

HEARING STATEMENT

Examination of the New Settlement (Maltkiln) Development Plan Document (DPD) – Matter 9

Prepared for: Mr B Dent

Date: September 2024

Prepared by: Stuart Vendy



© 2024 Veritas Planning Ltd. All Rights Reserved. Registered in England & Wales, No. 13365605. Progress House, 396 Wilmslow Road, Manchester, United Kingdom, M20 3BN

1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1. Veritas Planning Ltd. has been instructed to represent Mr B. Dent in relation to the Examination in Public ("EiP") of the New Settlement (Maltkiln) Development Plan Document ("Maltkiln DPD").
- 1.2. The following Hearing Statement provides our response to the Matters, Issue and Questions provided by the Inspector on 30th July 2024. The responses are specific to the questions that the Inspector has agreed my client should contribute to, and in the format and order of the Matters, Issues and Questions provided by the Inspector.
- 1.3. For the reasons provided in this Hearing Statement, my client's position is that the DPD as currently prepared is unsound, in conflict with policy requirements, has not been positively prepared and is not justified with sufficient evidence. There are also material issues with the Council's approach to consultation, in particular given the long delay between the DPD being prepared and this EiP. The DPD should not be adopted.

2. RESPONSES TO THE INPSECTORS MATTERS, ISSUES AND QUESTIONS

MATTER 9 – DELIVERY AND MONITORING

Issue 1 - Delivery and Phasing

Q1. Are the assumptions regarding infrastructure projects, delivery mechanisms and funding

sources outlined in the table within Chapter 11 of the DPD still broadly accurate taking into

account the latest version of the IDP?

2.1. The Reg.19 Maltkiln DPD was published in October 2022, but the information in Chapter 11 is

based on work undertaken at a much earlier time.

2.2. We note that the initial 'Short Term' period 2023-28 contained within the table has partially

elapsed without any substantive progress on these matters. The table needs to be revisited to

adjust the timescale associated with the delivery of these items. Paragraph 11.2 claims that this

is an iterative process, but there has been no apparent attempt to update or amend this in light

of the slippage that has already occurred, nor the change in circumstance in relation to my

client's land ownership.

2.3. Q2. What implications, if any, does the latest evidence in the IDP have on the viability of

development and the ability to deliver it?

2.4. The Reg. 19 Maltkiln DPD appears to have been formed on the basis of delivery of the new

settlement being expected to begin towards the end of the plan period with the majority of

development expected to take place after 2035 (CDNS01: paragraph 5.5). This does not marry

with the Updated Housing Trajectory provided at page 3 of the IDP (SDNS03) which sets out an

accelerated and compressed annual build out rate for the settlement.

2.5. There is no commercial or market evidence available to support the viable delivery and sale of

the quantum of units set out in the IDP which we consider to be unrealistic, or to suggest that

the market can sustain such a quantum of delivery without depressing sales values and harming

the delivery of, for example affordable housing.

SJV

Sept 2024

veritasplanning.co.uk

4