Sophie King

From:

Charlotte Haines Lyon

Sent:

16 January 2015 19:53

To:

LDF

Subject:

Question 26e Seaparation distances

Name Charlotte Haines Lyon

Fopic / Chapter
Climate and Renewable Energy
Question no. 26e
Comments

A minimum distance should be set but not a single distance, rather one that is related to the size of the turbine - for example 10 times the height of the turbine as being instituted in Bavaria. This distance should be to residential properties - to protect them from noise and other problems.

Question no. 26f

Comments

A proactive approach should be taken to renewable energy with clear guidelines as to what is acceptable in terms of cumulitive impact both for wind and solar energy. The light reflection and effects on wildlife should be taken into account along with domination of the environment for residents.

Question no 26g

The nature of the landscape must be taken into account. Largely flat, in renders large structures as inescapable unlike more hilly environments which can obsure the structures from different positions. There should be pride in the district's landscape and it should not be allowed to be flawed due to power stations and the flatness. It currently maintains a unique balance of rural and industrial characteristics which is appropriate to its history.

Amplitude Modulation noise condtions must also be set for wind turbines.

Comment Submission Statement

All comments must be made in an email or in writing if they are to be considered. Your comments and some personal identifying details will be published in a public register and cannot be treated confidentially. Where practical, personal identifiers may be redacted, however Selby District Council cannot guarantee that all identifiers will be removed prior to publication of consultation records.

Name

This email has been checked for viruses by Avast antivirus software. http://www.avast.com