

From

Martin Spencer

- **Infrastructure Needs**
Q25

Despite numerous studies on this issue Selby Planning Dept consistently ignore basic common sense in their allocation of land.

Their vision is to generate as much revenue as possible at the expense of the local community which is being systematically destroyed.

In your infrastructure delivery plan you cite that Eggborough/Whitley has good transport links. Do any of the people writing this document actually live here and try to use the links i.e. public transport, obviously not. Please obtain information about the area from people who live in that area not just a presumption.

- **SDLP Policies**
Q37

All of the old SDLP policies are so far out of date that they are totally irrelevant to the current time.

This is evident in this document as well citing that Kellingley mine as having employment opportunities. One might wish to watch the local and national news reporting that Kellingley mine is to close with the loss of some 700 jobs.

Please use up to date information - makes you look very unprofessional.

How do you want your constituents to view your operation, as a modern, efficient and well informed team or as a 3rd division player (what it looks like at the moment)?

- **Designated Service Villages**
Q48

There is no need for Eggborough/Whitley to grow. They are constrained by greenbelt and as such are at their maximum capacity if not over. The school is full, as is the doctor's surgery, water drainage is a significant problem as is road safety. Please look at the demand for housing, all your data is so far out of date as to be laughable. No self respecting department would rely on this data for a document with such potential for damage to the district both physically and reputation ally.

- **Overall Leaflet Document**

The comment about:

"Any new build settlements.....will look at building on previously developed land before "green field land".

I find difficult to swallow as a promise as this certainly is not the case in Eggborough where "green field land" is currently being attempted to build on.

Your vision stating "a wide range of housing and job opportunities to help create socially balanced and sustainable communities, which are less dependent on surrounding towns and cities" is at total odds to the latest development in Eggborough (Harron Homes) on each count with only 14% of the housing allocated to affordable when you have a policy of 40% minimum. How can we trust a council planning department that promotes a good policy only to "cow tow" to developers.

Selby District Council cannot adopt PLAN Selby unless they have involved everyone it thinks will be affected. To my knowledge this leaflet has not been distributed to everyone in the district and as stated in the leaflet it must consult with everyone (i.e. by definition all Selby District Council constituents) "by law". This is your very first failing of what I expect to be numerous. Please prove me wrong.

Finally when will you design a form that can be completed electronically by the majority of your audience. Please make your form a Word document that can be accessed, input onto and saved by over 90% of the world's computer population, come on, become inclusive not exclusive.

Martin Spencer

18th January 2015