

Selby District



Submission Draft Core Strategy

Publication Version January 2011
Representation Form



CENTRAL SERVICES
21 FEB 2011
RECEIVED

Part A

In completing this representation form, you are providing a formal consultation response under Regulation 27 of the Town and Country Planning (Local Development)(England) Regulations 2008 with regard to the Selby District Submission Draft Core Strategy DPD on grounds of soundness only.

Please complete separate copies of Part B (pages 3 and 4) of this form for each section, policy, table, map or diagram about which you wish to comment.

If you believe that a section, policy, paragraph, table, map or diagram is unsound with regard to more than one test of soundness please provide a separate representation for each test.

The Tests of Soundness

Soundness is explained in PPS12 (Planning Policy Statement 12) in paragraphs 4.36 - 4.47, 4.51 and 4.52 and the boxed text. Specifically paragraph 4.52 states that to be sound a Core Strategy should be:

1 Justified

PPS12 provides that to be 'justified' a DPD (in this case the 'Core Strategy') needs to be:

- founded on a robust and credible evidence base involving:
 - evidence of participation of the local community and others having a stake in the area
 - research/fact finding - the choices made in the plan are backed up by facts
- the most appropriate strategy when considered against reasonable alternatives

2 Effective

PPS12 states that Core Strategies should be effective. This means:

- Deliverable - embracing:
 - Sound infrastructure delivery planning
 - Having no regulatory or national planning barriers to delivery
 - Delivery partners who are signed up to it
 - Coherence with the strategies of neighbouring authorities
- Flexible
- Able to be monitored

3 National Policy

The DPD (in this case the 'Core Strategy') should be consistent with national policy. Where there is a departure, the Local Planning Authority (LPA) must provide clear and convincing reasoning to justify their approach.

Completed representation forms must be returned to the Council no later than 5pm on Monday 21st February 2011.

Email to: ldf@selby.gov.uk (Please save a copy to your computer prior to e-mailing your response)

Post to: LDF Team, Development Policy, Selby District Council, Civic Centre, Portholme Road, Selby YO8

Part B (please use a separate sheet (pages 3 and 4) for each representation)

Please identify the part of the Core Strategy to which this representation refers:

Section No.	<input type="text" value="3"/>	Policy No.	<input type="text"/>	Paragraph No.	<input type="text" value="2"/>
Map No.	<input type="text"/>	Figure No.	<input type="text" value="309"/>	Other	<input type="text"/>

Question 1: Do you consider the DPD is:

1.1 Legally compliant Yes No

1.2 Sound Yes No

If you have entered No to 1.1, please continue to Q2. In all other circumstances, please go to Q3.

Question 2: If you consider the DPD is unsound, please identify which test of soundness your representation relates to:

(Please note you should complete separate Part B (pages 3 and 4) of this form for each test of soundness the Core Strategy fails.)

2.1 Justified (Please identify just one test for this representation)

2.2 Effective

2.3 Consistent with national policy

Question 3: Please give details of why you consider the Core Strategy DPD is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the DPD, please also use this box to set out your comments.

Objectives 20 Supporting rural generation -----
4026 ----- appropriate scale development on greenfield land may therefore be acceptable in Designated Service Villages.
4027 ----- development on greenfield land will not be acceptable - Secondary Villages.
I believe this section of the Core Strategy is unsound as it infers that rural generation is its objective but limits Secondary Village development while stating the opposite conclusion in Designated Service Villages.
How does this approach enable all rural areas to regenerate. Surely Secondary Villages should have the opportunity to obtain better facilities and services through development. The Core Strategy is suppose to be a view for the future.

Question 4: Please provide details of what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Core Strategy DPD legally compliant or sound, having regard to the text of the Act and the Act itself, or where this relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the Core Strategy DPD legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

(Continue on a separate sheet if submitting a hard copy)

PLEASE NOTE your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to add to your representation.

After this stage, further submissions will be made to the Inspector, who will identify for examination. For further information, please refer to the Core Strategy Planning Inspectorate website: <http://www.planninginspectorate.gov.uk>

Question 5: Can your representation seeking a change be considered by written representations, or do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?



5.1 Written Representations



5.2 Attend Examination

5.3 If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary

(Your request will be considered by the Inspector, however, attendance at the Examination in Public is by invitation only)

GENERAL SERVICES

21 FEB 2011

RECEIVED

Representation Submission Acknowledgement

I acknowledge that I am making a formal representation under Regulation 27 of the Town and Country Planning (Local Development)(England) Regulations 2008. I understand that my name (and organisation where applicable) and representation will be made publically available during the public examination period of the Core Strategy in order to ensure that it is a fair and transparent process.

I agree with this statement and wish to submit the above representation for consideration.

Signed

Dated

19/2/11