



Ryan King

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 12 November 2012 11:35
To: ldf
Cc: helen gregory
Subject: Fwd: Cllrs Crane/Chilvers predetermination has been costly to SDC taxpayers
Attachments: Cllrs Crane/Chilvers predetermination has been costly to SDC taxpayers

For submission as particularly relevant to 'Submission Draft Core Strategy - Further Proposed Changes (7th Set)' within 12th November 2012 and closing at 5.00pm Friday 28th December, as requested in Ms Helen Gregory's letter 12 November, received 11.30am, 12th November.

Ryan King

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 12 November 2012 08:15
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]

Subject: Cllrs Crane/Chilvers predetermination has been costly to SDC taxpayers

Communities and Local Government – ‘A Plain English Guide to the ‘Localism Bill’ (Updated)

(June, 2011) Rt Hon Greg Clark MP , Minister of State For Decentralisation. (Download it)

“Abolition of the Standards Board. (Page 7)

".....the Government will abolish the Standards Board regime Instead it will become a **criminal offence** [for councillors] to deliberately withhold or misrepresent a personal interest"

Clarifying the rules on ‘predetermination’. (Page 7)

In parallel with the abolition of The Standards Board, the Government intends to clarify the rules on ‘predetermination’ developed to ensure councillors came to council discussions – on, for example, planning applications – with an open mind. In practice, however, these rules have been interpreted in such a way as to reduce the quality of local debate and stifle valid discussion. In some cases [Mr Crane’s agenda-less and minute-less ‘cabal politic’ at Selby District Council (admitted to in the Selby Times) and regarding especially the ‘Olympia Park’ housing build, of which Ousebank residents were totally ignorant until it was signed, sealed and (almost) delivered!] councillors have been warned off doing such things as campaigning, talking with constituents, or publicly expressing their views on local issues for fear of being accused of bias or fear of facing legal challenge.

The Localism Bill makes it clear that it is proper for councillors to play an active part in local discussions, and that they should not be liable to legal challenge as a result. This will help them better represent their constituents and enrich democratic debate. ”

And firstly by a Council meeting which informs Selby District taxpayers, threatened with bankruptcy by eventual closure of the railway if the ‘Olympia Park’ build goes ahead, and also imminent and dangerous flooding by a dangerously narrow height difference in the 1.9 kilometres of flood-defence wall, of the issues Councillors have been prevented from mentioning to their electorate in the recent past by abuse of the predetermination rule by vested interests, namely, the criminal conspirators.

Mr M. Crane, Head of Council and representing Brayton, and Mr I Chilvers ‘Planning Committee’, also representing Brayton, have deliberately set out to keep themselves willingly blind to the criminal conspiracy unequivocally evidenced as existing by the acceptance of an unlawful planning application by Selby District Council and Planning Committee, and where conspirators agreed to pervert the course of justice by removing Public Records which might reveal the application’s unlawful nature and so as to prevent public challenge to the applicatio’s acceptance by Council, and, further, went on to unlawfully prefer the unlawful site to ‘core strategic site’ of the Selby District Core Strategy/ Local Plan, because both were

willing to enter into a criminal conspiracy which reveals a predetermination to protect Brayton from planning development by protecting the 'Brayton Gap', the land being prime for development by being in a non-flood zone, and its 'Gap' status being the reason given by Selby District Council for choosing an unlawful 'Flood-zone 3' site over and above two better suited sites - better suited because not requiring expensive bridge-building, and infrastructure cost to add to cost per dwelling and make cutting corners more likely.

And by ensuring Selby District Council did not have to arrive at a coherent Planning Restraints Policy which would make a sure guide to substantive planning applications accessible to all, and to thereby create a much speedier local planning process and which would **prevent costly future challenge** to, for example, a Selby District Council Core Strategy, as exemplified recently by Samuel Smiths Brewery Ltd 'Green Belt' challenge of September 2012 at The Inspectors 'Matters 6' meeting, which has held up and extended (**at what cost to Selby District taxpayers?**) the Independent Government Inspector's Examination by causing 'Matters 7' October 2012 (unresolved until February, 2013), and a Planning Restraints Document which has been available to Selby District Council and the Chief Planning Officer for a decade from Communities and Local Government "Strategic Gap and Green Wedge Policies in Structure Plans – January, 2001" (Download it) and to thus avoid a re-evaluation of Planning Restraints which would threaten development in the 'Brayton Gap' and to adversely affect Mr Crane's/Mr Chilvers' Brayton property prices, protection of which is their predetermination.

Forcing distant developments the 'other side of the tracks, the other side of town' and into a 'Flood-zone 3' area by a criminal conspiracy offering imminent threat to many lives by a height difference in flood-defence wall the conspirators refused to publicly reveal, and to eventually bankrupt Selby District taxpayers by the build eventually causing closure of the railway track as the defence wall has to be built higher than the railway track, all for financial gain, reveals to all just how many criminal offences may be prompted by a grasping of the opportunity to promote criminal 'predetermination'!

What can the future be for 'Localism' if this particular local criminal conspiracy succeeds?

Sincerely

Ian T Hinchey Ousebank where (nearly) forty families live in continual fear of

permanent threat to life and limb and livelihood,

(by 'Updated Masterplan and Delivery Document - November 2010'

claiming mass access by instigating mass trespass by land theft and

which Ousebank residents must contest to prevent occupier liability costs)

contrary to Statute Law : NPP Sect.8 - Para 69. Bullet point 2.

representing Section 17 Crime & Disorder Act, 1998, and as this

Law represents mandated compatibility with ECHR (1950) 'Article 2'

'Rights and Freedoms' - 'Everyone's life shall be protected by law'.

5

Ryan King

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 15 November 2012 11:03
To: Ryan King
Subject: Fwd: Why did a technicality take precedence over criminality in Inspection?
Attachments: Why did a technicality take precedence over criminality in Inspection?
FOR SUBMISSION TO Draft Core Strategy Set 7 Changes prior to 28th December, please.

⑤

Ryan King

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 15 November 2012 10:57
To: programmeofficer
Subject: Why did a technicality take precedence over criminality in Inspection?

Mr Martin Pike – Independent Government Inspector

Sir,

I requested 6th November 'Document regarding 'absence of Document' absent as well' to be informed of which of the 69 Core Strategy Documents, or what extant rule/s or ruling/s, permitted Selby District Council/ Planning Committee/Chief Planning Officer to absent the Document 'Design out Crime' from its submission to Mr Jim Shanks at North Yorkshire Police

And whether this was acceptable behaviour regarding a Core Strategy 'core strategic site'?

I have had no response to date.

At the 'Matters 6' meeting 30 September, 2011 (and with later comment on October 23rd 'Matters 7' meeting) I submitted to you the evidence existing to reveal the criminal conspiracy at Selby District Council/SDC Planning Committee/SDC Chief Planning Officer dedicated to attempting to make acceptable the 'Olympia Park' build of 995 dwellings, unlawfully accepted and unlawfully preferred to 'core strategic site' of the Selby District Council Core Strategy by Selby District Council/Chief Planning Officer/ Planning Committee given the extant but unlawfully deleted 'Emaus' Planning Decision Documents of 2002.

But it was a 'Green Belt' issue at Tadcaster which caused a halt to and a time extension for a continuation of the Inspector's Examination, and, to the best of my knowledge and research, I can find nothing relating to the evidence of criminality I presented to you.

This evidence should surely have had precedence of consideration over and above a technicality (which my 12/11/2012 email 'Cllrs Crane/Chilvers predetermination has been costly to SD taxpayers' showed to be due to Selby District Council/Planning Committee/ Chief Planning Officer having ignored a Communities & Local Government 'Strategic Gap & Green Wedge Policies – 2001' advice which had existed for a decade) and to call a halt to any further progressing of the unlawfully accepted and 'preferred' application's Inspection.

And which surely is demanding also of a full Public Enquiry when such activity is revealed?

And especially when fully evidenced from Public Documents, and more especially when life is seen to be put at and remains at imminent risk?

Might I please ask for an explanation on these several counts which remain in limbo with regard the Core Strategy, and to provide the 'evidence base' for continual future challenge?

Sincerely

Ian T Hinchey Ousebank where (nearly) forty families HAVE BEEN UNLAWFULLY PLACED

in fear of permanent threat to life and limb and livelihood

CONTRARY TO LAW : NPP Sect8 Para 69 Bullet Point 2 !

21/11/2012

Helen Gregory

5

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 23 December 2012 16:12
To: Martin Connor
Cc: LDF; Helen Gregory; [REDACTED]
Subject: 'Brown envelope' payments to criminal conspirators

FOR SUBMISSION PRIOR TO 28th December CUT _OFF DATE INTO
DRAFT CORE STRATEGY - FURTHER PROPOSED CHANGES (7th Set)

Mr Martin Connor - Chief Executive, Selby District Council

Sir,

I have told you before, but repeat yet again, a growing and rampant criminality in Selby District Council and Planning Department has been the feature of your tenure displaying little to prevent criminal conspirators benefiting from their criminality during what must be now your very short-lived tenure as Chief Executive.

For, how many people would participate in the progressing of a criminal conspiracy, risk the chance of a gaol sentence, out of the kindness of their hearts?

Very few, it must be agreed. If any at all!

So the assumption has to be that 'brown envelopes' will scatter like confetti to the conspirators from the near £40 million profit to be made by all who agreed to **allow submission** of the BOCMPauls Ltd unlawful planning application for 'Olympia Park' to Selby District Council; those in the Planning Department/Selby Council placed to use the internal 'Write permission' to **unlawfully delete** the Public Documents relating to the refusal of the 'Emaus, Yorkshire' planning application CO/2002/0634 – 8/16/255E/PA; those on the Planning Committee **able to 'prefer'** the 'Olympia Park' site to 'core strategic site' of Selby District Core Strategy; and the people with **access to the means to make illicit payments; and those in a position able to call a halt to the criminality when given the evidence, and evidence I supplied to you.**

'Back-pocket cash' able to be paid without the notice of the Inland Revenue, must itself come from illicit sources, unless the BOCMPauls Ltd directors Mr Richard Cooper, Mr WFS Mayne and Mr Mr GCJ Powell intend that their Three Swans Property Ltd, Norwich, will pay the conspirators officially, through 'the books', and thus blatantly, which seems most unlikely.

Materials supplied for the build of 995 'built for profit' houses over some 17 years will be a lot easier to manipulate than the lesser amount of materials for the original 600 dwellings over a shorter time scale proposed in the 2008 partnership build with Bellway Homes, who may well have 'walked away' from the partnership build - when offered, but who, being nation-wide, would obviously refuse - the criminal conspiracy as being a way past the mentioned at the time 2002 Planning Decision preventing such a venture – the Company should be asked.

So manipulation of the materials for the 995 dwellings will have to be the source of illicit payments!

I am told that 'agreements' can be made to supply materials 'guaranteed' to get a signature for 100% when a lesser percentage is actually delivered, and materials which can generate the 'back-pocket' cash required for illicit payments by sale of the missing percentage on the 'black market', or to the 'good customers' of material suppliers for whom half-price materials offer a considerable advantage.

The only people to suffer because of such a scam will be the future residents of sub-standard 'built for profit' dwellings, who have to live with, for example 'less slates than should officially be on their roofs'.

That the entered into material losses might even have to be put down to 'theft by local people' and claimed against insurance, will be neither here nor there to directors of Three Swans Property Ltd, who will simply accept the drop in their £40 million profit as part of their exceptional 'cleverness' in having reduced taxable profit whilst using the 'loss' to make illicit 'back-pocket' cash payments to their colleagues in crime, in 'brown-paper envelopes' of course – or perhaps, or as well, make legitimate purchase of properties in the Caribbean where colleagues in crime may holiday on a regular basis to celebrate : a lot can be done with a near £40 million!

A QUORUM of ELEVEN responsible Selby District Councillors is all that is required to get rid of the future shock to Selby District taxpayers, of bankruptcy caused by closure of railway, for example, or continual repair costs to sub-standard housing 'built only for profit', or by the wiping-out of Barlby village and Barlby First School and forty Ousebank families, **THIS WINTER** possibly, and by getting rid of the criminals and their damaging influence which still threatens, and will threaten even more if managing to get away with such blatant and well advertised criminality.

Sincerely

Ian T Hinchey Ousebank where (nearly) forty families live in continual fear of
 permanent threat to life and limb and livelihood
 contrary to law.

Helen Gregory

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 28 December 2012 16:52
To: LDF
Subject: Fwd: I do nothing underhand ever, so be warned : RESIGN NOW!
Attachments: I do nothing underhand ever, so be warned : RESIGN NOW!



Thank you for confirming the receipt of my email 'Brown envelope payments to criminal conspirators' 23/12/2012.

Please accept this copy email originally sent 26th December, 2012, and sent in case 'lost' in the Christmas mail

Regards

Ian T Hinchey Ousebank

Helen Gregory

From: Helen Gregory on behalf of LDF
Sent: 28 December 2012 16:59
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: I do nothing underhand ever, so be warned : RESIGN NOW!

I confirm receipt of your email.



HELEN GREGORY
 Policy Officer

Tel 01757 705101 Email info@selby.gov.uk Web www.selby.gov.uk
 Selby District Council Civic Centre, Doncaster Road, Selby YO8 8FT

The information in this e-mail, and any attachments, is confidential and may be subject to legal professional privilege. It is intended solely for the attention and use of the named addressee(s). Its contents do not necessarily represent the views or opinions of Selby District Council. If you are not the intended recipient please notify the sender immediately. Unless you are the intended recipient, or his/her representative, you are not authorised to, and must not, read, copy, distribute, use or retain this message or any part of it.

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 26 December 2012 15:15
To: LDF
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: I do nothing underhand ever, so be warned : RESIGN NOW!

For Pre- 28th December Submission to EIA ADDENDUM RE-CONSULTATION

RISKING, IF REFUSING, CRIMINAL INDICTMENT IN JANUARY 2013, FOR

Perverting The Course of Justice in order to Conspire To Defraud The Ousebank Community of Their Statutory Right To Lawful Protection From Crime And Disorder According To 1998 Crime & Disorder Act : Section 17, and National Planning Policy Framework : Section 8 : Paragraph 69 : Bullet Point 2 : and aiding and abetting the conspiracy by either actively or passively permitting the progressing of the criminal documents informing the conspiracy either by their presence or their absence

IMMEDIATE RESIGNATION of ALL Parties below from either elected or appointed office/s

Mr Martin Connor (et al), Chief Executive Officer, Selby District Council

Mr Richard Sunter (et al), Chief Planning Officer , Selby District Council

Mr Richard Cooper (et al), Group Controller, BocmPauls Ltd/Director, Three Swans Property Ltd,

Mr GCJ Powell , Director, Three Swans Property Ltd, Norwich/ **ALL** other Directorships

Mr WFS Mayne, Director, Three Swans Property Ltd, Norwich/ **All** other Directorships

Mr Adrian Spawforth (et al), Managing Director, Spawforths Ltd, producer of criminal 'UMaDD'

Mr Paul Bedwell (et al), Director, Spawforths Ltd, co-author of criminal land-theft 'Public

Document' – 'Updated Master-plan and Delivery Document – Nov,
2010'

Mr Mark Crane, Councillor for Brayton, Head of Council, Selby District Council

THE TWELVE MEMBERS of The Planning Committee, Selby District Council

Councillors J Dean, Chair; C Pearson, Vice-Chair; J Cattanach; I Chilvers (also Brayton); Mrs D Davies; D Mackay; Mrs E Metcalfe; S Shaw-wright; D Peart; S Ryder; J Crawford; J McCartney

Plus the immediate resignation of all councillors who know their names will arise during the Open Reconciliation Meeting by **NEW** Selby District Council aimed at discovering and apportioning responsibility for issues and policies kept secret by abuse of the policy of 'predetermination' which, for example, kept the 'Olympia Park' development from public knowledge until made incontestable.

ALSO IMMEDIATE RESIGNATION OF

ALL OFFICERS, elected and appointed, of Barlby & Osgodby Parish Council

for criminally conspiring, by invention and promulgation of a falsely misleading doorstep survey and ensuing false document, to defraud Ousebank residents of their statutory right to freedom from fear of crime and disorder in the community and for community safety, by their **ACTUAL** and aimed for actual incitement of crime and disorder in the Ousebank community by setting neighbour against neighbour with the evidentially-false document ensuing from the deception of the doorstep survey.

Helen Gregory

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 28 December 2012 17:48
To: LDF
Subject: Fwd: I do nothing underhand ever, so be warned : RESIGN NOW!
Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed
Attachments: RE: I do nothing underhand ever, so be warned : RESIGN NOW!

I note with incredulity the avoidance of confirmation of submission of my email 26th December, resubmitted to guarantee submission 28th DEcember prior to 5.00 clock cut-off!

Judgemental censoring, necessarily based on biased value judgement, is not a requisite element of any LAWFUL SUBMISSION.

Be warned again!

Ian T Hinchy Ousebank