

## EXAMINATION HEARINGS

### AGENDA

**WEDNESDAY 28 SEPTEMBER 10.00am**

*The questions in italics are some (but not the only) specific matters which arise from the evidence and will assist in focusing the discussion.*

#### **MATTER 5. SPECIFIC HOUSING NEEDS**

##### Housing mix

5.1 Does policy CP4 ensure that an appropriate mix of house types and sizes which reflects current needs will be provided? How will measurement against the policy objective be assessed?

- *Does the proposed amendment to paragraph 5.69 more fully reflect the findings of the SHMA*

##### Rural exception sites

2.11 Is there sufficient clarity in policy CP1 to the approach to affordable housing on rural exception sites? Is the approach consistent with policy CP6?

5.5 Is policy CP6 consistent with policy CP1, in that many settlements with less than 3,000 population are Designated Service Villages in which small scale housing within Development Limits would not be an exception to normal planning policy?

- *Does the proposed amendment to policy CP6 appropriately remove the inconsistency with CP1*
- *Should the policy only refer to sites outside Development Limits*

5.6 Is policy CP6 in line with national policy in PPS3 in respect of addressing the needs of the local community?

##### Travelling community

5.7 Is the scale of additional accommodation for gypsies and travellers based on a robust and up-to-date assessment of need? Does it cater for the need likely to arise over the plan period? Should the scale of provision be included in policy CP7?

- *Is the stated need for pitches consistent with the evidence, with particular regard to concealed demand and pitch turnover*

## Selby District Core Strategy Examination

- *Why is stated need for pitches (to 2016) not included as a target in policy CP7, with subsequent provision being dependent on future surveys/evidence*

5.8 Are the criteria for site selection in policy CP7 consistent with national guidance? Is it appropriate to exclude potential sites in the Green Belt, locally important landscape areas and areas of high flood risk? Does the policy allow for the provision of private sites by the travelling community?

- *Is there evidence that sites in the western part of the District are needed, and if so, should this be included in the plan*
- *Is there potential for sites to be found within the western part of the District but outside the Green Belt*
- *Is there sufficient information available to enable site-specific assessment of flood risk in rural areas*
- *Is the requirement for a site to be "within or close to a settlement" unduly restrictive given the advice that sites are suitable in rural areas*
- *Would the criteria be better expressed in more neutral terms to allow for balanced judgements to be made*
- *What regard should be had to the proposed revision to national guidance (Consultation on "Planning for traveller sites")*