared the hostel could pose a threat to the local community - said today they were "ecstatic" with the decision.

In July, worried residents petitioned Selby District Council. They claimed Emmaus would not give any guarantees that the home would not house paedophiles, sex offenders, recently-released long-term prisoners and ex-drug addicts.

Emmaus chiefs confirmed today that they had withdrawn their planning application for Barlby Farm.

The decision had been made "entirely on planning grounds" after Selby Council had set out some concerns about the application, which was due to go before the planning committee next month.

The chairman of Emmaus North Yorkshire, John Walker, said they were disappointed that Barlby Farm had not proved viable.

He said Emmaus communities were an "enormous asset" to any locality, and the search for the right site in North Yorkshire would now start again.

An Emmaus spokesman denied that fierce local opposition had played a part in the decision.

He said: "We decided it simply wasn't the right place."

A council spokesman confirmed they had written to Emmaus, pointing out that fear of crime and disorder and community safety were material planning considerations, which had to be taken into account.

When Emmaus unveiled their plans in June, they said Barlby Farm would provide a home and work for the homeless, helping them to rebuild their lives.

Protestor Paul Hill, of Ousebank, Barlby, said today: "I'm ecstatic. They have listened to us, and a lot of people in Barlby and Selby are going to be happy today."

Mr Grogan said that Emmaus did some excellent work in assisting the homeless, but in the end all parties had agreed that the Barlby Farm application should not be pursued.

He said he would be happy to discuss with Emmaus their search for a new site in North Yorkshire.

Updated: 15:29 Friday, October 25, 2002

Print Email 0 Recommend Recommend

Newsquest Media Group | A Gannett Company

This site is part of Newsquest's audited local newspaper network | Newsquest site network

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 17 August 2012 16:11
To: ldf
Subject: Fwd: Is it chicken or fox disease at Selby Distruct Council?
Attachments: Is it chicken or fox disease at Selby Distruct Council?

Submission for pre- 23rd August Local Plan Consultation.

(17th submission)

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 12 July 2012 20:29
To: newsdesk@thepress.co.uk
Subject: Is it chicken or fox disease at Selby Distruct Council?

Hello again,

sorry to bother you, but...

There is much that is going on seeming odd at Selby District Council :

- a) Joe Sullivan letter asking for BOCMPauls Ltd 'Hybrid' planning application representations up to 28th June;
- b) Chief Executive Mr Martin Connor sets posters on Ousebank stating 'Hybrid' application removed from 'Local Plan' from 20th June and asks for relevant representations up until 27th July, and puts Mr Richard Sunter, the Chief Planning Officer, a leading conspirator, in charge of the deal;
- c) Selby District Council letter from ldf@selby.co.uk dated the 11th asks for representations until 5.00pm 23rd August, 2012 and for, yes, again,

Selby District Council - Local Plan

It is difficult to tell whether or not this is headless-chicken pox, or bleeding fox-fever!

Sincerely

Ian T Hinchey Ousebank where (nearly) forty families live in continual dread of
permanent threat to life and limb and livelihood
(not from cycling accident costs as CPO now pays, personally!)

OPD/1 (19)

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 17 August 2012 16:13
To: ldf
Subject: Fwd: A RIGHT ROYAL INSULT from Selby District Council's criminal conspiracy!
Attachments: Fwd: A RIGHT ROYAL INSULT from Selby District Council's criminal conspiracy!

Submission for pre- 23rd August Local Plan public consultation.

(18th submission)

OPD/1 (19)

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 15 July 2012 14:37
To: ldf
Subject: Fwd: A RIGHT ROYAL INSULT from Selby District Council's criminal conspiracy!
Attachments: A RIGHT ROYAL INSULT from Selby District Council's criminal conspiracy!

OPD/1 (19)

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: 15 July 2012 14:36

To: martin connor

Subject: A RIGHT ROYAL INSULT from Selby District Council's criminal conspiracy!

FUTURE IMPERFECT FOR SELBY DISTRICT AND NORTH YORKSHIRE?

The unprecedented **ROYAL INSULT** of throwing back into Our Queens face a **MUNIFICENT GIFTING** of land for allotments and sports fields **To Honour Queen Elizabeth's Coronation in 1952**, a **UNIQUE INSULT** unable ever to be repeated because criminally effected in the one and only **Diamond Jubilee Year**, and a year made more memorable by the hosting of The Olympic Games, will persist in **INFAMY** to haunt forever Selby District and North Yorkshire Councils and residents if the **BOCMPauls Ltd/Spawforths Ltd** conspiracy to appropriate the **MUNIFICENTLY GIFTED LAND** for a life-threatening 'Olympia Park' is ever unlawfully forced into existence by Selby District Council/Chief Planning Officer/**BOCMPauls Ltd/Spawforths Ltd** £40 million criminal conspiracy!

Would not most every resident of Selby District and North Yorkshire, if given the opportunity, shout in unison
"NOT IN MY NAME!"

Ian T Hinchey Ousebank where (nearly) forty families live in continual dread of permanent threat to life and limb and livelihood
(not from cycling accident costs as CPO now pays, personally!)

OPD/1 (20)

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 17 August 2012 16:15
To: ldf
Subject: Fwd: Selby District Local Plan
Attachments: Fwd: Selby District Local Plan

Submission for pre- 23rd August Local Plan public consultation.

(18th submission)

OPD/1 (20)

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 17 July 2012 09:27
To: ldf
Subject: Fwd: Selby District Local Plan
Attachments: Selby District Local Plan

Aiding and abetting a criminal conspiracy based on perverting the course of justice by unlawful deletion of public records of a planning decision which should have instantly disqualified the planning application (and certainly not permitted its preferment to 'core strategic site' to guarantee the sites pro-forma acceptance October last) for a housing build on 'Olympia Park' (BAR 009 in the criminally deceitful 'Selby District Sites Allocations Document' (SaDSAD) supported by the criminal land theft Public Document 'Updated Masterplan and Delivery Document - December 2010' (UMaDD)).

Sir/Madame,

will you please confirm by return that Selby District Planning Department has disqualified and therefore rejected out of hand the 'Hybrid' application from BOCMPauls Ltd for planning permission in respect of housing on 'Olympia Park' land purposely hidden in the 'Hybrid' to avoid consideration, and in accordance with the Law of the Land; namely, Section 17 of the 1998 Crime and Disorder Act, and as requested most recently in my email copy below.

And at risk is of being pursued for personal culpability in the further progressing Public Documents aimed at criminal ends, if you do not.

Sincerely

Ian T Hinchey Ousebank where (nearly) forty families live in continual dread of permanent threat to life and limb and livelihood (not for cycling accident costs as CPO now pays, personally!)

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 12 July 2012 13:34
To: ldf
Subject: Selby District Local Plan
Attachments: SCAN0011.JPG

For : Policy & Strategy Team : response to Letter 11th July, received 13.20 12th July.

Hello,

I demand, yet again, instant rejection of the BOCMPauls Ltd planning application for a housing build on land known as 'Olympia Park' (BAR 009 'Selby and District Sites Allocations Document' (SaDSAD) supported by the criminal land theft Public Document 'Updated Masterplan and Deliver Document - December, 2010, (UMaDD) and unlawfully concurred with by it being willingly preferred to 'core strategic site' in the Selby District 'Core Strategy' by Selby District Council and the Chief Planning Officer, an instant rejection demanded by the Law of the Land : namely, Section 17 of the 1998 Crime and Disorder Act making it incumbent on Authorities to plan to avoid "... crime and disorder in the community ..." a previous Planning Decision in 2002 by Selby District Council having upheld the Law of the Land.

Although all evidence of the extant and legally binding Planning Decision refusing planning permission on grounds of "... crime and disorder in the community ..." was unlawfully deleted from public records at some time between mid- 2008 and December 2010 (possibly earlier, but forensic investigation would be required to determine actual date and culprit/s) reportage of the decision at the time from the archives of a reputable source 'The Press' of York offers a sufficient representation to reveal the grounds for instant dismissal of the BOCMPauls Ltd planning application relating to 'Olympia Park' (BAR 009), and at risk of Corporate murder and manslaughter charges being laid against all conspirators and progressors of the criminal conspiracy and enterprise which is the BOCMPauls Ltd 'Olympia Park' application on occurrence of the first loss of life due to the application being accepted and the houses built, which god forbid!

I have made many similar requests and to all parties concerned and starting well over a year ago, so all parties are indeed aware and must needs be aware that it is 'awareness' which will determine their measure of culpability on the scale of 'murder' toward the lesser 'manslaughter' charges.

Be warned!

Sincerely

Ian T Hinchey Ousebank where (nearly 40 families live in continual dread of permanent threat to life and limb and livelihood (not from cycling accident costs as CPO now pays, personally!))

5

THE PRESS

Get in touch: send your photos, videos, news & views by texting YORK to 80360 or send an email

SEARCH

for the latest jobs follow us on **twitter**

ARCHIVE - FRIDAY, 25 OCTOBER 2002

FIND BY DATE

Oct		2002				
Su	Mo	Tu	We	Th	Fr	Sa
		1	2	3	4	5
7	8	9	10	11	12	
14	15	16	17	18	19	
21	22	23	24	25	26	
28	29	30	31			

OTHER WAYS TO SEARCH

- Advanced search
- Browse by topic
- Site map

SEARCH

ALSO LOOK FOR

- Jobs
- Homes
- Cars
- Buy & Sell
- Events
- Buy photos
- Advertise

Never miss anything again. Sign up for our RSS news feeds and Newsletters.

ADS BY GOOGLE

55/YO Mum Looks 35
 Mum Reveals Shocking Trick for Erasing Wrinkles! Doctors Hate Her
www.CollagenRenew.net/Anti_Wrinkles

Electrician in York
 Reliable, friendly service and putting customer's happiness first
www.yorkselectrics.co.uk

Selby hostel plan scrapped

CONTROVERSIAL plans for a new hostel for the homeless in Selby have been abandoned, the Evening Press can reveal today.

The shock decision follows weeks of talks between leaders of the charity Emmaus and local residents, instigated by Selby MP John Grogan.

The plans to convert Barby Farm into a community home triggered a public outcry when they were unveiled five months ago.

Objectors - who feared the hostel could pose a threat to the local community - said today they were "ecstatic" with the decision.

In July, worried residents petitioned Selby District Council. They claimed Emmaus would not give any guarantees that the home would not house paedophiles, sex offenders, recently-released long-term prisoners and ex-drug addicts.

Emmaus chiefs confirmed today that they had withdrawn their planning application for Barby Farm.

The decision had been made "entirely on planning grounds" after Selby Council had set out some concerns about the application, which was due to go before the planning committee next month.

The chairman of Emmaus North Yorkshire, John Walker, said they were disappointed that Barby Farm had not proved viable.

He said Emmaus communities were an "enormous asset" to any locality, and the search for the right site in North Yorkshire would now start again.

An Emmaus spokesman denied that fierce local opposition had played a part in the decision.

He said: "We decided it simply wasn't the right place."

A council spokesman confirmed they had written to Emmaus, pointing out that fear of crime and disorder and community safety were material planning considerations, which had to be taken into account.

When Emmaus unveiled their plans in June, they said Barby Farm would provide a home and work for the homeless, helping them to rebuild their lives.

Protestor Paul Hill, of Ousebank, Barby, said today: "I'm ecstatic. They have listened to us, and a lot of people in Barby and Selby are going to be happy today."

Mr Grogan said that Emmaus did some excellent work in assisting the homeless, but in the end all parties had agreed that the Barby Farm application should not be pursued.

He said he would be happy to discuss with Emmaus their search for a new site in North Yorkshire.

Updated: 15:29 Friday, October 25, 2002

Print Email 0 Recommend Recommend

OPD/1 (21)

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 17 August 2012 16:20
To: ldf
Subject: Fwd: CPO please give precedence to 'Law of the Land' over process, procedure
Attachments: CPO please give precedence to 'Law of the Land' over process, procedure
Submission for pre- 23rd August Local Plan public consultation.
(18th submission)

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: 20 July 2012 11:05

To: richard sunter

Subject: CPO please give precedence to 'Law of the Land' over process, procedure

REINSTATED (11th July, 2012 by R King) AFTER DISMISSAL (by Chief Executive M Connor 20th June from Joe Sullivan 'representations' - where are they now?) LOCAL AREA PLAN.

Dear CPO Sunter,

I ask you to confirm by return the disqualification according to law and therefore the instant dismissal of the BOCMPauls Ltd submitted/ Spawforths Ltd promoted/ Selby District Council and Planning Department previously sponsored planning application for 'Olympia Park' housing build and the build's supporting criminal investment deception and land-theft and mass-trespass instigating Public Documents, and which would otherwise guarantee permanent threat to life and limb and livelihood of all Ousebank residents in direct and unlawful contravention of the UK 1998 Crime and Disorder Act - Section 17, but which would confirm the 2002 refusal by Selby District Council "...strictly on planning grounds.." of "...fear of crime and disorder and community safety.." which led to 'Emmaus Yorkshire' withdrawing their planning application for the build of a hostel on Ousebank residents' curtilage 8th November, 2002, direct evidence of which was deleted from public records by the criminal conspiracy behind the BOCMPauls Ltd 'Hybrid' application hiding the 'Olympia Park' housing build and to prevent its instant disqualification, but record of which **MUST** remain in Selby District Documents, although the copy of 'The Press' of York report including verbatim reportage sent as an attachment to my email to you 'Local Area Plan' 'Scan0001.JPG (445.8kb) 17/07/2012 should suffice.

Sincerely

Ian T Hinchey Ousebank where (nearly) forty families live in continual dread of permanent threat to life and limb and livelihood (not from cycling accident costs as CPO now pays, personally!) in direct contravention of Section 17 Crime & Disorder Act, 1998.

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 17 August 2012 16:21
To: ldf
Subject: Fwd: DEMAND FOR AN EXTRAORDINARY MEETING OF SELBY DISTRICT COUNCIL
Attachments: DEMAND FOR AN EXTRAORDINARY MEETING OF SELBY DISTRICT COUNCIL

Submission for pre- 23rd August Local Plan public consultation.

(19th submission)

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: 22 July 2012 12:54

To: mark crane

Subject: DEMAND FOR AN EXTRAORDINARY MEETING OF SELBY DISTRICT COUNCIL

Mr Mark Crane - Head Councillor elect of Selby District Council.

Mr Crane,

as a self confessed leader of the 'cabal politic' without minutes or agenda at Selby District Council "... we are only doing what the Party before us was doing ..." ('Selby Times') and Head Councillor who blatantly lied to me with regard to my appeal last year to prevent progressing the criminal documents 'Selby and District Site Allocations Document' (SaDSAD), 'Updated Masterplan and Delivery Document - December 2010' (UMaDD), by subtly stating that you could not, as no planning permission had been applied for as yet for the 'Olympia Park' site, when the site had in fact been preferred to the status of incontestable 'core strategic site' of the Selby District 'Core Strategy' supported by these two Documents (so, did this mean that virtually ANYTHING could have been put on a 'clean sheet' application?) and guaranteeing virtual pro-forma adoption in mid-October 2011 of just whatever the planning application might truly turn out to be after having passed the uncontested the Independent Government Inspector's public examination a couple of weeks earlier on 30th September, 2011?

Please forgive the complicated question? But criminal conspiracy's are, by their very nature of attempting a confidence trick, complicated to refer to in their entirety of interlinked 'streams' of confidence trickery!

May I now therefore demand, as I have repeatedly of you and many others, your chief co-conspirator Mr Richard Sunter Chief Planning Officer, as recently as last Friday, but without response, that you please comply with the Law of the Land, namely Section 17 of the 1998 Crime and Disorder Act, by refusing and thus instantly dismissing the BOCMPauls Ltd criminal application for planning permission for 'Olympia Park'?

Especially given that 4,000 lives will be put at serious risk by the desire of you and all conspirators to reach the £40 million reward as the fulfillment of your criminal conspiracy ; that an unprecedented Insult will be delivered to Her Majesty, Queen Elizabeth by dishonouring the MUNIFICENT GIFTING in 1952 in Honour of Her ACCESSION to The Throne of the 'Olympia Park' land to allotments and sports fields ; that build of the site will guarantee inevitable closure of the Hull via Selby London and trans-Pennine commuter links (by continuous raising of the flood-defence wall height to protect the proposed 4,000 inhabitants to thus leave railway track as a dangerous low point to force its closure) that have been major factors prompting the 85% growth of Selby over the last fifteen years, and thus a closure which will cause great decline ; the possible bankrupting of Selby District taxpayers if the expected North Sea surge finds the dangerous 2/3 metre height gap existing in the 1.9 kilometres of flood-defence wall during the build, and more than the once that is possible given the site is in the MEANDER of a flood plain river and natural forces come in to play ; and that Ousebank residents who were totally and utterly ignored as human beings and property owners by conspirators who seek to defraud them of their statutory right to protection by law from crime and disorder and lack of community safety by unlawful removal of public records that might have been presented to prevent acceptance and willing secret preferment by your 'cabal politic' of the 'Olympia Park' site hidden in the 'Hybrid' Application ; and to place (nearly) forty Ousebank families in continual dread of a future of permanent threat to life limb and livelihood inasmuch they must contend with the mass trespass the Documents instigate, and to prevent onerous legal costs caused by private but un-adopted road and curtilage accidents - though not now from cycling accident costs, as the Chief Planning Officer now pays, personally, and for cunningly sign-posting to the world that cycling is permitted through Ousebank residents' private curtilage without asking residents' permission, and as a fore-runner to forcing access through Ousebank residents' private roads and curtilages.

To rub salt in, the conspirators influenced the Barlby Parish Council having a personal connection into the heart of Selby District Planning Department, into the seemingly successful attempt (a FACEBOOK entry has since been made by one resident) to set all neighbours against two residents who dared contest this criminal enterprise, goes against the duty of care that all that elected representatives are legally bound by, and by actually inciting disorder on Ousebank, again, in direct contravention of

Section 17 of the 1998 Act!!

Shame on you all!

Will you therefore please use your position as leader of Selby District Council to instantly disqualify the BOCMPauls Ltd planning application for a housing build on 'Olympia Park' in compliance with the Law of the Land?

Or will you please call an **Extraordinary Meeting of Selby District Council** to ensure an end to this criminal enterprise?

AN END DEMANDED BY LAW!?

Sincerely

Ian T Hinchey Ousebank where (nearly) forty families live in continual dread of permanent threat to life and limb and livelihood
(not from cycling accident costs as CPO now pays, personally!)
in direct contravention of Section 17 - Crime & Disorder Act, 1998.

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 17 August 2012 16:23
To: ldf
Subject: Fwd: Perverting the Course of Justice in pursuit of deadly profit!
Attachments: Perverting the Course of Justice in pursuit of deadly profit!

Submission for pre- 23rd August Local Plan public consultation.

(20th submission)

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: 23 July 2012 11:34

To: richard.cooper@bocmpauls.co.uk

Subject: Perverting the Course of Justice in pursuit of deadly profit!

Mr Richard Cooper - Group Controller BOCMPauls Ltd (formerly 'Financial Director')

Mr Cooper,

I demand you withdraw your criminal 'Hybrid' application for planning permission that was intentionally meant to hide 'Olympia Park' housing build from planning considerations, and with the criminal complicity of your co-conspirators Mr Richard Sunter et al, Chief Planning Officer, Mr Mark Crane, Head of Council Elect, et al, and Mr Adrian Spawforth MD and Paul Bedwell, 'UMaDD' co-ordinator, of Spawforths Ltd.

The criminal conspiracy you willingly participated in to pervert the course of justice by agreeing to beforehand and by then removing Public Documents from their supposedly secure public Access Centre in Selby to ensure the 'Olympia Park' application might proceed to pro-forma acceptance in October 2011 without check of lawful planning considerations, is a crime.

Conspiring also to publish a deceitful claim that the 'Olympia Park' site "... already benefits from planning permission ..." ('SaSAD' Sect 18 'Barlby & Osgodby') in order to incite financial investment is also a crime.

As is land theft : evidenced by the 'Red Line' of 'UMaDD' falsifying the 'Green Line' of the 'SaDSAD' officially recognised property boundary of Ousebank residents' deeds.

As is the instigation of mass trespass through Ousebank residents' private but un-adopted roads to validate choice of site, and to offer a future of permanent contesting of trespass and communal accident costs from occupier liability, to risk of life and limb and livelihood of all residents, whether or not they do realise the onerous costs that are sure to arise.

How could you manage also to insult Her Majesty The Queen by such unlawful attempt to exploit land munificently gifted in honour of Her Accession to The Throne in 1952?

A dishonouring offered in the year of Her Diamond Jubilee!

How could you 'laugh up your sleeve' at cleverly disguising in 'UMaDD' moving allotments onto as yet to be disturbed 'brown field' previously used for manufacture of 'Weapons of Mass Destruction', poison gas bombs?

And how could you want to risk the lives of 4,000 people and threaten closure of major railway connections that would have inevitably followed the building of 'Olympia Park' housing on this soon to be volatile flood plain that might also bankrupt Selby District taxpayers during the lengthy build period?

And how could you scheme to set neighbour against neighbour, by unlawfully bullying and bribing to unlawfully incite disorder amongst previously contented neighbours?

And does your 'wannabe 'robber baron' mind-set' also include jack booting over your company's shareholders? Because all involved parties are avoiding the question as to whether or not BOCMPauls Ltd is even lawfully constituted - and if so, when was the shareholder vote altering the company constitution? - as what is a lawfully constituted animal feed manufacturing company, to enter into speculative house and commercial property build/sales as well as the undertaking of a civil engineering project?

But given your well evidenced total disregard for the Law of the Land, the guess would be that you treated your company's shareholders with the same disdain you showed to Ousebank residents/ property owners, and have 'overlooked' this particular legal necessity for democratic alteration of your company's Articles of Association, and for the last decade or so!

Will you please confirm by return that you will now withdraw you 'Hybrid' application and that no further

application for a house build on the dangerous ground that is 'Olympia Park' will ever be proposed again, and to return the land to the status quo of gifted allotments and sports field munificently made in Honour of The Queen's Accession to The Throne in 1952?

Sincerely

Ian T Hinchey Ousebank where(nearly) forty families live in continual dread of permanent threat to life and limb and livelihood (not from cycling accident costs as CPO now pays, personally!)

OPD11(24)

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 17 August 2012 17:07
To: ldf
Subject: Fwd: Evil prospers when good people do nothing
Attachments: Fwd: Evil prospers when good people do nothing

SIXTEEN.

OPD/1 (24)

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 17 August 2012 16:02
To: ldf
Subject: Fwd: Evil prospers when good people do nothing
Attachments: Fwd: Evil prospers when good people do nothing

Submission for pre- 23rd August Local Plan public consultation.

(15th submission)

OPD/1 (24)

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 07 July 2012 18:08
To: malcolm.spittle@northyorks.gov.uk
Subject: Fwd: Evil prospers when good people do nothing
Attachments: Evil prospers when good people do nothing

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 07 July 2012 17:59
To: martin connor
Subject: Evil prospers when good people do nothing

Buckingham Palace

7th July, 2012

Your Majesty,

I beg restoration of the rule of Sovereign Law in Selby District, in the County of North Yorkshire? - and I beg sincerely, forgiveness for giving notice of lawlessness in Great Britain during Your celebratory year?

But the forty families of Ousebank Cottages in Barlby Bridge, Selby have had their own diamond jubilee of sixty years of status quo beginning in 1952 put under total threat by rampant because un-checkable though easily evidenced criminality.

A criminality sponsored by Selby District Council, its Chief Planning Officer, a 'cabal politic' prevalent in Selby District Council, all in a criminal conspiracy with two local companies aimed at removing the lawful protection from "crime and disorder in the community" (Section 17 1998 Crime and Disorder Act) from Ousebank residents in order to steal deeded land, allotments and sports fields gifted to Ousebank residents and the District during the year of your accession to The Throne.

These criminals now have their enterprise progressed by the Chief Executive of Selby District Council, though it offers threat to 4,000 lives and possibility of bankrupting Selby District taxpayers : all for £40 million profit, or £10,000 per head!

The Secretary of State for Local Government and Communities is "not minded to intervene". The Chief Constable of North Yorkshire wrote to say "There is nothing you can do that will make me intervene" when persistently appealed to : my emails were "to be filed unread". Our MEP and MP will do nothing. A current, interim Chief Constable has not thought fit to respond in any way to alter this situation, although it was a previous Chief Constable who ensured that Section 17 of the Act was upheld in 2002, but the conspirators have removed all evidence of the content of the planning decision she prompted by deleting all files from public record and to prevent a presentation that would disqualify the planning application causing mass trespass and a future of crime and disorder for Ousebank residents. A Deputy Justices' Clerk at York Courts refused to forward my submission in accordance with the Prosecutions of Offences Act 1985 to the Director of Public Prosecutions though the sub-sections quoted should have ensured this, and by his stating I had "no case!" though blatant land theft was evidenced. Nor could I arrest Independent Inspector's progressing of the criminal land-theft Document. My own Parish Council, having a direct personal connection into the heart of Selby District Planning Department, has, incredible though it may seem, have distributed to all Ousebank residents a pseudo- survey aimed at unlawfully setting all neighbours against myself and another.

There is no lawful representation at any level that has not bowed to the criminality of BOCMPauls Ltd and its carpetbagger promoters Spawforths Ltd, a subservience bought by threat (by threat of forcing onerous costs on residents) and bribe (using S.106 payment unlawfully to bribe, with new football changing rooms, for example).

I have the honour to be Madam, Your Majesty's humble and obedient subject

Ian T Hinchey Ousebank resident.

OPD/1 (25)

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 17 August 2012 17:45
To: ldf
Subject: Fwd: Error in Final pre- 11th May submission sent 6th May, 2012
Attachments: Fwd: Error in Final pre- 11th May submission sent 6th May, 2012

FOUR.

OPD11(25)

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 17 August 2012 15:28
To: ldf
Subject: Fwd: Error in Final pre- 11th May submission sent 6th May, 2012
Attachments: Fwd: Error in Final pre- 11th May submission sent 6th May, 2012

Re- submission to LDF for pre- 23rd August submission for Local Plan public consultation.

(4th submission)

OPD/1 (25)

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 08 May 2012 12:19
To: ldf
Subject: Fwd: Error in Final pre- 11th May submission sent 6th May, 2012
Attachments: Error in Final pre- 11th May submission sent 6th May, 2012

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 08 May 2012 12:17
To: programmeofficer
Subject: Error in Final pre- 11th May submission sent 6th May, 2012

For :- Mr Martin Pike - Independent Government Inspector

Sir,

I am in error : there can be no such thing as 'WILFUL MANSLAUGHTER'.

Where a death is caused by 'wilful action' and by those aware of Executive Liability, and where those 'acting wilfully' are aware that persons are being put at risk of their lives by a contravention of the law of the land and one they have willingly perpetrated by unlawful conspiracy, then the term I used in my 6th May email, 'MANSLAUGHTER', becomes an insufficient classification for the consequent loss of life.

'MURDER' seems the only possible defining term able to cover loss of life caused by 'wilful action' of the aware.

And 'the aware' having been constantly informed over more than a year that their awareness was known because having been pointed out to all parties with an interest in the issues created by the Core Strategy.

The Selby District Core Strategy will therefore give rise to 'MURDER', not 'MANSLAUGHTER'

I beg you to consider 'WILFUL MURDER' to be a sufficient ground for the immediate dismissal of the Selby District Core Strategy.

And because a UK public body must act and strive to act in a manner compatible with the UK 1998 Human Rights Act, the fundamental premise of a civilised society, and namely

Human Rights Act 1998 Schedule 1. Part 1 Rights and Freedoms

Article 2.

1. The Right to Life

Everyone's right to life shall be protected by law.

Sincerely

Ian T Hinchey Ousebank resident where 40 families live in continual dread of permanent threat to life and limb and livelihood (but not for cycling accident costs, as CPO now pays, personally!)

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 17 August 2012 17:45
To: ldf
Subject: Fwd: Immediately reject this criminal and disgusting application
Attachments: Fwd: Immediately reject this criminal and disgusting application

SIX.

OPD/11 (26)

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 17 August 2012 15:37
To: ldf
Subject: Fwd: Immediately reject this criminal and disgusting application
Attachments: Fwd: Immediately reject this criminal and disgusting application

Submission previously submitted but ignore, but now for LDF pre- 23 August Local Plan public consultation submission.

(5th submission)

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 13 June 2012 14:35
To: ldf
Subject: Fwd: Immediately reject this criminal and disgusting application
Attachments: Immediately reject this criminal and disgusting application

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
 Sent: 13 June 2012 14:32
 To: joe osullivan
 Subject: Immediately reject this criminal and disgusting application

Joe Sullivan, letter 11th June.13th June, 2012.

Ref No :- 2012/0541/EIA
 Alt Ref :- 8/16/97X/PA

Proposal :- Hybrid application
 Applicant :- BOCMPauls Ltd.

Sir/Madame,

Conspiring to pervert the course of justice by unlawful deletion of an extant Selby District Council Planning Decision supporting Section 17 of the 1998 Crime and Disorder Act which would be able to cause automatic disqualification of the BOCMPauls Ltd 'Proposal' (in its according with the highly questionable 'evidence' base of 'Updated Masterplan and Delivery Document- December 2010' (UMaDD) which appeared out of nowhere to reveal incontestable acceptance by Selby District Council and its elected Officers and Employees by its being unquestioned but 'preferred' still to 'Core Strategy' 'core strategic site' though a 'Flood Zone 3a' site with non-flood zone alternatives and requiring 'special considerations' because of a 'flood wall meeting an angled railway embankment' that the chosen 'desk based inspection' could not meet) and if the Selby District Council Planning Decision to refuse the 'Emmaus Yorkshire' planning permission remained lawfully available to be held-up by a member of the public to demand a continued compliance with Section 17 of the 1998 Crime and Disorder Act in accordance with the decision's "...refusal..." on "... strictly planning ground..." and simply because of "... FEAR of crime and disorder in the community...": and conspiring in the County of North Yorkshire at sometime between mid- 2008, when the partnership between Bellway Homes Ltd and BOCMPauls to build 600 dwellings was questioned by means of and eventually discontinued due to this planning decision; and the final completion date of the December 2010 'UMaDD' which sets out to ignore to contravene Section 17 of the Crime and Disorder Act to place Ousebank residents in a permanent future of threat to life and limb and livelihood because having now to contest MASS trespass through their un-adopted roads and curtilages and car park where communal occupier liability for accident applies, and where little case law nor precedent exists, most cases having been settled out of court, to thereby threaten possible costs to residents that threaten livelihoods as well as life and limb during contesting of trespass, and advocated en masse by UMaDD.

BOCMPauls Ltd 'Proposal' for a 'hybrid application' for planning permission hides, and is meant to intentionally hide so it will not be exposed to a separate and distinct consideration of its individual merit or demerit, a housing build on 'Barl. 009' 'Selby and District Site Allocations Document' (SaDSAD) known as 'Olympia Park'

But, as was known by the conspirators, their 'Proposal' depends upon seriously compromising the property rights of Ousebank residents' and to thereby permanently put at risk their lives, limbs and livelihoods, pointed out to all and sundry 8,000 times.

This disgusting proposal must be rejected to comply with the Law of the Land.

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 17 August 2012 17:45
To: ldf
Subject: Fwd: Is BOCMPauls Ltd LAWFULLY CONSTITUTED to pursue speculative building etc
Attachments: Fwd: Is BOCMPauls Ltd LAWFULLY CONSTITUTED to pursue speculative building etc
NINE.

OPD/1(27)

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 17 August 2012 15:45
To: ldf
Subject: Fwd: Is BOCMPauls Ltd LAWFULLY CONSTITUTED to pursue speculative building etc
Attachments: Fwd: Is BOCMPauls Ltd LAWFULLY CONSTITUTED to pursue speculative building etc
Submission for pre- 23rd August Local Plan public consultation.
(8th submission)

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 21 June 2012 16:22
To: mail@spawforths.co.uk
Subject: Fwd: Is BOCMPauls Ltd LAWFULLY CONSTITUTED to pursue speculative building etc
Attachments: Fwd: Is BOCMPauls Ltd LAWFULLY CONSTITUTED to pursue speculative building etc

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 21 June 2012 15:46
To: joe osullivan
Subject: Fwd: Is BOCMPauls Ltd LAWFULLY CONSTITUTED to pursue speculative building etc
Attachments: Is BOCMPauls Ltd LAWFULLY CONSTITUTED to pursue speculative building etc

Sir,

You have not replied to my request this morning for an answer by return - not even to say you were delayed by difficulty in obtaining the required information.

If you are indeed suffering difficulties in obtaining the required information from Selby District Council administrative or management systems failures, you may obtain it from Companies House 'Annual Returns' from BOCMPauls Ltd for, presumably, pre- the pre- mid-2008 though eventually cancelled partnership with Bellway Homes, or pre- December 2010 'UMaDD' acceptance by Selby District Council, and where any change either at the two years stated or the years in between, when a vote of shareholders in favour of a major change in direction of previous Articles of Association binding the company legally will have to have been declared.

I look forward to a speedy a response as is now been made possible by my providing you with the necessary foundation for discovering the lawfulness or otherwise of the BOCMPauls Ltd 'Hybrid' and 'civil engineering' proposal.

Sincerely

Ian T Hinchey Ousebank where (nearly) forty families live in continual dread of
permanent threat to life and limb and livelihood
(not now from cycling accidents as CPO now pays, personally!)

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: 21 June 2012 12:19

To: joe osullivan

Subject: Is BOCMPauls Ltd LAWFULLY CONSTITUTED to pursue speculative building etc

Sir,

I asked you in my email 12th June entitled 'My democratic and human right to free expression' if BOCMPauls Ltd was lawfully constituted to enter into speculative house and commercial property building and a civil engineering project according to its legally binding Articles of Association.

Selby District Council has 'Certified' that no legal prohibitions exist to prevent the 'Core Strategy' proceeding, so a check must have been carried out at the time by some Department or some individual Office having responsibility for verifying this particular legal requirement.

So it must be relatively simple internal task to obtain the information and for you to thereby deliver a reply.

Will you therefore please reply by return?

Sincerely

Ian T Hinchey Ousebank where forty families live in continual dread of permanent threat to life and limb and livelihood (but not from cycling accidents, as CPO now pays, personally!)

OPD/1 (28)

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 17 August 2012 17:45
To: ldf
Subject: Fwd: Final pre- 11th May Submission
Attachments: Fwd: Final pre- 11th May Submission

THREE.

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 17 August 2012 15:23
To: ldf
Subject: Fwd: Final pre- 11th May Submission
Attachments: Fwd: Final pre- 11th May Submission

Re- submission to LDF of pre- 11th May submission for pre- 23rd August submission for Local Plan public consultation. (3rd submission)

OPD11 (28)

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 06 May 2012 11:49
To: ldf
Subject: Fwd: Final pre- 11th May Submission
Attachments: Final pre- 11th May Submission

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
 Sent: 06 May 2012 11:47
 To: programmeofficer
 Subject: Final pre- 11th May Submission

BOCMPauls Ltd must be made to pay for Selby, North East gateway clear-up, NOT life and limb and livelihood of Ousebank residents.

Selby District Council's 'Core Strategy' was wilfully criminally corrupt and criminally negligent at conception, and wilfully criminally corrupt and criminally negligent in its inception, and its promotion, and should be abolished in accordance with the Coalition Government's abolishing of Regional Spatial Strategy, it being the Regional Spatial Strategy responsible for the SDC Core Strategy – the 'secrecy' maintained, and until the very last hours of Independent Inspection in one case of project finance, ensured no genuine public consultation regarding elements of the Core Strategy could ever take place, the conspiracy behind the Core Strategy as it stands ensuring from the very beginning being that the Core Strategy's choice of 'core strategic choice' was made incontestable, though a 'Flood Zone 3 a' site with 'special considerations'.

Conceived to 'tidy up' the mess created by ONE company at the North East gateway to Selby, Selby District Council must ensure that BOCMPauls Ltd complies in full with its commercial liability to clean up this mess, and to the extent of freezing any assets and sales income for and from any part of the business until payment for the clear-up is guaranteed, when the Core Strategy as it relates to the North East gateway to Selby is abolished because of the criminality entailed and its consequence, namely the entitlement by Ousebank residents to prefer manslaughter charges and therefore the certain preferment of manslaughter charges against all Corporate and Public Body Executives in the event of one death or more caused by proceeding to implement Selby District Core Strategy with BOCMPauls Ltd 'Olympia Park' as 'core strategic site.

BOCMPauls Ltd choice, supported by the Chief Planning Officer and the Selby District's unminuted, agenda-less 'cabal politic', to jackboot criminally over the rights of Ousebank residents, and to then be supported by Mr Cooper's unlawful S.106 'bribes', to build new changing rooms for footballers amongst other things for example, was totally misguided given the mid- 2008 failure of the Bellway Homes / BOCMPauls 'Olympia Park' build partnership remaining current in residents' minds.

The criminal attempt to pervert the course of justice by removing the files relating to the 2002 'Emmaus Yorkshire' decision refusing planning permission on Ousebank for some 24 people, who the Chief Constable at the time agreed would eventually have to be prevented from trespassing and because Ousebank roads and curtilages are on a 'desire line' (a planning reality) to Selby, was bound to be noticed, as residents, at first totally ignorant of the labyrinthine nature of planning law, flailed around seeking the reasons for conspirators being able to place them under permanent threat to life and limb and livelihood and without a previous hint that this was going to happen.

The very real consequence of one or more deaths being the direct outcome of Selby District Core strategy, and not the threat of manslaughter charges to be levelled at the conspirators, should be sufficient to abolish, as the Government of the day mandates, the Selby District Core Strategy, and, as a consequence, that BOCMPauls Ltd be legally constrained to clear-up the mess the conspirators sought to clear-up for whatever financial reasons, by jackbooting over Ousebank residents rights to threaten their life and limb and livelihood on a permanent basis, so very much a criminal contravention of Section 17 of the 1998 Crime and Disorder Act.

 Ian T Hinchey Ousebank resident - final pre- 11th May submission to Independent Inspector.

OPD 11 (29)

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 17 August 2012 17:45
To: ldf
Subject: Fwd: Criminality, presented in full, demands withdrawal of SDC 'core strategi...
Attachments: Fwd: Criminality, presented in full, demands withdrawal of SDC 'core strategi...

TEN.

08D11(29)

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 17 August 2012 15:48
To: ldf
Subject: Fwd: Criminality, presented in full, demands withdrawal of SDC 'core strategi...
Attachments: Fwd: Criminality, presented in full, demands withdrawal of SDC 'core strategi...

Submission for pre- 23rd August Local Plan public consultation.

(9th submission)

OPD 11 (29)

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 23 June 2012 11:22
To: ldf
Subject: Fwd: Criminality, presented in full, demands withdrawal of SDC 'core strategi...
Attachments: Fwd: Criminality, presented in full, demands withdrawal of SDC 'core strategi...

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 23 June 2012 11:10
To: timmadgwick@northyorkshire.pnn.police.uk
Subject: Fwd: Criminality, presented in full, demands withdrawal of SDC 'core strategi...
Attachments: Criminality, presented in full, demands withdrawal of SDC 'core strategic site'

A defrauding of the 40 families living in Ousebank Cottages of their statutory right for protection of life by law contrary to the 1998 Human Rights Act Article 2. 1. Rights and Freedoms 'Everyone's life shall be protected by law'

Sir,

I present for your consideration the last few emails I have sent over the last 18 months in the attempt to prevent the criminal conspiracy to pervert the course of justice by deleting public records that would automatically stop a totally unexpected housing build which puts the lives of 4,000 people at risk.

Had I not been prevented from conducting a citizen's arrest of those progressing the Document by threat of my arrest by Selby Police Station Commander and because stating that the Criminal Investigation Department (CID) had the situation under consideration (?), the criminal document unlawfully accepted by Selby District Council because based on obvious falsification of the officially presented land boundaries of Ousebank resident's properties and a criminally negligent 'desk based inspection' when 'special considerations' of a flood defence wall meeting a railway embankment and which offers threat to 4,000 lives thereby for non-comprehensive examples, the progress of the criminal conspiracy based on a perversion of the course of justice to contravene by deletion before their time all details of an extant Selby District Planning Decision which supported supported Section 17 of the 1998 Crime & Disorder Act, as did a previous Chief Constable, though not Grahame Maxwell who stated there was nothing I could do to or say which would gain his involvement so filed all my communications unread, the progress of the conspiracy would have been prevented in mid- 2011.

Ian T Hinchey Ousebank resident.

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 27 April 2012 17:18
To: programmeofficer
Subject: Criminality, presented in full, demands withdrawal of SDC 'core strategic site'

Chosen by Selby District Council as 'core strategic site' at the behest of the Chief Planning Officer combined with the request of BOCMPauls Ltd and its promoter Spawforths Ltd, all conspiring together to confound Section 17 of 1998 Crime & Disorder Act previously upheld in a 2002 planning decision and with evidence of this decision having been wilfully deleted by the conspirators before its time contrary to Local Government Advice standards and with the wilful intent to unlawfully pervert the course of justice by its deletion, and by further using unlawful deceit in a Public Document, the 'Selby and District Site Allocations Document', by claiming in the Document that the site 'already benefited from planning permission' to thereby convince of an increase in potential value in the eyes of potential investors, 'Olympia Park' the 'core strategic site' as chosen, WILL FLOOD AGAIN!

As any current Geography pupil will tell you, the land on which the 1,000 dwelling 'Olympia Park' is projected to be built is inside the MEANDER of a FLOOD PLAIN RIVER and the next stage in the natural development of any such MEANDER is to become an OX BOW LAKE : in a high flood the direct force of the river joins with the next direct arm of the river to miss out the loop of the meander and leave it to exist as a lake – photographs of the 1947 flood show such a site; sorry! 'sight'.

Hiding behind a lack of neither a Selby District Council nor North Yorkshire County Council 'Policy Document' regarding 'Strategic Planning Restraints' although the seminal work on the subject commissioned by Communities and Local Government Department to benefit of policy-free Authorities 'Strategic Gap and Green Wedge Policies in Structure Plans' (2000) had been available for nearly a decade, a choice from two other suitable sites in a NON-FLOOD ZONE (which everybody knows will be built on eventually) meant the conspiracy had the excuse to accept the unlawful choice of site, and a choice which could not be contested without a contesting of the Selby District Council 'Core Strategy' – the unlawful choice was, in other words, MADE INCONTESTABLE by the conspirators in pursuit of a fool-proof conspiracy.

Unfortunately for the conspirators there existed amongst Ousebank residents non-fools that were instrumental in ensuring failure of the mid-2008 Bellway Homes / BOCMPauls partnership build of 600 dwellings (the Coalition Government since reduced statutory density – 1,000 dwellings can now be built in 'Olympia Park' space) and by making the Principals aware of, amongst other things, the "strictly planning grounds" of the 2002 planning decision which refused 'Emmaus Yorkshire' permission to build a hostel for some two-dozen persons on Ousebank and because of "... fear of crime and disorder and community safety." But evidence still existed, even though the conspirators had had the foresight to criminally pervert the course of justice by removing all evidence of the Decision.

And when a build-project for the same 'Olympia Park' site and which residents had every reason to believe would never raise its ugly head again, appeared in December 2010 as a £300 million 'Christmas Present' development, Ousebank residents knew devious means had kept it under the radar and outside their knowledge as even the hint of a possibility – this made possible by advertising as 'Selby District with mention of village names to the fore' thus ensuring Selby readers would dismiss the adverts after the enquiring glance, plus also the 'cabal politic' engendered by the misapplication of the confidentiality rule 'that the Government wants to get rid of as being undemocratic with the 'Localism Bill' was rife at

Selby District Council, even making the local press, with the current Head Councillor stating that his political party were only doing that which the political party before them did.

The 1947 flood revealing the Ox Bow lake was probably instrumental in the gifting by the owners at the time of the land to Ousebank residents as allotments in 1952, and for 'each family on Ousebank to be able feed their family'

A 1952 'gifting' that has been compounded by 60 years of 'custom and usage' and since further compounded by the statutory right of persons to expect Local Authorities to consider it their duty to provide allotments, not take them away completely, as the Chief Planning Officer attempted to do in the 2005–2008 Plan { and, by the way, the only available Plan for the Environmental Agency to work to when it built the flood defence wall connecting to the railway, and on a stretch of embankment previously designed to overtop into the allotments, but which the Plan changed to 'playing field' }

Who, therefore, has the right to undermine 'gift' and 'sentiment of the gifting' given the statutory right of Ousebank residents to expect an allotment which accords with the gifting supported by 60 years of 'custom and usage'? And who has the right to place allotments on a yet to be disturbed 'brownfield' where Weapons of Mass Destruction were made over 90 years ago – because, make no bones about it, this whole conspiracy was necessary to provide that which BOCMPauls Ltd has not provided over nearly a century, namely financial provision to clean up nearly 100 YEARS LATER the remnants of WMD manufacture and also heavy oil/tar usage.

Floods expected in the near future, as well as the 'North Sea surge' and which the Dutch have spent £billions in anticipating, will yet again turn the 'Olympia Park' site into an Ox Bow Lake.

The discrepancy of height difference at the junction of the concrete flood defence wall and railway bank's comparatively flimsy brick-built 'bunker', where the small gap from top to base of defence wall may well see the 'bunker' pushed aside and for flood waters to rapidly undermine the railway itself and the earth embankment on which the concrete wall and heavy duty fencing are built on the inner side to weaken it, and to thereby threaten the lives and properties of some 4,000 people [Ousebank residents' private curtilage is being eroded at ITS APEX that should be high enough to prevent flood, and by footfall considerably less than the proposed extra 4,000 inhabitants of 'Olympia Park,' and is now lower than the 1.9 kilometres of flood defence wall built 2008 and may well soon be as low as the brick 'bunker' at the railway embankment]

The conspirators were 'willingly blind to' this easily visible threat, as evidenced by choosing 'a desk based inspection' where a 'physical inspection' was demanded, and because of the 'special circumstance' of 'defence wall meeting railway embankment'.

But to contend with the cost of possible requirement to meet this problem would have added more to a cost that according to the 'evidence base' in the 'Updated Masterplan and Delivery Document – December 2010' could not readily be afforded anyway, the 'financial consultant' stating that 'access to public funds' was required to make the proposition the Document entertained financially viable as it was presented, none of which 'public funds' applied for having much of a chance because in competitions for 'innovation', and it was admitted to the Inspector that needs were 'only cosmetic'.

The District Comptroller also considered the likelihood of BOCMPauls Ltd obtaining £4 million against the project from capital improvements made several years before (details kept 'secret' until the 30th September, 2011 'Matters 6' meeting, the last day of the Inspection by the Independent Government Inspector and only days before the conspirators expected a pro forma adoption of the site by Selby Council in October) to be questionable, so offered two figures for S106 considerations, one for if this was somehow managed (creative accounting?) and the other if not.

BOCMPauls Ltd lack of financial provision for clearing-up the WMD left-overs and remnants of heavy oil and tar usage and for the absence of which contamination (?) the 'UMaDD' provides no evidence, and which as an undisturbed 'brownfield', if such evidence did indeed exist, would be negated once 'brownfield' was disturbed, should not require that Ousebank residents should have their 60 year usage of gifted allotments consolidated by statutory right unlawfully taken from them, the allotments to be placed directly on an as yet undisturbed derelict 'brownfield' where Weapons of Mass Destruction were made during the 1914-1918 war; nor have had their rights of land and property cunningly undermined over time by the Chief Planning Officer; nor have had accepted by Selby District Council a planning application which ignores to contraven Section 17 of the 1998 Crime & Disorder Act TO THEREBY LICENSE 'crime and disorder' and lack of 'community safety'; nor should the Council have permitted a unlawful financial deception in a Public Document for the incitement of investment; nor should the Council have accepted as evidence base the obviously un-evidenced and desk- based inspection of a 'Flood Zone 3a' site given the 'special circumstances of a 'flood defence wall meeting a railway embankment'; nor should the Council have accepted with a view to adoption a planning application supported by an 'evidence base' dependent on obvious land theft from Ousebank residents.

The crime and disorder that will be generated if 'Olympia Park' is ever built will not simply be by Ousebank residents contesting the trespass on their private, un-adopted roads, and because of communal 'occupier liability' costs where little case law exists to give guidance thereby threatening life and limb and livelihood of all residents, a trespass and thus contesting of trespass which 'UMaDD' increases geometrically by advocating mass access to Selby through Ousebank's private curtilages and roads and by committing land theft to ensure the 'mass access' that is used to justify the choice of 'Olympia Park' as 'core strategic site', access not available to other proposed sites.

No! More 'crime and disorder' will be generated by a take-over by youth of the two linked railway underpasses to prevent this 'mass access', and which also offers a link across the railway swing-bridge staff walkway.

The scenarios of possible necessary police pursuit along either the railway service road or visibly accessible railway, and leading to possible train and bus pursuits, and also pursuits on foot through Selby town, should leave the police force in the area somewhat stretched, and more so now given reducing police numbers.

Perhaps this is what the two police officers saw when seemingly inspecting the 200 metres of path from the former toll bridge to Ousebank homes, and what they meant also when then asking a resident how people had the nerve to live in such a place.

It will take much more nerve to travel the path if 'Olympia Park' is ever built!

Ian T Hinchey Ousebank resident, pre- 11th May submission to Government Inspector

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 17 August 2012 17:45
To: ldf
Subject: Fwd: A 'smash and grab' raid on Ousebank residents' property rights?
Attachments: Fwd: A 'smash and grab' raid on Ousebank residents' property rights?

FIVE.

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 17 August 2012 15:34
To: ldf
Subject: Fwd: A 'smash and grab' raid on Ousebank residents' property rights?
Attachments: Fwd: A 'smash and grab' raid on Ousebank residents' property rights?

Re- submission of previous pre- 28th June submissions for Local Plan consultation cancelled by Selby Chief Executive Mr Martin Connor by taking 'Hybrid' BOCMPauls Ltd planning application out of 'Local Plan' considerations, but re- instituted for pre- 23rd August Local Plan public consultation

(5th submission)

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 11 June 2012 07:05
To: ldf
Subject: Fwd: A 'smash and grab' raid on Ousebank residents' property rights?
Attachments: A 'smash and grab' raid on Ousebank residents' property rights?

For pre- 28th June submission

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 11 June 2012 07:03
To: joe osullivan
Subject: A 'smash and grab' raid on Ousebank residents' property rights?

Joe Sullivan, letter 7th June 201211th June 2012

Ref No. 2012/0540/FUL
 Alt Ref. 8/16/97Y/PA

Town and Country Planning Act 1990

Proposed Demolition of redundant and vacant mill buildings.....
 Location, Bocm Olympia Mill Barlby Road
 Applicant, Mr Richard Cooper

Sir/Madam,

A 15 year old, pre- 1998 'Crime and Disorder Act', planning application's attempt at a 'smash and grab' raid on 2,900 years remaining of Ousebank residents' property rights to aid now a criminal 'Core Strategy'? Or not?

Does this development in any way whatsoever undermine Ousebank resident's property right of curtilage over the level crossing and through the mill road?

Curtilage is necessary to make viable the homes and the usual domestic routines and arrangements of Ousebank residents, so any interference with our rights of curtilage, our property rights, by the proposal must be contested.

There exists some 2,900 years of a 3,000 year lease deeded to Ousebank residents for access through the railway underpass at Recreation Road, and therefore via the level crossing at the mill, it being, because of flooding of underpasses (the railway swing-bridge floods to the underpass roof) a vital element in the combination of three curtilages that are necessary to permit the successful fulfilment of the domestic arrangements for Ousebank residents' properties and families, and therefore the Ousebank residents' property rights.

The swing-bridge curtilage therefore, and in its slightly differing nature of restricting access by privacy over an earthen embankment now weakened by a heavy-duty concrete wall and fence on its inner edge, has as a material consideration that it be kept totally private (but has been recently compromised by CPO signposting of 'Cycling' without asking residents' permission) as curtilage which exists along the river bank, west through the swing-bridge railway underpass to reach the former Selby toll bridge : except, that is, for the heirs and tenants of the selling company of the land for the build of Ousebank Cottages, but, the company, never having built on the land to have tenants, instead gifted the land to allotments and sports field after the 1947 flood turned the land into an ox-bow lake to convince of no future build being possible - the allotments were gifted to Ousebank residents 60 years ago in 1952 and the sports fields gifted to Selby and District sports (by virtue of unimpeded 'custom and usage' after the Olympia Sports Club became defunct).

So a material consideration also must be sustaining curtilage for allotment holders other than Ousebank residents, and sports fields users, via both the Recreation Road and mill level-crossing, the third curtilage along the river bank and its need to be recognisably private being

yet another material consideration, and all due full lawful considerations if Ousebank residents' property rights are to be given the due respect due according to law.

For Mr Cooper's proposal to go forward uncontested by Ousebank residents which must inevitably cause the proposal to fail, it must be clearly stated for posterity in unambivalent legally binding terms that the development of "... car park, HGV circulation routes and turning circles, landscaping and construction of new vehicular access .." are NOT a preliminary to a subterfuge for the formulation of EITHER a back-up plan should the £4 million for the bridge that must be built before 'a brick can be laid' not be available because prevented by lawful accounting principles, NOR a substitute for the bridge that cannot now be built because unaffordable therefore, and are not indeed intended to undermine to negate Ousebank residents' property rights of curtilage.

Such a back-up or substitute plan would aid an existing and criminal 'smash and grab' attempt on Ousebank residents' property rights that can be found in the criminal Public Document 'Updated Masterplan and Delivery Document – December 2010' which unlawfully because ignoring to contravene an extant planning decision that supported Section 17 of the 1998 'Crime and Disorder Act', set up, incontestably, the adoption of 'Olympia Park' as 'core strategic site' of the SDC 'Core Strategy' which emerged full blown out of nowhere to be unlawfully accepted by Selby District Council, Chief Planning Officer, Planning Committee and Councillors of a 'cabal politic' persuasion, inasmuch as obvious and criminal land theft and criminally 'willing blindness' to the threat posed to 4,000 lives, are instantly recognisable, along with several other criminal elements.

Should the proposal indeed prove to be a subterfuge, and shown by an unwillingness on the part of Mr Cooper to agree to a clear and unambivalent statement for posterity that there is no intention nor actual possibility of the proposal leading to it ever being considered to be or implemented to be a substitute for a bridge, and so as to thereby allow a progressing of a housing build on 'Olympia Park', it will fail along with the criminal conspiracy which produced the Selby District 'Core Strategy' and which will also soon cause dismissal of this life-threatening 'Core Strategy'.

So in order to prevent a lawfully successful dismissal of the proposal, Mr Cooper, as an applicant fully authorised in law (though a prime mover in the criminal conspiracy that prompted the Selby District 'Core Strategy' with its focus on the BOCMPauls Ltd clear-up) must be willing to sign a clear and unambivalent statement to the effect that there exists no intention that the proposal was ever considered to be or will ever become a substitute for the bridge proposed in 'UMaDD' Public Document and so as thereby to permit the progressing of an application for a housing build/s on 'Olympia Park'[BARL 009 'Selby and District Sites Allocation Document' (SaDSAD).] and also offers no threat by undermining to negate Ousebank residents' property rights.

Sincerely

Ian T Hinchey Ousebank where (nearly) 40 families live in continual dread of
 permanent threat to life and limb and livelihood
 (not now from cycling accidents as CPO pays, personally!)

OPD 11 (31)

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 17 August 2012 17:45
To: ldf
Subject: Fwd: SDC "Water" convinces of closure of railway if homes built on 'Olympia P...
Attachments: Fwd: SDC "Water" convinces of closure of railway if homes built on 'Olympia P...

One.

OPD11 (31)

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 17 August 2012 12:48
To: nigel.adams.mp@parliament.uk
Subject: Fwd: SDC "Water" convinces of closure of railway if homes built on 'Olympia P...'
Attachments: SDC "Water" convinces of closure of railway if homes built on 'Olympia Park'

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 17 August 2012 12:27
To: ldf
Subject: SDC "Water" convinces of closure of railway if homes built on 'Olympia Park'

Pre- 23rd August submission for Selby Local Plan consultation

I attempt to prevent yet again the further progressing of criminal Public Documents which evidence support of a criminal enterprise by supporting the 'Olympia Park' housing build, and which prevention has been sought since March, 2011.

The Second World War, 1939-1945, put on hold the building plans of the then owners of the land now known as 'Olympia Park', and plans kept on hold during the post-war austerity in a nearly bankrupt UK where food itself was rationed and allotments were provided as a statutory right nationwide by local councils so that families might feed themselves.

In 1947 Selby, including the land behind Ousebank Cottages which is 'Olympia Park', suffered a severe flood.

And with the flood came the realisation that to build a wall high enough to prevent a similar flood would mean the railway track would be lower. So either the railway would have to be closed, or the building of homes on 'Olympia Park' could not be allowed given that the continuing protection that would have to be given to residents would eventually force closure of the railway when the wall rose higher than the track itself.

A greater force has now being added to this argument by the Selby District Council's publication "Water"!

It presents a sea level higher than in 1947 and rising much faster than previously, and this combines with the Environment Agency now being committed to building walls higher and higher as river levels rise accordingly and to thus make it inevitable that the Selby railway track will eventually be lower than the required flood defence wall and will therefore have to close if homes are ever built on 'Olympia Park'.

'Olympia Park' is, after all, land in a 'meander', a loop, of a flood-plain river and which unstoppable natural forces intend to turn next into an 'Ox Bow lake', as any pupil in first year 'Geography 1' will tell you.

The unexpected death of George VI and accession of Queen Elizabeth II to The Throne in 1952 combined with the national need for land for allotments to provide a solution for the problem of what to do with land unable to be built on without forcing the future closure of the vital railway link.

The land was munificently gifted "So no Ousebank family need ever be hungry!" as oral tradition has it, in honour of Her Majesty's accession to The Throne.

Who believes they have the right to override a gifting? No third party has such a right!

And who would want to negate such a gift, given the entailed insult to Her Majesty?

And who would go even further by attempting to use as yet undisturbed 'brown field' on which Weapons of Mass Destruction were manufactured to substitute for allotments, for land on which food must be grown?

The supporting Public Document for the 'Olympia Park' housing build, the 'Updated Masterplan and Delivery Document - December, 2010' (UMaDD) proceeds in the most deceitful and obfuscating way possible to move the allotments gifted in The Queen's name onto contaminated land!

What certification for a lack of contamination is possible for land undisturbed for a century?

Ian T Hinchey Ousebank where (nearly) forty families live in continual dread of

permanent threat to life and limb and livelihood
(not fro cycling accident costs as CPO now pays, personally!)
in contravention of Section 17 1998 Crime & Disorder Act' 1998, the
'UMaDD' instigating a mass trespass by theft of deeded land, and
which Ousebank residents having occupier liability must contest!

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 17 August 2012 17:45
To: ldf
Subject: Fwd: FW: Letter to Emmaus explaining planning refusal for Ousebank hostel app...
Attachments: Fwd: FW: Letter to Emmaus explaining planning refusal for Ousebank hostel app...

SEVEN.

OPD/1(32)

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 17 August 2012 15:41
To: ldf
Subject: Fwd: FW: Letter to Emmaus explaining planning refusal for Ousebank hostel app...
Attachments: Fwd: FW: Letter to Emmaus explaining planning refusal for Ousebank hostel app...
(6th submission) **for pre- 23rd August submission for Local Plan public consultation.**

OPD 11 (32)

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 15 June 2012 10:36
To: ldf
Subject: Fwd: FW: Letter to Emmaus explaining planning refusal for Ousebank hostel app...
Attachments: Fwd: FW: Letter to Emmaus explaining planning refusal for Ousebank hostel app...

OPD11(32)

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 15 June 2012 10:29
To: joe osullivan
Subject: Fwd: FW: Letter to Emmaus explaining planning refusal for Ousebank hostel app...
Attachments: RE: FW: Letter to Emmaus explaining planning refusal for Ousebank hostel appl...

Joe Sullivan, letter 11th June

Ref No :- 2012/0541/EIA
Alt Ref :- 8/16/97X/PA

Proposal :- Hybrid application
Applicant :- BOCMPauls Ltd

Sir/Madam,

I follow up my letter of the 13th "**Conspiring to pervert the course of justice by unlawful deletion of an extant Selby District Council Planning Decision supporting section 17 of the 1998 Crime and Disorder Act which would be able to cause automatic disqualification of the BOCMPauls Ltd 'Proposal'**" which responded to your 11th June letter referenced above, by enclosing a copy of my enquiry and its eventual termination with an understandable lack of response from Mr Richard Sunter.

Sincerely

Ian T Hinchey

ryan king

From: janet morley
Sent: 09 August 2011 11:36
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: FW: Letter to Emmaus explaining planning refusal for Ousebank hostel appl...

Dear Sir,

I have forwarded your enquiry on to Richard Sunter, Lead Officer (Planning). If you have any further questions or queries regarding this please contact him on:

risunter@selby.gov.uk

Regards,



JANET MORLEY

Business Administration Assistant

Tel 01757 705101 Email info@selby.gov.uk Web www.selby.gov.uk
 Selby District Council Civic Centre, Doncaster Road, Selby YO8 8FT

The information in this e-mail, and any attachments, is confidential and may be subject to legal professional privilege. It is intended solely for the attention and use of the named addressee(s). Its contents do not necessarily represent the views or opinions of Selby District Council.

If you are not the intended recipient please notify the sender immediately. Unless you are the intended recipient, or his/her representative, you are not authorised to, and must not, read, copy, distribute, use or retain this message or any part of it.

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 08 August 2011 17:18
To: janet morley
Subject: Re: FW: Letter to Emmaus explaining planning refusal for Ousebank hostel appl...

Hello Leanne,

I went to 'Access Selby' only to find that all information had been deleted, when? , I know not!

Are 'letters of refusal' of planning permission legal documents, similar to 'awards' of planning permission?

Irregardless, how long must such documents be safely kept by Selby & District Council?

The eventual withdrawal of application for planning permission in response to the 'refusal' letter written by 'Selby Council', occurred 8th November 2002.

As this date is less than 10 years, should not information regarding the application, its refusal and its withdrawal continue to exist according to law?

I await your reply anxiously

Sincerely

Ian T Hinchey Ouse bank where 40 families live in continual dread of permanent threat to life, limb and livelihood.

In a message dated 03/08/2011 14:13:49 GMT Daylight Time, jrichardson@selby.gov.uk writes:

Dear Sir,

Planning reference number: CO/2002/0634 - 8/16/255E/PA for Alteration, conversion and extension of existing buildings to form a community development comprising residential use with associated light industrial (Class B1) and retail (Classes A1 & A3) uses at Barlby Farm, Ousebank, Selby by Emmaus Yorkshire was Withdrawn by the applicant on 8th November 2002.

You can view the application at our Access Selby Customer Contact Centre, Market Cross Shopping Centre, Selby.

If you require copies of any documentation, these can be purchased at the above office.

Regards,



JANET MORLEY
Business Administration Assistant

Tel 01757 705101 Email info@selby.gov.uk Web www.selby.gov.uk
Selby District Council Civic Centre, Doncaster Road, Selby YO8 9FT

The information in this e-mail, and any attachments, is confidential and may be subject to legal professional privilege. It is intended solely for the attention and use of the named addressee(s). Its contents do not necessarily represent the views or opinions of Selby District Council.

If you are not the intended recipient please notify the sender immediately. Unless you are the intended recipient, or his/her representative, you are not authorised to, and must not, read, copy, distribute, use or retain this message or any part of it.

From: leanne fox **On Behalf Of** Info
Sent: 01 August 2011 15:40
To: development support
Subject: FW: Letter to Emmaus explaining planning refusal for Ousebank hostel application

Hi

Please see email below sent to the info inbox.

Kind Regards



LEANNE FOX
Customer Services Advisor

Tel 01757 705101 Email info@selby.gov.uk Web www.selby.gov.uk
Selby District Council, Civic Centre, Doncaster Road, Selby YO8 8FT

The information in this e-mail, and any attachments, is confidential and may be subject to legal professional privilege. It is intended solely for the attention and use of the named addressee(s). Its contents do not necessarily represent the views or opinions of Selby District Council. If you are not the intended recipient please notify the sender immediately. Unless you are the intended recipient, or his/her representative, you are not authorised to, and must not, read, copy, distribute, use or retain this message or any part of it.

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 01 August 2011 09:58
To: Info
Subject: Letter to Emmaus explaining planning refusal for Ousebank hostel application

Hello,

just prior to October 25th 2002, a 'council representative' wrote to Emmaus North Yorkshire, (possibly addressed in person to Mr John Walker, the Chairman) to explain refusal of planning permission for an Emmaus hostel on Ousebank, Selby. 'fear of crime and disorder and community safety were material considerations'.

May I please be

a) informed of the name of the council representative who wrote the explanation, and upon whose behalf? (which committee, or what minute of which proceedings provided the prompt for the letter?).

b) a copy of the actual letter so prompted and agreed to, and by whom agreed to?

Regards

28/08/2012

Ian T Hinchey 6 Ousebank, Selby YO8 5AY

01757 706862

OPD/1 (33)

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 17 August 2012 17:45
To: ldf
Subject: Fwd: Competence of the Chief Executive of Selby District Council
Attachments: Fwd: Competence of the Chief Executive of Selby District Council

FIFTEEN.

OPD 11 (33)

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 17 August 2012 16:01
To: ldf
Subject: Fwd: Competence of the Chief Executive of Selby District Council
Attachments: Competence of the Chief Executive of Selby District Council

Submission for pre- 23rd August Local Plan public consultation.

(14th submission)

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 05 July 2012 10:49
To: programmeofficer
Subject: Competence of the Chief Executive of Selby District Council

For :- Mr Martin Pike : Independent Government Inspector

Sir,

reservations exist regarding the competence of Mr Martin Connor to manage the existence of the criminal conspiracy, based on a perverting of the course justice aimed at defrauding Ousebank residents of lawful protection from crime and disorder in accordance with Section 17 of the 1998 Crime and Disorder Act, that is at the heart of the Selby District Planning department having Mr Richard Sunter as Chief Planning Officer, and which caused the BOCMPauls Ltd 'Hybrid' planning application and its criminal 'land theft' support document 'Updated Masterplan and Delivery Document - December, 2010' (UMaDD) that has been recently removed from Selby District 'Local Plan' and, one must assume, as nothing has been said, therefore removed from the Selby District 'Core Strategy' as 'core strategic site'.

The Chief Executive continues to progress the criminal enterprise that is BOCMPauls Ltd 'Hybrid' planning application that hides the criminal 'Olympia Park' housing build proposal which could bankrupt Selby District taxpayers and cause closure of the Hull/Selby railway line and its London and TransPennine links, as well as stealing land from Ousebank residents, and land gifted to allotments and local sports and to kill off both.

Mr Connor has put one of the leading members of the criminal conspiracy in charge of the transitional proceeding (?) that can truly be said to be of 'an unknown and indeterminate nature'.

No information has been forthcoming from Mr Connor regarding the status of the representations made to Mr Sullivan during the period ending 28th June, 2012 - do representations made then regarding the BOCMPauls Ltd 'Hybrid' planning application stand, or have to be repeated?

Why has Mr Sullivan not responded to my question as to whether or not BOCMPauls Ltd is lawfully constituted in its Articles of Association to engage in speculative house building and civil engineering projects ? - the company is a constituted animal feed producer, for god's sake!

Why are my emails to Mr Sullivan returned? - is it just mine? or every one's email?

Did Barlby Parish Council ever come forward to Mr Sullivan to support Ousebank residents, or not?

Why are my emails to Mr Sullivan returned ? - is it just my emails, or everybody's?

Will someone else be addressing these questions? Questions to be repeated? Or not?

Can CPO Mr Sunter as arch-conspirator reasonably be considered to be the right person to oversee already submitted/repeated representations?

We are in a democratic limbo in Selby District!!

And this indeterminate period of democratic limbo can NOT be good for Selby taxpayers! (Though no doubt wonderful for the gang of wannabe criminal profiteers!!)

Nor now can Mr M Connor, Chief Executive of Selby District Council, be considered a viable representative, because questions are now bound to be asked regarding competence, and especially in relation to the criminal conspirators whose aims he seems (wittingly or unwittingly?) to be assisting and progressing!

Perception is all when it comes to reputation, and Mr Connor seems to be acting without recourse to any form of explanation to the Selby taxpayers regarding necessary process for ridding the District of a bunch of criminals who thought nothing of putting 4,000 lives at risk nor bankrupting Selby taxpayers!

28/08/2012

What can be done about the Selby District democratic limbo given that all elected and paid representatives sit back and do nothing, as they all have for nearly two years now?

Sincerely

Ian T Hinchey Ousebank where (nearly) forty families live in continual dread of permanent threat to life and limb and livelihood
(not now from cycling accident costs as CPO pays, personally!)

OPD 11(34)

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 17 August 2012 17:45
To: ldf
Subject: Fwd: Criminality, presented in full, demands withdrawal of SDC 'core strategi...
Attachments: Fwd: Criminality, presented in full, demands withdrawal of SDC 'core strategi...

TWO.

OPD11(34)

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: 17 August 2012 15:19

To: ldf

Subject: Fwd: Criminality, presented in full, demands withdrawal of SDC 'core strategi...

Attachments: Fwd: Criminality, presented in full, demands withdrawal of SDC 'core strategi...

Re- submission for pre- 23rd August Local Plan public consultation. (Second submission)

OPD/11 (34)

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 27 April 2012 17:20
To: ldf
Subject: Fwd: Criminality, presented in full, demands withdrawal of SDC 'core strategi...
Attachments: Criminality, presented in full, demands withdrawal of SDC 'core strategic site'

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 27 April 2012 17:18
To: programmeofficer
Subject: Criminality, presented in full, demands withdrawal of SDC 'core strategic site'

Chosen by Selby District Council as 'core strategic site' at the behest of the Chief Planning Officer combined with the request of BOCMPauls Ltd and its promoter Spawforths Ltd, all conspiring together to confound Section 17 of 1998 Crime & Disorder Act previously upheld in a 2002 planning decision and with evidence of this decision having been wilfully deleted by the conspirators before its time contrary to Local Government Advice standards and with the wilful intent to unlawfully pervert the course of justice by its deletion, and by further using unlawful deceit in a Public Document, the 'Selby and District Site Allocations Document', by claiming in the Document that the site 'already benefited from planning permission' to thereby convince of an increase in potential value in the eyes of potential investors, 'Olympia Park' the 'core strategic site' as chosen, WILL FLOOD AGAIN!

As any current Geography pupil will tell you, the land on which the 1,000 dwelling 'Olympia Park' is projected to be built is inside the MEANDER of a FLOOD PLAIN RIVER and the next stage in the natural development of any such MEANDER is to become an OX BOW LAKE : in a high flood the direct force of the river joins with the next direct arm of the river to miss out the loop of the meander and leave it to exist as a lake – photographs of the 1947 flood show such a site; sorry! 'sight'.

Hiding behind a lack of neither a Selby District Council nor North Yorkshire County Council 'Policy Document' regarding 'Strategic Planning Restraints' although the seminal work on the subject commissioned by Communities and Local Government Department to benefit of policy-free Authorities 'Strategic Gap and Green Wedge Policies in Structure Plans' (2000) had been available for nearly a decade, a choice from two other suitable sites in a NON-FLOOD ZONE (which everybody knows will be built on eventually) meant the conspiracy had the excuse to accept the unlawful choice of site, and a choice which could not be contested without a contesting of the Selby District Council 'Core Strategy' – the unlawful choice was, in other words, MADE INCONTESTABLE by the conspirators in pursuit of a fool-proof conspiracy.

Unfortunately for the conspirators there existed amongst Ousebank residents non-fools that were instrumental in ensuring failure of the mid-2008 Bellway Homes / BOCMPauls partnership build of 600 dwellings (the Coalition Government since reduced statutory density – 1,000 dwellings can now be built in 'Olympia Park' space) and by making the Principals aware of, amongst other things, the "strictly planning grounds" of the 2002 planning decision which refused 'Emmaus Yorkshire' permission to build a hostel for some two-dozen persons on Ousebank and because of "... fear of crime and disorder and community safety." But evidence still existed, even though the conspirators had had the foresight to criminally pervert the course of justice by removing all evidence of the Decision.

And when a build-project for the same 'Olympia Park' site and which residents had every reason to believe would never raise its ugly head again, appeared in December 2010 as a £300 million 'Christmas Present' development, Ousebank residents knew devious means had kept it under the radar and outside their knowledge as even the hint of a possibility – this made possible by advertising as 'Selby District with mention of village names to the fore' thus ensuring Selby readers would dismiss the adverts after the enquiring glance, plus also the 'cabal politic' engendered by the misapplication of the confidentiality rule 'that the Government wants to get rid of as being undemocratic with the 'Localism Bill' was rife at

Selby District Council, even making the local press, with the current Head Councillor stating that his political party were only doing that which the political party before them did.

The 1947 flood revealing the Ox Bow lake was probably instrumental in the gifting by the owners at the time of the land to Ousebank residents as allotments in 1952, and for 'each family on Ousebank to be able feed their family'

A 1952 'gifting' that has been compounded by 60 years of 'custom and usage' and since further compounded by the statutory right of persons to expect Local Authorities to consider it their duty to provide allotments, not take them away completely, as the Chief Planning Officer attempted to do in the 2005–2008 Plan { and, by the way, the only available Plan for the Environmental Agency to work to when it built the flood defence wall connecting to the railway, and on a stretch of embankment previously designed to overtop into the allotments, but which the Plan changed to 'playing field']

Who, therefore, has the right to undermine 'gift' and 'sentiment of the gifting' given the statutory right of Ousebank residents to expect an allotment which accords with the gifting supported by 60 years of 'custom and usage'? And who has the right to place allotments on a yet to be disturbed 'brownfield' where Weapons of Mass Destruction were made over 90 years ago – because, make no bones about it, this whole conspiracy was necessary to provide that which BOCMPauls Ltd has not provided over nearly a century, namely financial provision to clean up nearly 100 YEARS LATER the remnants of WMD manufacture and also heavy oil/tar usage.

Floods expected in the near future, as well as the 'North Sea surge' and which the Dutch have spent £billions in anticipating, will yet again turn the 'Olympia Park' site into an Ox Bow Lake.

The discrepancy of height difference at the junction of the concrete flood defence wall and railway bank's comparatively flimsy brick-built 'bunker', where the small gap from top to base of defence wall may well see the 'bunker' pushed aside and for flood waters to rapidly undermine the railway itself and the earth embankment on which the concrete wall and heavy duty fencing are built on the inner side to weaken it, and to thereby threaten the lives and properties of some 4,000 people [Ousebank residents' private curtilage is being eroded at ITS APEX that should be high enough to prevent flood, and by footfall considerably less than the proposed extra 4,000 inhabitants of 'Olympia Park,' and is now lower than the 1.9 kilometres of flood defence wall built 2008 and may well soon be as low as the brick 'bunker' at the railway embankment]

The conspirators were 'willingly blind to' this easily visible threat, as evidenced by choosing 'a desk based inspection' where a 'physical inspection' was demanded, and because of the 'special circumstance' of 'defence wall meeting railway embankment'.

But to contend with the cost of possible requirement to meet this problem would have added more to a cost that according to the 'evidence base' in the 'Updated Masterplan and Delivery Document – December 2010' could not readily be afforded anyway, the 'financial consultant' stating that 'access to public funds' was required to make the proposition the Document entertained financially viable as it was presented, none of which 'public funds' applied for having much of a chance because in competitions for 'innovation', and it was admitted to the Inspector that needs were 'only cosmetic'.

The District Comptroller also considered the likelihood of BOCMPauls Ltd obtaining £4 million against the project from capital improvements made several years before (details kept 'secret' until the 30th September, 2011 'Matters 6' meeting, the last day of the Inspection by the Independent Government Inspector and only days before the conspirators expected a pro forma adoption of the site by Selby Council in October) to be questionable, so offered two figures for S106 considerations, one for if this was somehow managed (creative accounting?) and the other if not.

BOCMPauls Ltd lack of financial provision for clearing-up the WMD left-overs and remnants of heavy oil and tar usage and for the absence of which contamination (?) the 'UMaDD' provides no evidence, and which as an undisturbed 'brownfield', if such evidence did indeed exist, would be negated once 'brownfield' was disturbed, should not require that Ousebank residents should have their 60 year usage of gifted allotments consolidated by statutory right unlawfully taken from them, the allotments to be placed directly on an as yet undisturbed derelict 'brownfield' where Weapons of Mass Destruction were made during the 1914-1918 war; nor have had their rights of land and property cunningly undermined over time by the Chief Planning Officer; nor have had accepted by Selby District Council a planning application which ignores to contravene Section 17 of the 1998 Crime & Disorder Act TO THEREBY LICENSE 'crime and disorder' and lack of 'community safety'; nor should the Council have permitted a unlawful financial deception in a Public Document for the incitement of investment; nor should the Council have accepted as evidence base the obviously un-evidenced and desk- based inspection of a 'Flood Zone 3a' site given the 'special circumstances of a 'flood defence wall meeting a railway embankment'; nor should the Council have accepted with a view to adoption a planning application supported by an 'evidence base' dependent on obvious land theft from Ousebank residents.

The crime and disorder that will be generated if 'Olympia Park' is ever built will not simply be by Ousebank residents contesting the trespass on their private, un-adopted roads, and because of communal 'occupier liability' costs where little case law exists to give guidance thereby threatening life and limb and livelihood of all residents, a trespass and thus contesting of trespass which 'UMaDD' increases geometrically by advocating mass access to Selby through Ousebank's private curtilages and roads and by committing land theft to ensure the 'mass access' that is used to justify the choice of 'Olympia Park' as 'core strategic site', access not available to other proposed sites.

No! More 'crime and disorder' will be generated by a take-over by youth of the two linked railway underpasses to prevent this 'mass access', and which also offers a link across the railway swing-bridge staff walkway.

The scenarios of possible necessary police pursuit along either the railway service road or visibly accessible railway, and leading to possible train and bus pursuits, and also pursuits on foot through Selby town, should leave the police force in the area somewhat stretched, and more so now given reducing police numbers.

Perhaps this is what the two police officers saw when seemingly inspecting the 200 metres of path from the former toll bridge to Ousebank homes, and what they meant also when then asking a resident how people had the nerve to live in such a place.

It will take much more nerve to travel the path if 'Olympia Park' is ever built!

Ian T Hinchey Ousebank resident, pre- 11th May submission to Government Inspector

OPD/1 (35)

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 17 August 2012 17:45
To: ldf
Subject: Fwd: Selby District Council Local Development Framework
Attachments: Fwd: Selby District Council Local Development Framework

THIRTEEN.

OPD11 (35)

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 17 August 2012 15:56
To: ldf
Subject: Fwd: Selby District Council Local Development Framework
Attachments: Fwd: Selby District Council Local Development Framework
Submission for pre- 23rd August Local Plan public consultation.
(12th submission)

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 01 July 2012 13:48
To: martin connor
Subject: Fwd: Selby District Council Local Development Framework
Attachments: Fwd: Selby District Council Local Development Framework

Mr Connor,

I preface my preface to the 34 differing emails sandwiched between two copies of 'The Actual permanent nightmare awaiting half the residents of Ousebank', the first sent 30/06/2012, the latter, the last email sent 01/07/2012.

This 'sandwich' should allow to reach the conclusion that I have little faith in sending anything to Mr Richard Sunter having sent a copy of every one of the emails sent since requesting an explanation from him in August 2011 for the deletion of the Selby District Council Planning Decision refusing the 'Emmaus Yorkshire' application and its withdrawal 8th November 2002 (copy enclosed in 'sandwich').

All emails sent go to a wide audience, to Eric Pickles, his senior civil servants, including the Independent Government Inspector, MP, Chief Constable of North Yorkshire, CPO, SDC Planners, SDC Councillors, Barlby Parish Council, private planning companies, Joint Parliamentary Commission on Human Rights (JCHR), local and national press and t-v, and, of course, a courtesy copy to my neighbours. And all at my own expense!! (nasty neighbours note!)

This email will also be received by all.

After 20 odd months and some 8,000 odd emails aimed at canvassing elected representatives at every level, and hoping at least one would display qualities that would soon put paid this criminal enterprise, I have become somewhat cynical of any British person 'doing the right thing', and which is why I contacted Europe.

And you will know that UK Planning Law remains under warning still from Europe.

Sincerely

Ian T Hinchey Ousebank where (nearly) forty families live in continual dread of
permanent threat to life and limb and livelihood
(not from cycling accidents as CPO now pays, personally!)

OPD11 (35)

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 01 July 2012 12:04
To: martin connor
Subject: Fwd: Selby District Council Local Development Framework
Attachments: Selby District Council Local Development Framework

Submission for 22nd June - 27th July representation to Mr Martin Connor, Senior Executive Selby District Council OPPOSING BOCMPauls Ltd 'Hybrid' planning application now that it has been removed from the Selby District 'Local Development Plan' as advertised by posters drawing-pinned to selected telephone poles on Ousebank canvassing for representation.

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 06 October 2011 12:48
To: ldf
Subject: Selby District Council Local Development Framework

NOTICE OF PUBLIC CONSULTATION

Site Allocations Development Plan Document Preferred Options

Sir/Madame,

I write in response to being canvassed by postcard to add comment to the SADPD public consultation.

CONTRAVENING '125. DRAFT NATIONAL PLANNING FRAMEWORK (July 2011)'

The 'preferred option' of 'Flood Zone 3' site 'Olympia Park' (Barl 009 - SaDSAD) , 6.2 'Conclusions' 'No. 7 - Strategic Development Sites (February 2010)' contravenes Draft National Planning Framework - July 2011 -

" Sustainable Communities

125.

The planning system can play an important role in facilitating social interaction and creating inclusive communities. Local planning authorities should create a shared vision with communities of the residential environment and facilities they wish to see. To support this, local planning authorities should aim to involve all sections of the community in the development of local and neighbourhood plans and in planning decisions. Planning policies and decisions, in turn, should aim to design places which promote:

- opportunities for meetings between members of the community who might not otherwise come into contact with each other, including through mixed-use developments which bring together those who work, live and play in the vicinity
- safe and accessible environments where **crime and disorder, and the fear of crime, do not undermine quality of life or community cohesion** : and
- **accessible developments, containing clear and legible pedestrian routes** "

FACT : 'fear of crime and disorder and safety in the community' were the 'material planning considerations' that caused the refusal (Letter by council representative to Mr John Walker Director of 'Emmaus Yorkshire') "... strictly on planning grounds" of the Emmaus planning application on Ousebank in 2002.

I hereby ask that the legally valid application of the same 'material planning considerations' of 'fear of crime and disorder and safety in the community' be applied to the planning application by BOCMPauls Ltd for 'Olympia Park' (Barl 009 'SaDSAD').

These 'material planning considerations' render the site unacceptable for consideration as a site allocation, and therefore dismiss it from its status as the 'preferred option' of 'core strategic site' for the Selby District 'Core Strategy' and "... strictly on planning grounds."

Councillors and Planners are aware also of the criminal conspiracy charges lodged against the choice of this 'Flood Zone 3.a' site, which will lead to its choice as 'core strategic site' suffering legal dismissal when successful.

28/08/2012

It would indeed be expedient if Selby District Council therefore accepted the addition of 'and Selby' to 'Core Strategy Housing Deliverability and Green Belt Issues - 28th September, 2011'

6.2 - Bullet Point 2 "a localised review of Tadcaster **and Selby** to meet the RSS and Core Strategy objectives including delivering housing land requirements"

A review of the Selby and Brayton 'gap' would give grounds for a reconsideration of two 'Low Flood Risk sites E. and F.' as sound 'core strategic site' substitutes for the dismissed 'Flood Zone 3' site (its 'defensive quality' having been shown to be highly questionable) and with the greater density per hectare now permitted by the current Government leading possibly to a greater number of dwellings to contribute further to housing requirements.

Let the law and common sense expediency rule.

Sincerely

Ian T Hinchey Ousebank where 40 families live in continual dread of permanent threat to life and limb and livelihood heightened by circumvented material planning considerations by means of the crafty theft of Ousebank residents' land.

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 17 August 2012 17:45
To: ldf
Subject: Fwd: Potential for UK's worst ever manmade disaster
Attachments: Fwd: Potential for UK's worst ever manmade disaster

ELEVEN.

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 17 August 2012 15:51
To: ldf
Subject: Fwd: Potential for UK's worst ever manmade disaster
Attachments: Fwd: Potential for UK's worst ever manmade disaster
Submission for pre- 23rd August Local Plan public consultation.
(10th submission)

OPD11 (36)

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 28 June 2012 09:30
To: ldf
Subject: Fwd: Potential for UK's worst ever manmade disaster
Attachments: Potential for UK's worst ever manmade disaster

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 28 June 2012 09:29
To: joe osullivan
Subject: Potential for UK's worst ever manmade disaster

FINAL 28th June submission opposing BOCMPauls Ltd CRIMINAL 'Hybrid' planning application

It is self-evident to any practitioner of common sense that the building of 'Olympia Park' will lead to closure of the Hull to Selby railway line.

'Olympia Park', the element purposely hidden in the BOCMPauls Ltd 'Hybrid' planning application to avoid considerations, will lead to closure of the Hull to Selby connection for the London and Transpennine routes if build permission is granted, because future precedence will be given to a continuing protection of the lives and property of the near 4,000 people projected to live on the 'Flood Zone 3a' site, and by the flood barrier being built higher and higher until the railway track level is much lower and becomes a flood threat unable to be mastered by anything other than closure of the railway line and swing bridge over the River Ouse.

The product of a criminal enterprise in which the conspirators BOCMPauls Ltd, Mr Richard Cooper et al, Selby District Chief Planning Officer, Mr Richard Sunter et al Selby District 'cabal' Councillors, and Spawforths Ltd, Adrian Spawforth and Paul Bedwell et al, aimed at the nearly £40 million profit available by being willing to enter into seven areas of criminality (email to LDF 'The Rampant Criminality Permeating Selby District Core Strategy' 01/01/2012) and unconcerned by the persisting threat to the projected 4,000 lives which had to be kept hidden, and was kept hidden by their conducting a 'desk based inspection' of the site instead of a 'physical inspection' which would have revealed the 'Hybrid' application's threat to life, currently and in the near future, and the eventual need for closure of the railway line.

The conspirators' initially perverted the course of justice by removal of public records of the extant Selby District Planning Decision refusing planning permission to 'Emaus Yorkshire' on "...strictly planning grounds ... of fear of crime and disorder and for community safety" (York Press archives) that would have automatically disqualified 'Olympia Park' and to place the 40 families of Ousebank under continuous threat of life limb and livelihood, and by theft of Ousebank residents' land accepted in the 'Updated Masterplan and Delivery Document - Dec 2010' (UMaDD) for 'Olympia Park' by Selby District Council that would guarantee future mass trespass through Ousebank residents' private and un-adopted roads (a selling point of 'UMaDD) that would have to be continually contested to prevent possible onerous costs from occupier liability, there being virtually no precedents extant in law most previous cases having been settled out of court. A false statement in a Public Document 'Selby and District Site Allocations Document' (SaDSAD) that the 'Olympia Park' site "...already benefited from planning permission" and to incite investment, being another criminal act amongst others.

The threat of a Tsunami type wave across the current allotments and sports pitches still exists to threaten Ousebank residents because of the couple of metres of height discrepancy where the flood-defence wall meets the railway tract near to the swing-bridge across the River Ouse. And it was criminally negligent of the conspirators, but necessary for them to avoid unaffordable costs, to not have conducted the 'physical inspection of the 'Olympia Park' site demanded by the 'special considerations' of a flood-defence wall meeting a railway, and which would have revealed this height discrepancy having the potential to create the UK's

worst ever manmade disaster, the loss of 4,000 lives and their properties.

The 1.9 kilometres of fence wall is a constant height. So, other than the threatening height discrepancy, there is no means left for a slow 'over topping' into the allotments that would remove the threat of Tsunami from a surge which will find the gap.

The concrete fence-wall would have to be lowered a little to allow slow overtopping.

The ignored problem must be addressed or the Tsunami type wave created could totally undermine to wash away the rail track itself, and possibly a train with it.

OPD11 (37)

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 17 August 2012 17:45
To: ldf
Subject: Fwd: Is BOCMPauls Ltd LAWFULLY CONSTITUTED to pursue speculative building etc
Attachments: Fwd: Is BOCMPauls Ltd LAWFULLY CONSTITUTED to pursue speculative building etc
EIGHT.

OPD/1 (37)

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 17 August 2012 15:43
To: ldf
Subject: Fwd: Is BOCMPauls Ltd LAWFULLY CONSTITUTED to pursue speculative building etc
Attachments: Is BOCMPauls Ltd LAWFULLY CONSTITUTED to pursue speculative building etc
Submission for pre- 23rd August Local Plan public consultation.
(7th submission)

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: 21 June 2012 12:19

To: joe osullivan

Subject: Is BOCMPauls Ltd LAWFULLY CONSTITUTED to pursue speculative building etc

Sir,

I asked you in my email 12th June entitled 'My democratic and human right to free expression' if BOCMPauls Ltd was lawfully constituted to enter into speculative house and commercial property building and a civil engineering project according to its legally binding Articles of Association.

Selby District Council has 'Certified' that no legal prohibitions exist to prevent the 'Core Strategy' proceeding, so a check must have been carried out at the time by some Department or some individual Office having responsibility for verifying this particular legal requirement.

So it must be relatively simple internal task to obtain the information and for you to thereby deliver a reply.

Will you therefore please reply by return?

Sincerely

Ian T Hinchey Ousebank where forty families live in continual dread of permanent threat to life and limb and livelihood (but not from cycling accidents, as CPO now pays, personally!)

OPD (38)

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 17 August 2012 17:45
To: ldf
Subject: Fwd: Evil prospers when good people do nothing
Attachments: Fwd: Evil prospers when good people do nothing

SIXTEEN.

OPD 1: (38)

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 17 August 2012 16:02
To: ldf
Subject: Fwd: Evil prospers when good people do nothing
Attachments: Fwd: Evil prospers when good people do nothing
Submission for pre- 23rd August Local Plan public consultation.
(15th submission)

OPD 11 (38)

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 07 July 2012 18:08
To: malcolm.spittle@northyorks.gov.uk
Subject: Fwd: Evil prospers when good people do nothing
Attachments: Evil prospers when good people do nothing

OPD: (SE)

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
 Sent: 07 July 2012 17:59
 To: martin connor
 Subject: Evil prospers when good people do nothing

Buckingham Palace

7th July, 2012

Your Majesty,

I beg restoration of the rule of Sovereign Law in Selby District, in the County of North Yorkshire? - and I beg sincerely, forgiveness for giving notice of lawlessness in Great Britain during Your celebratory year?

But the forty families of Ousebank Cottages in Barlby Bridge, Selby have had their own diamond jubilee of sixty years of status quo beginning in 1952 put under total threat by rampant because un-checkable though easily evidenced criminality.

A criminality sponsored by Selby District Council, its Chief Planning Officer, a 'cabal politic' prevalent in Selby District Council, all in a criminal conspiracy with two local companies aimed at removing the lawful protection from "crime and disorder in the community" (Section 17 1998 Crime and Disorder Act) from Ousebank residents in order to steal deeded land, allotments and sports fields gifted to Ousebank residents and the District during the year of your accession to The Throne.

These criminals now have their enterprise progressed by the Chief Executive of Selby District Council, though it offers threat to 4,000 lives and possibility of bankrupting Selby District taxpayers : all for £40 million profit, or £10,000 per head!

The Secretary of State for Local Government and Communities is "not minded to intervene". The Chief Constable of North Yorkshire wrote to say "There is nothing you can do that will make me intervene" when persistently appealed to : my emails were "to be filed unread". Our MEP and MP will do nothing. A current, interim Chief Constable has not thought fit to respond in any way to alter this situation, although it was a previous Chief Constable who ensured that Section 17 of the Act was upheld in 2002, but the conspirators have removed all evidence of the content of the planning decision she prompted by deleting all files from public record and to prevent a presentation that would disqualify the planning application causing mass trespass and a future of crime and disorder for Ousebank residents. A Deputy Justices' Clerk at York Courts refused to forward my submission in accordance with the Prosecutions of Offences Act 1985 to the Director of Public Prosecutions though the sub-sections quoted should have ensured this, and by his stating I had "no case!" though blatant land theft was evidenced. Nor could I arrest Independent Inspector's progressing of the criminal land-theft Document. My own Parish Council, having a direct personal connection into the heart of Selby District Planning Department, has, incredible though it may seem, have distributed to all Ousebank residents a pseudo- survey aimed at unlawfully setting all neighbours against myself and another.

There is no lawful representation at any level that has not bowed to the criminality of BOCMPauls Ltd and its carpetbagger promoters Spawforths Ltd, a subservience bought by threat (by threat of forcing onerous costs on residents) and bribe (using S.106 payment unlawfully to bribe, with new football changing rooms, for example).

I have the honour to be Madam, Your Majesty's humble and obedient subject

Ian T Hinchey Ousebank resident.

28/08/2012

OPD/1 (39)

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 17 August 2012 17:45
To: ldf
Subject: Fwd: Selby District Local Plan
Attachments: Fwd: Selby District Local Plan

TWENTY.

OPD/11 (39)

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 17 August 2012 16:15
To: ldf
Subject: Fwd: Selby District Local Plan
Attachments: Fwd: Selby District Local Plan

Submission for pre- 23rd August Local Plan public consultation.

(18th submission)

OPS 11 (39)

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 17 July 2012 09:27
To: ldf
Subject: Fwd: Selby District Local Plan
Attachments: Selby District Local Plan

Aiding and abetting a criminal conspiracy based on perverting the course of justice by unlawful deletion of public records of a planning decision which should have instantly disqualified the planning application (and certainly not permitted its preferment to 'core strategic site' to guarantee the sites pro-forma acceptance October last) for a housing build on 'Olympia Park' (BAR 009 in the criminally deceitful 'Selby District Sites Allocations Document' (SaDSAD) supported by the criminal land theft Public Document 'Updated Masterplan and Delivery Document - December 2010' (UMaDD)).

Sir/Madame,

will you please confirm by return that Selby District Planning Department has disqualified and therefore rejected out of hand the 'Hybrid' application from BOCMPauls Ltd for planning permission in respect of housing on 'Olympia Park' land purposely hidden in the 'Hybrid' to avoid consideration, and in accordance with the Law of the Land; namely, Section 17 of the 1998 Crime and Disorder Act, and as requested most recently in my email copy below.

And at risk is of being pursued for personal culpability in the further progressing Public Documents aimed at criminal ends, if you do not.

Sincerely

Ian T Hinchey Ousebank where (nearly) forty families live in continual dread of permanent threat to life and limb and livelihood (not for cycling accident costs as CPO now pays, personally!)

OPD 1: (37)

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 12 July 2012 13:34
To: ldf
Subject: Selby District Local Plan
Attachments: SCAN0011.JPG

For : Policy & Strategy Team : response to Letter 11th July, received 13.20 12th July.

Hello,

I demand, yet again, instant rejection of the BOCMPauls Ltd planning application for a housing build on land known as 'Olympia Park' (BAR 009 'Selby and District Sites Allocations Document' (SaDSAD) supported by the criminal land theft Public Document 'Updated Masterplan and Deliver Document - December, 2010, (UMaDD) and unlawfully concurred with by it being willingly preferred to 'core strategic site' in the Selby District 'Core Strategy' by Selby District Council and the Chief Planning Officer, an instant rejection demanded by the Law of the Land : namely, Section 17 of the 1998 Crime and Disorder Act making it incumbent on Authorities to plan to avoid "... crime and disorder in the community ..." a previous Planning Decision in 2002 by Selby District Council having upheld the Law of the Land.

Although all evidence of the extant and legally binding Planning Decision refusing planning permission on grounds of "... crime and disorder in the community ..." was unlawfully deleted from public records at some time between mid- 2008 and December 2010 (possibly earlier, but forensic investigation would be required to determine actual date and culprit/s) reportage of the decision at the time from the archives of a reputable source 'The Press' of York offers a sufficient representation to reveal the grounds for instant dismissal of the BOCMPauls Ltd planning application relating to 'Olympia Park' (BAR 009), and at risk of Corporate murder and manslaughter charges being laid against all conspirators and progressors of the criminal conspiracy and enterprise which is the BOCMPauls Ltd 'Olympia Park' application on occurrence of the first loss of life due to the application being accepted and the houses built, which god forbid!

I have made many similar requests and to all parties concerned and starting well over a year ago, so all parties are indeed aware and must needs be aware that it is 'awareness' which will determine their measure of culpability on the scale of 'murder' toward the lesser 'manslaughter' charges.

Be warned!

Sincerely

Ian T Hinchey Ousebank where (nearly 40 families live in continual dread of permanent threat to life and limb and livelihood (not from cycling accident costs as CPO now pays, personally!)

28/08/2012

5

THE PRESS

Get in touch: send your photos, videos, news & views by texting YORK to 80360 or send an email

SEARCH

for the latest jobs follow us on **twitter**

ARCHIVE - FRIDAY, 25 OCTOBER 2002

FIND BY DATE

Oct 2002						
Su	Mo	Tu	We	Th	Fr	Sa
		1	2	3	4	5
7	8	9	10	11	12	
14	15	16	17	18	19	
21	22	23	24	25	26	
28	29	30	31			

OTHER WAYS TO SEARCH

- Advanced search
- Browse by topic
- Site map

SEARCH

ALSO LOOK FOR

- Jobs
- Homes
- Cars
- Buy & Sell
- Events
- Buy photos
- Advertise

Never miss anything again. Sign up for our RSS news feeds and Newsletters.

ADS BY GOOGLE

55/YO Mum Looks 35
 Mum Reveals Shocking Trick for Erasing Wrinkles! Doctors Hate Her
www.CollagenRenew.net/Anti-Wrinkles

Electrician in York
 Reliable, friendly service and putting customer's happiness first
www.yorkselectrics.co.uk

Selby hostel plan scrapped

CONTROVERSIAL plans for a new hostel for the homeless in Selby have been abandoned, the Evening Press can reveal today.

The shock decision follows weeks of talks between leaders of the charity Emmaus and local residents, instigated by Selby MP John Grogan.

The plans to convert Barby Farm into a community home triggered a public outcry when they were unveiled five months ago.

Objectors - who feared the hostel could pose a threat to the local community - said today they were "ecstatic" with the decision.

In July, worried residents petitioned Selby District Council. They claimed Emmaus would not give any guarantees that the home would not house paedophiles, sex offenders, recently-released long-term prisoners and ex-drug addicts.

Emmaus chiefs confirmed today that they had withdrawn their planning application for Barby Farm.

The decision had been made "entirely on planning grounds" after Selby Council had set out some concerns about the application, which was due to go before the planning committee next month.

The chairman of Emmaus North Yorkshire, John Walker, said they were disappointed that Barby Farm had not proved viable.

He said Emmaus communities were an "enormous asset" to any locality, and the search for the right site in North Yorkshire would now start again.

An Emmaus spokesman denied that fierce local opposition had played a part in the decision.

He said: "We decided it simply wasn't the right place."

A council spokesman confirmed they had written to Emmaus, pointing out that fear of crime and disorder and community safety were material planning considerations, which had to be taken into account.

When Emmaus unveiled their plans in June, they said Barby Farm would provide a home and work for the homeless, helping them to rebuild their lives.

Protestor Paul Hill, of Ousebank, Barby, said today: "I'm ecstatic. They have listened to us, and a lot of people in Barby and Selby are going to be happy today."

Mr Grogan said that Emmaus did some excellent work in assisting the homeless, but in the end all parties had agreed that the Barby Farm application should not be pursued.

He said he would be happy to discuss with Emmaus their search for a new site in North Yorkshire.

Updated: 15:29 Friday, October 25, 2002

Print Email 0 Recommend Recommend

OPD/1 (40)

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 17 August 2012 17:45
To: ldf
Subject: Fwd: A RIGHT ROYAL INSULT from Selby District Council's criminal conspiracy!
Attachments: Fwd: A RIGHT ROYAL INSULT from Selby District Council's criminal conspiracy!

NINETEEN.

OPD/1 (40)

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 17 August 2012 16:13
To: ldf
Subject: Fwd: A RIGHT ROYAL INSULT from Selby District Council's criminal conspiracy!
Attachments: Fwd: A RIGHT ROYAL INSULT from Selby District Council's criminal conspiracy!
Submission for pre- 23rd August Local Plan public consultation.
(18th submission)

OPD 11 (40)

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 15 July 2012 14:37
To: ldf
Subject: Fwd: A RIGHT ROYAL INSULT from Selby District Council's criminal conspiracy!
Attachments: A RIGHT ROYAL INSULT from Selby District Council's criminal conspiracy!

OPD/1 (40)

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: 15 July 2012 14:36

To: martin connor

Subject: A RIGHT ROYAL INSULT from Selby District Council's criminal conspiracy!

FUTURE IMPERFECT FOR SELBY DISTRICT AND NORTH YORKSHIRE?

The unprecedented **ROYAL INSULT** of throwing back into Our Queens face a **MUNIFICENT GIFTING** of land for allotments and sports fields **To Honour Queen Elizabeth's Coronation in 1952**, a **UNIQUE INSULT** unable ever to be repeated because criminally effected in the one and only **Diamond Jubilee Year**, and a year made more memorable by the hosting of The Olympic Games, will persist in **INFAMY** to haunt forever Selby District and North Yorkshire Councils and residents if the **BOCMPauls Ltd/Spawforths Ltd** conspiracy to appropriate the **MUNIFICENTLY GIFTED LAND** for a life-threatening 'Olympia Park' is ever unlawfully forced into existence by Selby District Council/Chief Planning Officer/**BOCMPauls Ltd/Spawforths Ltd** £40 million criminal conspiracy!

Would not most every resident of Selby District and North Yorkshire, if given the opportunity, shout in unison

"NOT IN MY NAME!"

Ian T Hinchey Ousebank where (nearly) forty families live in continual dread of permanent threat to life and limb and livelihood (not from cycling accident costs as CPO now pays, personally!)

OPD/1 (4)

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 17 August 2012 17:45
To: ldf
Subject: Fwd: CPO please give precedence to 'Law of the Land' over process, procedure
Attachments: Fwd: CPO please give precedence to 'Law of the Land' over process, procedure

TWENTY-ONE.

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 17 August 2012 16:20
To: ldf
Subject: Fwd: CPO please give precedence to 'Law of the Land' over process, procedure
Attachments: CPO please give precedence to 'Law of the Land' over process, procedure
Submission for pre- 23rd August Local Plan public consultation.
(18th submission)

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: 20 July 2012 11:05

To: richard sunter

Subject: CPO please give precedence to 'Law of the Land' over process, procedure

REINSTATED (11th July, 2012 by R King) AFTER DISMISSAL (by Chief Executive M Connor 20th June from Joe Sullivan 'representations' - where are they now?) LOCAL AREA PLAN.

Dear CPO Sunter,

I ask you to confirm by return the disqualification according to law and therefore the instant dismissal of the BOCMPauls Ltd submitted/ Spawforths Ltd promoted/ Selby District Council and Planning Department previously sponsored planning application for 'Olympia Park' housing build and the build's supporting criminal investment deception and land-theft and mass-trespass instigating Public Documents, and which would otherwise guarantee permanent threat to life and limb and livelihood of all Ousebank residents in direct and unlawful contravention of the UK 1998 Crime and Disorder Act - Section 17, but which would confirm the 2002 refusal by Selby District Council "...strictly on planning grounds.." of "...fear of crime and disorder and community safety.." which led to 'Emmaus Yorkshire' withdrawing their planning application for the build of a hostel on Ousebank residents' curtilage 8th November, 2002, direct evidence of which was deleted from public records by the criminal conspiracy behind the BOCMPauls Ltd 'Hybrid' application hiding the 'Olympia Park' housing build and to prevent its instant disqualification, but record of which **MUST** remain in Selby District Documents, although the copy of 'The Press' of York report including verbatim reportage sent as an attachment to my email to you 'Local Area Plan' 'Scan0001.JPG (445.8kb) 17/07/2012 should suffice.

Sincerely

Ian T Hinchey Ousebank where (nearly) forty families live in continual dread of permanent threat to life and limb and livelihood (not from cycling accident costs as CPO now pays, personally!) in direct contravention of Section 17 Crime & Disorder Act, 1998.

OPD11 (42)

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 17 August 2012 17:45
To: ldf
Subject: Fwd: DEMAND FOR AN EXTRAORDINARY MEETING OF SELBY DISTRICT COUNCIL
Attachments: Fwd: DEMAND FOR AN EXTRAORDINARY MEETING OF SELBY DISTRICT COUNCIL
TWENTY-TWO.

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 17 August 2012 16:21
To: ldf
Subject: Fwd: DEMAND FOR AN EXTRAORDINARY MEETING OF SELBY DISTRICT COUNCIL
Attachments: DEMAND FOR AN EXTRAORDINARY MEETING OF SELBY DISTRICT COUNCIL

Submission for pre- 23rd August Local Plan public consultation.

(19th submission)

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 22 July 2012 12:54
To: mark crane
Subject: DEMAND FOR AN EXTRAORDINARY MEETING OF SELBY DISTRICT COUNCIL

Mr Mark Crane - Head Councillor elect of Selby District Council.

Mr Crane,

as a self confessed leader of the 'cabal politic' without minutes or agenda at Selby District Council "... we are only doing what the Party before us was doing ..." ('Selby Times') and Head Councillor who blatantly lied to me with regard to my appeal last year to prevent progressing the criminal documents 'Selby and District Site Allocations Document' (SaDSAD), 'Updated Masterplan and Delivery Document - December 2010' (UMaDD), by subtly stating that you could not, as no planning permission had been applied for as yet for the 'Olympia Park' site, when the site had in fact been preferred to the status of incontestable 'core strategic site' of the Selby District 'Core Strategy' supported by these two Documents (so, did this mean that virtually ANYTHING could have been put on a 'clean sheet' application?) and guaranteeing virtual pro-forma adoption in mid-October 2011 of just whatever the planning application might truly turn out to be after having passed the uncontested the Independent Government Inspector's public examination a couple of weeks earlier on 30th September, 2011?

Please forgive the complicated question? But criminal conspiracy's are, by their very nature of attempting a confidence trick, complicated to refer to in their entirety of interlinked 'streams' of confidence trickery!

May I now therefore demand, as I have repeatedly of you and many others, your chief co-conspirator Mr Richard Sunter Chief Planning Officer, as recently as last Friday, but without response, that you please comply with the Law of the Land, namely Section 17 of the 1998 Crime and Disorder Act, by refusing and thus instantly dismissing the BOCMPauls Ltd criminal application for planning permission for 'Olympia Park'?

Especially given that 4,000 lives will be put at serious risk by the desire of you and all conspirators to reach the £40 million reward as the fulfillment of your criminal conspiracy; that an unprecedented insult will be delivered to Her Majesty, Queen Elizabeth by dishonouring the MUNIFICENT GIFTING in 1952 in Honour of Her ACCESSION to The Throne of the 'Olympia Park' land to allotments and sports fields; that build of the site will guarantee inevitable closure of the Hull via Selby London and trans-Pennine commuter links (by continuous raising of the flood-defence wall height to protect the proposed 4,000 inhabitants to thus leave railway track as a dangerous low point to force its closure) that have been major factors prompting the 85% growth of Selby over the last fifteen years, and thus a closure which will cause great decline; the possible bankrupting of Selby District taxpayers if the expected North Sea surge finds the dangerous 2/3 metre height gap existing in the 1.9 kilometres of flood-defence wall during the build, and more than the once that is possible given the site is in the MEANDER of a flood plain river and natural forces come in to play; and that Ousebank residents who were totally and utterly ignored as human beings and property owners by conspirators who seek to defraud them of their statutory right to protection by law from crime and disorder and lack of community safety by unlawful removal of public records that might have been presented to prevent acceptance and willing secret preferment by your 'cabal politic' of the 'Olympia Park' site hidden in the 'Hybrid' Application; and to place (nearly) forty Ousebank families in continual dread of a future of permanent threat to life limb and livelihood inasmuch they must contend with the mass trespass the Documents instigate, and to prevent onerous legal costs caused by private but un-adopted road and curtilage accidents - though not now from cycling accident costs, as the Chief Planning Officer now pays, personally, and for cunningly sign-posting to the world that cycling is permitted through Ousebank residents' private curtilage without asking residents' permission, and as a fore-runner to forcing access through Ousebank residents' private roads and curtilages.

To rub salt in, the conspirators influenced the Barlby Parish Council having a personal connection into the heart of Selby District Planning Department, into the seemingly successful attempt (a FACEBOOK entry has since been made by one resident) to set all neighbours against two residents who dared contest this criminal enterprise, goes against the duty of care that all that elected representatives are legally bound by, and by actually inciting disorder on Ousebank, again, in direct contravention of

Section 17 of the 1998 Act!!

Shame on you all!

Will you therefore please use your position as leader of Selby District Council to instantly disqualify the BOCMPauls Ltd planning application for a housing build on 'Olympia Park' in compliance with the Law of the Land?

Or will you please call an **Extraordinary Meeting of Selby District Council** to ensure an end to this criminal enterprise?

AN END DEMANDED BY LAW!?

Sincerely

Ian T Hinchey Ousebank where (nearly) forty families live in continual dread of permanent threat to life and limb and livelihood
(not from cycling accident costs as CPO now pays, personally!)
in direct contravention of Section 17 - Crime & Disorder Act, 1998.

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: 17 August 2012 17:45

To: ldf

Subject: Twenty-three submissions for pre- 23rg August Local Plan consultation

LDF

I have submitted only 23 of the 34 submissions sent to Mr Joe Sullivan before the June cut-off date and before BOCMPauls Ltd planning applications were removed from the Local Plan by Mr M Connor, Selby District Chief Executive, to whom I also sent 34 emails in a 'sandwich' prior to his July cut-off date.

In sending you twenty-three emails only, I left out the communications with Barlby & Osgodby Parish Council concerning their unlawful attempt to incite disorder amongst Ousebank residents by a pseudo-questionnaire aimed solely at setting neighbour against neighbour, and in contravention of the very UK Law they have a duty of care to comply with as elected representatives, namely Section 17 of the 1998 Crime Disorder Act.

In so doing I numbered the emails incorrectly.

This email gives me the perfect opportunity to announce publicly the number of emails I will now be forwarding and which may ensure none are overlooked for entry into the Public Consultation Document.

Sincerely

Ian T Hinchey Ousebank where (nearly) forty families live in continual dread of permanent threat to life and limb and livelihood (not for cycling accidents costs as CPO now pays, personally!) in direct contravention of the 1998 Crime & Disorder Act.

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 17 August 2012 17:45
To: ldf
Subject: Fwd: The actual permanent nightmare awaiting half the residents of Ousebank
Attachments: Fwd: The actual permanent nightmare awaiting half the residents of Ousebank
TWELVE.

OPD11(44)

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 17 August 2012 15:53
To: ldf
Subject: Fwd: The actual permanent nightmare awaiting half the residents of Ousebank
Attachments: Fwd: The actual permanent nightmare awaiting half the residents of Ousebank
Submission for pre-23rd August Local Plan public consultation.
(11th submission)

OPD11 (44)

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 30 June 2012 09:10
To: joe osullivan
Subject: Fwd: The actual permanent nightmare awaiting half the residents of Ousebank
Attachments: The actual permanent nightmare awaiting half the residents of Ousebank

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 30 June 2012 09:09
To: Barlby and Osgodby Parish
Subject: The actual permanent nightmare awaiting half the residents of Ousebank

Selby Parish Council

Councillors,

Ousebank is 8 blocks of 4 homes separated by the curtilage which makes the 4 homes viable as homes - a home must have another way of taking a wheelbarrow full of compost from front to rear of the home other than through the living room : some terraced homes have an access private to the two homes at the middle of the terrace, Ousebank cottages have curtilage. And curtilage is not simply a 'right of way' but a 'right of property' and necessary in order to make the homes viable as homes, as with the three property rights of curtilage existing along the river bank, at Recreation road and at the railway crossing through the Mill, they are 'property rights' NOT simply 'rights of way'!

BOCMPauls Ltd with the aid of Spawforths Ltd and accepted by the Selby District Chief Planning Officer and Selby District Council Councillors, attempted to steal the rear road that is the deeded property of Ousebank homes by falsifying the official green boundary boundary line on the Public Document 'Selby and District Site Allocations Document' (SaDSAD) with the green boundary line drawn in the 'Updated Masterplan and Delivery Document - December 2010' (UMaDD).

Evidence of deed and 'SaDSAD' and 'UMaDD' boundary falsification have been placed at differing times in 2011 with Selby Police Station (receipt kept) and the Independent Government Inspector.

Now BOCMPauls Ltd/Spawforths Ltd want access to the land they wanted to steal by means of 'UMaDD', ostensibly to build a nice new road at the rear of Ousebank homes.

I say ostensibly, because in that road being built there lies a permanent nightmare for half the residents of Ousebank (but all residents really), the end-of-block houses having windows onto the curtilage, something which Mr Welch and one other advocate of the road build have not been able to consider because living at the centre of a terrace.

At night when the pubs have emptied, merrymakers (from some 4,000 residents of the proposed 'Olympia Park' housing build) will think nothing of 'joking' with residents of the end-of-block homes by tapping on the windows and running away laughing - I speak from experience, and from when I was a young and an extremely fit club rugby player.

It is an incredibly difficult thing to stop, short of having police on standby every night. And it becomes a tension, a terror, to be dreaded every night, but creates a permanent sense of dread. And, further, the people contested then have to be passed on a narrow path, and in a darkened railway underpass. This will be a 'running of a gauntlet' that everybody will dread and nobody will want to do. It will ensure residents will use their cars to travel over a bridge, a much longer distance than now to Selby, not take eco friendly walks to town.

The road build is necessary (hence the blatant theft recorded in 'UMaDD' to open up the curtilages) to permit the mass access that the 'UMaDD' promises in justification of the 'Olympia Park' build being more eco friendly than any other choice of site for 'core strategic site'. And without opening up of curtilages, 4,000 people will have to funnel through the metre-wide stone steps at the far corner of the allotment. But what is not mentioned, but well understood by all, is that those coming off the Selby Farms ground at the far end of Ousebank will be following the 'desire line' through Ousebanks un-adopted roads and curtilages - how is that to be contested to prevent communal costs of accidents to be demanded by 'no win no fee' lawyers, and who will make hay because there exists virtually no precedents to depend on. Making precedents in court to gain some protection will be a very expensive business.

All this, as well as theft of land gifted to allotments and sports to kill off both, to be thrust unwanted onto Ousebank residents because BOCMPauls Ltd has not made financial provision for clearing up the 100 years of mess it has created?

28/08/2012

The only objection to BOCMPauls Ltd 'Hybrid' planning application is to the 'Olympia Park' house build.

Mr Welch's 'survey' of Ousebank residents published as two, one-sided A 4 pages "**Results of the Question, would you be willing to let Spawforths /BOCM on to your land to build a new road?.**)(stet) delivered within the monthly 'Barlby and Osgodby Magazine, isolates myself and another resident as being opposed to all other residents who we rob of enjoyment of a new road, and who apparently said 'yes' to the 'Question', although no certification of the validity of the survey is offered.

This must surely be considered to be contrary to good order and an incitement to disorder on Ousebank by unlawfully setting neighbour against neighbour, and which I warned of in my previous email 25/06/2012 "Setting neighbour against neighbour" in its relating to the behaviour of Mr Welch, Ousebank's elected representative, and another resident. If anything untoward comes from this vindictive document proceedings will ensue for incitement - I also mentioned to the anonymous ['magicmonkeypants@hotmail.com'](mailto:magicmonkeypants@hotmail.com) that I would be keeping his email as evidence of neighbour being set against neighbour by Spawforth/Bocm.

Will you therefore please confirm by return that Mr Welch has acted without the involvement, and certainly not on behalf of Barlby Parish Council?

Sincerely

Ian T Hinchey Ousebank where (nearly) forty families live in continual dread of permanent threat to life and limb and livelihood (not from cycling accidents, as CPO now pays, personally!)

OPD 11(45)

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 17 August 2012 17:45
To: ldf
Subject: Fwd: Perverting the Course of Justice in pursuit of deadly profit!
Attachments: Fwd: Perverting the Course of Justice in pursuit of deadly profit!

TWENTY-THREE.

OPD 11 (45)

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 17 August 2012 16:23
To: ldf
Subject: Fwd: Perverting the Course of Justice in pursuit of deadly profit!
Attachments: Perverting the Course of Justice in pursuit of deadly profit!
Submission for pre- 23rd August Local Plan public consultation.
(20th submission)

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 23 July 2012 11:34
To: richard.cooper@bocmpauls.co.uk
Subject: Perverting the Course of Justice in pursuit of deadly profit!

Mr Richard Cooper - Group Controller BOCMPauls Ltd (formerly 'Financial Director')

Mr Cooper,

I demand you withdraw your criminal 'Hybrid' application for planning permission that was intentionally meant to hide 'Olympia Park' housing build from planning considerations, and with the criminal complicity of your co-conspirators Mr Richard Sunter et al, Chief Planning Officer, Mr Mark Crane, Head of Council Elect, et al, and Mr Adrian Spawforth MD and Paul Bedwell, 'UMaDD' co-originator, of Spawforths Ltd.

The criminal conspiracy you willingly participated in to pervert the course of justice by agreeing to beforehand and by then removing Public Documents from their supposedly secure public Access Centre in Selby to ensure the 'Olympia Park' application might proceed to pro-forma acceptance in October 2011 without check of lawful planning considerations, is a crime.

Conspiring also to publish a deceitful claim that the 'Olympia Park' site ".. already benefits from planning permission .." ('SaSAD' Sect 18 'Barlby & Osgodby') in order to incite financial investment is also a crime.

As is land theft : evidenced by the 'Red Line' of 'UMaDD' falsifying the 'Green Line' of the 'SaDSAD' officially recognised property boundary of Ousebank residents' deeds.

As is the instigation of mass trespass through Ousebank residents' private but un-adopted roads to validate choice of site, and to offer a future of permanent contesting of trespass and communal accident costs from occupier liability, to risk of life and limb and livelihood of all residents, whether or not they do realise the onerous costs that are sure to arise.

How could you manage also to insult Her Majesty The Queen by such unlawful attempt to exploit land munificently gifted in honour of Her Accession to The Throne in 1952?

A dishonouring offered in the year of Her Diamond Jubilee!

How could you 'laugh up your sleeve' at cleverly disguising in 'UMaDD' moving allotments onto as yet to be disturbed 'brown field' previously used for manufacture of 'Weapons of Mass Destruction', poison gas bombs?

And how could you want to risk the lives of 4,000 people and threaten closure of major railway connections that would have inevitably followed the building of 'Olympia Park' housing on this soon to be volatile flood plain that might also bankrupt Selby District taxpayers during the lengthy build period?

And how could you scheme to set neighbour against neighbour, by unlawfully bullying and bribing to unlawfully incite disorder amongst previously contented neighbours?

And does your 'wannabe 'robber baron' mind-set' also include jack booting over your company's shareholders? Because all involved parties are avoiding the question as to whether or not BOCMPauls Ltd is even lawfully constituted - and if so, when was the shareholder vote altering the company constitution? - as what is a lawfully constituted animal feed manufacturing company, to enter into speculative house and commercial property build/sales as well as the undertaking of a civil engineering project?

But given your well evidenced total disregard for the Law of the Land, the guess would be that you treated your company's shareholders with the same disdain you showed to Ousebank residents/ property owners, and have 'overlooked' this particular legal necessity for democratic alteration of your company's Articles of Association, and for the last decade or so!

Will you please confirm by return that you will now withdraw you 'Hybrid' application and that no further

application for a house build on the dangerous ground that is 'Olympia Park' will ever be proposed again, and to return the land to the status quo of gifted allotments and sports field munificently made in Honour of The Queen's Accession to The Throne in 1952?

Sincerely

Ian T Hinchey Ousebank where(nearly) forty families live in continual dread of permanent threat to life and limb and livelihood (not from cycling accident costs as CPO now pays, personally!)

OPD/1 (46)

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 17 August 2012 17:45
To: ldf
Subject: Fwd: Selby District Local Plan
Attachments: Fwd: Selby District Local Plan

SEVENTEEN.

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 17 August 2012 16:07
To: ldf
Subject: Fwd: Selby District Local Plan
Attachments: Selby District Local Plan

**Submission (a re- submission to LDF of a previously ignored submission) for pre- 23rd August
Local Plan public consultation.**

(16th submission)

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 12 July 2012 13:34
To: ldf
Subject: Selby District Local Plan
Attachments: SCAN0011.JPG

For : Policy & Strategy Team : response to Letter 11th July, received 13.20 12th July.

Hello,

I demand, yet again, instant rejection of the BOCMPauls Ltd planning application for a housing build on land known as 'Olympia Park' (BAR 009 'Selby and District Sites Allocations Document' (SaDSAD) supported by the criminal land theft Public Document 'Updated Masterplan and Deliver Document - December, 2010, (UMaDD) and unlawfully concurred with by it being willingly preferred to 'core strategic site' in the Selby District 'Core Strategy' by Selby District Council and the Chief Planning Officer, an instant rejection demanded by the Law of the Land : namely, Section 17 of the 1998 Crime and Disorder Act making it incumbent on Authorities to plan to avoid "... crime and disorder in the community ..." a previous Planning Decision in 2002 by Selby District Council having upheld the Law of the Land.

Although all evidence of the extant and legally binding Planning Decision refusing planning permission on grounds of "... crime and disorder in the community ..." was unlawfully deleted from public records at some time between mid- 2008 and December 2010 (possibly earlier, but forensic investigation would be required to determine actual date and culprit/s) reportage of the decision at the time from the archives of a reputable source 'The Press' of York offers a sufficient representation to reveal the grounds for instant dismissal of the BOCMPauls Ltd planning application relating to 'Olympia Park' (BAR 009), and at risk of Corporate murder and manslaughter charges being laid against all conspirators and progressors of the criminal conspiracy and enterprise which is the BOCMPauls Ltd 'Olympia Park' application on occurrence of the first loss of life due to the application being accepted and the houses built, which god forbid!

I have made many similar requests and to all parties concerned and starting well over a year ago, so all parties are indeed aware and must needs be aware that it is 'awareness' which will determine their measure of culpability on the scale of 'murder' toward the lesser 'manslaughter' charges.

Be warned!

Sincerely

Ian T Hinchey Ousebank where (nearly 40 families live in continual dread of permanent threat to life and limb and livelihood (not from cycling accident costs as CPO now pays, personally!)

5

THE PRESS

Get in touch: send your photos, videos, news & views by texting YORK to 80360 or send an email

SEARCH

for the latest jobs follow us on **Twitter**

ARCHIVE - FRIDAY, 25 OCTOBER 2002

FIND BY DATE

Oct 2002						
Su	Mo	Tu	We	Th	Fr	Sa
		1	2	3	4	5
6	7	8	9	10	11	12
13	14	15	16	17	18	19
20	21	22	23	24	25	26
27	28	29	30	31		

OTHER WAYS TO SEARCH

- Advanced search
 - Browse by topic
 - Site map
- SEARCH**

ALSO LOOK FOR

- Jobs
- Homes
- Cars
- Buy & Sell
- Events
- Buy photos
- Advertise

Never miss anything again. Sign up for our RSS news feeds and Newsletters.

ADS BY GOOGLE

55/YO Mum Looks 35
 Mum Reveals Shocking Trick for Erasing Wrinkles! Doctors Hate Her
[www.CollagenRenew.net/Arti... Wrinkles](http://www.CollagenRenew.net/Arti...)

Electrician in York
 Reliable, friendly service and putting customer's happiness first
www.yorkselectrics.co.uk

Selby hostel plan scrapped

CONTROVERSIAL plans for a new hostel for the homeless in Selby have been abandoned, the Evening Press can reveal today.

The shock decision follows weeks of talks between leaders of the charity Emmaus and local residents, instigated by Selby MP John Grogan.

The plans to convert Barby Farm into a community home triggered a public outcry when they were unveiled five months ago.

Objectors - who feared the hostel could pose a threat to the local community - said today they were "ecstatic" with the decision.

In July, worried residents petitioned Selby District Council. They claimed Emmaus would not give any guarantees that the home would not house paedophiles, sex offenders, recently-released long-term prisoners and ex-drug addicts.

Emmaus chiefs confirmed today that they had withdrawn their planning application for Barby Farm.

The decision had been made "entirely on planning grounds" after Selby Council had set out some concerns about the application, which was due to go before the planning committee next month.

The chairman of Emmaus North Yorkshire, John Walker, said they were disappointed that Barby Farm had not proved viable.

He said Emmaus communities were an "enormous asset" to any locality, and the search for the right site in North Yorkshire would now start again.

An Emmaus spokesman denied that fierce local opposition had played a part in the decision.

He said: "We decided it simply wasn't the right place."

A council spokesman confirmed they had written to Emmaus, pointing out that fear of crime and disorder and community safety were material planning considerations, which had to be taken into account.

When Emmaus unveiled their plans in June, they said Barby Farm would provide a home and work for the homeless, helping them to rebuild their lives.

Protestor Paul Hill, of Ousebank, Barby, said today: "I'm ecstatic. They have listened to us, and a lot of people in Barby and Selby are going to be happy today."

Mr Grogan said that Emmaus did some excellent work in assisting the homeless, but in the end all parties had agreed that the Barby Farm application should not be pursued.

He said he would be happy to discuss with Emmaus their search for a new site in North Yorkshire.

Updated: 15:29 Friday, October 25, 2002

Print Email Recommend Recommend

OPD(1 (47)

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 17 August 2012 17:45
To: ldf
Subject: Fwd: Is it chicken or fox disease at Selby Distruct Council?
Attachments: Fwd: Is it chicken or fox disease at Selby Distruct Council?

EIGHTEEN.

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 17 August 2012 16:11
To: ldf
Subject: Fwd: Is it chicken or fox disease at Selby Distruct Council?
Attachments: Is it chicken or fox disease at Selby Distruct Council?

Submission for pre- 23rd August Local Plan Consultation.

(17th submission)

OPD11 (47)

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 12 July 2012 20:29
To: newsdesk@thepress.co.uk
Subject: Is it chicken or fox disease at Selby Distruct Council?

Hello again,

sorry to bother you, but....

There is much that is going on seeming odd at Selby District Council :

- a) Joe Sullivan letter asking for BOCMPauls Ltd 'Hybrid' planning application representations up to 28th June;
- b) Chief Executive Mr Martin Connor sets posters on Ousebank stating 'Hybrid' application removed from 'Local Plan' from 20th June and asks for relevant representations up until 27th July, and puts Mr Richard Sunter, the Chief Planning Officer, a leading conspirator, in charge of the deal;
- c) Selby District Council letter from ldf@selby.co.uk dated the 11th asks for representations until 5.00pm 23rd August, 2012 and for, yes, again,

Selby District Council - Local Plan

It is difficult to tell whether or not this is headless-chicken pox, or bleeding fox-fever!

Sincerely

Ian T Hinchey Ousebank where (nearly) forty families live in continual dread of
permanent threat to life and limb and livelihood
(not from cycling accident costs as CPO now pays, personally!)

OPD/1 (48)

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 20 August 2012 11:04
To: ldf
Subject: OMISSIONS 'Submission Draft Core Strategy Responses' SDC Planning Web Site

Omitted submissions numbering 34 in total supplied by Ian T Hinchey, Ousebank resident.

LDF,

I submitted 34 emails to Mr Joe Sullivan by the his particular June response cut-off date and as responses to Core Strategy which were accepting responses with regard to the Olympia Park Delivery Document - Mr Sullivan's email address returns emails and no one will answer the question as to what happened to my submissions as responses to the various concerns involved.

[Why is a blank page the response from the Selby District Planning web site on attempting to access the Delivery Document?]

I submitted the same 34 emails in an 'email sandwich', so none might be overlooked, to Mr Martin Connor, Chief Executive Selby District Council, after he removed the BOMP Pauls Ltd planning applications from the **Local Plan**.

Again, no one will respond to the question of just what happened to these responses to the various concerns raised by the (unavailable) 'Olympia Park' Delivery Document and coming under Core Strategy considerations as stated on the Selby District Council web site.

I have submitted 23 of the 34 emails submitted as the responses to the Delivery Document (unavailable) for the new cut-off date of August 23rd now that the Delivery Document (unavailable) for the **Local Plan** has now and for some reason not easily understood after its removal by Mr Connor, has been returned to considerations under the **Local Plan**.

If the July response date is now considered a sufficient reason for not entering my responses in the 'Submission Draft Core Strategy Responses' displaying a July cut-off date, please obtain the 34 responses submitted to Mr Connor by his July cut-off date?

Alternatively, please obtain the original 34 submissions made to Mr Joe Sullivan by his Local Plan response submission cut-off date of June?

Please do not use or confuse the triple attempt at getting responses as a cause for NOT INCLUDING my responses?

Why have such simple organisational functions indeed become so confused and thereby confusing?

Sincerely

Ian T Hinchey Ousebank where (nearly) forty families live in continual dread of permanent threat to life and limb and livelihood (not from cycling accident costs as CPO now pays, personally!) in direct contravention of Section 17 Crime & Disorder Act 1998 DELIVERY DOCUMENT 'UMaDD' instigating mass trespass by land

theft

trespass to be perpetually contested by Ousebank residents having occupier liability for their private curtilages and unadopted roads.

OPD/1 (49)

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 17 August 2012 17:45
To: ldf
Subject: Fwd: Adolf Hitler and his part in our downfall!
Attachments: Fwd: Adolf Hitler and his part in our downfall!

FOURTEEN.

OPD11 (49)

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 17 August 2012 15:58
To: ldf
Subject: Fwd: Adolf Hitler and his part in our downfall!
Attachments: Adolf Hitler and his part in our downfall!

Submission for pre- 23rd August Local Plan public consultation.

(13th submission)

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 03 July 2012 23:09
To: newsdesk@thepress.co.uk
Subject: Adolf Hitler and his part in our downfall!

Dear Editor,

I have been banned from submitting to the established and establishment local newspaper, the 'Selby Times'

Will you please permit me the right of the free speech that others are able to enjoy in Selby by publishing this banned letter in the hope it might reach into the conscience of Selby District and Local councillors.

Adolf Hitler's National Socialist party's agenda before they came to power in Germany in 1934 was to abolish democracy to institute the rule of 'one strong man'. The German voters were quite openly told beforehand that democratic rule would be no more : even Adolf, unlike some of his 'Little Hitler' followers, upheld the democratic process albeit in order to overthrow the democratic process!

Why, in the past, were Selby District tax payers not told beforehand that the democratic process would stop for their elected local and parish councillors, and therefore for the voters, as soon as the councillors entered the council or parish chamber?

This was caused by the wrongful use of the 'pre-determination rule' which has totally wrongfully and because anti-democratically, prevented elected councillors from discussing council business with their electorate, even though it must be straight-forwardly obvious to all that local democracy would be best served by such discussion and its generating informed opinion.

But the Coalition government has actually gone out of its way to state that this was indeed a past perversion of the 'pre-determination rule' and that the contrary is the case : local democracy is indeed served by OPEN discussion of ALL local issues.

This re-statement by government in pre- 'Localism Bill' commentary and of what most people would regard as the 'bleedingly obvious', may now serve to release elected representatives from all past constraints and to serve the public interest by talking/publishing about particular issues which they were banned from speaking about at risk of personal prosecution if they did.

Is it not now the civic duty of all local and parish councillors to in all conscience tell their electorate about the local issues which they were unable to raise at the time because of being under threat from the mis-applied constraint of 'pre-determination', and upon which 'Little Hitlers' depended so much for the exercise of their un-checkable power?

In the past councillors could not even tell their electorate that they could not tell them!

Please tell us now?

Ian T Hinchey Ousebank, Selby

OPD/1(50)

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 22 August 2012 13:14
To: ldf
Subject: Fwd: Consultation Drop in Exhibition - Olympia Park, Selby
Attachments: Re: Consultation Drop in Exhibition - Olympia Park, Selby
FOR SUBMISSION PRE- 23rd AUGUST LOCAL PLAN CONSULTATION.
24th SUBMISSION

OPD/1 (50)

ryan king

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 22 August 2012 13:11
To: Katherine.Clegg@spawforths.co.uk
Subject: Re: Consultation Drop in Exhibition - Olympia Park, Selby

Ms Clegg,

you are no doubt aware that the latest adventure into criminal activity by Spawforths Ltd was indeed successful!

And by successfully corrupting Barby Parish Council to incite disorder in the Ousebank community by setting neighbour against neighbour on behalf of BOCMPauls Ltd and Selby Farms attempt by the promoters Spawforths Ltd to make Ousebank residents sign away their property rights.

The property rights in question being the deeded ownership of their properties' rear roads and car park that the 'Updated Masterplan and Delivery Document - December 2010' (UMaDD) the criminal Public Document supporting 'Olympia Park' planning application, attempts to steal by falsifying land boundaries, and which I have made The Chief Constable of North Yorkshire, Selby Police Station (where I was informed the issue was under investigation by CID), and the Independent Government Inspector aware of in the 'Matters 6' meeting September, 2011, by provision of evidence - the Police Complaints Commission has also been informed.

Other property rights the Parish Council would have Ousebank residents sign away are the rights of curtilage existing from Recreation Road to Ousebank and for access across the railway level crossing and through BOCMPauls Ltd yard, curtilages necessary to make viable as accessible homes the properties known as Ousebank Cottages by provision of daily access should the riverbank curtilage, which is front of the flood-defence wall, or the Recreation Road railway underpass, indeed flood, as they are prone to.

The failure of our resident Parish Councillor to obtain a 100% signing-away of the desperately required property rights that will enable the necessary opening-up of the between-house curtilages that will permit the 'UMaDD' promised mass access - really 'mass' and 'unlawful' trespass - to Selby through Ousebank properties for some 4,000 future residents, led to two residents who will not sign-away their very necessary property rights being pointed out to their neighbours and with some hostility already being displayed.

The hidden agenda of the meetings being held in Barby Bridge School since the final 'Matters 6' meeting in September was to obtain the signing away of property rights without which the 'Olympia Park' housing build cannot lawfully proceed, hence the original attempt in 'UMaDD' to steal the land and jackboot over all other Ousebank residents' property rights of curtilages.

The promises (bribes and threats) made by Spawforths/BOCMPauls during this period have, as I have said many times, NO PRESENT NOR PROPOSED MECHANISM FOR ENSURING PROMISES MADE ARE INDEED KEPT BY BOCMPauls Ltd/Spawforths Ltd!

As the saying goes 'You may fool some of the people all of the time, all of the people some of the time, but not ALL of the people ALL of the time!'

I do not have the correct mind-set to be able to guess at what possible promises, bribes or threats might have been made to the members of Barby Parish Council to institute the corruption displayed, but this will surely come out when indictments for conduct unbecoming elected Public Office put them in the Dock along with their corruptors.

Nobody but nobody may put my family under a perpetual ever-present and dreaded threat to life and limb with the hope of getting away with it!!

Count on it!

With no regard at all

Ian T Hinchey Ousebank where (nearly) forty families live in continual dread of

28/08/2012

permanent threat to life and limb and livelihood
(not from cycling accidents costs as CPO now pays, personally!)
in direct contravention of Section 17 Crime & Disorder Act, 1998,
'UMaDD' land theft to cause promised mass trespass which residents must
contest on a daily basis to protect livelihood from onerous costs
from accidents on their private, un-adopted roads and curtilages
making windows/garage doors available for malicious harassment
by those contested who have also to be met in narrow underpasses.