

Selby District Core Strategy

Infrastructure Delivery Plan ADDENDUM

January 2012



1. Introduction

- 1.1 The Core Strategy Examination in Public (EIP) took place over a two week period between the 20 September to the 30 September 2011. Following discussions at the EIP the decision was made by the Council to ask for an adjournment to work on the following areas –
- Green Belt.
 - Role of Tadcaster and the delivery of employment and housing.
 - Overall housing numbers.
- 1.2 The Planning Inspector granted the Council's request to adjourn the Core Strategy hearing sessions for 6 months in order to provide further evidence on the above issues. This work has now been completed and revisions to the Core Strategy finalised. The implications of the revisions have been circulated to Infrastructure Group for their comments
- 1.3 In summary, there will be localised impacts of increased housing and potential Green Belt use, but these are issues to be dealt with at a localised level such as Site Allocations Development Plan Document (SADPD). Overall, there remain no “show stoppers” as a result of the proposed revisions to the Submission Draft Core Strategy (SDCS).
- 1.4 Below is summary of the responses received from Infrastructure providers. The comments should be read in conjunction with and in addition to the Infrastructure Delivery Plan (IDP) previously published by the Council in May 2011.

2. Transport

Highways Agency

- 2.1 The Highways Agency has commented in general terms that the proposal to reduce the amount of new housing within Tadcaster by 93 units is likely to lead to a reduced impact on the A64 around the Tadcaster junctions, however, it is likely that the subsequent increase in housing in Sherburn would increase the impact of development on the operation of the A1(M). Additional housing in Selby should not be a problem.
- 2.2 In short their current position is that the level of development proposed within Selby in both CP2 and the proposed revised CP2 is likely to have an impact on the strategic road network. The Highways Agency is currently in the process of analysing these figures which will enable it to provide more detailed comments on the scale and nature of the impact, and if any mitigation may be necessary
- 2.3 The detailed analysis would need to be carried out as part of the SADPD

work and developers would be expected to contribute to mitigation measures.

- 2.4 As such it is considered that this is not a soundness issue for the Core Strategy.

North Yorkshire County Council Highways

- 2.5 On 1 December Officers met with a representative of NYCC Highways Authority who raised no “showstoppers”. Further more detailed comments are expected with regard to specific sites set out in the SADPD.

Network Rail

- 2.6 Some settlement-specific comments received regarding SAADPD issues- no Core Strategy significance.

3. Water and Drainage

- 3.1 Yorkshire Water commented that there is no capacity in Tadcaster waste water treatment works – this is the case whether it is 457 or 388 dwellings over the plan period. Therefore development will still require phasing. This is no change from previous comments.
- 3.2 With regard to DSVs, Yorkshire Water note that there will be different impacts dependant on each settlement. The changes in numbers will not cause any major differences to the current Core Strategy. More detailed comments on each settlement will be provided as part of YW’s response to the Site Allocations DPD.

4. Education

- 4.1 North Yorkshire County Council as the Local Education Authority state that there remain no overall strategic “showstoppers”. Some settlement-specific issues raised that can be dealt with at SADPD stage, specifically at Barlby where school capacity is at its limit and scope for extensions is constrained.

5. Conclusion

- 5.1 The Core Strategy IDP is intended to be a working document that will be kept up to date as it progresses to Adoption. Input is welcome from the infrastructure providers at any time, but will be specifically sought at each consultation stage to ensue that plans and strategies connected.
- 5.2 This Addendum to the May 2011 Infrastructure Delivery Plan sets out the infrastructure providers view on the overall strategic implications of changes proposed in revision to the Core Strategy January 2012.
- 5.3 More specific details regarding infrastructure associated with proposals for development in individual settlements will be provided through an IDP supporting the Site Allocations DPD.
- 5.4 Both the Core Strategy and the IDP have been prepared in consultation

Selby District Core Strategy IDP Addendum January 2012

with service and infrastructure providers, and no major “showstopper” constraints to the delivery of Core Strategy proposals have been identified.