240

Selby District Council Local Plan Consultation

"PLAN Selby" (The Sites and Policies Local Plan)

Initial Consultation Comments Form

"PLAN Selby" is the Sites and Policies Local Plan which the Council is developing to deliver the strategic vision outlined in the Core Strategy that was adopted in 2013. When adopted, PLAN Selby will form part of the Local Plan for the District against which planning applications will be assessed.

This consultation is the first stage in our on-going dialogue with you and we hope that you will take time to respond to it and help us move forward. The responses to this consultation will help inform our work and shape the District for the future.

Comments are therefore invited as part of this Initial Consultation.

Please use this form to make your comments.

Please read the main document PLAN Selby and associated papers, which are available on the Council's website at www.selby.gov.uk/PLANSelby and at local libraries and Public Council offices.

You will need to see what is in PLAN Selby in order to make your comments. It contains a wide range of issues and specific questions on which we would like your views. Please make sure you are clear about which part of PLAN Selby you are commenting on and ensure we have your full contact details so we can take your comments into account and so that we can contact you about the next stages.

Completed comments forms must be received by the Council no later than 5pm on Monday 19th January 2015

Contact Details - Please provide contact details and agent details, if appointed				
	Personal Details	Agent Details (if applicable)		
Name	roy coultish			
Address				
Addiess	S			
Postcode				
Telephone no				
Email address				

Comment(s)

Please ensure you i	provide reference	to the Question a	nd Topic area fo	or each comment	you wish to make
---------------------	-------------------	-------------------	------------------	-----------------	------------------

Topic / Chapter	How should Bray	ton grow and deve	elop Chapter five		
Question no.	Q43	Paragraph			
Brayton is a rural community and many chose to live there because of that. The particular impact of development into the countryside varies in each settlement. Previous responses show that Brayton residents are receptive to appropriate growth. They wish to retain the village feel and character, spreading the impact in any one area and prevent hot-spots, with a number of smaller developments. Barff Lane links the village green to Brayton Barff, historically regarded as a local beauty spot. This approach from the village is through open countryside, a landscape setting familiar to everyone and frequented and enjoyed on a daily basis by the wider community. It is a cherished part of our rural heritage. In that context, previous respondents cited particular development concerns regarding this landscape setting and views of the barff. These widespread concerns still prevail. They relate to peoples perception and regular interaction with this rural attribute and what it means to them in its present form. I fail to see the relevance of the Councils recorded response to those specific concerns, that: site-specific designs will enhance the village from bypass views?? Walks and a cycle path are indicated on the disused railway embankment. This would be immediatly behind a recently proposed development. A further three fields on the opposite (i.e.Barff) side, that provide the above setting, are also now the subject of development interest. Growth plans by the planners should include protection and enhancement of this important landscape of significant environmental and amenity value. This could include the designation of Brayton Barff as Local reen Space and the countryside between it and development limits, Locally Important Landscape (Env15.) This would serve to deter developers, who are currently picking off these fields at will. It would also facilitate priority sequencing, allowing for some of the land to be released later, outside the plan period. It would help achieve other aims of the Core Strategy document (and N					
Topic / Chapter	Developme	nt in the countrysic	de Chapter 4		
Question no.	34	Paragraph	b (4.22)		
fundamental No nuntryside. Lo the local enviro for better return e.g. detached to particular locati	ation should follow the priority sequencing.	ent existing attribu st efficient use of I eration. Big develo maller, niche devel tisfy an identified n impact of a succe	Ites including, access to the pleasant land, without compromising the quality of opers want bigger sites, preferably rural, lopers, to provide a better mix and quality need, as well as release family houses. In ession of independently developed adjacent		

Comment(s)

Please ensure you pro	vide reference to the Question and Topic area for each comment you wish to make.	
Topic / Chapter	Development limits	

TOPICS CHEPTO						
Question no.	22	Paragraph				
Housing growth is to an assessed need for the whole of the plan period. There is sufficient identified and deliverable land, within development limits, for Brayton to fulfill its contribution over the plan period. In addition, two recent suitable and non intrusive sites have recently become available. Considerable development has recently been approved in the District solely because of the 5 year implications, which otherwise would not have been approved. Extending limits would compound this unchecked 'development'. In the case of Brayton, the indentation off Mill line on the western side could be squared off and the limits then kept tight, i.e, as is. Development beyond should then be robustly resisted as outlined in my responses earlier in this document. Namely, Env 15 or other environmental protection measures. Special care needs to be taken. All (or much more than) of Brayton's 'growth target' for the whole of the original plan period, could soon end up in that one area, with 12 years still to go (new baseline 31.3.2015. Growth needs to be in the right location and at the right time and pace. Sustainable growth has to be balanced against other important plan objectives (environmental protection etc). It is vital, in a rural community that the settlement does not overgrow, especially disproportionately. Development limits are a key control mechanism. To commit these fields via planning permission at this time, would not constitute sustainable development. It would destroy, the village feel, rural character and the identity of the settlement. It would be at the loss of a highly valued environmental amenity. Limit is the key word.						
	(Text is limited to the available area to en	nsure all text is visi	ble. Continue on a seperate sheet if necessary)			
Topic / Chapter						
Question no.		Paragraph				

Topic / Chapter	SITE ALLOCATIO	N CHAPTE	12 3.
Question no.	08	Paragraph	

To provide a range of sites depending on the location, uts visibility and impact. With additional contingency sites, within existing limits, to make provision for under delivery by appropriately discounting outstanding Planning pennission is fermission granted.

(Text is limited to the available area to ensure all text is visible. Continue on a seperate sheet if necessary)

Page 3 of 4

, t				S.
(Text is limited)	to the available area to	ensure all text is visil	ole. Continue on a seperate sheet if nec	essary)
Comment Submission Statem				
Comments must be made in a some personal identifying details confidentially. Where practical, presented that all identifications.	will be published personal identifiers	in a public regist may be redacte	ter and cannot be treated ed, however Selby District Cou	
Signed	-	Dated	19. 1. 2015	
Please ensure you sa	ave a copy of y computer befor	our complete e sending by	ed comments form to you email	ur
Completed com	ments forms	must be re	ceived by the Counci	1

no later than 5pm on Monday 19th January 2015

Policy and Strategy Team, Selby District Council, Civic Centre,

ldf@selby.gov.uk

Doncaster Road, Selby YO8 9FT

Email:

Post to:

Additional Comments - Please provide any additional comments you may wish to make.

Page 4 of 4