

Contact Details (only complete once)

Please provide contact details and agent details, if appointed.

	Personal Details	Agents Details (if applicable)
Title	Mr	
First Name	Matthew	
Last Name	Naylor	
Job Title (where relevant)	Development Planner	
Organisation	Yorkshire Water	
Address Line 1	Western House	
Address Line 2	Western Way	
Address Line 3	Halifax Road, Bradford	
County	W Yorks	
Postcode	BD6 2LZ	
Telephone No.	01274692916	
Email address	matthew.naylor@yorkshirewater.co.uk	

You only need to complete this page once. If you wish to make more than one representation, attach additional copies of Part B (pages 3 and 4) to this part of the representation form.

It will be helpful if you can provide an email address so we can contact you electronically.

Part B (please use a separate sheet (pages 3 and 4) for each representation)

Please identify the part of the Core Strategy to which this representation refers:

Section No.	<input type="text"/>	Policy No.	<input type="text" value="CP1"/>	Paragraph No.	<input type="text"/>
Map No.	<input type="text"/>	Figure No.	<input type="text"/>	Other	<input type="text"/>

Question 1: Do you consider the DPD is:

1.1 Legally compliant Yes No

1.2 Sound Yes No

If you have entered No to 1.2, please continue to Q2. In all other circumstances, please go to Q3.

Question 2: If you consider the DPD is unsound, please identify which test of soundness your representation relates to:

(Please note you should complete separate Part B (pages 3 and 4) of this form for each test of soundness the Core Strategy fails.)

- 2.1 Justified (Please identify just one test for this representation)
- 2.2 Effective
- 2.3 Consistent with national policy

Question 3: Please give details of why you consider the Core Strategy DPD is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the DPD, please also use this box to set out your comments.

Yorkshire Water supports Policy CP1 as it will ensure new development is directed to areas with existing infrastructure capacity and avoid areas with existing constraints on the local infrastructure.

Question 4: Please provide details of what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Core Strategy DPD legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified in Q2 where this relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the Core Strategy DPD legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

(Continue on a separate sheet if submitting a hard copy)

PLEASE NOTE your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination. For further information on the stages see The Planning Inspectorate website (http://www.planning-inspectorate.gov.uk/pins/appeals/local_dev/index.htm)

Question 5: Can your representation seeking a change be considered by written representations, or do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

5.1 Written Representations

5.2 Attend Examination

5.3 If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary

(Your request will be considered by the Inspector, however, attendance at the Examination in Public is by invitation only).

Representation Submission Acknowledgement

I acknowledge that I am making a formal representation under Regulation 27 of the Town and Country Planning (Local Development)(England) Regulations 2008. I understand that my name (and organisation where applicable) and representation will be made publically available during the public examination period of the Core Strategy in order to ensure that it is a fair and transparent process.

I agree with this statement and wish to submit the above representation for consideration.

Signed

Dated

Part B (please use a separate sheet (pages 3 and 4) for each representation)

Please identify the part of the Core Strategy to which this representation refers:

Section No.	<input type="text"/>	Policy No.	<input type="text"/>	Paragraph No.	<input type="text" value="5.40 & 5.41"/>
Map No.	<input type="text"/>	Figure No.	<input type="text"/>	Other	<input type="text"/>

Question 1: Do you consider the DPD is:

1.1 Legally compliant Yes No

1.2 Sound Yes No

If you have entered No to 1.2, please continue to Q2. In all other circumstances, please go to Q3.

Question 2: If you consider the DPD is unsound, please identify which test of soundness your representation relates to:

(Please note you should complete separate Part B (pages 3 and 4) of this form for each test of soundness the Core Strategy fails.)

2.1 Justified (Please identify just one test for this representation)

2.2 Effective

2.3 Consistent with national policy

Question 3: Please give details of why you consider the Core Strategy DPD is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the DPD, please also use this box to set out your comments.

Yorkshire Water supports the approach Selby DC has taken to the phasing of new development. Yorkshire Water has capacity in their strategic infrastructure, i.e. the waste water treatment works serving Selby, to accommodate the existing Local Plan allocations and committed sites as we included these in our 2008 growth forecasts for the current investment period, 2010-2015.

Question 4: Please provide details of what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Core Strategy DPD legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified in Q2 where this relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the Core Strategy DPD legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

(Continue on a separate sheet if submitting a hard copy)

PLEASE NOTE your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination. For further information on the stages see The Planning Inspectorate website (http://www.planning-inspectorate.gov.uk/pins/appeals/local_dev/index.htm)

Question 5: Can your representation seeking a change be considered by written representations, or do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

5.1 Written Representations

5.2 Attend Examination

5.3 If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary

(Your request will be considered by the Inspector, however, attendance at the Examination in Public is by invitation only).

Representation Submission Acknowledgement

I acknowledge that I am making a formal representation under Regulation 27 of the Town and Country Planning (Local Development)(England) Regulations 2008. I understand that my name (and organisation where applicable) and representation will be made publically available during the public examination period of the Core Strategy in order to ensure that it is a fair and transparent process.

I agree with this statement and wish to submit the above representation for consideration.

Signed

Dated

Part B (please use a separate sheet (pages 3 and 4) for each representation)

Please identify the part of the Core Strategy to which this representation refers:

Section No.	<input type="text"/>	Policy No.	<input type="text" value="CP8"/>	Paragraph No.	<input type="text"/>
Map No.	<input type="text"/>	Figure No.	<input type="text"/>	Other	<input type="text"/>

Question 1: Do you consider the DPD is:

1.1 Legally compliant Yes No

1.2 Sound Yes No

If you have entered No to 1.2, please continue to Q2. In all other circumstances, please go to Q3.

Question 2: If you consider the DPD is unsound, please identify which test of soundness your representation relates to:

(Please note you should complete separate Part B (pages 3 and 4) of this form for each test of soundness the Core Strategy fails.)

- 2.1 Justified (Please identify just one test for this representation)
- 2.2 Effective
- 2.3 Consistent with national policy

Question 3: Please give details of why you consider the Core Strategy DPD is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the DPD, please also use this box to set out your comments.

Yorkshire Water supports Policy CP8 as it ensures new development is either located in areas with existing infrastructure capacity; or will be developed in coordination with any necessary new infrastructure, and where required, allow developer contributions to be sought.

Question 4: Please provide details of what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Core Strategy DPD legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified in Q2 where this relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the Core Strategy DPD legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

(Continue on a separate sheet if submitting a hard copy)

PLEASE NOTE your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination. For further information on the stages see The Planning Inspectorate website (http://www.planning-inspectorate.gov.uk/pins/appeals/local_dev/index.htm)

Question 5: Can your representation seeking a change be considered by written representations, or do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

5.1 Written Representations

5.2 Attend Examination

5.3 If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary

(Your request will be considered by the Inspector, however, attendance at the Examination in Public is by invitation only).

Representation Submission Acknowledgement

I acknowledge that I am making a formal representation under Regulation 27 of the Town and Country Planning (Local Development)(England) Regulations 2008. I understand that my name (and organisation where applicable) and representation will be made publically available during the public examination period of the Core Strategy in order to ensure that it is a fair and transparent process.

I agree with this statement and wish to submit the above representation for consideration.

Signed

Dated

Part B (please use a separate sheet (pages 3 and 4) for each representation)

Please identify the part of the Core Strategy to which this representation refers:

Section No.	<input type="text"/>	Policy No.	<input type="text" value="CP12"/>	Paragraph No.	<input type="text"/>
Map No.	<input type="text"/>	Figure No.	<input type="text"/>	Other	<input type="text"/>

Question 1: Do you consider the DPD is:

1.1 Legally compliant Yes No

1.2 Sound Yes No

If you have entered No to 1.2, please continue to Q2. In all other circumstances, please go to Q3.

Question 2: If you consider the DPD is unsound, please identify which test of soundness your representation relates to:

(Please note you should complete separate Part B (pages 3 and 4) of this form for each test of soundness the Core Strategy fails.)

- 2.1 Justified (Please identify just one test for this representation)
- 2.2 Effective
- 2.3 Consistent with national policy

Question 3: Please give details of why you consider the Core Strategy DPD is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the DPD, please also use this box to set out your comments.

Yorkshire Water supports Policy CP12 as it ensures water resources, which supply clean drinking water, will be protected from new development which could have a potentially damaging effect.

It also requires all new development to use sustainable drainage techniques and water efficient technology.

Question 4: Please provide details of what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Core Strategy DPD legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified in Q2 where this relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the Core Strategy DPD legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

(Continue on a separate sheet if submitting a hard copy)

PLEASE NOTE your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination. For further information on the stages see The Planning Inspectorate website (http://www.planning-inspectorate.gov.uk/pins/appeals/local_dev/index.htm)

Question 5: Can your representation seeking a change be considered by written representations, or do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

5.1 Written Representations

5.2 Attend Examination

5.3 If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary

(Your request will be considered by the Inspector, however, attendance at the Examination in Public is by invitation only).

Representation Submission Acknowledgement

I acknowledge that I am making a formal representation under Regulation 27 of the Town and Country Planning (Local Development)(England) Regulations 2008. I understand that my name (and organisation where applicable) and representation will be made publically available during the public examination period of the Core Strategy in order to ensure that it is a fair and transparent process.

I agree with this statement and wish to submit the above representation for consideration.

Signed

Dated