

107

**Andrew McMillan**

**From:** mick rhodes [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 28 December 2012 17:26  
**To:** LDF  
**Subject:** Selby District Submission Draft Core Strategy Consultation on Further Proposed Changes (7th Set) November 2012 Representation Form

Hi

I have been trying to send a comment on the above form but was not able to do so' it kept saying the e-mail address was not available.

I have copied my comment below and i would ask that this be submitted.

Thank You

Mick Rhodes

Mr M Rhodes  
Peartree House  
Rawfield Lane  
Fairburn  
Knottingley  
WF11 9LD

[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

Mr M Rhodes 28/12/2012

I wish to make a comment regarding Fairburn being made a SECONDARY VILLAGE, rather than a DESIGNATED SERVICE VILLAGE.

Fairburn needs to be a Designated Service Village. Selby Council has always supported this and i can see no reason for this change. The only thing that seems to be problem with some people in the village is the amount of future housing being built if we were to remain a Designated service Village, there has been speculation that over 200 houses would be built. It is quite clear from the SADPD that Fairburn would only be allocated 2 sites, for up to 34 new houses to be built over the plan period and i believe that Fairburn would be able to accommodate these quite easily.

Selby Council were asked at an earlier EIP to look again at the status of Fairburn which they did and still came up with the same result, that Fairburn should remain a Designated Service Village, they based their answers on the information they had and the National Planning Policy Framework, no new information has been brought up so i cannot understand this change of direction.

We dont have a Village Shop or a Post Office and only a limited Bus Service, but this is the case in many villages and should not be used as an excuse to downgrade the village status, instead things need to be put in place, so in future years some of these services might be brought back into the village. What we do have is a thriving school and Community Centre, if we were to become a Secondary Village, then in time, even these might be at risk which would be devastating for the community.

Fairburn needs some limited growth to make sure that housing is available for those people who want to stay here or indeed those who would like to move here. I would ask the Council and the Inspector to look again at the status of Fairbrun and keep it as a Designated Service Village.