

004.nb/YOR.2209

19th January 2015

Selby PLAN – Policies and Strategy Team Selby District Council Civic Centre Doncaster Road Selby YO8 9FT

Dear Sir / Madam,

Local Plan Consultation: PLAN Selby Initial Consultation Winter 2014/15

I write in relation to the above mentioned Local Plan consultation document on behalf of my client Makin Enterprises and enclose a response form to the Initial PLAN Selby Consultation Document and a completed 'Call for Sites' form. The accompanying site location plan is applicable to both the representation and the Call for Sites form.

I trust that the enclosed sets out our client's comments on the consultation document.

I would be grateful if you could confirm receipt of these submissions.

Yours faithfully,

NICOLA BERRY

Associate

Enc.

E-mail: nicola.berry@pegasuspg.co.uk

Comments Form (our ref. YOR.2209.004.01)
Call for Sites Form (our ref. YOR.2209.004.02)

Site Location Plan

cc. Mr C. Makin, Makin Enterprises

Pavilion Court, Green Lane, Garforth, Leeds, LS25 2AF T 0113 287 8200 F 0113 287 8229 www.pegasuspg.co.uk



Registered Office: Pegasus House, Querns Business Centre, Whitworth Road, Cirencester, Gloucestershire, GL7 1RT



Selby District Council Local Plan Consultation

"PLAN Selby" (The Sites and Policies Local Plan)

Initial Consultation Comments Form

"PLAN Selby" is the Sites and Policies Local Plan which the Council is developing to deliver the strategic vision outlined in the Core Strategy that was adopted in 2013. When adopted, PLAN Selby will form part of the Local Plan for the District against which planning applications will be assessed.

This consultation is the first stage in our on-going dialogue with you and we hope that you will take time to respond to it and help us move forward. The responses to this insultation will help inform our work and shape the District for the future.

Comments are therefore invited as part of this Initial Consultation.

Please use this form to make your comments.

Please read the main document PLAN Selby and associated papers, which are available on the Council's website at www.selby.gov.uk/PLANSelby and at local libraries and Public Council offices.

You will need to see what is in PLAN Selby in order to make your comments. It contains a wide range of issues and specific questions on which we would like your views. Please make sure you are clear about which part of PLAN Selby you are commenting on and ensure we have your full contact details so we can take your comments into account and so that we can contact you about the next stages.

Completed comments forms must be received by the Council no later than 5pm on Monday 19th January 2015

Contact Det	ails - Please provide contact details and agent de	etails, if appointed
	Personal Details	Agent Details (if applicable)
Name	Makin Enterprises	Nicola Berry
Address	c/o Agent	Pegasus Group Pavilion Court Green Lane Garforth Leeds West Yorkshire
Postcode	c/o Agent	LS25 2AF
Telephone no.		
Email address	c/o Agent	nicola.berry@pegasuspg.co.uk

Comment(s)

Please ensure you provide reference to the Question and	Topic area for each	comment you wish to make.
---	---------------------	---------------------------

Topic / Chapter	Aims & Objectives, T3 D	efining Areas for P	romoting Development
Question no.	Q5	Paragraph	2.3, 3.103-3.113

The NPPF is clear that when exceptional circumstances exist to justify reviewing Green Belt boundaries local planning authorities should take account of the need to promote sustainable patterns of development (NPPF, paragraph 84). Any Green Belt boundary review undertaken as part of PLAN Selby should consider the consequences for sustainable development of channelling development towards non-Green Belt sites; urban areas inside the Green Belt; and towards towns, and villages inset within the Green Belt.

In regard to `Safeguarded Land' (Q24) reference is made to paragraph 85 of the NPPF which states that the identification of safeguarded land between the urban area and the Green Belt should only be defined where necessary. No assumption should be made that safeguarded land is necessary until appropriate evidence has been gathered. It is therefore inappropriate to state that designating safeguarded land is an objective of PLAN Selby (paragraph 2.3). We suggest that this wording is revised, for example: designate safeguarded land if found to be needed.

(Text is limited to the available area to ensure all text is visible. Continue on a seperate sheet if necessary)

Topic / Chapter	Special P	olicy Area / Other A	llocations
Question no.	Q19, Q21	Paragraph	3.74, 5.92

PLAN Selby should ensure that it plans positively for development in the District - this should be a forward-looking plan that makes the most of the District's assets. Opportunities to define areas for promoting development and flexible uses of land should be seized. We support the designation of Special Policy Areas within the emerging PLAN Selby document.

As noted in the adopted Core Strategy, current and former military airfields and associated buildings are a key feature of the District's historic environment (Core Strategy paragraph 2.19). Where opportunities exist PLAN Selby should identify and support these existing strategic sites through the delineation and allocation of Special Policy Areas. In particular we consider that the aerodrome at RAF Church Fenton should be designated as a Special Policy Area. A plan illustrating the extent of the aerodrome and a Call For Sites form accompany this representation.

Since the adoption of the Core Strategy RAF Church Fenton aerodrome has been disposed of by the Ministry of Defence (MoD) and is now in private ownership. The purchase of the site by Makin Enterprises represents a significant investment in Selby district and a huge opportunity for the District's residents and businesses and beyond. The strategic importance of this brownfield site merits positive management and regeneration through the plan-making process.

We reserve the right to comment further on this matter during subsequent stages of consultation on PLAN Selby.

Comment(s)

Please ensure you	i provide reference	to the Question and	opic area for each	n comment you wish to make.
-------------------	---------------------	---------------------	--------------------	-----------------------------

Topic / Chapter	Development	Management Polic	ies Discussion
Question no.	Q37	Paragraph	
policies to set 011, Reference Development including the relevant evide area. All saves	nent Management policies of PLAN Sell out principles that may be common to be ID: 12-011-20140306). Management policies must be consisted presumption in favour of sustainable of ence about the economic, social and end policies in existing development planeviewed as part of PLAN Selby. (Text is limited to the available area to en	ent with the printlevelopment and only in the printlevelopment and only in a dopted prior	of development (PPG, Paragraph: aciples and policies of the Framework d based on adequate, up-to-date and aracteristics and prospects of the
Topic / Chapter	Ch	napter 5 (Settlemen	ts)
Question no.	Q47	Paragraph	5.4-5.7, 5.9, 5.65-5.66, 5.92
remains in Mo comprising las private owner Care should b Church Fentor aerodrome is	of of the PLAN Selby Initial Consultation use". This is no longer the case - the dand buildings at the aerodrome was ship. A plan showing this area of land taken in the emerging PLAN Selby do interchangeably, for instance `airbas not the same as that within the prese ferenced in the adopted Core Strategy	e land at RAF C s disposed of in is appended to ocument when a se', `airfield' and ntly defined dev	hurch Fenton remaining in MoD use December 2014 and is now in this representation. referencing land at former RAF d `aerodrome' as the extent of the
Airbase should consistency w sustainable de	that saved Local Plan Policy CFA/1 and be reviewed comprehensively with the principles and policies of the Nevelopment, and based on adequate, that and environmental characteristics	he recently disponder PPF including the up-to-date and the second records the second rec	osed of aerodrome land to ensure e presumption in favour of relevant evidence about local
Makin Enterpr Special Policy	rises proposes that the former RAF Ch Area.	urch Fenton aer	odrome should be designated as a Cont.

Additional Comments - Please provide any additional comments you may wish to make.

ontinued from page 3, above (response to Q47)	
aragraph 5.92 which states that "PLAN Selby is not generally intending to allocation developm is sewhere in the District (outside the three towns and the 18 Designated Service Villages)". As sentioned in response to Q19 and Q21, Special Policy Area designations are necessary to position particular strategic sites. Special Policy Areas, such as that proposed for the aerodrome at R hurch Fenton, are not always to be found in Selby, Tadcaster, Sherburn-in-Elmet or the Servicial Policy Areas will be the exception to paragraph 5.92.	ively pla
(Text is limited to the available area to ensure all text is visible. Continue on a seperate sheet if	necessan/

C

all or in writing if they are to be considered. Your comments and some personal identifying details will be published in a public register and cannot be treated confidentially. Where practical, personal identifiers may be redacted, however Selby District Council cannot guarantee that all identifiers will be removed prior to publication of consultation records.

Signed

Nicola Berry for Makin Enterprises

Dated

19.01.2015

Please ensure you save a copy of your completed comments form to your computer before sending by email

Completed comments forms must be received by the Council no later than 5pm on Monday 19th January 2015

Email:

ldf@selby.gov.uk

Post to:

Policy and Strategy Team, Selby District Council, Civic Centre,

Doncaster Road, Selby YO8 9FT

