

Selby District

Submission Draft Core Strategy

Publication Version January 2011

Representation Form

Part A

In completing this representation form, you are providing a formal consultation response under Regulation 27 of the Town and Country Planning (Local Development)(England) Regulations 2008 with regard to the Selby District Submission Draft Core Strategy DPD on grounds of soundness only.

Please complete separate copies of Part B (pages 3 and 4) of this form for each section, policy, table, map or diagram about which you wish to comment.

If you believe that a section, policy, paragraph, table, map or diagram is unsound with regard to more than one test of soundness please provide a separate representation for each test.

The Tests of Soundness

Soundness is explained in PPS12 (Planning Policy Statement 12) in paragraphs 4.36 - 4.47, 4.51 and 4.52 and the boxed text. Specifically paragraph 4.52 states that to be sound a Core Strategy should be:

1 Justified

PPS12 provides that to be 'justified' a DPD (in this case the 'Core Strategy') needs to be:

- founded on a robust and credible evidence base involving:
 - evidence of participation of the local community and others having a stake in the area
 - research/fact finding - the choices made in the plan are backed up by facts
- the most appropriate strategy when considered against reasonable alternatives

2 Effective

PPS12 states that Core Strategies should be effective. This means:

- Deliverable - embracing:
 - Sound infrastructure delivery planning
 - Having no regulatory or national planning barriers to delivery
 - Delivery partners who are signed up to it
 - Coherence with the strategies of neighbouring authorities
- Flexible
- Able to be monitored

3 National Policy

The DPD (in this case the 'Core Strategy') should be consistent with national policy. Where there is a departure, the Local Planning Authority (LPA) must provide clear and convincing reasoning to justify their approach.



Completed representation forms must be returned to the Council no later than 5pm on Monday 21st February 2011.

Email to: ldf@selby.gov.uk

Post to: LDF Team, Development Policy, Selby District Council, Civic Centre, Portholme Road, Selby YO8 4SB

Contact Details (only complete once)

Please provide contact details and agent details, if appointed.

	Personal Details	Agents Details (if applicable)
Title	MRS	
First Name	KAREN	
Last Name	KIRKBRIGHT	
Job Title (where relevant)	/	
Organisation	/	
Address Line 1	APPLEGARTH	
Address Line 2	CHESTNUT DRIVE	
Address Line 3	HEMINGBROUGH	
County	5 NORTH YORKSHIRE	
Postcode	YO8 6UE	
Telephone No.		
Email address		

You only need to complete this page once. If you wish to make more than one representation, attach additional copies of Part B (pages 3 and 4) to this part of the representation form.

It will be helpful if you can provide an email address so we can contact you electronically.

Part B (please use a separate sheet (pages 3 and 4) for each representation)

Please identify the part of the Core Strategy to which this representation refers:

Section No.	<input type="text"/>	Policy No.	<input type="text" value="CPI"/>	Paragraph No.	<input type="text"/>
Map No.	<input type="text"/>	Figure No.	<input type="text"/>	Other	<input type="text"/>

Question 1: Do you consider the DPD is:

- 1.1 Legally compliant Yes No
- 1.2 Sound Yes No

If you have entered No to 1.1, please continue to Q2. In all other circumstances, please go to Q3.

Question 2: If you consider the DPD is unsound, please identify which test of soundness your representation relates to:

(Please note you should complete separate Part B (pages 3 and 4) of this form for each test of soundness the Core Strategy fails.)

- 2.1 Justified (Please identify just one test for this representation)
- 2.2 Effective
- 2.3 Consistent with national policy

Question 3: Please give details of why you consider the Core Strategy DPD is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the DPD, please also use this box to set out your comments.

Policy CPI - SPATIAL DEVELOPMENT STRATEGY - DESIGNATED SERVICE VILLAGE STATUS FOR HEMING BROUGH.

INFORMATION ON THE VILLAGE IS INCORRECT.

① THERE IS NO PETROL STATION - IT CLOSED A LONG TIME AGO.

② THE BUS SERVICE HAS BEEN CUT DUE TO SPENDING CUTS AND IS NO LONGER A VIABLE OPTION FOR TRAVELLING TO AND FROM A PLACE OF WORK.

③ THE DOCTORS IS A PART TIME SATALITE SERVICE, ~~IT IS NOT A SERVICE~~ IF BLOOD TESTS ARE REQUIRED YOU ARE DIRECTED TO MONKS CROSS NEAR YORK OR HOSPITAL IN SELBY. RETINAL SCREENS ARE DONE IN SELBY ONLY AT MAIN SURGERY. IF A PRESCRIPTION ~~IS~~ IS NEEDED SAME DAY THEN YOU STILL HAVE TO TRAVEL TO SELBY TO GET IT.

(Continue on a separate sheet if submitting a hard copy)

- ④ IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO GET AN NHS PLACE AT A DENTIST IN SELBY / YORK.

Part B (please use a separate sheet (pages 3 and 4) for each representation)

Please identify the part of the Core Strategy to which this representation refers:

Section No.	<input type="text"/>	Policy No.	<input type="text" value="CP8"/>	Paragraph No.	<input type="text"/>
Map No.	<input type="text"/>	Figure No.	<input type="text"/>	Other	<input type="text"/>

Question 1: Do you consider the DPD is:

- 1.1 Legally compliant Yes No
- 1.2 Sound Yes No

If you have entered No to 1.1, please continue to Q2. In all other circumstances, please go to Q3.

Question 2: If you consider the DPD is unsound, please identify which test of soundness your representation relates to:

(Please note you should complete separate Part B (pages 3 and 4) of this form for each test of soundness the Core Strategy fails.)

- 2.1 Justified (Please identify just one test for this representation)
- 2.2 Effective
- 2.3 Consistent with national policy

Question 3: Please give details of why you consider the Core Strategy DPD is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the DPD, please also use this box to set out your comments.

POLICY CP8 - ACCESS TO SERVICES, COMMUNITY FACILITIES & INFRASTRUCTURE WITH REGARD TO UEMINGBROUGH.

- ① THE PREMISES USED FOR VILLAGE HALL ACTIVITIES IS NOT OF SUFFICIENT SIZE, (as evidenced by the planning info meeting regarding this matter), so would not be suitable if more people lived in the area.
- ② School Capacity insufficient for more pupils on the scale proposed.
- ③ A63/A19 JUNCTION FAR TOO BUSY ALREADY & MANY ACCIDENTS
- ④ INSUFFICIENT RECREATION FACILITIES IN THE VILLAGE.

(Continue on a separate sheet if submitting a hard copy)

Question 4: Please provide details of what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Core Strategy DPD legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified in Q2 where this relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the Core Strategy DPD legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

I believe that a more recent fact finding / research should be undertaken or used as regards the village, as the facts quoted are out of date. Also according to a recent village meeting the land shown on the plans was offered a long time ago and there is doubt as to whether it is still available or if the uses proposed are acceptable to the current land owners.

I hope that any development would be more in line with the lands current use or that it would provide sustainable employment for local people.

WITH REGARD TO HEMINGBROUGH THE AMOUNT OF PLANNING APPROVALS, BUILDING PLOTS & extensions already done over the past couple of years SHOULD ALREADY FULLFILL the requirements.

(Continue on a separate sheet if submitting a hard copy) USE PROPER UP TO DATE INFO BEFORE HEMINGBROUGH GETS MORE HOUSES THAT ARE UNSUPPORTABLE DUE TO LACK OF EMPLOYMENT.

PLEASE NOTE your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination. For further information on the stages see The Planning Inspectorate website (http://www.planning-inspectorate.gov.uk/pins/appeals/local_dev/index.htm)

Question 5: Can your representation seeking a change be considered by written representations, or do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

- 5.1 Written Representations 5.2 Attend Examination

5.3 If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary

(Your request will be considered by the Inspector, however, attendance at the Examination in Public is by invitation only).

Representation Submission Acknowledgement

I acknowledge that I am making a formal representation under Regulation 27 of the Town and Country Planning (Local Development)(England) Regulations 2008. I understand that my name (and organisation where applicable) and representation will be made publically available during the public examination period of the Core Strategy in order to ensure that it is a fair and transparent process.

I agree with this statement and wish to submit the above representation for consideration.

Signed

Dated 11 / 2 / 11