

**helen gregory**

---

**From:**  
**Sent:** 05 January 2012 09:29  
**To:** ldf  
**Subject:** Fwd: LDF submission number : 1532  
**Attachments:** LDF submission number : 1532

5

**To guarantee my submission is indeed placed on the LDF web site and not dismissed on the technicality of being outside the dates of 5th January and 15th February 2012, I resubmit the email sent 1st January (enclosed below) within the correct date parameter and under the altered and**

**CORRECT LDF reference 1523 to guarantee also that it is not dismissed from acceptance and entry because of its incorrect original reference number.**

---

**helen gregory**

---

**From:**

**Sent:** 01 January 2012 16:21

**To:** ldf

**Subject:** LDF submission number : 1532

**The rampant criminality permeating Selby District Council Core Strategy :**

The Law of the Land demands that the Core Strategy be dismissed forthwith!

Criminality exists in seven areas in the choice by Selby and District Council of 'Olympia Park' ['BARL 009' in 'Selby and District Site Allocations Document' (SaDSAD)] for the 'core strategic site'.

And since March 2011, I have informed every possible interested party that the Council's criminally, well worked incontestability of choice for 'core strategic site' threatened 'life and limb and livelihood' of the forty families of Ousebank.

This threat alone contravenes The Crime and Disorder Act 1984 which states it is incumbent on Local Authorities to plan to avoid such a situation, a fact the Chief Planning Officer, Selby Planners and Selby Planning Committee would not even contemplate denying!

Nor the Chief Constable of North Yorkshire! Nor the local police station; nor the Secretary of State for Communities & Local Government, Eric Pickles; nor several of his higher echelon public servants; nor our local MEP; nor our MP; nor all other Selby councillors, one hopes! All kept abreast, along with local and national press and television, with the slowly emerging nature of the criminality.

But the Chief Constable said he was not interested and told me there was nothing I could say which would get him involved (though his predecessor had, and to ensure refusal of a previous development which similarly threatened 'crime and disorder and community safety' on a much lesser scale) and the Secretary of State was 'not minded to intervene'. And it has turned out that there is not amongst them a single responsible individual of a character sufficient to be willing to give voice to the law of the land!

Even the Independent Government Inspector appointed by Secretary of State still continues to progress the adoption of a criminal choice of 'core strategic site' on behalf of BOCMPauls Ltd and their carpetbagger promoters Spawforths Ltd. And even after my supplying evidence of the land theft involved, and my pointing out that the 1984 legal injunction against such a development finds representation as recently as July 2011 in the Draft National Planning Framework (DNPF).

The seven areas of inter connected criminality, and eminently visible to a layman are

1] Criminal contravention of Planning Law – circumvention by Local Authority and Chief Planning Officer in pursuing adoption of a planning application in full knowledge that planning grounds exist for refusal of the planning application and that the Council's acceptance for adoption as 'core strategic site' constitutes a criminal contravention of The Law & Disorder Act 1984 in that it threatens life and limb and livelihood of forty Ousebank families :

2] Criminal theft of land - falsification of land boundaries by the landowner/ landowner's promoter's 'Updated Masterplan and Delivery Document: Dec 2010' (UMaDD), and wilful acceptance by the Council and Chief Planner of the falsified Document to support the Council's choice of a 'core strategic site' that contravenes a previous planning decision, the

19/01/2012

1984 Law & Disorder Act, and the current Draft National Planning Framework.

3] Council instigation and perpetuation of Criminal Trespass by adoption of the 'core strategic site' : trespass on a mass and permanent scale that would have to be contested by Ousebank residents having owner occupier liability for their unadopted roads

4] Criminal Negligence in the landowner promoting and the Council accepting the site for a housing development in full knowledge that no inspection had been carried out ('UMaDD's 'Introduction' reveals lack of Inspection) to reveal the visible dangers, dangers presented to the Independent Government Inspector and every interested party, that will always offer threat to the lives and property of some 4,000 prospective inhabitants.

5] Criminal Conspiracy – two or more people agreed to break the law :

6] Perverting the course of justice by removing evidence of an extant planning decision that would reveal the grounds for refusal of planning permission, and grounds that should have prevented Council acceptance and promotion to adoption of the 'core strategic site' :

7] Fraudulent presentation in 'Selby and District Site Allocations Document' (SaDSAD) of the criminally chosen 'core strategic site' as 'already benefitting from planning permission' to encourage investors (and deter 'knowledgeable' protest).

---

It is an appalling state of affairs and a dreadful burden of responsibility when a private individual has to lose nearly a year of life trawling through the near incomprehensible in the seemingly continually failing attempt to try to prevent a constant future danger to his and his neighbours families' lives, and the future lives of a thousand other families!

And it is beyond comprehension that those paid well to act on behalf of those they represent will not produce over a year of canvassing at least one person of weight to aid in this effort.

Is there no one left in this Britain of the supposed Great who is not willingly blind?

Is there indeed no one left in this Britain of the supposed Great, who would insist on the law of the land being obeyed?

[A copy of this submission will be submitted to the Courts of the Land, as were copies of my previous submissions under my 1532 reference number, which will you please confirm are also to be submitted to the Secretary of State?]

**helen gregory**

---

**From:**

**Sent:** 06 January 2012 12:18

**To:** ldf

**Subject:** LDF ref 1523: '05/01/12 > 24/02/12 6 week consultation' submission 1 & 5/01/12

Sirs/Madames,

'The Rampant Criminality permeating Selby District Council Core Strategy' has been forwarded to the Independent Police Complaints Commission seeking assistance to enforce the law and to prevent grant of planning permission by a Secretary of State unconcerned about criminality, a planning permission once granted that will be virtually impossible to overturn.

This the nature of UK planning law, under warning from Europe for infringing law and with fines for contraventions having to be paid locally by taxpayers who would prefer not to be the last slaves in Europe to the 'Lords of Creation', Chief Planners, who can wilfully ignore Article 6 of the ECHR and thereby permit such criminality to arise unable to be contested, but for which local taxpayers will be fined!

I have consequently forwarded a copy to the European Commissioner on the Environment to adumbrate the result of the Law Lords (also informed @ucimup) overruling of what has to be considered unequivocally as an essential element of civilised governance, the RIGHT to an Independent Tribunal where planning applications are concerned - Europe, Germany and France especially, prospered from all knowingly being un-enslaved and consequently pulling together!

Now that the current planning laws that would have been 'thrown into total chaos by Article 6.' will soon cease to exist, and the 'Localism Bill's statutory right to vote on local planning has been severely diluted because of the £6,000 cost of each local referendum, there seems to exist no reasonable objection possible to UK citizens being released from enslavement to the 'Lords of Creation' by re-institution by the Government of the ECHR Article 6. right to Independent Tribunal where planning is concerned.

With little regard

Ian T Hinchey Ousebank resident where 40 families live in continual dread of permanent threat to life and limb and livelihood.

19/01/2012

**ryan king**

---

**From:**

**Sent:** 24 January 2012 12:12

**To:** adrian.spawforth@spawforths.co.uk

**Subject:** Attempting to further progress a Criminal Enterprise.

KNOW THIS,

if I do not receive by return, and a copy of the returned reply is not subsequently received by all Ousebank residents, your written promise to desist from attempting to progress further a criminal enterprise (for which further criminal liability will be incurred), one that contravenes the 1984 Law & Disorder Act in its several contraventions of Planning Law (including the July 2011 Draft National Planning Framework) and also contravention of the 1968 Theft Act and by criminal negligence offering threat to 4,000 lives, and especially by your giving notice of the cancellation of the proposed meetings at Barlby Bridge CP School on February 8th, March 7th and 21st March, I will file at a police station for the indictment of all parties involved in the criminal conspiracy regarding a profitable (£40 million) criminal enterprise, and as interim prevention prior to all issues coming before the courts where I have lodged them for the certain consideration of the Director of Public Prosecutions by means of legal prescription quoted in my submission.

(Copy to : Eric Pickles, Senior Civil Servants 'Communities, MEP, MP, Chief Constable, Chief Planner, Planners, Head of Council, Councillors, various interested companies and individuals, and all Ousebank residents)

Ian T Hinchey

Ousebank where 40 families live in continual dread of permanent threat to life and limb and livelihood.