

Selby District Council Local Plan Consultation

"PLAN Selby" (The Sites and Policies Local Plan)

Initial Consultation Comments Form

"PLAN Selby" is the Sites and Policies Local Plan which the Council is developing to deliver the strategic vision outlined in the Core Strategy that was adopted in 2013. When adopted, PLAN Selby will form part of the Local Plan for the District against which planning applications will be assessed.

This consultation is the first stage in our on-going dialogue with you and we hope that you will take time to respond to it and help us move forward. The responses to this possultation will help inform our work and shape the District for the future.

Comments are therefore invited as part of this Initial Consultation.

Please use this form to make your comments.

Please read the main document PLAN Selby and associated papers, which are available on the Council's website at www.selby.gov.uk/PLANSelby and at local libraries and Public Council offices.

You will need to see what is in PLAN Selby in order to make your comments. It contains a wide range of issues and specific questions on which we would like your views. Please make sure you are clear about which part of PLAN Selby you are commenting on and ensure we have your full contact details so we can take your comments into account and so that we can contact you about the next stages.

Completed comments forms must be received by the Council no later than 5pm on Monday 19th January 2015

Contact D	Details - Please provide contact details and ag	ent details, if appointed
	Personal Details	Agent Details (if applicable)
Name	Mrs Lynda Anderson-Coe	
Address		
Postcode		
Telephone i	no. [-	
Email addre	ess	

Comment(s)

Please ensure you provide reference to the Question and	Topic area for eacl	comment you wish to make.
---	---------------------	---------------------------

Topic / Chapter	Climate Change and Renewable Energy						
Question no.	26 Paragraph a						
The target at SF by a policy that impact on the di	P17 should not be revised because it is irrelist designed to maximise renewable/low carristrict.	evant. Ideally it wo	ould be removed from SP17 and replaced the away that it minimises the adverse				
residents in the	erate another 1000 MW from biomass, why 250MW instead or as well. 100 x 410 wind Selby District than a single power station. As fact that the power stations need to be on	l turbines would h Add to that the ine	ave a much greater impact on the				
Selby should loo The more efficie	ok at the bigger picture - yes, renewable endent ways of producing energy should be the	ergy forms are vita ones that are end	al; no-one can deny that but at what cost?				
	(Text is limited to the available area to er	nsure all text is visib	ole. Continue on a seperate sheet if necessary)				
Topic / Chapter	Topic / Chapter Climate Change and Renewable Energy						
Question no.	p. 26 Paragraph b						
encourages sola but not to do this	which exceed national standards? The 10% on existing roofs and encourages other eres in such a way that it is dependant on the left need additional statements.	nergy resource eff	ficiency such as heat pumps and biomass.				

Comment(s)

Please ensure	VOLL P	provide reference	to the	Question	and T	onic area f	or each	comment	vou wish t	to make
Fiease clisule	y ւս լ	MONING LEIGIEUCE	to the	Question	anu	Opic area i	OI CACII	COMMISSION	you wish	to make.

	<u></u>	Climate Change and Renewable Energy							
Question no.	26		Paragraph	d					
because develo	pers would still be able	to propose them on	any other site. It	so would not limit them to those areas would also have a potentially detrimental if housing and the impact on local service					
	(Text is limited to t	the available area to ε	ensure all text is visi	ble. Continue on a seperate sheet if necessary					
Opic / Chapter Climate Change and Renewable Energy									
Question no.	26		Paragraph	е					
		way to protect Selby	. Districtidente						
The District doe much in size. O surely continue So a minimum o turbines get eve	s not lend itself to the 2 over recent years we had over the next 15 years. distance which is linked en bigger. 2km was the terting point. That wou	2km blanket separat ave seen an increase to the size of turbing figure often used w	ion distance opera e in the height of t e is a better appra then the maximun	from the worst effects of wind turbines. Ited in some areas, and turbines vary so urbines used in wind farms and this will Deach and would protect communities as It height of turbines was125m, and this Ited a separation distance of just over 2.3km					
The District doe much in size. O surely continue So a minimum o turbines get even should be the start for 145m turbine. Minimum distant	s not lend itself to the 2 over recent years we had over the next 15 years. distance which is linked on bigger. 2km was the tarting point. That would be the control of the tarting point.	2km blanket separate ave seen an increase of the size of turbing figure often used wild give a multiplier of the size of turbing figure of the size of turbing figure of the size of turbing figure of the size of	ion distance opera e in the height of t e is a better appro then the maximun f 16 and would give	ated in some areas, and turbines vary so urbines used in wind farms and this will each and would protect communities as height of turbines was125m, and this					
The District doe much in size. O surely continue So a minimum o turbines get even should be the strong turbine. Minimum distan	s not lend itself to the 2 ever recent years we had over the next 15 years. distance which is linked an bigger. 2km was the tarting point. That would be set for d	2km blanket separate ave seen an increase of the size of turbing figure often used wild give a multiplier of the size of turbing figure of the size of turbing figure of the size of turbing figure of the size of	ion distance opera e in the height of t e is a better appro then the maximun f 16 and would give	ated in some areas, and turbines vary so urbines used in wind farms and this will each and would protect communities as height of turbines was125m, and this we a separation distance of just over 2.3km					
The District doe much in size. O surely continue So a minimum o surbines get eves should be the stor 145m turbine.	s not lend itself to the 2 ever recent years we had over the next 15 years. distance which is linked an bigger. 2km was the tarting point. That would be set for d	2km blanket separate ave seen an increase of the size of turbing figure often used wild give a multiplier of the size of turbing figure of the size of turbing figure of the size of turbing figure of the size of	ion distance opera e in the height of t e is a better appro then the maximun f 16 and would give	ated in some areas, and turbines vary so urbines used in wind farms and this will each and would protect communities as height of turbines was125m, and this we a separation distance of just over 2.3km					
The District doe much in size. O surely continue So a minimum o turbines get eves should be the sifor 145m turbine.	s not lend itself to the 2 ever recent years we had over the next 15 years. distance which is linked an bigger. 2km was the tarting point. That would be set for d	2km blanket separate ave seen an increase of the size of turbing figure often used wild give a multiplier of the size of turbing figure of the size of turbing figure of the size of turbing figure of the size of	ion distance opera e in the height of t e is a better appro then the maximun f 16 and would give	ated in some areas, and turbines vary so urbines used in wind farms and this will each and would protect communities as height of turbines was125m, and this we a separation distance of just over 2.3km					

Additional Comments - Please provide any additional comments you may wish to make.

26g
It is vital that Selby considers including a minimum separation distance for wind turbines in its current SDP. This will give the appropriate guidance to potential developers and it will also give stability to landowners and communities within the district. At the moment lives can be turned upside down for a period of several years whilst an application goes through the various processes. It may well be that the application is eventually turned down because the proposed wind farm is too close too housing but by that time lives can have been destroyed.
Do not leave this vital topic for a future SDP. Include it now.

Comment Submission Statement

All comments must be made in an email or in writing if they are to be considered. Your comments and some personal identifying details will be published in a public register and cannot be treated confidentially. Where practical, personal identifiers may be redacted, however Selby District Council cannot guarantee that all identifiers will be removed prior to publication of consultation records.

Signed Lynda Anderson-Coe **Dated** 19th January 2015

Please ensure you save a copy of your completed comments form to your computer before sending by email

Completed comments forms must be received by the Council no later than 5pm on Monday 19th January 2015

Email: ldf@selby.gov.uk

Post to: Policy and Strategy Team, Selby District Council, Civic Centre,

Doncaster Road, Selby YO8 9FT