



## Selby District Submission Draft Core Strategy

### Consultation on Proposed Changes

January 2012

### Representation Form

## Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act (2004), Town and Country Planning (Local Development) (England) Regulations 2004 and (Amendment) Regulations 2008 and 2009

### Part A

An Examination in Public into the soundness of the Submission Draft Core Strategy (SDCS) was held between 20 and 30 September 2011 in front of an Independent Inspector.

The examination has been suspended to allow the Council to address the following three topics, as set out in the Inspector's Ruling:

- (i) The strategic approach to Green Belt releases;
- (ii) The scale of housing and employment development proposed for Tadcaster and the implications for the Green Belt;
- (iii) The overall scale of housing development over the plan period.

The Council is now carrying out a consultation directly with participants on the changes to the Core Strategy arising from its consideration of these three topics.

Subject to the outstanding matters above, the examination into the other "Matters and Issues" identified by the Inspector has been completed. All parties have had the opportunity to participate in the hearing sessions and the Inspector has the information necessary to enable him to prepare his report. Consequently no further evidence should be submitted to the examination at this stage; any further evidence received by the Programme Officer is likely to be returned.

When the examination resumes, hearing sessions will be arranged which will focus solely on the above matters. As already stated, the suspension should not be used as an opportunity to revisit matters which have been fully considered during the September 2011 hearing sessions.

**Representations are therefore invited as part of this consultation on the Proposed Changes to the Submission Draft Core Strategy.**

Please complete separate copies of Part B of this form for each of your separate points. It would be helpful if you could focus on the "tests of soundness" and indicate if you are objecting on a legal compliance issue.

**Completed representation forms must be returned to the Council no later than 5pm on Wednesday 15 February 2012**

Email to: [ldf@selby.gov.uk](mailto:ldf@selby.gov.uk)

Fax to: 01757 292229

Post to: Policy Team, Selby District Council, Civic Centre, Doncaster Road, Selby YO8 9FT

## **The Tests of Soundness**

Soundness is explained in PPS12 (Planning Policy Statement 12) in paragraphs 4.36 - 4.47, 4.51 and 4.52 and the boxed text. Specifically paragraph 4.52 states that to be sound a Core Strategy should be:

### **1 Justified**

PPS12 provides that to be 'justified' a DPD (in this case the 'Core Strategy') needs to be :

- founded on a robust and credible evidence base involving:
  - evidence of participation of the local community and others having a stake in the area
  - research/fact finding - the choices made in the plan are backed up by facts
- the most appropriate strategy when considered against reasonable alternatives

### **2 Effective**

PPS12 states that Core Strategies should be effective. This means:

- Deliverable - embracing:
  - Sound infrastructure delivery planning
  - Having no regulatory or national planning barriers to delivery
  - Delivery partners who are signed up to it
  - Coherence with the strategies of neighbouring authorities
- Flexible
- Able to be monitored

### **3 National Policy**

The DPD (in this case the 'Core Strategy') should be consistent with national policy. Where there is a departure, the Local Planning Authority (LPA) must provide clear and convincing reasoning to justify their approach.

**Contact Details** (only complete once)

Please provide contact details and agent details, if appointed.

	Personal Details	Agents Details (if applicable)
Title	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
First Name	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Last Name	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Job Title (where relevant)	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Organisation	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Address Line 1	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Address Line 2	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Address Line 3	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
County	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Postcode	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Telephone No.	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Email address	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>

**You only need to complete this page once. If you wish to make more than one representation, attach additional copies of Part B (pages 4 - 6) to this part of the representation form.**

**It will be helpful if you can provide an email address so we can contact you electronically.**

**Part B (please use a separate sheet (pages 4 - 6) for each representation)**

**Please identify the topic to which this representation refers:**

- (i) The strategic approach to Green Belt releases;
- (ii) The scale of housing and employment development proposed for Tadcaster and the implications for the Green Belt;
- (iii) The overall scale of housing development over the plan period.

Please state the specific Proposed Change number: **PC**

*(which can be found on the Published Schedule, CD2e)*

---

**Question 1: Do you consider the Proposed Change is:**

1.1 Legally compliant  Yes  No

1.2 Sound  Yes  No

If you have entered No to 1.2, please continue to Q2. In all other circumstances, please go to Q3.

---

**Question 2: If you consider the Proposed Change is unsound, please identify which test of soundness your representation relates to:**

(Please note you should complete separate Part B (pages 4 - 6) of this form for each test of soundness you consider the Core Strategy fails.)

(Please identify just one test for this representation)

- 2.1 Justified
- 2.2 Effective
- 2.3 Consistent with national policy

**Question 3: Please give details of why you consider the Proposed Change is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible.**

**If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Proposed Change, or provide any other comments please also use this box to set out your comments:**

(If you are submitting this form as a hard copy please ensure all text is visible and continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

---

**Question 4: Please provide details of what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Proposed Change to the Submission Draft Core Strategy legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified in Q2 where this relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the Core Strategy DPD legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.**

(If you are submitting this form as a hard copy please ensure all text is visible and continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

***PLEASE NOTE*** your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original. ***After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he identifies for examination.***

**Question 5: Can your representation seeking a change be considered by written representations, or do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?**

5.1 Written Representations

5.2 Attend Examination

5.3 If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary

*(Your request will be considered by the Inspector, however, attendance at the Examination in Public is by invitation only).*

(If you are submitting this form as a hard copy please ensure all text is visible and continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

---

**Representation Submission Acknowledgement**

I acknowledge that I am making a formal representation. I understand that my name (and organisation where applicable) and representation will be made publically available during the public examination period of the Core Strategy in order to ensure that it is a fair and transparent process.

I agree with this statement and wish to submit the above representation for consideration.

**Signed**

**Dated**