

WHITBY TOWN DEAL BOARD

THURSDAY 17TH FEBRUARY 2021

13:00 VIA ZOOM VIDEO CONFERENCE

DRAFT MINUTES

IN ATTENDANCE

Chair Barry Harland BH Whitby Seafood	James Farrar JF YNY LEP
Mike Greene MG SBC	Alex Richards AR SBC
Cllr Linda Wild LW WTC	Kerry Levitt KL SBC
Michael King MK WTC	Leigh Brand LB SBC
Cllr Steve Siddons SS SBC	Tricia Kane TK MHCLG
Marc Cole MC SBC	Rob Harbourne RH
Nick Edwards NE SBC	Paul Fellows PF NYMNPA
Chris Burrows CB SBC	Richard Marr RM Agenda Item 4 NYCC
Carol Rehill CR SBC	Nigel Robson NR Agenda item 5 Sanctuary
Liz Small LS NYCC	Dan Starkey DS Agenda Item 5 Spawforths
Richard Flinton RF NYCC	Carl Gavaghan CG SBC
Helen Jackson HJ SBC	Ellis Cooper EC Minutes SBC
Mel Bonney-Kane MBK CaVCA	
Robert Goodwill RG MP	

1. APOLOGIES

- Rosemary DuRose, Beyond Housing
- Clare Harrigan, Beyond Housing
- Matt Parson, Anglo American
- Karl Battersby, NYCC
- Mark Williamson

2. DECLERATIONS OF INTEREST

2.1. To be declared before the project.

3. MINUTES OF LAST MEETING AND MATTERS ARISING

3.1. Minutes of the meeting held on Thursday 17th February 2022 agreed as a true record.

4. HARBOUR SIDE PUBLIC REALM

4.1. RM presented the Full Business Case for the Harbour Side Public Realm.

4.2. LW queries the reduction of car parking spaces within Whitby, issues at Spital Bridge. RM states that interactive signs and a turn circle is needed to help these issues.

4.3. SS questions why full costings haven't been done for the project and the risk this poses to the Business Case. RM states that £180,000 in utility diversions is factored in based on previous projects.

4.4. MK queries the four conditions that need to be met, is it time bound and what is needed to comply subsidy control. RM responds that it is subject to funding, public design and to be complete by the end of the year. CR also states it is a low risk project in comparison to others.

4.5. CB asks about the surfacing on the bridge expansion in summer. RM states that it is not a part of this project but believes it is being looked at.

4.6. BH proposes the Board vote on the project, The Board unanimously pass the project.

5. BROOMFIELD CARBON VILLAGE

5.1. DS and NR present the Full Business Case for the Broomfield Carbon Village.

5.2. MK queries what benefits of the project wouldn't be money coming through a 106 and what the long term benefit to Whitby is other than affordability. DS responds

that a cross check against a normal 106 was conducted and benefits such as underfloor heating etc. RH supports this by these sort of extras are only viable due to the Towns Fund.

- 5.3.** RG questions the tenure options for the homes and if it will be stipulated that residents have electric cars. RH confirms that the homes will be a mixture of shared ownership and rented accommodation. RH also states that the car issue needs to be looked at.
- 5.4.** LW queries how housing associations are to be allowed to select certain tenants against others, how they can impose sustainable living and if there is a covenant for first time buyers. RH states that they aren't looking to cherry pick tenants but want them to be bought into the ethos of the project and that first time buyers will be targeted.
- 5.5.** JF wants reassurance that the houses will not be used as second homes or holiday lets. LW supports this and wants written reassurances that this won't happen. HJ to consult with CR. BH proposes the Board vote on the project with assurances they won't be used as second homes, holiday lets or cherry picked tenants. The Board pass the project on this basis, with one member abstaining.

6. WHITBY MARITIME TRAINING HUB

- 6.1.** BH, CB and LW declare their interest in the project.
- 6.2.** LB presents the Full Business Case for the Whitby Maritime Training Hub.
- 6.3.** RG states that a partner with a track record for providing training is needed and the international market needs to be looked at. LB states that the international market are aware, with potential partners waiting to see what happens before making a commitment. AR supports this with the Royal Navy offering an interest in the project.
- 6.4.** MK queries the rationale of the operating model of the training hub, a management model has been chosen over lease option and the use of a Trust. AR states that this has been included due to the community wanting some ownership of the project as not to stray away from the original vision.
- 6.5.** RF questions the sustainability of the project, funding streams and if there is a five year plan. AR states that the project will be primarily funded through private revenue streams. No five year plan for the revenue objective for next phase.
- 6.6.** BH proposes the Board vote on the project. The board pass the project unanimously.

7. OLD TOWN HALL AND MARKET PLACE

7.1. LW declared an interest in this project.

7.2. KL presented the Full Business Case for the Old Town Hall and Market Place.

7.3. BH proposes the Board vote on the project. The Board pass the project unanimously.

8. TOWNS FUND PROGRAMME UPDATE

8.1. HJ presented a status update the Towns Fund Programme for Whitby.

8.2. BH questioned the role of the Board now all project have progressed to Full Business Case status. HJ confirms that the Board will have an oversight role and any changes will be brought back to them.

9. A.O.B

- **None**

10. DATE OF NEXT MEETING

- **TBC**