

## Sophie King

---

**From:** L RAYMENT  
**Sent:** 19 January 2015 16:58  
**To:** LDF  
**Subject:** PLAN selby response

Submitted by

Pauline Rayment

### Chapter 2 Key Aims & Objectives of PLAN Selby

Q5 a) It seems that Green Belt boundaries are going to be altered to accommodate development. Fundamentally wrong.

### Chapter 3 Key Issues

Q6 a) Seems ok

b) Infrastructure needs should be very high on the list. New homes are being built and then suffering flooding or drainage/sewerage problems.

New homes must not be built unless the infrastructure can support them.

### T1 Providing Homes

Q7 a) Yes

b) Windfall developments should be counted towards targets. In our village we have had approx. 60 houses built as 'windfall' over the last 10 years.

Our systems are struggling to cope - and often don't.

Q8 a) No

b) Selby must have a measurable plan. They must work with certainties.

Q9 a) Yes

b) Yes - general services - education, transport, etc

Q10 Yes - sustainability is the key

Q11 Use the service centres. Sherburn has all facilities necessary to support families and individuals.

### T3 Promoting Prosperity

Q17 In our rural county, agriculture should be supported at all times and helped to diversify.

Q22 There should be tight development limits to prevent sprawl - and builders taking advantage.

### T4 Infrastructure Needs

Q25 Surface drainage and sewerage capacity should be treated as two separate issues. It's a big problem in our village.

## Chapter 4

Q 32 All new builds should carry sufficient parking to reflect car ownership of today's society.  
New builds should not add to parking problems.

Chapter 5

Q41 Within the confines of the already over-stretched services.

Kind regards

Pauline Rayment