

# Selby District Council Local Plan Consultation

### "PLAN Selby" (The Sites and Policies Local Plan)

### **Initial Consultation Comments Form**

"PLAN Selby" is the Sites and Policies Local Plan which the Council is developing to deliver the strategic vision outlined in the Core Strategy that was adopted in 2013. When adopted, PLAN Selby will form part of the Local Plan for the District against which planning applications will be assessed.

is consultation is the first stage in our on-going dialogue with you and we hope that you will take time to respond to it and help us move forward. The responses to this consultation will help inform our work and shape the District for the future.

Comments are therefore invited as part of this Initial Consultation.

Please use this form to make your comments.

Please read the main document PLAN Selby and associated papers, which are available on the Council's website at <a href="https://www.selby.gov.uk/PLANSelby">www.selby.gov.uk/PLANSelby</a> and at local libraries and Public Council offices.

You will need to see what is in PLAN Selby in order to make your comments. It contains a wide range of issues and specific questions on which we would like your views. Please make sure you are clear about which part of PLAN Selby you are commenting on and ensure we have your full contact details so we can take your comments into account and so that we can contact you about the next stages.

## Completed comments forms must be received by the Council no later than 5pm on Monday 19th January 2015

	Personal Details	Agent Details (if applicable)
lame	K Cusworth	
ddress		
ostcode		
elephone no		
mail address		

It will be helpful if you can provide an email address so we can contact you electronically

## Comment(s)

Please ensure you provide reference to the Question and Topic area for each comment you wish to make.

Topic / Chapter	Designated service villages				
Question no.	47a	Paragraph	Church Fenton		
a train station, but as the current HS2 plans	suitable for further significant deve trains are infrequent it doesn't offe s, with passengers between York a and Tadcaster, which for the great	er a regular transport service and London taking priority o			
The road links from C	Church Fenton will be insufficient to	support further developme	ent,		
The village is surrour	nded by green belt land, which sho	uld remain protected.			
area. With construction		to come with the inevitable	ther houses in an already blighted invasion on normal tife and following cluded from the development plans.		
Church Fenton shoul	d remain a village with it's current	identity.			
	(Text is limited to the available area	to ensure all text is visible. Co	ontinue on a seperate sheet if necessary		
Topic / Chapter					
Topic, chapter					
Question no.		Paragraph			
			2-22-10		
,					
	X				

## Comment(s)

pic / Chapter		
Question no.	Paragraph	
(Text is limited	d to the available area to ensure all text is visible. Continue on a seperate si	neet if necess
	d to the available area to ensure all text is visible. Continue on a seperate si	neet if necess
sic / Chapter		neet if necess
sic / Chapter	d to the available area to ensure all text is visible. Continue on a seperate si	neet if necess
sic / Chapter		neet if neces
sic / Chapter		neet if necess
ic / Chapter		neet if necess
uestion no.	Paragraph	neet if necess
uestion no.	Paragraph	neet if necess
uestion no.	Paragraph	neet if necess
uestion no.	Paragraph	neet if necess
uestion no.	Paragraph	neet if necess
uestion no.	Paragraph	neet if necess
uestion no.	Paragraph	neet if necess
uestion no.	Paragraph	neet if necess
uestion no.	Paragraph	neet if neces

Please ensure you provide reference to the Question and Topic area for each comment you wish to make.

(Text is limited to the available area to ensure all text is visible. Continue on a seperate sheet if necessary)

Additional Comments - Please provide any additional comments you may wish	to m	nake
---	------	------

The idea of a distinctive rural district as proposed, glosses over the reality of urban sprawl and the gradual destruction of our rural environment. Recent changes to the planning regulations are wrong to create a bias towards the granting of developments where possible. Instead incentives should be provided for re-generating existing urban areas first and councils given direction to enforce this priority.

There are 18 designated villages selected to be included in the proposals. It appears that many other villages have been ignored in the plans. Why should some villages be over-developed and others excluded?

Local councils should not use the same approach as HS2 Ltd in conducting their consultations. All consultations from HS2 Ltd have been a box ticking exercise, following procedure and ignoring the consensus of opinion.

(Text is limited to the available area to ensure all text is visible. Continue on a seperate sheet if necessary)

#### **Comment Submission Statement**

All comments must be made in an email or in writing if they are to be considered. Your comments and some personal identifying details will be published in a public register and cannot be treated confidentially. Where practical, personal identifiers may be redacted, however Selby District Council cannot guarantee that all identifiers will be removed prior to publication of consultation records.

Signed Dated 181115.

Please ensure you save a copy of your completed comments form to your computer before sending by email

Completed comments forms must be received by the Council no later than 5pm on Monday 19th January 2015

Email: Idf@selby.gov.uk

Post to: Policy and Strategy Team, Selby District Council, Civic Centre,

Doncaster Road, Selby YO8 9FT