

# Harrogate District Transport Model: Local Plan Testing Phase 2

Harrogate Borough Council

## **Technical Note**

21<sup>st</sup> October 2016





## HBTM - Phase 2

Project no:	B2065500
Document title:	Technical Note
Document No.:	2
Revision:	4
Date:	21 <sup>st</sup> October 2016
Client name:	Harrogate Borough Council
Project manager:	RMc
Author:	RM
File name:	

7th Floor, Stockbridge House Trinity Gardens Newcastle upon Tyne, NE1 2HJ United Kingdom

+ 44 191 211 2400

www.jacobs.com

© Copyright 2016 Please select a legal entity from the Change Document Details option on the Jacobs ribbon. The concepts and information contained in this document are the property of Jacobs. Use or copying of this document in whole or in part without the written permission of Jacobs constitutes an infringement of copyright.

Limitation: This report has been prepared on behalf of, and for the exclusive use of Jacobs' Client, and is subject to, and issued in accordance with, the provisions of the contract between Jacobs and the Client. Jacobs accepts no liability or responsibility whatsoever for, or in respect of, any use of, or reliance upon, this report by any third party.

#### Document history and status

Revision	Date	Description	Ву	Review	Approved
1	31/8/16	Draft for comment	RM	SF	RMc
2	8/9/16	Updated with comments from HBC	AJ	RM	RMc
3	30/9/16	Draft mitigation	AJ	RM	RMc
4	20/10/16	Updated with further junction mitigations	AJ	RM	RMc
5	21/10/16	Minor revisions	AJ	RM	RMc



## Contents

1.	Introduction	1
1.1	Background	1
1.2	Report Structure	1
2.	Harrogate Borough Transport Model	2
3.	Initial High Level Test	5
3.1	Overview	5
3.2	Summary of Development Tested	5
3.3	Models and Limitations	5
3.4	Methodology	6
3.5	Model Outputs and Results	6
3.6	Conclusion	7
4.	Local Plan Options	8
4.1	Introduction	8
4.2	Committed Developments	8
4.3	Local Plan Growth Options	9
4.4	Modelled Growth Options	12
5.	Methodology	13
5.1	Overview of Forecasting Methodology	13
5.2	Changes to the Baseline Highway Network	13
5.3	Committed Development Sites	14
5.4	Local Plan Developments Sites	16
5.5	Other Forecasting Considerations	20
5.6	Other Changes to Demand	22
5.7	Future Year Trip Matrix Totals	22
6.	Forecast Option Results	24
6.1	Introduction	24
6.2	Demand Variation	24
6.3	Traffic Impacts and Flows Differences	30
6.4	Forecast Volume to Capacity Ratio	57
6.5	High Level Statistics	88
7.	Junction Mitigation Measures	89
7.1	Introduction	89
7.2	Modelling Software	91
7.3	Structure of Mitigations Section	91
7.4	Junction 1 - Clocktower Junction	92
7.5	Junction 2 - Low Skellgate / Water Skellgate	94
7.6	Junction 3 - A59 / Harrogate Bypass	96
7.7	Junction 4 - Woodlands	97
7.8	Junction 5 - Leeds Road / Hookstone Road	97
7.9	Junction 6 - Beckwith Head Road / Otley Road	97
7.10	Junction 7 – A61 / Otley Road, Killinghall	99
		ii



8.	Summary	103
7.17	Junction 14 - A61 Leeds Road / Follifoot Road / Pannal Bank	102
7.16	Junction 13 - A658 / B6163	102
7.15	Junction 12 - North Street / Coltsgate Hill	101
7.14	Junction 11 - Westgate / Blossomgate	101
7.13	Junction 10 - A59/B6164	101
7.12	Junction 9 – A61 / A59	100
7.11	Junction 8 - St James Retail Pk / Harrogate Bypass	99



## 1. Introduction

## 1.1 Background

The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) requires local authorities to prepare Local Plans to identify planning policies and the most suitable development and infrastructure sites based on the objectives, principles and policies outlined in the framework. Harrogate Borough Council (HBC) is currently preparing a new Local Plan for the District which is scheduled for adoption in Autumn 2018. The Council's Strategic Housing Market Assessment (as updated June 2016) identifies the need to plan for a minimum of 557 dwelling per annum, which equates to 11,697 dwellings over the plan period 2014 to 2035. The Council's Employment Land Review identifies the need for at least 18ha of additional employment land over the same period.

To assist in the development of the Local Plan HBC have commissioned Jacobs to undertake the traffic modelling set out in this report to support the selection of a preferred growth option. This report builds on initial work undertaken to assess the impacts of two high level Local Plan development tests for a 2035 future year scenario by assessing in more detail the infrastructure requirements and transport impacts of three possible Local Plan options.

The methodology used for this report is based on best practice and widely-accepted industry standards to ensure that the analysis undertaken provides a robust evidence base to inform the option developments. For this study details of committed development and three Local Plan options for testing have been provided by HBC with details including the locations and quantum of developments. All assumptions made during this phase of work have been agreed with HBC, NYCC and Highways England.

The modelling set out in this report uses the Harrogate District Transport Model, developed by Jacobs, which represents AM peak (08:00-09:00) and PM peak (16:45-17:45) traffic situation in the 2015 Base year and uses industry standard VISUM software. The 2015 model is fully WebTAG compliant and has been calibrated and validated for the area around Harrogate, Knaresborough and Ripon.

Harrogate District is situated on the edge of the Yorkshire Dales, with the Vale of York to the east and the upland Yorkshire Dales to the west and north-west. The district has three key settlements – Harrogate and Knaresborough within a close proximity of each other and Ripon approximately 15 km to the north. The district is well connected to the strategic road network via the A1(M), with Junction 47 serving Harrogate and Knaresborough and Junctions 49 and 50 serving Ripon. As well as the A1(M) the main strategic roads through the area are the A61, connecting Harrogate to Leeds and Ripon, and the A59, connecting the town to York and Skipton. Harrogate is also connected to Wetherby and the A1, by the A661.

## 1.2 Report Structure

This Technical Note provides a breakdown of the methodology, key assumptions, results and analysis of the three Local Plan options tested in the VISUM model.

This report consists of eight sections including this introduction. The remainder of this Technical Note is summarised as follows:

- Section 2 provides a background description of the model;
- Section 3 summarises the initial piece of high level modelling that analyses network performance under forecast conditions for a Do Minimum scenario and two high level development tests in 2035;
- Section 4 sets out the committed development and Local Plan options which have been considered in this report;
- Section 5 sets out the methodology and assumptions that have been used in the modelling;
- Section 6 details an analysis of the forecasting results;
- Section 7 covers the proposed junction mitigation measures; and
- Section 8 outlines a summary to the report findings and conclusions.



## 2. Harrogate Borough Transport Model

The initial Phase 1 of this study was the development of the Harrogate District Transport Model which was commissioned by North Yorkshire County Council (NYCC), the Local Highway Authority, and HBC for the main purpose of testing future land-use options for the Local Plan. The model was developed using VISUM transport modelling software and represents accurate traffic movements in the detailed model area for both the AM peak (08:00-09:00) and PM peak (16:45 to 17:45) time periods for the 2015 Base year for the following five user classes:

- Car Commute;
- Car Business;
- Car Other;
- LGV; and
- HGV.

The model building process was concluded at the end of September 2015 when both a fully WebTAG compliant 2015 Base, with 2025 and 2035 forecast model, based on standard TEMPRO growth, were presented to the client.

The full details of the model can be found in the Local Model Validation Report (LMVR) however in summary, the model assignment meets the WebTAG required convergence criteria for both AM and PM which shows that the model achieved an acceptable level of stability. The model also meets full WebTAG calibration criteria, for both car only and all vehicles categories and the WebTAG guidance for the journey time validation, the latter being important given the use of the model for testing the Local Plan. The screenline/count validation did not quite meet the full criteria in all cases although it was very close to guidelines. WebTAG guidance has been followed with regards to not compromising the observed demand in order to accommodate validation screenlines/counts and instead a lower level was reported.

The calibrated and validated model area coverage of the model is shown in Figures 2-1 and 2-2 and extends around Harrogate, Knaresborough and Ripon.

#### Figure 2-1: Detailed Model Area Harrogate and Knaresborough





#### Figure 2-2: Detailed Model Area Ripon



In line with latest WebTAG Unit M3.1 guidance, the network for the Harrogate District Transport Model made use of a three tier structure with levels of detail reducing away from the centre of the main study area. The breakdown of the network structure is outlined below:

- Fully modelled area:
  - Internal area of detailed modelling with full coding;
  - Rest of detailed modelled area (Buffer Area); and
- External Area

The extents of the above areas can be seen in Figure 2-3.





#### Figure 2-3: HDTM Modelled Areas - Harrogate, Knaresborough and Ripon

The area of detailed modelling is used to allow the identification of the impact of additional traffic from the Local Plan on the junctions in Harrogate, Knaresborough and Ripon. The buffer modelled area is not modelled in as much detail with capacity restraint modelled via link capacities and the external area modelled at the lowest level of detail with no explicit capacity restraint modelled.

The external area of the model includes any commuter trips from other parts of the UK which may be impacted by changes to the operation of the network in the area being tested by the model. The area defined is representative of any trips directly to and from the fully modelled area and mindful of those trips which may pass through the fully modelled area and thus may be impacted by changes to the performance of the network as a result of the Local Plan.



## 3. Initial High Level Test

## 3.1 Overview

To inform the detailed Local Plan modelling set out in this report, an initial high level study on the impact of development locations was undertaken using a light touch modelling methodology. The initial high level testing reviewed network performance under forecast conditions for a Do Minimum scenario and two high level Local Plan development tests in a 2035 future year scenario.

The methodology used was based on best practice and widely-accepted industry standards to ensure that the analysis undertaken provided a robust evidence base to inform the option developments. The development locations and quantum for all committed development and Local Plan developments were provided by HBC with all assumptions made during this phase of work agreed with HBC.

## 3.2 Summary of Development Tested

The high level testing added traffic associated with committed development sites to the highway network as well as traffic associated with two Local Plan option scenarios. The Local Plan scenarios assumed that 6,634 new homes and 20-25ha of additional employment land would be required for a 2035 Future Year assessment.

The two high level development scenarios are summarised as follows:

1) High Level Test 1: Development concentrated within the District's main urban areas by 2035.

The majority of new housing (70%) would be built in the main urban areas of Harrogate, Knaresborough and Ripon. In the rural areas the focus would be in the district's other market towns (Boroughbridge, Masham and Pateley Bridge) and those villages with the best access to jobs, shops and services.

# 2) High Level Test 2: Significant new development at a new settlement close to the A1(M) by 2035.

Assumed the creation of a new settlement within the A1 (M) corridor to create up to 3,000 new homes. The remaining housing requirement would be met in the main urban areas of Harrogate, Knaresborough and Ripon, as well as the other market towns and villages.

## 3.3 Models and Limitations

The high level modelling work was conducted in order to provide a steer on how the network performs under forecast conditions in order to inform the detailed modelling set out in the remainder of this report.

The high level modelling undertook six tests to gauge the impact of the tests on the local and strategic highway network. The tests that were undertaken are summarised in Table 3-1.

Year	Time Period	Development Option
2035		Do Minimum
	AM Peak	High Level Test 1
		High Level Test 2
		Do Minimum
	PM Peak	High Level Test 1
		High Level Test 2

#### Table 3-1: High Level Model Test Scenarios



As stated above, the work was high level with a number of high level assumptions as follows:

- Development was added directly to the identified zone with no detailed assessment of trip distribution. This however caused limitations if the new development changed the current land use, meaning trip distributions may not have accurately represented the new land uses;
- · Access points into the network were left unchanged; and
- Tests were conducted for a 2035 future year only.

## 3.4 Methodology

Forecasting was undertaken using JTREND, a distribution programme developed by Jacobs. The future trip ends resulting from this process were compared to the original 2015 results in order to determine growth factors that were applied to all user classes within the VISUM model to create forecast matrices for all three tests. The tests were then constrained to TEMPRO growth for the area.

Traffic models were then assigned for the 2035 Do Minimum, Test 1 and Test 2 scenarios for both the AM and PM peak time periods.

## 3.5 Model Outputs and Results

The outcomes of the model were presented mainly as demand variation and traffic variation in the network.

#### **Demand variation:**

Demand variation considers the origin and destination points of trips. By comparing the percentage difference of test 1 against test 2 it was then possible to see which test had a bigger impact on the demand in each zone.

Test 1 has a larger effect on demand around the main urban and rural parts of Harrogate (above 20%) This corresponds directly to the new development areas suggesting they have the expected impact on the zone demand in the model.

Test 2 shows a wider spread of impacts, but the largest impacts follow a buffer around the A1 and the A59.

There were no percentage differences shown in Knaresborough or central Ripon between the Two tests as the development quantum in both tests was identical.

#### Traffic variation:

The models were assigned and the results of these runs were analysed by comparing the two 2035 test results against the 2035 Do Minimum and the 2035 Do Minimum against the 2015 Base. The key outcomes were:

- The strategic routes travelling both through and around Harrogate have an average increase of 60-80 vehicles for both tests in the AM period.
- Test 1 shows a larger increase of traffic to the west and south of Harrogate centre, due to the higher quantum of development in this area. This consequently increases the mean delay at key junctions in the town centre;
- In the Knaresborough area, in the AM period, an increase in volume and delay in Test 2 can be seen along the A59 and Stockwell Rd. This corresponds with the increase in traffic created by Test 2 developments adjacent to the A1 and A59. Test 1 shows no difference in Knaresborough centre.
- In the Knaresborough area, in the PM period, a similar pattern of increase in volume is shown for both tests with an average of 60-80 additional vehicles on the B6163, Boroughbridge Rd,



B6165 and the A658. In both scenarios there is also a decrease in traffic volumes in the town centre on the A59, Manse Ln, Manor Road and Aspin Rd.

- In Ripon, in the AM period, Test 1 and Test 2 showed the largest increase in traffic on the A61, A6108 and Kirkby Rd. The majority of roads through Ripon showed an increase of 40-60 vehicles, with both tests showing a small increase in mean delay at the junctions.
- In Ripon, in the PM period, Test 1 and Test 2 showed an increase of vehicles along A61 through Ripon. There was however, a decrease in traffic in Test 2 along the A61, Allhallowgate, Boroughbridge Rd and Bondgate Green, suggesting traffic is bypassing Ripon centre
- Across the whole modelled area, the 2015 Base vs 2035 Do Minimum scenario showed a much larger flow difference when compared to the 2035 Do Minimum vs 2035 Options, suggesting that the background growth and committed developments were causing a large increase in traffic on the network in the AM peak.

## 3.6 Conclusion

The overall results showed that Test 1 had a larger impact in the urban centres of Harrogate, with Test 2 having a larger impact on the network as a whole due to the developments being situated closer to the A1(M) and A59 strategic routes therefore resulting in longer ranging trips which do not pass through the urban areas of the model.

Furthermore, a review of all the information showed that the relatively small increases in junction delay caused by the Local Plan test traffic can have a knock on effect throughout the network, increasing the delay on a number of links in the surrounding area due to the level of congestion already present in the Do Minimum scenario.

The remaining sections of this report set out the parameters, outputs and analysis of the detailed model test.



## 4. Local Plan Options

#### 4.1 Introduction

This section details the committed development and Local Plan options which have been considered in the detailed Phase 2 model assessment which is set out in the remainder of this report. It should be noted that the options tested are the 2035 Future Year Assessment, the end date of the Local Plan, and a 2025 Intermediate Year.

## 4.2 Committed Developments

A list of committed development sites has been provided by HBC with a total of 95 sites identified (some sites consisted of multiple parts of the same development where the development was expected to use multiple accesses or was mixed use).

Of the list of 95 sites provided, traffic from 83 sites were specifically added to the traffic flows on the network and included in the modelling undertaken. A list of the included committed development sites is provided in Appendix A which also details the proportion of each site assumed to come forward in the 2025 and 2035 assessment years.

A further 12 sites were also identified but were considered to generate only a negligible increase in trips. Traffic from these sites was therefore not specifically added to the model as it would be expected that additional traffic from these sites would be picked up in background TEMPRO growth factors.

The committed development sites which were considered to generate only negligible additional trips and were disregarded are identified in Table 4-1.

Planning Ref no.	Type of development	Reasoning
14/00811/FULMAJ	Retail, Pub/Restaurant	Small increase in retail GFA and a public house. Location is the town centre and is unlikely to generate additional trips in its own right
12/00316/RG3MAJ	Cemetery	Land use unlikely to generate significant additional trips
12/04089/FULMAJ	Fitness Club	Extension of sports club, would not generate trips during peak hours
13/02072/FULMAJ	School	Equestrian training centre with school grounds, usage likely to be connected to existing students at the school
13/03788/FULMAJ	School	Small extension to school, not expected to generate significant additional trips
14/00524/FULMAJ	Tennis Club	Indoor tennis courts for existing users, minimal additional peak hour trips expected
14/00910/OUTMAJ	Football	Outdoor sports facility with two football pitches, minimal additional trips expected
14/01408/FULMAJ	Army Accommodation	Residential development at army barracks, not expected to generate additional trips during peak hours
14/01613/FULMAJ	Secondary School	Boarding school development, not expected to generate regular additional trips during peak hours
14/03437/FULMAJ	Offices	Additional use stated in planning application as being two employees. Minimal additional trips expected.
15/01103/FULMAJ	College	No additional staff or students anticipated
13/02786/EIAMAJ	Retail	Convenience store, not expected to generate significant additional trips in its own right.

Table 1-1.	Committed Develo	nmont Sitos	Identified as	Generating	Nealiaible	Additional	Trine
	Committed Develo	pment Sites	identined as	Generating	inegligible	Auuilionai	mps



#### 4.3 Local Plan Growth Options

A total of three Local Plan Growth options have been considered in the testing, with the location and quantum of development for each site provided by HBC. The Local Plan options are named as follows:

- Option 1 Urban Growth option
- Option 2 Flaxby new settlement option
- Option 3 Green Hammerton new settlement option

The majority of Local Plan developments were consistent across each of the three options with 92 of the 104 development sites having consistent development quantum, location and build out rates across each option. Similarly to the list of committed development sites, some of the 104 sites consisted of multiple parts of the same development where the development was expected to use multiple accesses or was mixed use.

A full list of the Local Plan development sites and quantum of development can be found in Appendix B which also details the proportion of each site assumed to come forward in the 2025 and 2035 assessment years. A plan showing the location of each site is provided in Appendix C. A high level summary of the quantum of Local Plan development that has been tested in each option is provided in Table 4-2 below.

As can also be seen from Table 4-2, each Local Plan option also includes a total of 1,650 homes from windfall sites, representing small piecemeal development sites that are likely to come forward in currently unidentified locations over the plan period. The windfall sites have been distributed equally across all of the 249 zones within Harrogate Borough and are equivalent to just under seven additional houses per zone.



#### Table 4-2: Summary of development for each option

	Option 1 Urban Growth	Option 2	Flaxby	Option 3 Green Hammerton	Notes
Quantum of identified housing sites consistent across all options		6,698	homes		Quantum and location of development, build out rates are consistent across each option
Quantum of identified employment consistent across all options	96,000 sc 31,700 s	96,000 sqm of B1a; 34,700 sqm of B1c; 31,700 sqm of B2; 33,400 sqm of B8			Quantum and location of development, build out rates are consistent across each option
Additional identified housing in each option	134 homes	2,884	homes	2,130 homes	
Additional identified employment in each option	0		0	0	
Windfall housing in each option	1,650 homes			Houses split equally across all zones in Harrogate Borough	
Total housing in each option	8,482 homes	11,232	2 homes	10,478 homes	
Total employment each option	96,000 sqm of B1a; 34,700 sqm of B1c; 31,700 sqm of B2; 33,400 sqm of B8				

When combined with the 3,752 committed development homes, the total number of homes being tested in each option is as follows:

Option 1 – 12,234 homes;

Option 2-14, 984 homes; and

Option 3 – 14,230 homes.

Table 4-2, demonstrates the high degree of similarity between each of the Local Plan options, with all employment sites identical across each option and only small differences in the number of housing sites included in each option. To assist in understanding the difference between the options, Table 4-3 provides a summary of the sites which are **not** consistent across all options.



C	Dption1 Urban Growth			Option 2	Flaxby		Optic	on 3 Greer	Hammmer	ton	
Site ref no	No. of	Proportior i	n complete	Site ref	No. of	Propo comple	rtion ete in	Site ref	No. of	Proportion in	complete
one fer no.	homes	2025	2035	no.	homes	2025	2035	no.	homes	2025	2035
KH11	25	1	1	KH11	25	1	1				
GH2	46	1	1	GH2	46	1	1				
GH4	18	1	1	GH4	18	1	1				
GH9	45	1	1	GH9	45	1	1				
				FX3	2,750	0.266	1				
								GH11	2,130	0.267	1
Tot	al – 134 add	litional home	es	Tota	ıl – 2,884 ad	ditional hom	es	Tota	I – 2,130 ad	ditional hom	es

#### Table 4-3: Development Sites Not Included Within Each Option

As can be seen in Table 4-3, a further 134 additional houses are included in Option 1 across four different development sites. These four sites are also included in Option 2 which includes 2,750 homes coming forward at the FX3 site near Flaxby, to the west of Junction 47 of the A1(M). These sites are not included in Option 3 which only includes the GH11 site near Great Hammerton, to the east of Junction 47 of the A1(M), which comprises a total of 2,130 homes.

The differences between the scenarios are thus limited to variances around Junction 47 of the A1(M), with no differences in Harrogate, Ripon or Knaresborough. The locations of the developments identified in Table 4-3 (i.e. the differences between the options) can be seen in Figure 4-1 below.

#### Figure 4-1 Location of Developments Not Included Within Each Option



Harrogate Borough Transport Model Local Plan Testing – Phase 2



## 4.4 Modelled Growth Options

Modelling has been undertaken for a Do Minimum test without the Local Plan as well as the Local Plan options described above. The modelling has thus tested the following scenarios:

- **Do Minimum** including committed developments sites as described in 4.2 and background traffic growth as described in section 5;
- Option 1 Urban Growth including Option 1 sites as described in section 4.3, committed developments sites as described in 4.2 and background traffic growth as described in section 5;
- Option 2 Flaxby new settlement including Option 2 sites as described in section 4.3, committed developments sites as described in 4.2 and background traffic growth as described in section 5; and
- **Option 3 Green Hammerton new settlement** including Option 3 sites as described in section 4.3, committed developments sites as described in 4.2, and background traffic growth as described in section 5;

These model tests have been undertaken for both a 2025 Intermediate Year and a 2035 Future Year assessment and for both the AM and PM peak periods. This thus comprises a total of 16 individual modelling tests which have been undertaken which are summarised in Table 4-4 below.

Year	Time Period	Development Option
		Do Minimum
		Forecast Option 1
	Alvi Feak	Forecast Option 2
2025		Forecast Option 3
2025		Do Minimum
	DM Dook	Forecast Option 1
	FIVI FEAK	Forecast Option 2
		Forecast Option 3
		Do Minimum
2035		Forecast Option 1
	Alvi Feak	Forecast Option 2
		Forecast Option 3
		Do Minimum
	DM Deels	Forecast Option 1
	rivi reak	Forecast Option 2
		Forecast Option 3

#### Table 4-4: Forecast Option Scenarios

The methodology and assumptions used in the modelling of the above tests are described in section 5 of this report.



## 5. Methodology

## 5.1 Overview of Forecasting Methodology

This section outlines the assumptions applied to the committed development and Local Plan option sites, the modelling methodology used and all changes to the calibrated and validated 2015 Baseline Model and includes:

- To reflect future change in travel patterns, proposed employment and residential developments were incorporated into the future year highway networks.
- In the detailed model area car trips associated with these developments were added fully the 2015 Base without being subsequently constrained to TEMPRO. This methodology was used to show the full impact of the developments. For the external model areas forecasting was undertaken using the latest TEMPRO growth (version 6.2 at the time of modelling) in order to cover the strategic movement through the model.
- LGV and HGV forecasting was undertaken using the latest NTM results for the Yorkshire area.
- The effect of induced/generated traffic was calculated in the form of matrix row and column totals using a bespoke forecasting spreadsheet created by Jacobs. These forecast row and column totals were then used to furness the base matrix up to the desired levels.

## 5.2 Changes to the Baseline Highway Network

To allow for modelling of the Do Minimum and Local Plan options, a series of changes were required to the modelled highway network of the calibrated and validated 2015 Baseline model. These changes are listed in Table 5-1 and have been applied to all the scenarios modelled in this report.

Description	Network edit
Zone Connector Changes	
Location change for zone connector 1009	Connector relocated to represent traffic from new access for supermarket development. Zone connector relocated to just west of A59 / A61 junction
Location change for zone connector 1029	Connector for rural zone relocated and used for addition of development traffic from South Western development sites. Zone connector relocated to location just west of Lady Lane / Whinney Lane junction in Pannal Ash
Location change for zone connector 4009	Connector for rural zone relocated and used for addition of development traffic from development site B4 to better reflect demands on the A1(M) junction roundabout. Zone connector relocated to location off Front Street, Boroughbridge
Additional Zones	
Addition of Zone 44251 (Flaxby Employment)	Additional zone added to model to avoid traffic from both FX3 and FX4 sites being added to the network (and using the same distributions) at zone 4425.

#### Table 5-1: Network Changes



I

## Committed Highway Network Changes

New roundabout junction on A59 to west of A59 / A61 junction to provide access to new supermarket development	New roundabout on A59 and junction on A61. Coded as described with default roundabout measures and pocket lanes as described in plan. Omega Street has also been coded in as oneway system to align with promoter's document.
Two new roundabouts on A59 to provide access to Manse Farm development	Two new roundabouts. Coded as described with default roundabout measures
Signalisation of existing crossroad junction at Crag Lane / Otley Road / Beckwithhead Road.	Signalisation of junction. Coded with dummy times and spigot connector added
Existing priority junction at A59 / Crowberry Drive changed to roundabout junction as part of committed development.	New roundabout on A59/Crowberry Drive junction. Coded as described with default roundabout measures
Modifications to existing Skipton Rd/Otley Rd/Oaker Bank roundabout.	Widen approach legs on both Skipton Road arms and the Oaker Bank arm to provide two traffic lane on Skipton Rd/Otley Rd/Oaker Bank roundabout. Provide traffic signals at Ripon Road/Otley Road junction in Killinghall. Coded with approach width doubled and entry width enhanced by 3.50m as general default parameter value per lane.
Signalisation of existing A61/ Otley Road priority junction	Existing priority junction changed to signals
Replacement of existing Barr Lane / Boroughbridge Road priority junction with roundabout	Roundabout as per drawing provided
Replacement of existing staggered crossroads with roundabout at Pannal Station Road	Roundabout as per drawing provided
Junction 47 Signalisation	Installation of signals on all arms of Junction 47
Signalisation of A168 / A59 junction east of Junction 47 as part of above scheme	Installation of signals at A168 / A59 junction
Modelling Network Changes	
A1 (M) changed to non-roadwork status	Baseline model surveys undertaken when A1(M) restricted to 50mph for works. Has now been changed to reflect normal motorway conditions. A1 (M) changed to non-roadwork status - (70 mph speed limit) and 3 lanes throughout
Junction immediately west of A1(M) Junction 47 (A59 / FX3 / FX4 development sites roundabout)	Changes made to allow traffic from major FX3 and FX4 trip generating sites to enter the model. Junction would require review as part of any planning application when exact points of access are known. Coding of model to accurately reflect flares, approach road widths at junction as in buffer zone

## 5.3 Committed Development Sites

The following section provides a summary of the assumptions used for the committed development sites.



## 5.3.1 Committed Development Sites Trip Zone Connection Methodology

Traffic from each development site was loaded onto one of the existing zone connectors of the calibrated Base Model. By default, developments were added to the zone in which they were situated with the appropriateness of these connections reviewed to ensure the connection points were representative of where traffic from the site would load onto the network. Changes to the connection assumptions for the committed developments are set out in Appendix A with major changes involving the relocation of zone connectors listed below and as set out in Table 5-1 previously:

 Zone 1009 was relocated from a location just south of the A59/A61 junction to a location just west of the A59/A61 junction. The connector was used to represent a proportion of the trips associated with a new supermarket development which has access points to both the west and south of the A59/A61 junction (connector 1010 was already in place to the south of the junction for movements from the other access point).

#### 5.3.2 Committed Development Site Trip Rates

As set out previously in section 4.2, a list of committed development sites has been provided by HBC. The trip generations of each site have been determined from a number of sources as follows:

- Deriving trip rates from the TRICS database;
- Obtaining trip generations from the Transport Assessment or other planning documents submitted as part of the planning application for the development; and
- Trip generations being provided by HBC which were taken from the sites Transport Assessment.

For sites which used trip rates derived from the TRICS database, the trip rates used are set out in Table 5-2.



#### Table 5-2: Trip Rates Used from the TRICS Database

Description	Unit	Weekday	AM Rate	Weekda	y PM Rate
		Inbound	Outbound	Inbound	Outbound
Housing - light vehicles	per dwelling	0.159	0.420	0.391	0.191
B1 office - light vehicles	per 100 sqm GFA	1.819	0.242	0.195	1.463
B1c / B2 Industrial - light vehicles	per 100 sqm GFA	0.714	0.351	0.153	0.506
B8 Warehousing - light vehicles	per 100 sqm GFA	0.301	0.192	0.125	0.250
B8 Warehousing - HGVs	per 100 sqm	0.105	0.116	0.105	0.037
Retail Superstore - light vehicles	per 100 sqm GFA	2.845	2.092	5.732	5.768
Hotel - light vehicles	Per room	0.135	0.277	0.192	0.091
Tennis Club - light vehicles	Per court	1.656	0.993	5.629	4.305
Holiday homes - light vehicles	per dwelling	0.016	0.03	0.099	0.108
DIY store - light vehicles	per 100 sqm GFA	0.986	0.613	1.464	1.629

The trip generations used for each committed development site are provided in Appendix A alongside how the figures have been derived. The proportion of developments expected to be complete in the 2025 and 2035 scenario years has been provided by HBC and is also included in Appendix A.

#### 5.3.3 Committed Development Sites Trip Distribution Methodology

By default, trips for the committed development sites have been based on the trip distributions of the zone in which the committed development is situated. In cases where this was agreed to be unrealistic, the distributions of a neighbouring zone have been used. The zone distribution methodology for each committed development is identified in Appendix A.

## 5.4 Local Plan Developments Sites

Trip rates and distributions for the development sites have been developed in consultation with NYCC Highways Officers and Consultants retained by Highways England. As previously set out in section 4.3, three options for Local Plan development have been tested in this second part of the report. The following section provides a summary of the modelling assumptions used for the development sites.

#### 5.4.1 Local Plan Development Site Trip Rates

The trip rates used in the Local Plan options testing are as per the TRICS trip rates used for some of the committed development sites and as shown in Table 5-2. Only trip rates for residential dwellings, B1a (office), B2 (industrial) and B8 (storage and distribution) land uses have been used in the Local



Plan option testing assessments. Trip rates for B1c (light industrial) are as per the B2 (industrial) trip rates as the TRICS database does not distinguish between B1c and B2 land uses.

The only site considered likely to generate a significant number of additional HGVs was the FX4 Flaxby Employment site which included 23,700 sqm of B8 development to provide a worst case traffic scenario. HGV trips for this site were determined from the TRICS database using the rates outlined in Table 5-2 above and were assumed to all travel to and from the A1(M) and be split 50:50 between travelling north and south.

The proportion of developments expected to be complete in the 2025 and 2035 scenario years has been provided by HBC and is included in Appendix B.

#### 5.4.2 Local Plan Development Sites Trip Zone Connection Methodology

Traffic from each development site was loaded onto one of the existing zone connectors from the calibrated Base Model. By default, developments were added to the zone in which they were situated with the appropriateness of these connections reviewed to ensure the connection points were representative. The zone connection assumptions are provided in Appendix B with major changes involving the relocation of zone connectors listed below (and previously identified in Table 5-1):

- Using the above methodology the FX3 Flaxby housing site and FX4 Flaxby employment site would have entered the zone at the same location (zone 4425). As both sites are significant trip generators and have different trip distribution methodologies an additional zone (44251) was created and was used to distribute traffic for the FX4 Flaxby employment site;
- The positioning of zone connector 1029 was changed to a location just west of Lady Lane / Whinney Lane junction in Pannal Ash. In the Base Model Zone 1029 was mainly rural land uses and has been used to represent developments (including H51 and H71) coming forward south of the Cardale Park employment area; and
- The positioning of zone 4009 was changed to a location off Front Street Boroughbridge. In the Base Model zone 4009 was mainly rural land uses and has been relocated to accommodate traffic from development site B4 to better reflect movements at the A1(M) junction roundabout.

#### 5.4.3 Local Plan Development Sites Trip Distribution Methodology

This section sets out the methodology used to distribute trips associated with the development sites in each option. For the three most significant trip generators (the FX3 Flaxby housing site in Option 2, the GH11 Green Hammerton employment site in Option 3 and the FX4 Flaxby employment site in all options) a bespoke distribution methodology was provided by HBC in consultation with Highways England and NYCC.

For these distributions, the input demand into the models has been setup to match the distribution in terms of the logical zone to zone movements that would represent these patterns. However, it should be noted that due to the level of congestion in the forecast models, there will be some minor rerouting that occurs during model assignment so the actual links used aren't 100% exactly as the provided distribution.

The distribution methodology used for these sites is as follows:

FX3 Flaxby housing site - Trip distributions have been based on the travel to work data from the 2011 census as created by consultants retained by Highways England. There has been some modification to this distribution due to a wider than expected disparity between the two new housing settlement options in terms of trips East on the A59 to York. To achieve a consistent test assuring a realistic level of trip on the A59 corridor an addition has been made on A59 trips in the direction of York and



removed from the West towards Harrogate / Knaresborough direction. This better reflects the more separated nature of the site as the MSOAs used to calculate the initial Flaxby housing distribution were weighted towards Knaresborough. Where the Highway England consultants' distribution contained a general direction rather than a more detailed route the ratio provided by the consultant retained by the developers was used to provide the additional detail required

The trip distribution also includes 3.2% of trips which do not enter the modelled network. The resulting distribution proportions for trips to and from the site are set out in Table 5-3.

Site ref no.	No. of homes	Notes
A59 East (through J47)	14.1%	All trips will be sent to York to east and out of the model
A59 E to A1(M) North	10.4%	All trips assigned onto A1(M) to north and continue on A1(M) out of the model
A59 W	57.1%	Trips distributed as per the flows bundle proportions on the A59
A59 E to A1(M) South	15.2%	All trips assigned onto A1(M) to south and continue on A1(M) out of the model
Internal trips	3.2%	Trips do not enter the model

Table 5-3: Trip Distribution Proportions for FX3 Flaxby Housing Site

 GH11 Green Hammerton employment site - In consultation with consultants retained by Highways England, trip distributions have been based upon initial analysis of the Middle Super Output Area containing the site (MSOA 016). Where a general direction had been identified (i.e. trips not affecting the Highways England network) a split proportional to that identified by the developers consultants was applied to the relevant routes, in the Hammerton case, East of junction 47 of the A1(M) in order to achieve a suitable distribution.

The resulting trips heading west along the A59 from the site access have been proportioned according to the travel to work data from the 2011 census for residents living in Middle Super Output Area Harrogate 016. The proportions have been used to determine the number of trips heading north and south on the A1(M) at Junction 47 and out of the model area and the number of trips which would continue west on the A59 towards Harrogate and Knaresborough. The destinations of trips continuing west along the A59 have been distributed based on existing users of this section of the A59. The resulting distribution proportions for trips to and from the site are set out in Table 5-4.



Site ref no.	No. of homes	Notes
A1(M) North	6.1%	All trips assigned onto A1(M) to north and continue on A1(M) out of the model
A59 E to York	19.9%	All trips will be sent to York to east and out of the model
A1(M) South	24.1%	All trips assigned onto A1(M) to south and continue on A1(M) out of the model
A59 W towards Harrogate	28.4%	Trips distributed as per the flows bundle proportions on the A59
B6265 towards Boroughbridge	2.2%	All trips sent to zone 4022 in Boroughbridge
Internal trips	19.3%	Trips do not enter the model

#### Table 5-4: Trip Distribution Proportions for GH11 Green Hammerton Housing Site

• FX4 Flaxby employment site - Trip distributions have been based on the travel to work data from the 2011 census for employment sites for Middle Super Output Areas Harrogate 007, Harrogate 009 and Harrogate 012. Any trip heading north or south on the A1(M) or east on the A59 has been assumed to continue on these routes out of the modelled area. Trips travelling east along the A59 towards Harrogate and Knaresborough have been distributed based on existing users of this section of the A59. The resulting distribution proportions for trips to and from the site are set out in Table 5-5.

Table 5-5: Trip Distribution Proportions for FX4 Flaxby Employment Si
---

Site ref no.	No. of homes	
A1(M) North	15.2%	All trips assigned onto A1(M) to north and continue on A1(M) out of the model
A59 E to York	9.5%	All trips will be sent to York to east and out of the model
A1(M) South	7.2%	All trips assigned onto A1(M) to south and continue on A1(M) out of the model
A59 W towards Harrogate	68.0%	Trips distributed as per the flows bundle proportions on the A59
Internal trips	0.0%	-

Owing to the changes in the model associated with the distributions of the GH11 site, Local Plan sites KH4, KH11, GH2, GH4 and GH9 have also used the distributions of the GH11 site shown in Table 5-4 (excluding the proportion of internal trips).

For the other development sites considered in each option, the existing land uses and trip distributions within the zone were reviewed to determine the appropriateness of the distributions.



When zone distributions were considered to be unrealistic for the proposed land use, the zones of a neighbouring zone have been used. The trip distribution assumptions for every development site are set out in Appendix B.

#### 5.4.4 Local Plan Windfall Development Sites

As mentioned previously, a total of 1,650 homes across Harrogate District have been included in each Local Plan option representing windfall sites across the district at unidentified locations. The additional housing from these sites has been proportioned equally across all 249 zones within Harrogate District with the default trip distributions for that zone used unless modified for any other developments as set out above. The trip generations for these sites are as per the other Local Plan housing sites as set out in Table 5-2.

## 5.5 Other Forecasting Considerations

#### 5.5.1 Introduction

In addition to the Car trips added to the network for the specific developments as detailed above, a series of traffic factors have also been used to represent increases in strategic traffic movements, LGV's and HGV's.

#### 5.5.2 Strategic Car Growth

For traffic to and from the external zones which will not be impacted by the local developments, TEMPRO factors have been used to growth these strategic traffic movements. The TEMPRO factors take into account expected local demographic changes, socioeconomic variation and changes in modes as well as other factors that affect the growth of traffic within the locality.

Due to the large zones present in the external areas of the model, these TEMPRO factors consist of averages across a number of TEMPRO sectors in order to represent the traffic likely to be used using the key strategic routes in the model.

These traffic forecast factors have been to growth the 2015 Baseline year to the 2025 intermediate year and 2035 future year assessment. The growth factors are for car trips and have been extracted from the TEMPRO database (version 6.2) for the AM and PM periods.

The TEMPRO growth factors used in the modelling are shown in Table 5-6.



#### Table 5-6: Strategic TEMPRO Factors

	2025			2035				
Road/Location	AM		РМ		AM		PM	
	0	D	0	D	Ο	D	Ο	D
A1 Northeast England	1.13	1.13	1.14	1.13	1.23	1.23	1.24	1.24
A59 Lancashire	1.13	1.13	1.13	1.13	1.22	1.23	1.24	1.23
A1 Scotland	1.15	1.15	1.15	1.15	1.27	1.27	1.27	1.27
A1/M1 South	1.16	1.16	1.16	1.16	1.28	1.28	1.29	1.29
M6/M62 South	1.15	1.15	1.15	1.15	1.26	1.26	1.27	1.27
A483/M56/M62 South (Wales)	1.17	1.17	1.17	1.17	1.33	1.33	1.33	1.33
A65	1.10	1.11	1.11	1.11	1.17	1.19	1.19	1.19
South Yorkshire	1.17	1.17	1.17	1.17	1.31	1.32	1.32	1.32
A1079 East Yorkshire	1.15	1.14	1.15	1.15	1.27	1.26	1.28	1.28
A59 Yorkshire Dales	1.17	1.20	1.20	1.18	1.32	1.38	1.37	1.34
A6108 Yorkshire Dales	1.30	1.18	1.21	1.29	1.55	1.32	1.38	1.52
A170 Yorkshire Moors	1.13	1.16	1.16	1.14	1.24	1.29	1.29	1.26
A1 North Yorkshire	1.10	1.14	1.14	1.12	1.17	1.26	1.26	1.20

## 5.5.3 LGV and HGV Background Growth

The 2015 Base year LGV and HGV demand matrices have also been growthed up for each of the future year assessments using factors derived from the 2015 forecast results from the DfT's National Transport Model.

Factors for the 2025 and 2035 forecast years were obtained by interpolating between 2015 and 2040. For both cases, linear growth was assumed in order to calculate the years which were not specifically modelled within NTM.

The NTM growth forecasts are split into different regions and are universal across the day, hence the same factors have been applied to all of the time periods within the model and the same value applied to both origin and destination. The NTM growth factors used in the modelling for this assessment are set out below in Table 5-7.

#### Table 5-7: NTM LGV and HGV Growth Factors Used

Vehicle Type	NTM Period	Calculated Factor
LGV	2015 - 2025	1.28
	2015 - 2035	1.53
HGV	2015 - 2025	1.07
	2015 - 2035	1.15



#### 5.5.4 Car Ownership Factors

Forecast car ownership factors were extracted from TEMPRO and applied with the forecast demand spreadsheet calculations in order to account for changes in ownership trends in the future years. The factors used were as follows:

#### Table 5-8: Car Ownership Factors

Area	2025	2035
Harrogate/Knaresborough	1.062191	1.124944
Harrogate_Rural	1.073783	1.143049
Ripon/Sharow	1.068767	1.138729
Boroughbridge	1.067627	1.136126

As external trips have already been adjusted by TEMPRO car ownership factors would be included, thus the above factors have only been applied to trips within the detailed model area.

## 5.6 Other Changes to Demand

In addition to the above demand changes for specific development and background traffic growth, the following further changes have also been incorporated to represent specific interventions coming forward during the Local Plan period.

#### 5.6.1 Harrogate to Leeds Railway Line Improvements

The Harrogate to Leeds section of rail line is due frequency enhancements, significant improvements to rolling stock and capacity alongside upgrading ticketing opportunities by around 2020. Trends have been examined and strong growth is evident across all Harrogate stations across the last seven years. 2011 census data shows that the top destination, by a large margin, for Harrogate District rail users is Leeds. To appropriately represent the improved services, trends for growth in rail and a level of mode shift HBC has confirmed that 100 vehicle trips from Harrogate to Leeds should be removed from the network in the AM peak and 100 trips from Leeds to Harrogate should be removed from the network in the PM peak.

The final trip matrices have thus been adjusted accordingly with trips removed proportionally according to the level of demand for trips to / from Leeds for each zone across the Harrogate and Knaresborough urban area.

#### 5.7 Future Year Trip Matrix Totals

Using the above methodology, a summary of the resultant future year trip origin matrix totals for internal zones within Harrogate Borough is provided in Table 5-9 for each scenario.



#### Table 5-9: Future Year Trip Matrix Totals

Soonaria	20	25	2035		
Scenario	AM Origin Total	PM Origin Total	AM Origin Total	PM Origin Total	
Do Minimum	104,902	161,500	107,971	164,751	
Option 1	107,344	163,480	111,809	168,293	
Option 2	107,433	163,524	113,031	168,857	
Option 3	107,274	163,449	112,515	168,619	

As is evident from Table 5-9, the overall difference in the number of trips between each of the three options is relatively minor, particularly in the 2025 Intermediate Year scenario. As seen in Appendices A and B, the Option 3 scenario includes more housing than Option 1 in 2035, a large part of the housing in Option 3 is from the GH11 site which is only around a quarter complete in 2025 and thus results in Option 1 showing a larger number of trips in 2025.



## 6. Forecast Option Results

#### 6.1 Introduction

This section sets out the modelling results using the methodology and assumptions set out in section 5. The scenarios modelled in this section are as follows:

- Do Minimum consisting of growthed background traffic and committed development traffic only;
- Option 1 Local Plan option 1 Urban Growth and Do Minimum scenario traffic;
- Option 2 Local Plan Option 2 Flaxby and Do Minimum scenario traffic; and
- Option 3 Local Plan Option 3 Green Hammerton and Do Minimum scenario traffic.

As noted previously there is a high degree of similarity between the above options with the only difference centring around sites included around Junction 47 of the A1(M) at Flaxby and Green Hammerton, with the details of all other development sites in the remainder of the district consistent across all options.

The modelling has been based on the calibrated and validated fully WebTAG compliant 2015 model which has been validation and calibrated for the area around Harrogate, Knaresborough and Ripon as described previously. The adjustments to the model and methodology for these Local Plan tests are described in section 5 of this report.

This section sets out the following results from the modelling:

- Demand Variation high level review shows the percentage difference in demand for each zone in each option to demonstrate where additional traffic is expected;
- Traffic Impacts and Flows Differences shows the change in traffic flows as a result of the options considered;
- Forecast Volume to Capacity Ratios shows the Volume to Capacity ratios for junctions within the detailed model area and highlighting junctions that are brought overcapacity as a result of the Local Plan; and
- High Level Statistics summary statistics of the key changes in additional vehicle kilometres and vehicle hours for each model.

The above results are presented in the following sections.

## 6.2 Demand Variation

This section graphically illustrates the differences in demand as a result of the scenarios and performs a high level check of the implementation of the change in vehicle demand in the model which is associated with each option.

To create these figures, the difference in demand between two scenarios has been calculated and by comparing the percentage differences it is possible to see where the greatest changes in demand are found. As all Local Plan scenarios are based on housing growth, analysis was mainly focussed on checking the AM origin and PM destination trip rates as this most accurately reflects commuting



patterns and therefore trips associated with housing developments in those time periods. From these figures it was possible to check whether the impact on each zone was consistent with the developments set out in Appendices A and B. To demonstrate the different demands of the scenarios, the following demand variations are shown:

- Percentage change between Option 1 and Do Minimum scenario, AM origin demonstrates where additional demand as a result of the Local Plan Option 1 originates in the AM peak;
- Percentage change between Option 1 and Do Minimum scenario, PM destination demonstrates where additional demand as a result of the Local Plan Option 1 is destined for in the PM peak;
- Percentage change between Option 1 and Option 2, AM origin given the similarity between the Options, this plot shows where there are changes in demand between Options 1 and 2; and
- Percentage change between Option 1 and Option 3, AM origin given the similarity between the Options, this plot shows where there are changes in demand between Options 1 and 3.

The graphics described above are shown in Figure 6-1 to Figure 6-4.

Harrogate Borough Transport Model Local Plan Testing – Phase 2



Figure 6-1 High Level Model Demand – Percentage Difference Between Option 1 and Do Minimum– AM Origin



Harrogate Borough Transport Model Local Plan Testing – Phase 2



Figure 6-2 High Level Model Demand – Percentage Difference Between Option 1 and Do Minimum– PM Destination



Harrogate Borough Transport Model Local Plan Testing – Phase 2



Figure 6-3 High Level Model Demand – Percentage Difference Between Option 1 and Option 2 AM Origin



Harrogate Borough Transport Model Local Plan Testing – Phase 2



Figure 6-4 High Level Model Demand – Percentage Difference Between Option 1 and Option 2 AM Origin





As can be seen from the above graphics, the development in Option 1 is mainly in the areas outside the urban centres of Harrogate, Ripon and Knaresborough, in line with the list of Local Plan development sites set out in Appendix B.

The similarities between Options 1, 2 and 3 are demonstrated in Figure 6-3 and Figure 6-4 with the graphics showing the only differences being an increase in demand in the zones around Flaxby (Option 2) and Green Hammerton (Option 3) which is associated with the major housing sites. The corresponding PM peak destination graphics are identical to the results shown in Figure 6-1 and Figure 6-2.

#### 6.3 Traffic Impacts and Flows Differences

The results are based on the following model runs:

- Two forecast years (2025 and 2035);
- Two time periods (AM and PM); and
- Four scenarios (Do Minimum and Do Something Local Plan Options 1, 2 and 3).

For each forecast year and time period the three Do Something options were compared to the Do Minimum scenario using the version comparison tool in VISUM which allows for a direct analysis of network performance across two separate models. This thus allows all background traffic to be 'filtered out' and just shows the traffic distributions of the Local Plan option.

The results of these were graphically displayed and are presented in the remainder of this section. Figure 6-5 to Figure 6-28 show both the difference in the volume of traffic across the two compared models and the difference in junction delay across all vehicles in the hour.

The key used for the following figures is as shown on the right. Blue bands are used to show a decrease in flow between the two models and red bands are used to show an increase in flow. Coloured circles at junctions indicate delay at a junction for all vehicles during the one hour time period.



Harrogate Borough Transport Model Local Plan Testing – Phase 2



#### Figure 6-5: 2025 Harrogate and Knaresborough - Option 1 Minus Do Minimum (AM)



Harrogate Borough Transport Model Local Plan Testing – Phase 2



#### Figure 6-6: 2025 Harrogate and Knaresborough - Option 2 Minus Do Minimum (AM)




#### Figure 6-7: 2025 Harrogate and Knaresborough - Option 3 Minus Do Minimum (AM)





## Figure 6-8: 2025 Ripon - Option 1 Minus Do Minimum (AM)





## Figure 6-9: 2025 Ripon - Option 2 Minus Do Minimum (AM)





#### Figure 6-10: 2025 Ripon - Option 3 Minus Do Minimum (AM)





#### Figure 6-11: 2025 Harrogate and Knaresborough - Option 1 Minus Do Minimum (PM)





#### Figure 6-12: 2025 Harrogate and Knaresborough - Option 2 Minus Do Minimum (PM)





#### Figure 6-13: 2025 Harrogate and Knaresborough - Option 3 Minus Do Minimum (PM)





## Figure 6-14: 2025 Ripon - Option 1 Minus Do Minimum (PM)





## Figure 6-15: 2025 Ripon - Option 2 Minus Do Minimum (PM)





## Figure 6-16: 2025 Ripon - Option 3 Minus Do Minimum (PM)





With regards to the 2025 comparison tests, the majority of strategic routes in and around Harrogate and Knaresborough see a general increase in traffic in the AM peak of up to 100 vehicles. The greatest effect on traffic flows is exhibited to the south west of Harrogate on Lady Lane, where the increase is approximately 200 vehicles. These figures are similar across all three option comparisons with the standout exception to this trend being the A59 Flaxby roundabout to the west of the A1. Whilst similar flows exist in both Option 1 and 3 tests, there is a significant increase in flow at this junction in Option 2, corresponding to the housing development being located directly to the north. Consequently, due to this additional traffic the delay at junction 47 of the A1 increases in this scenario. It should be noted that the major development sites – FX3 Flaxby housing site, GH11 Green Hammerton housing site and FX4 Flaxby employment site – are only modelled to be 25% complete in 2025, limiting the impact of these sites.

In relation to traffic flows and delay around Ripon, the pattern across each of the three option tests is approximately the same, as the quantum of development coming forward in Ripon is the same for each option. The modelling shows that the majority of strategic routes seeing an increase in flow of less than 50 vehicles across the AM period.

For the PM period strategic routes around Harrogate, Knaresborough and Ripon show an almost identical pattern for increased flow across the three scenarios due to the similarity between the options. As with the AM period the A59 Flaxby roundabout to the west of the A1 presents an exception to this, due to a housing development being located to the north of this junction.

In contrast to the AM peak, Figure 6-11 to Figure 6-13 demonstrates a significant increase in traffic to the south west of Harrogate which continues beyond Lady Lane to include Beckwith Head Road and the B6162 between the Beckwith Head Road and Harlow Moor Road.

However despite this, the most notable increase in the PM period is the northbound flow on the A1(M). In the AM the increase across each scenario is between 0-50, whereas for the PM this figure is approximately 200 vehicles.

The similarities across all three scenario tests suggest that as of 2025, developments which are consistent across all three scenarios are the most significant contributors to the increase in traffic flows in both the AM and the PM.

The corresponding figures for 2035 are presented on the following pages.



#### Figure 6-17: 2035 Harrogate and Knaresborough - Option 1 Minus Do Minimum (AM)





#### Figure 6-18: 2035 Harrogate and Knaresborough - Option 2 Minus Do Minimum (AM)





#### Figure 6-19: 2035 Harrogate and Knaresborough - Option 3 Minus Do Minimum (AM)





#### Figure 6-20: 2035 Ripon - Option 1 Minus Do Minimum (AM)





## Figure 6-21: 2035 Ripon - Option 2 Minus Do Minimum (AM)





#### Figure 6-22: 2035 Ripon - Option 3 Minus Do Minimum (AM)





#### Figure 6-23: 2035 Harrogate and Knaresborough - Option 1 Minus Do Minimum (PM)





#### Figure 6-24: 2035 Harrogate and Knaresborough - Option 2 Minus Do Minimum (PM)





#### Figure 6-25: 2035 Harrogate and Knaresborough - Option 3 Minus Do Minimum (PM)





#### Figure 6-26: 2035 Ripon - Option 1 Minus Do Minimum (PM)





## Figure 6-27: 2035 Ripon - Option 2 Minus Do Minimum (PM)





#### Figure 6-28: 2035 Ripon - Option 3 Minus Do Minimum v(PM)





As can be seen from the plans above, with regards to the AM comparisons between the 2035 Do Something Options and the 2035 Do Minimum, there are significant increases in traffic volumes and junction delay across all three tests.

Within Harrogate a number of developments are located in South West Harrogate. As a result there is a significant increase in traffic heading southbound on Crag Lane, as well as on Beckwith Head Road and westbound on the B6162 extending from the Beckwith Head Road Junction to Harlow Moor Road. On the bypass, there is also an increase of approximately 200 vehicles northbound between the A661 and the A59 in all three scenarios.

The modelling for all three scenarios also shows an increase in the volume of traffic on the A59 between the A658 and the Flaxby roundabout to the west of the A1. The increase in flow is seen across all three options westbound on this link and eastbound on the A59 between the Flaxby roundabout and the A1. This increase is due to the FX4 Flaxby employment site being present in all scenarios and its access point being located just to the west of Junction 47.

As expected, the most notable difference between the scenarios is the increase in traffic volume along the A59 away from the Flaxby roundabout due to the strategic housing site at Flaxby in Option 2 and the strategic housing site at Great Hammerton in Option 3. For eastbound traffic travelling along the A59 towards the motorway from the Flaxby site, Option 2 experiences an increase in flow of roughly 200-350 vehicles, compared to both option 1 and option 3 which show a decrease in flow along this stretch of roughly 100 vehicles as strategic traffic from Harrogate and Knaresborough reroutes.

The analysis also indicates that the Flaxby housing development causes a significant increase in flow travelling into Knaresborough via the A59 after the junction with the A658, as this uplift is only present in Option 2.

Further comparison between the three options also demonstrated the effects of the Green Hammerton development, with a significant increase in traffic flow to the east of the A1 junction 47 only evident in option 3. Westbound movements on the A59 from the Station Road junction to the A1 and southbound on Station Road/Cattal Street/Roman Road/Ox Moor Lane increase in flow by approximately 300 vehicles, in comparison to an increase of approximately 50 vehicles in Options 1 and 2.

This increase in flow continues down the A168 towards Junction 46 in Option 3, with Option 2 showing a similar uplift; however this is not present in Option 1. It is also notable that in Options 2 and 3 traffic from Harrogate and Knaresborough to York avoids the congestion and delay along the A59 corridor and at Junction 47 and instead diverts via Junction 46 and Tockwith Lane. This is unseen in the 2025 analysis, suggesting that the 'tipping point' when traffic will divert via alternative routes will be reached around this period, although this is heavily influenced by the level of development coming forward at the FX3 and GH1 strategic housing sites.

Figure 6-23 to Figure 6-25 also demonstrates the increase in traffic travelling via Kirk Deighton and North Deighton in Options 2 and 3 but not Option 1. This would suggest that the increase in traffic being loaded onto the network by the Green Hammerton and Flaxby development sites have caused traffic to reroute in order to avoid these areas, likely as a result of capacity limitations along the A59 corridor and at Junction 47.

With regards to key links in and around Harrogate and Knaresborough in the PM, there is an increase southbound on Beckwith Head Road and westbound on the B6162 extending from the Beckwith Head Road Junction to Harlow Moor Road, again due to the development located in South West Harrogate and corresponding with the outflow of traffic in the AM peak.



Option 2 again represents the option with the greatest increase in traffic around the Flaxby roundabout to the west of the A1, due to the Flaxby housing development. However, unlike in the AM traffic flow eastbound on the A59 reduces in Option 1 and 3, with the section between York Road and the Flaxby Roundabout experiencing a drop of over 300 vehicles. This is likely due to increased delay at junction 47, as such the traffic previously travelling this section of the A59 re-routes northbound via York road in both Option 1 and 3.

Figure 6-23 to Figure 6-25 again demonstrate the impact of the Green Hammerton development as the traffic increases displayed to the east of the A1 and North of Wetherby in Option 3 are not present in Option 1 or 2. However, as with the increase on Crag Lane mentioned above, the direction of the primary increase has shifted from the AM, representing return journeys in the PM.

In Ripon, the development sites are mostly situated to the west of the town and are consistent across each option. The main increase in traffic flow for each option is therefore found on North Road, Bondgate Green and Harrogate Road for traffic heading to/from the north, east and south respectively.

In conclusion, unlike the 2025 results the increase in disparity between the three option tests show that significant increases in traffic flow are influenced both by the developments consistent with all scenarios and those unique to individual options. The analysis also suggests that the effects on traffic patterns within central Harrogate, Knaresborough and Ripon are most closely linked to the developments present in all scenarios, whereas traffic flow near Junction 47 of the A1(M) is influenced more significantly by the strategic developments in this area, which also affect re-routing of traffic between Harrogate and York which previously would use the A59 corridor.

# 6.4 Forecast Volume to Capacity Ratio

Analysis on the performance of junctions on the network has also been undertaken for the Do Minimum and three Local Plan scenarios. The junction capacity assessments were undertaken in the detailed model area and identify a volume capacity ratio (VCR) on the turns in the model and identify a total delay.

VCR is a ratio representing the degree of saturation of a particular stretch of road, with values closer to 0 representing free flow conditions and values approaching or greater than 100 indicating high levels of congestion. Observations on many roads has shown that delay rises considerably at v/c ratios of above 85, and that significant delays occurs at VCR ratios of above 100.

The maximum v/c out of the junction is analysed to assess specific performance issues on the links at each junction. This showed the network performance under forecast conditions, in comparison with other scenarios and the 2035 Do Minimum scenario, in order to inform HBC on the impact of the different development scenarios.

The format of the results in this section is as follows:

- Harrogate and Knaresborough 2035 AM Peak;
- Ripon 2035 AM Peak;
- Harrogate and Knaresborough 2035 PM Peak; and
- Ripon 2035 PM Peak.

A summary of the results for the 2025 scenarios is provided at the end of this section with graphics of the results provided in Appendix D.



## Figure 6-29: VCR - AM - Harrogate and Knaresborough- 2035 Do Minimum





Figure 6-30: VCR - AM - Harrogate and Knaresborough - Option 1





### Figure 6-31: VCR - AM - Harrogate and Knaresborough - Option 2









As can be seen from Figure 6-29, the 2035 Do Minimum network shows delays and congestion at a number of junctions including the A59/A658, Bond End and A59 / B6164 junction in Knaresborough and the A658 / A661, Woodlands and A61 / Jenny Field Drive junctions in Harrogate and the A61 / Otley Road junction in Killinghall.

All Local Plan options showed relatively little development coming forward in the main Harrogate and Knaresborough urban areas, as highlighted previously in Figure 6-1 and Figure 6-2. Whilst some junctions show an increase in overall delay, the impacts of the Local Plan within Harrogate and Knaresborough are thus relatively limited and mainly around areas where development will be coming forward. The main changes as a result of the Local Plan Option 1 are as follows:

- The development sites coming forward in Pannal Ash result in congestion on the B6162 Otley Road / Crag Lane / Beckwith Head junction;
- General increases in the VCR at the Woodlands junction;
- The A61 / Otley Road junction in Killinghall shows an increase in the overall VCR;
- On the bypass, the A59 / A658 and A658 / B6164 Wetherby Road junctions shows a noted increase in VCR.

The VCRs for the different options are shown in Figure 6-30, Figure 6-31 and Figure 6-32. Given that the only difference between these options is around Junction 47 of the A1(M) which is outside of the detailed model area, the differences between these options are mainly on the bypass and in particular the A59 / A658 and A658 / B6164 Wetherby Road junctions.

The equivalent figures for the junctions in Ripon can be seen on the following pages.



Figure 6-33: VCR - AM - Ripon - 2035 Do Minimum





## Figure 6-34: VCR - AM - Ripon - Option 1





## Figure 6-35: VCR - AM - Ripon - Option 2





## Figure 6-36: VCR - AM - Ripon - Option 3





Figure 6-33 to Figure 6-36 show the 2035 traffic conditions for Ripon in the AM peak period for the Do Minimum, Option 1, Option 2 and Option 3 scenarios respectively.

In the 2035 Do Minimum scenario, an elevated VCR is noticed at some junctions within the town centre including the Clocktower junction, Allhallowgate / St Marygate and Skellbank / Water Skellgate / Low Skellgate junctions.

Figure 6-34 to Figure 6-36 show the junction performance in Ripon for Local Plan options 1, 2 and 3. As the quantum of development coming forward in Ripon is identical for each option, the impacts are very similar. The modelling particularly shows an increase in VCR at the Skellbank / Water Skellgate / Low Skellgate, Allhallowgate / St Marygate and North Street / A6108 Palace Road junctions.

The corresponding figures for the PM peak in Harrogate and Knaresborough are shown on the following pages.



#### Figure 6-37: VCR - PM - Harrogate and Knaresborough - Do Minimum




### Figure 6-38: VCR - PM - Harrogate and Knaresborough - Option 1





# Figure 6-39: VCR - PM - Harrogate and Knaresborough - Option 2





### Figure 6-40: VCR - PM - Harrogate and Knaresborough - Option 3





Figure 6-33 shows the junction performance for the 2035 Do Minimum scenario for Harrogate and Knaresborough in the PM peak period. As for the AM peak period, the modelling shows delay at the same junctions in Knaresborough – the A59/A658, Bond End and A59 / B6164 junctions and the junctions in the town centre, the A658 / A661 and A61 / Jenny Field Drive in Harrogate and the A61 corridor in Killinghall.

As noted previously, all Local Plan options are identical in Harrogate and showed relatively little development coming forward in the main Harrogate and Knaresborough urban areas. The main changes as a result of the Local Plan Option 1 are as follows:

- The development sites coming forward in Pannal Ash result in some congestion (although less than shown in the AM peak) at the B6162 Otley Road / Crag Lane / Beckwith Head junction and the Beckwith Road / Howhill Road junction;
- General increases in the VCR at the Woodlands junction;
- The A61 corridor in Killinghall and in particular the A61 / Otley Road junction shows an increase in the overall VCR; and
- On the bypass, the A59 / A658 and A658 / B6164 Wetherby Road junctions shows a noted increase in VCR.

The modelling also shows congestion in the area around Junction 47 of the A1(M) although as stated previously this area is in the buffer zone of the model and the effects of the Local Plan on the junction are being considered elsewhere.

As noted previously, the differences in development between Options 1, 2 and 3 is in the area around Junction 47 of the A1(M) and therefore the differences between the options are mainly limited to the effects on the bypass and in particular the A59 / A658 and A658 / B6164 Wetherby Road junctions.

The equivalent figures for the junctions in Ripon can be seen on the following pages.



### Figure 6-41: VCR - PM - Ripon - Do Minimum





#### Figure 6-42: VCR - PM - Ripon - Option 1





#### Figure 6-43: VCR - PM - Ripon - Option 2







Figure 6-44: VCR - PM - Ripon - Option 3



Figure 6-41 to Figure 6-44 shows the 2035 traffic conditions for Ripon in the PM peak period for the Do Minimum, Option 1, Option 2 and Option 3 scenarios respectively.

In the 2035 Do Minimum scenario, the VCR is approaching capacity at some junctions within the town centre including the Clocktower junction, Allhallowgate / St Marygate and Skellbank / Water Skellgate / Low Skellgate junctions.

Figure 6-34 to Figure 6-36 shows the junction performance in Ripon for Local Plan Options 1, 2 and 3. As the quantum of development coming forward in Ripon is identical for each option, the impacts are very similar. The modelling particularly shows an increase in VCR at the Skellbank / Water Skellgate / Low Skellgate, Allhallowgate / St Marygate and North Street / A6108 Palace Road junctions as per the AM peak modelling, with additional VCR increases at the A61 / Bondgate Green and North Street / College Road junctions.

#### 6.4.1 Junctions Identified as Being Overcapacity

HBC and NYCC have agreed that mitigation measures of Local Plan development will be based on the VCR value at key junctions. The following tables show the junctions where at least one turning movement is modelled to have an increase in VCR above a threshold VCR of 85 as a result of the Local Plan in 2035. It should be noted that some junctions identified multiple turning movements with a VCR of over 85 and in these cases the highest values has been used. The junction identified highlighted in the AM peak are shown in Table 6-1 with values of under 85 shown in light blue, values of between 85 and 100 shown in blue and over 100 shown in dark blue.

The tables also show which junctions which have been considered for mitigation in Section 7 or the reasoning behind not including the junction for consideration for mitigation provided by HBC. For ease of reference, the junctions shaded in grey.



#### Table 6-1: Change in VCR at Junctions in 2035 - AM Peak

Model	e Junction		imum VCR	at junctio	n for:	Reasons for Including or excluding from mitigation
node no.	Junction	DN	Opt 1	Opt 2	Opt 3	
19	A6108 North Road / A6108 Palace Road	85.7	99.3	99.4	99.4	Included for mitigation
22	A61 / Kings Road	95.1	92.7	94.9	95.1	Worst case scenario same impact as DN, Local Plan is of nil detriment
35	A658 / B6164 Grimbald Crag Way	88.1	83.0	99.9	99.3	Included for mitigation
41	A59 York Road / A658 Roundabout	69.5	94.4	100.1	100.1	Included for mitigation
45	A 59 Bond End / B6165 High Bond End	96.0	98.6	100.0	99.9	Bond End being assessed elsewhere through ongoing study
46	A61 Parliament St / A61 King's Road	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	Worst case scenario same impact as DN, Local Plan is of nil detriment
49	Hookstone Road / Hornbeam Park Ave	58.7	100.0	100.0	99.6	Business Park access route, would need to be dealt with by TA using specific site conditions
50	A59 York Road / B6164	79.6	91.8	100.0	98.9	Included for mitigation
59	A1(M) Junction 47offslip to A59 New Road	83.7	76.3	78.6	90.9	Junction 47 being assessed through ongoing study
60	A59 New Rd offslip to A1(M) J47	70.9	79.8	67.1	94.8	Junction 47 being assessed through ongoing study
62	B6162 Otley Road / Crag Lane	93.2	100.0	100.0	100.0	Included for mitigation
98	A61 Ripon Road / Otley Road	92.1	90.2	96.4	89.8	Included for mitigation
456	Cold Bath Road / St Mary's Ave	84.1	78.8	85.7	84.0	Worst case scenario only shows small increase over DN which is considered acceptable
1031	A59 Skipton Road / Woodfield Road	123.0	84.5	132.8	96.8	Inconsistency in AM results and acceptable ratios in PM suggest this junction can function at a satisfactory level
1116	A61 Leeds Road / Leadhall Lane	77.1	100.0	100.0	100.0	Included for mitigation
1378	Westgate / Blossomgate	60.1	90.4	89.1	90.0	Included for mitigation
1445	Somerset Row / Low Skellgate	82.8	98.1	100.3	98.3	Included for mitigation
1464	A59 Knaresborough Place / North Park Road	100.3	101.5	99.7	100.9	Minimal increase over DN which is considered acceptable
1472	A59 Skipton Road / Claro Road	85.4	86.4	87.2	86.8	Minimal increase over DN which is considered acceptable
1487	North Street / Coltsgate Hill	86.1	100.0	99.7	100.0	Included for mitigation



1620	Victoria Grove / Allhalowgate	95.4	100.2	100.3	100.1	Minor junction linking car park to main highway network, excluded
1893	B6265 Boroughbridge Road / Charter Road	82.3	94.3	93.5	93.7	Site exit, not considered significant for junction assessment
1937	A661 Wetherby Road / Hookstone Chase	86.0	92.3	99.4	94.4	Included for mitigation
2235	B6163 / Forest Moor Road	76.5	81.8	79.3	85.5	Worst case scenario only marginally over 85
2475	Wetherby Road - Bridge over River Nidd	75.6	87.9	86.6	88.8	Worst case scenario VCR only marginally over 85
3419	A61 Ripon Road / Road leading to the HACS Group	82.5	84.5	85.7	84.2	Site access, not considered significant enough for assessment
3633	A658 / Haggs Road	80.4	88.8	89.2	89.5	Priority junction onto southern bypass that would form a cut through, mitigation to other congested junctions likely to reduce rat running traffic
3784	A658 / B6163 Thistle Hill	77.4	94.5	96.3	97.9	Included for mitigation
100091 7	Howhill Quarry Road opposite Le Campsite Harrogate	78.8	123.0	79.9	106.5	Due to methodology of connecting trips to the network the impact on this junction is significantly overestimated



The location of the above junctions can be seen in Figure 6-45 in Harrogate and Figure 6-46 in Ripon.

Legend Node Link 

Figure 6-45: Locations of Junctions Identified in Table 6-1 in Harrogate and Knaresborough – AM Peak





#### Figure 6-46: Locations of Junctions Identified in Table 6-1 in Ripon – AM Peak

The corresponding figures for the PM peak are shown in Table 6-2 with the locations shown in Figure 6-47 and Figure 6-48.

For ease of reference, the junctions shaded in grey above are considered for mitigation in as set out in Section 7



Model Node	lodel Junction		kimum VC	R at junctio	n for:	Reasons for Including or excluding from mitigation	
no.		DN	Opt 1	Opt 2	Opt 3		
8	A61 Leeds Road / A61 W park Roundabout	82.9	85.6	86.4	85.8	Worst case scenario VCR only marginally over 85	
10	A61 Ripon Road / A59 Skipton Road	96.8	102.9	100.9	99.3	Included for mitigation	
19	A6108 North Road / A6108 Palace Road	78.9	91.2	93.8	93.8	Included for mitigation	
22	A61 / Kings Road	79.7	85.4	81.5	81.7	Worst case scenario VCR only marginally over 85	
28	A661 Wetherby Road / A658 Roundabout	92.7	91.5	92.1	92.1	Worst case scenario VCR only marginally over 85	
30	A61 Ripon Road / B6165 Roundabout	84.3	93.3	89.6	90.8	VCR of just over 90 in worst case scenario, junction likely to be able to continue operating acceptably.	
35	A658 / B6164 Grimbald Crag Way Roundabout	79.9	90.3	82.4	92.1	Included for mitigation	
41	A59 York Road / A658 Roundabout	84.9	74.5	100.0	78.0	Included for mitigation	
45	A 59 Bond End / B6165 High Bond End	100.1	100.0	100.0	100.0	Bond End being assessed elsewhere through ongoing	
50	A59 York Road / B6164	85.0	90.9	93.8	92.1	Included for mitigation	
55	A61 The Carr Leeds Road / Follifoot Road	87.3	92.9	93.0	93.4	Included for mitigation	
58	A59 offslip to A1(M) J47 onslip (west arm)	54.0	100.0	96.0	98.9	Junction 47 being assessed through ongoing study	
59	A1(M) Junction 47 offslip to A59 New Road	80.7	82.2	76.9	92.2	Junction 47 being assessed through ongoing study	
61	A59 New Road / A168 offslip to A59	84.1	85.6	78.8	100.0	Junction 47 being assessed through ongoing study	
62	B6162 Otley Road / Crag Lane	101.0	102.6	101.4	99.1	Included for mitigation	
98	A61 Ripon Road / Otley Road	100.0	102.5	102.4	101.2	Included for mitigation	
157	A61 Ripon Road / Grainbeck Lane	75.6	87.9	88.5	87.5	Minor junction, impacts considered to be broadly acceptable.	
357	Cold Bath Road / W Cliffe Grove	97.2	102.4	104.3	100.3	Minor junction not envisaged to have a strategic impact	
514	A61 Ripon Road / Swan Road	100.7	96.1	100.7	98.3	Worst case scenario same as DN	
1031	A59 Skipton Road / Woodfield Road	86.9	85.8	87.1	86.0	Worst case scenario shows only small increase over DN which is considered to be acceptable	
1106	A59 / Chatsworth Road	100.7	101.9	98.6	99.5	Only marginal increase in VCR in worst case scenario	

## Table 6-2: Change in VCR at Junctions in 2035 - PM Peak



1275	A59 Skipton Road / Regent Ave	94.0	101.4	100.8	99.9	Included for mitigation
1445	Somerset Row / Low Skellgate	90.6	100.0	100.0	100.0	Included for mitigation
1487	North Street / College Hill	82.7	96.0	99.1	98.7	Included for mitigation
1876	A61 Hutton Bank / Hutton Lane	92.8	96.2	102.9	100.9	Due to methodology of connecting trips to the network the impact on this junction is significantly overestimated. The likely junction for the majority of these movements has a far higher capacity and the impact would be considered as part of the Transport Assessment process.
1893	B6265 Boroughbridge Road / Charter Road	86.0	89.3	92.2	92.0	Site exit, not considered significant junction for assessment
2235	B6163 Calcutt / Forest Moor Road	100.7	101.6	100.8	99.8	Worst case scenario shows only small increase over DN which is considered to be acceptable
2334	A6055 Boroughbridge Road / Greengate Lane	85.2	84.7	87.1	85.5	Worst case scenario shows only small increase over DN which is considered to be acceptable
3396	A61 Ripon Road / Maltklin Lane	107.8	107.0	107.9	100.6	Worst case scenario shows only small increase over DN which is considered to be acceptable
3416	A61 Ripon Road / footpath to Hazel Manor	82.0	84.7	86.4	86.2	Worst case scenario VCR only marginally over 85
3419	A61 Ripon Road / Road leading to the HACS	83.9	86.3	88.8	87.8	Site access, not considered significant junction for
3649	A61 / Smith Lane	90.9	99.0	100.8	101.7	Minor junction, not considered to have a strategic impact
3780	A658 / B6163	65.3	73.2	85.7	74.2	Worst case scenario VCR only marginally over 85
4337	Flaxby development sites access (west of J47)	50.4	80.5	100.2	81.5	Due to methodology of connecting trips to the network the impact on this junction is significantly overestimated
100091 7	Howhill Quarry Road opposite Le Campsite Harrogate	37.7	89.7	91.4	83.5	Due to methodology of connecting trips to the network the impact on this junction is significantly overestimated





### Figure 6-47: Locations of Junctions Identified in Table 6-1 in Harrogate and Knaresborough – PM Peak





#### Figure 6-48: Locations of Junctions Identified in Table 6-1 in Ripon – PM Peak

#### 6.4.2 2025 Scenario Modelling Results

The corresponding results for the 2025 scenario year are presented in Appendix D.

As can be seen from the build out rates for the committed development and Local Plan sites in Appendices A and B, it is expected that all committed development sites will be fully operational in the 2025 Intermediate Year scenarios as well as the majority of Local Plan sites. The major exceptions for the Local Plan sites are the FX3 strategic housing at Flaxby, GH11 strategic housing site at Green Hammerton and the FX4 strategic employment site at Flaxby, which are all forecast to have approximately 25% of the development operational in 2025.

The broad differences between the 2025 and 2035 scenarios are therefore background traffic growth and additional traffic from these strategic sites.

As a result, the modelling impacts on junctions are similar to the 2035 scenarios identified in the section above. A commentary on the impacts in 2025 is provided in Appendix D.

Table 6-3 and Table 6-4 show the corresponding 2025 maximum VCR values for junctions identified as being overcapacity and exacerbated by the Local Plan in Table 6-1 and Table 6-2.



It should be noted that some junctions identified multiple turning movements with a VCR of over 85 and in these cases the highest values has been used. VCR values of under 85 shown in light blue, values of between 85 and 100 shown in blue and over 100 shown in dark blue.

Model Node	Junction	Maxi	Maximum VCR at junction for:				
no.	ouncion	DN	Opt 1	Opt 2	Opt 3		
19	A6108 North Road / A6108 Palace Road	85.7	90.1	90.8	90.8		
22	A61 / Kings Road	76.4	81.5	85.4	85.4		
35	A658 / B6164 Grimbald Crag Way	67.8	73.6	73.2	73.2		
41	A59 York Road / A658 Roundabout	100.0	97.3	97.1	97.1		
45	A 59 Bond End / B6165 High Bond End	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		
46	A61 Parliament St / A61 King's Road	63.3	79.7	83.9	83.9		
49	Hookstone Road / Hornbeam Park Ave	73.3	77.3	77.5	77.5		
50	A59 York Road / B6164	79.0	83.8	85.5	85.5		
59	A1(M) Junction 47offslip to A59 New Road	65.3	62.7	65.6	65.6		
60	A59 New Rd offslip to A1(M) J47	95.6	104.2	98.8	107.7		
62	B6162 Otley Road / Crag Lane	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		
98	A61 Ripon Road / Otley Road	83.4	84.7	84.4	84.5		
456	Cold Bath Road / St Mary's Ave	95.1	105.7	94.8	98.5		
1031	A59 Skipton Road / Woodfield Road	72.7	100.0	100.0	100.0		
1116	A61 Leeds Road / Leadhall Lane	53.1	62.1	61.5	62.1		
1378	Westgate / Blossomgate	80.7	86.7	87.2	86.5		
1445	Somerset Row / Low Skellgate	83.8	85.4	85.3	84.5		
1464	A59 Knaresborough Place / North Park Road	84.7	88.2	88.9	88.8		
1472	A59 Skipton Road / Claro Road	78.5	86.2	85.4	85.6		
1487	North Street / Coltsgate Hill	74.0	83.7	83.1	82.9		
1620	Victoria Grove / Allhalowgate	4.7	6.2	6.2	6.3		
1893	B6265 Boroughbridge Road / Charter Road	69.6	74.4	78.1	77.1		
1937	A661 Wetherby Road / Hookstone Chase	80.3	92.5	93.4	92.7		
2235	B6163 / Forest Moor Road	74.2	77.5	77.8	78.0		
2475	B 6164 Wetherby Road / footpath parallel to	69.9	72.9	73.2	73.4		
3416	A61 Ripon Road / footpath to Hazel Manor	81.1	87.4	86.0	86.6		
3419	A61 Ripon Road / Road leading to the HACS	59.5	71.7	76.3	73.6		
3633	A658 / Haggs Road	63.2	100.8	100.0	101.7		
3784	A658 / B6163 Thistle Hill	85.7	90.1	90.8	90.8		
100091	Howhill Quarry Road opposite Le Campsite	76.4	81.5	85.4	85.4		

 Table 6-3: Change in VCR at Junctions in 2025 - AM Peak



Table 0-4: Change in VCR at Junctions in 2020 - PW Pea	Table 6-4:	Change in	VCR at	Junctions in	2025	- PM Peal
--	------------	-----------	--------	--------------	------	-----------

Model Node	Junction	Maximum VCR at junction for:				
no.		DN	Opt 1	Opt 2	Opt 3	
8	A61 Leeds Road / A61 W park Roundabout	80.8	81.1	80.9	80.9	
10	A61 Ripon Road / A59 Skipton Road	75.2	82.4	79.8	79.8	
19	A6108 North Road / A6108 Palace Road	70.5	82.7	82.8	82.8	
22	A61 / Kings Road	87.0	86.4	86.3	86.3	
28	A661 Wetherby Road / A658 Roundabout	74.2	75.6	76.2	76.2	
30	A61 Ripon Road / B6165 Roundabout	73.1	76.5	77.7	77.7	
35	A658 / B6164 Grimbald Crag Way Roundabout	88.4	86.9	87.5	87.5	
41	A59 York Road / A658 Roundabout	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
45	A 59 Bond End / B6165 High Bond End	80.4	85.9	84.8	84.8	
50	A59 York Road / B6164	87.2	88.2	87.2	87.2	
55	A61 The Carr Leeds Road / Follifoot Road	53.6	75.9	76.0	76.0	
58	A59 offslip to A1(M) J47 onslip (west arm)	81.2	81.0	88.7	88.7	
59	A1(M) Junction 47offslip to A59 New Road	86.2	86.3	93.2	93.2	
61	A59 New Road / A168 offslip to A59	99.7	102.2	100.2	102.0	
62	B6162 Otley Road / Crag Lane	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
98	A61 Ripon Road / Otley Road	70.5	81.1	83.6	81.3	
157	A61 Ripon Road / Grainbeck Lane	100.2	97.7	90.8	89.9	
357	Cold Bath Road / W Cliffe Grove	102.3	100.4	100.4	102.3	
514	A61 Ripon Road / Swan Road	82.4	83.1	83.3	83.5	
1031	A59 Skipton Road / Woodfield Road	75.2	75.6	79.4	75.6	
1106	A59 / Chatsworth Road	87.9	94.7	96.9	95.1	
1275	A59 Skipton Road / Regent Ave	74.6	88.7	88.5	88.9	
1445	Somerset Row / Low Skellgate	76.6	97.2	97.6	92.2	
1487	North Street / College Hill	77.2	85.5	85.6	85.7	
1876	A61 Hutton Bank / Hutton Lane	98.4	101.2	99.6	100.6	
1893	B6265 Boroughbridge Road / Charter Road	65.7	74.6	72.8	72.8	
2235	B6163 Calcutt / Forest Moor Road	100.6	100.4	105.2	100.1	
2334	A6055 Boroughbridge Road / Greengate Lane	79.2	81.7	82.0	81.3	
3396	A61 Ripon Road / Maltklin Lane	82.5	83.3	83.7	82.7	
3416	A61 Ripon Road / footpath to Hazel Manor	65.5	93.7	96.4	96.1	
3419	A61 Ripon Road / Road leading to the HACS	50.1	55.3	56.8	56.1	
3649	A61 / Smith Lane	49.4	50.4	48.7	49.9	
3780	A658 / B6163	31.9	80.6	80.8	51.4	
4337	Flaxby development sites access (west of J47)	80.8	81.1	80.9	80.9	
100091	Howhill Quarry Road opposite Le Campsite	75.2	82.4	79.8	79.8	

For ease of reference, the junctions shaded in grey above are considered for mitigation in as set out in Section 7.



# 6.5 High Level Statistics

In addition to the diagrams, overall model statistics have also been calculated to provide further insight into the forecast model performances. These statistics take the form of the total vehicle hours and vehicle kilometres within the model. The statistics cover both the entire model network and the individual modelled areas of Harrogate, Knaresborough and Ripon. The statistics are detailed in Table 6-5.

#### Table 6-5: Summary Model Statistics

	<b>o</b> i	АМ	Peak	PM Peak		
Area	Scenario	Vehicle KM	Vehicle Hrs	Vehicle KM	Vehicle Hrs	
	2035 DM	17,888,326	45,359,494	848,369,189	2,153,486,211	
	2035 Opt 1	17,939,362	45,462,167	853,045,980	2,161,684,383	
Overall Model	2035 Opt 2	18,045,410	45,558,420	858,165,890	2,165,882,175	
	2035 Opt 3	18,033,781	45,551,631	857,005,878	2,165,446,118	
	2035 DM	57,358	64,079	6,911,712	7,529,662	
Harrogate	2035 Opt 1	61,966	67,599	8,252,213	8,390,347	
	2035 Opt 2	61,969	68,218	8,211,692	8,197,552	
	2035 Opt 3	62,348	67,342	8,157,367	8,411,704	
	2035 DM	12,774	13,704	1,391,803	1,815,380	
	2035 Opt 1	14,023	14,330	1,648,894	1,988,255	
Knaresborough	2035 Opt 2	14,507	14,947	2,155,061	2,275,961	
	2035 Opt 3	14,403	14,497	1,694,320	2,026,869	
	2035 DM	12,385	14,223	1,074,098	1,249,498	
	2035 Opt 1	15,443	15,150	1,376,767	2,755,387	
Ripon	2035 Opt 2	15,457	15,789	1,391,764	2,439,613	
	2035 Opt 3	15,452	15,703	1,375,874	2,492,896	

As can be seen above, the Local Plan options increase the vehicle kilometres and vehicle hours within the model areas in comparison with the Do Minimum. In all cases Option 1 shows vehicle kilometres and vehicle hours to be less than Options 2 and 3 with the differences between Options 2 and 3 relatively minimal owing to there being only small differences between the options and the associated quantum of development.



# 7. Junction Mitigation Measures

# 7.1 Introduction

Following discussions between HBC and NYCC, it has been requested that potential mitigation measures are considered for a total of 14 junctions within the modelled area. The junctions which mitigation measures have been considered for are as follows:

- 1. Clocktower Junction, Ripon
- 2. Low Skellgate / Water Skellgate
- 3. A59 / Harrogate Bypass
- 4. Woodlands
- 5. Leeds Road / Hookstone Road / Leadhall Lane
- 6. Beckwith Head Road / Otley Road
- 7. A61 / Otley Road, Killinghall
- 8. St James Retail Pk / Harrogate Bypass
- 9. A61 Ripon Road / A59 Skipton Road
- 10. A59/B6164
- 11. Westgate / Blossomgate
- 12. North Street / Coltsgate Hill
- 13. A658 / B6163
- 14. A61 Leeds Road / Follifoot Road / Pannal Bank

The location of the junctions listed above can be seen in Figure 7-1 and Figure 7-2.







Figure 7-2: Mitigated Junctions in Ripon





Mitigation measures for these junctions have looked to bring the junction capacity in line with or below the modelling results for the Do Minimum scenario so that the Local Plan represents a situation of nil detriment on the performance of the junction.

It should be noted that the analysis in the previous section showed that mitigation would also be required for Junction 47 of the A1(M). HBC is working with Highways England to explore the future operation of junction 47 of the A1(M) and potential solutions.

NYCC is currently examining options for Bond End junction in Knaresborough to address congestion and air quality concerns. This junction is, therefore, being dealt with under separate circumstances.

# 7.2 Modelling Software

Whilst the VISUM model has performed higher level analysis of the network performance, the junctions considered for mitigation have been modelled using more detailed Junctions 9 (for roundabouts and priority junctions) and LinSig v3 (for traffic signals) modelling software. Both Junctions 9 and LinSig v3 model the performance of individual junctions only.

Junctions 9 provides two main measurements of junction capacity and operation, namely junction operating capacity and queue length. Junction operating capacity or RFC (ratio of to flow capacity) provides the primary measure of the level of congestion at a junction and is reported for each entry arm. When the RFC exceeds a value of 1.0, the arm is considered to be operating over capacity and notable queuing will occur. As a general rule, a ratio of more than 0.85 is considered necessary as an acceptable criterion for requiring the implementation of mitigation measures this is a similar measure to VCR which is used in the analysis of junctions in section 6.

By comparison, LINSIG v3, which is used to assess signal controlled junctions provides a Degree of Saturation (DoS). This is provided for each junction arm / entry and gives a ratio of the vehicle arrival rate to the relative saturation flow-rate of an approach. A value of over 100% indicates that demand is greater than capacity, while a value of 90% or less is considered to provide an acceptable design criterion. Additionally, LINSIG v3 provides a measure of Practical Reserve Capacity (PRC) which provides a measure of the available capacity of the junction as a whole, with a positive value indicating that spare capacity is available.

Both LINSIG and JUNCTIONS 9 provides queue length outputs for each arm, and while this is not a primary measure of junction capacity, with regular queues forming but also dissipating in the case of signal controlled junctions, it does provide an indication of how the overall junction performs. Queue length is reported as the average maximum queue length over the hour long peak period being assessed.

# 7.3 Structure of Mitigations Section

The mitigation of each junction is considered on an individual basis in the remainder of this section. For each junction the following details are provided:

• The Junctions 9 or LinSig v3 modelling results of the existing junction layout for the AM and PM peak periods using the 2035 Do Minimum traffic flows (i.e. also including committed development traffic) from the VISUM model. These results highlight which arms of the junction have capacity issues. Where traffic signals have been tested, the existing signal timings have been modelled if available; and



• The mitigation options considered for the junction. The options considered are described in the text and a drawing of the recommended mitigation option is provided in Appendix E. The capacity of the mitigated junction is also presented in this section which has been tested using the 2035 traffic flows from either Option 1, 2 or 3 (whichever traffic flows are the highest). As the junctions are mostly some distance from the area around Junction 47 where the differences between the options are, the difference in traffic flow between the options is minimal at each of the mitigated junctions.

The junctions considered for mitigation are set out in the remainder of this section.

For the purposes of the individual junction models, the following assumptions have been used:

- Unless otherwise stated existing signal timings have been used. If the signals are vehicle actuated, the maximum tings have been used;
- Intergreen timings are as per the existing signal plans provided;
- Generic lane capacity values for the road widths have been used for the LinSig models; All mitigation drawings are indicative layouts only and are based on OS Mastermap mapping provided by HBC. All mitigation drawings are provided in Appendix E; and
- In LinSig, all mitigated signal plans have been optimised for Practical Reserve Capacity.

# 7.4 Junction 1 - Clocktower Junction, Ripon

### 7.4.1 Do Minimum Scenario Junction Performance

The performance of the junction using the 2035 Do Minimum and Do Something Local Plan scenario flows from the VISUM model and the existing junction signal cycle times is presented in Table 7-1.

		Do Min /	M Dook	Do Min	DNA Dook	Do Some	thing AM	Do Some	thing PM
						Des			
		DO2	ININIQ	DOS	IVIIVIQ	DOS	IVIIVIQ	DOS	IVIIVIQ
1/1	Princess Road	8.70%	0	10.50%	0.1	9.60%	0.1	10.70%	0.1
2/2+ 2/1	North Street Entry Ahead Left	104.20%	28.7	97.40%	19.5	119.80%	59.2	112.70%	44.6
2/3	North Street Entry Right	7.00%	0.7	11.90%	1.1	8.10%	0.8	12.60%	1.2
3/1	Palace Road Ahead Left Right	88.20%	19.3	93.30%	22.4	110.80%	55.4	102.50%	34.7
4/1	North Rd Exit	18.80%	0.1	19.80%	0.1	19.10%	0.1	21.10%	0.1
5/1	North Street Exit	9.10%	0	18.20%	0.1	12.80%	0.1	20.70%	0.1
6/1	Palace Road Exit	22.90%	0.1	28.20%	0.2	22.60%	0.1	27.00%	0.2
7/1+ 7/2	North Rd Entry Left Ahead Right	59.10%	10.5	100.00%	33.3	63.00%	11.3	113.10%	74.1
	PRC	-15.	8%	-11	.2%	-33	.2%	-25	.6%

Table 7-1: Clocktower Junction 2035 Existing Junction Performance

As can be seen from the above modelling results, the junction is operating over capacity in both the AM and PM peaks in the Do Minimum (i.e. with growthed background traffic flows and committed



development traffic). As can also be seen from the above modelling results, with additional traffic from the Local Plan, the junction goes further over capacity, resulting in additional queuing.

### 7.4.2 Mitigation

The mitigation options at the junction are limited with the Clocktower and close proximity of private land surrounding all sides of the junction posing significant constraints. The mitigation therefore focused on solutions within the existing highway boundary. Whilst efficiencies were found in extending the overall signal cycle time and optimising the timings, the gains were not found to be sufficient to mitigate the impacts of the Local Plan.

The proposed mitigation has thus adjusted the staging of the junction to allow the northbound and southbound movements to run in parallel with two right turn pockets provided in the centre of the junction. The current and proposed stage plans are shown in the extract below.

## Figure 7-3: Current Staging Plan



Figure 7-4: Proposed Staging Plan



To accommodate this signal plan and the northbound left turn from North Street into Palace Road during a different stage, the roadspace on the southern arm would also require redesignating as shown in the mitigation plan in Appendix E.

With the above arrangements and optimised signal timings with a 150 second cycle time, the junction capacity analysis results are as follows and show the junction to be operating within capacity. Jacobs will continue to work with NYCC to finalise proposals at this junction.



		Do Somethi	ng AM Peak	Do Something PM Peak	
		DoS	MMQ	DoS	MMQ
1/1	Princess Road	10.50%	0.1	10.90%	0.1
2/2+ 2/1	North Street Entry Ahead Left	22.70%	5.1	27.00%	5.5
2/3	North Street Entry Right	48.80%	10.4	35.40%	8.4
3/1	Palace Road Ahead Left Right	76.10%	21.2	87.60%	23.3
4/1	North Rd Exit	22.30%	9.5	22.80%	9.6
5/1	North Street Exit	13.80%	0.1	22.60%	0.1
6/1	Palace Road Exit	24.20%	9.8	30.50%	10.9
7/1+ 7/2	North Rd Entry Left Ahead Right	75.70%	13.5	88.60%	27.5
	PRC	18.	2%	1.	6%

#### Table 7-2: Clocktower Junction Mitigated Junction Performance

# 7.5 Junction 2 - Low Skellgate / Water Skellgate

#### 7.5.1 Do Minimum Scenario Junction Performance

The performance of the junction using the 2035 Do Minimum and Do Something Local Plan scenario flows from the VISUM model and the existing junction signal cycle times is presented in Table 7-3.

Tuble 1 of Eow offengale / Water offengale Existing valietion i chormane	Table 7-3: Lov	v Skellgate / Wat	ter Skellgate	Existing J	unction F	Performance
--	----------------	-------------------	---------------	------------	-----------	-------------

		Do Min AM Peak		Do Min	PM Peak	Do Some Pe	thing AM ak	Do Something PM Peak	
		DoS	MMQ	DoS	MMQ	DoS	MMQ	DoS	MMQ
1/1	Low Skellgate Exit	13.30%	0.1	14.60%	0.1	13.60%	0.1	16.50%	0.1
2/1 + 2/2	Somerset Row Entry Right Ahead Left	127.00%	101	107.60%	41.7	148.40%	171	111.10%	52
3/1	Water Skellgate Exit	13.70%	0.1	13.30%	0.1	14.30%	0.1	13.10%	0.1
4/1	Somerset Row Exit	15.40%	0.1	16.60%	0.1	20.60%	0.1	13.80%	0.1
5/1	High Skellgate	18.40%	0.1	14.10%	0.1	16.60%	0.1	17.50%	0.1
6/1 + 6/2	Water Skellgate Entry Left Ahead Right	77.30%	10.1	73.10%	11.3	98.60%	22.1	70.70%	10
7/1	Low Skellgate Entry Right Left Ahead	57.60%	8.4	52.50%	7.1	55.90%	8	56.30%	7.8
	PRC	-41	.1%	-19	.6%	-64	.9%	-23	.5%
Т	otal delay	103	8.17	44	1.2	181	67	54.	.86



#### 7.5.2 Mitigation

The junction is currently part of an Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) because of Nitrogen Dioxide emissions, the main source of which is listed as road transport. The AQMA extends along High Skellgate and part of Low Skellgate.

The mitigation options at the junction are also limited with the approach angle of Low Skellgate and Somerset Row requiring stop lines at the signals to be set back (as at present) and private land surrounding all sides of the junction. The mitigation therefore focused on solutions within the existing highway boundary. Whilst efficiencies were found in extending the overall signal cycle time and optimising the timings, the gains were not found to be sufficient to mitigate the impacts of the Local Plan.

The proposed mitigation has thus adjusted the staging of the junction to allow the eastbound and westbound movements to run in parallel with two right turn pockets provided in the centre of the junction. The current and proposed stage plans are shown in the extract below. A plan of the junction layout is included in Appendix E.



#### Figure 7-5: Current Staging Plan

#### Figure 7-6: Proposed Staging Plan



With this mitigation in place the junction performance improves to above the current Do Minimum levels so that the Local Plan would be of nil detriment on the junction, as shown in the table below. As previously noted, the junction is also situated within an AQMA and the junction capacity modelling shows there to be a significant reduction in delay at the junction compared to the present modelled situation which is thus likely to result in further benefits from reduced vehicle emissions at the junction.



		Do Some Pe	thing AM ak	Do Some Pe	thing PM ak
		DoS	MMQ	DoS	MMQ
1/1	Low Skellgate Exit	20.20%	0.1	18.10%	0.1
2/1+ 2/2	Somerset Row Entry Right Ahead Left	96.90%	31.1	76.10%	12.6
3/1	Water Skellgate Exit	21.20%	0.1	14.50%	0.1
4/1	Somerset Row Exit	20.60%	0.1	13.80%	0.1
5/1	High Skellgate	17.20%	0.1	17.60%	0.1
6/1+ 6/2	Water Skellgate Entry Left Ahead Right	96.90%	20.7	76.70%	10.8
7/1	Low Skellgate Entry Right Left Ahead	97.80%	15.5	74.30%	9.1
	PRC	-8.	7%	17.	4%
	Total delay	41	.00	18	.11

Table 7-4:	Low Skellgate	/ Water Skellgate	Mitigated Ju	nction Performance
	Low Onenguie	nuter onengute	miligated val	

# 7.6 Junction 3 - A59 / Harrogate Bypass

#### 7.6.1 Do Minimum Scenario Junction Performance

The performance of the existing roundabout has been tested in Junction 9 software, with junction geometries obtained from the recently approved Transport Assessment for the Manse Farm development. The junction modelling results using the 2035 Do Minimum flows from the VISUM model are presented in Table 7-5.

Arm		Do Min AM Peak		Do Min PM Peak		Do Something AM Peak		Do Something PM Peak	
		RFC	Q	RFC	Q	RFC	Q	RFC	Q
Arm 1	A59 York Road (NE)	0.48	0.9	0.59	1.4	0.66	1.9	0.68	2.1
Arm 2	Goldsborough Road (SE)	0.1	0.1	0.19	0.2	0.24	0.3	0.25	0.3
Arm 3	A658 Bypass (SW)	0.4	0.7	0.48	0.9	0.55	1.2	0.55	1.2
Arm 4	A59 York Road (NW)	0.41	0.7	0.42	0.7	0.59	1.4	0.55	1.2

Table 7-5:	A59	/ Harrogate Bvg	ass 2035 D	o Minimum	Scenario	Junction	Performance
		, manogato byp			000110110	<b>V</b> anotion	

As can be seen from the above modelling, all arms of the junction are modelled to operate well within capacity for both the Do Minimum and Do Something scenarios. This junction within the VISUM model has been reviewed and in order to calibrate the journey times in the area, the capacity of the junction was artificially reduced by reducing each arm to a one lane entry rather than the actual two lane entry on each arm. However, as can be seen from the table above, the more accurate Junctions 9 modelling shows that the junction is expected to operate well within capacity even with additional traffic from the Local Plan developments.



## 7.7 Junction 4 - Woodlands

Jacobs is working with HBC and NYCC to finalise a solution to this junction. The findings of this work will be published in due course.

## 7.8 Junction 5 - Leeds Road / Hookstone Road / Leadhall Lane

Jacobs is working with HBC and NYCC to finalise a solution to this junction. The findings of this work will be published in due course.

It is also worth noting that the VISUM modelling results showed a number of vehicles 'rat-running' around local streets to avoid the junction pre-mitigation. Rat-running routes will be considered when developing mitigation at this junction.

# 7.9 Junction 6 - Beckwith Head Road / Otley Road

#### 7.9.1 Do Minimum Scenario Junction Performance

The junction has a committed scheme in place to signalise all arms to form a signalised crossroads. At the time of the modelling, a plan of the proposed signalised junction layout was not available and the layout has thus been assumed to be accommodated within the existing carriageway. It should also be noted that the VISUM strategic modelling added development traffic from the H49 development to the zone connector off the northern arm of the junction whereas the actual access is anticipated to be from an access of the western arm. The traffic flows used in the LinSig modelling were thus amended to take this into account.

The performance of the junction for the 2035 Do Minimum and Do Something Local Plan scenario flows is presented in Table 7-6. As an existing signal plan was not available, the results below are for the optomised signal timings.

		Do Min AM Peak		Do Min PM Peak		Do Something AM Peak		Do Something PM Peak	
		DoS	MMQ	DoS	MMQ	DoS	MMQ	DoS	MMQ
1/2+ 1/1	Beckwith Head Road (Entry) Left Ahead Right	64.0 : 64.0%	4.9	54.6 : 54.6%	4.9	39.2 : 39.2%	1.8	64.1 : 64.1%	3.2
2/1	Otley Road WB (Exit)	3.10%	0	10.70%	0.1	7.10%	0	19.80%	0.1
3/1	Crag Lane (Exit)	4.60%	0	6.00%	0	3.10%	0	3.70%	0
4/1	Otley Road EB (Exit)	19.30%	0.1	22.70%	0.1	19.60%	0.1	23.80%	0.2

#### Table 7-6: Beckwith Head Road / Otley Road 2035 Do Minimum Scenario Junction Performance



5/1	Beckwith Head Road (Exit)	28.90%	0.2	11.50%	0.1	44.80%	0.4	22.90%	0.1
6/1	Otley Road EB (Entry) Left Ahead Right	12.90%	1.6	18.00%	2.4	152.70%	107.1	34.80%	4.2
7/1	Crag Lane (Entry) Right Left Ahead	63.30%	3.4	54.30%	3.9	90.20%	6.6	66.50%	4.2
8/1	Otley Road WB (Entry) Ahead Right Left	64.00%	11.7	55.70%	8.8	78.80%	17.8	69.40%	13.8
	PRC	40.	6%	61.7%		-69.7%		29.8%	

As can be seen from the results in the table above, the junction operates within capacity in all scenarios except the Do Something AM peak.

### 7.9.2 Mitigation

The LinSig modelling showed that the junction could not be brought within capacity within the available roadspace. Given the turning movements and available land, an additional lane of five vehicles length for right turning vehicles lane of has been added to the western Otley Road arm, with the junction subsequently modelled to operate within capacity. The layout of the mitigated junction can be found in Appendix E.

		Do Somet Pea	hing AM ak	Do Something PM Peak		
		DoS	MMQ	DoS	MMQ	
1/2+ 1/1	Beckwith Head Road (Entry) Left Ahead Right	39.2 : 39.2%	1.8	64.1: 64.1%	3.2	
2/1	Otley Road WB (Exit)	7.10%	0	19.80%	0.1	
3/1	Crag Lane (Exit)	3.50%	0	3.70%	0	
4/1	Otley Road EB (Exit)	25.50%	0.2	23.80%	0.2	
5/1	Beckwith Head Road (Exit)	47.50%	0.5	22.90%	0.1	
6/1	Otley Road EB (Entry) Left Ahead Right	69.0 : 69.0%	5	26.6 : 26.6%	3.1	
7/1	Crag Lane (Entry) Right Left Ahead	72.20%	4.5	66.50%	4.2	
8/1	Otley Road WB (Entry) Ahead Right Left	79.90%	18.7	67.40%	13.5	
	PRC	12.7	7%	33.5%		



# 7.10 Junction 7 – A61 / Otley Road, Killinghall

#### 7.10.1 Do Minimum Scenario Junction Performance

The junction has a committed scheme in place to signalise all arms

The performance of the junction for the 2035 Do Minimum and Do Something Local Plan scenario flows is presented in Table 7-7. The signal plan proposed as part of the Penny Pot Lane development has been used but as signal timings were not available, the results below are for the optomised signal timings.

		Do Min AM Peak		Do Min PM Peak		Do Something AM Peak		Do Something PM Peak	
		DoS	MMQ	DoS	MMQ	DoS	MMQ	DoS	MMQ
1/1	Ripon Road NB Entry Left Ahead	57.50%	10.5	70.90%	15.2	59.30%	11.1	72.30%	15.8
2/1+ 2/2	Ripon Road SB Entry Ahead Right	80.7: 80.7%	11.4	80.4: 80.4%	13.9	83.5 : 83.5%	13.8	84.9 : 84.9%	17.8
3/1+ 3/2	Otley Road Entry Right Left	63.6 : 0.0%	8.5	70.8 : 0.0%	9.1	66.0 : 0.0%	8.7	74.0 : 74.0%	9.5
5/1	Otley Road Exit	23.70%	0.2	18.00%	0.1	23.70%	0.2	18.40%	0.1
	PRC	11.	5%	12.0%		7.8%		6.0%	

Table 7-7: A61 / Otley Road Junction 2035 Do Minimum Scenario Junction Performance

As can be seen from the above table, the junction is modelled to operate within capacity using the already proposed staging plan. Whilst the junction is modelled within capacity it is noted that the modelled right turn movements out of Otley Road are very low (one per hour). If further capacity was required from the junction in the future, the right turn movement out of Otley Road could be prohibited for relatively little loss (with traffic diverted via Grainbeck Lane) which would thus allow a more efficient staging plan to be used at the junction.

# 7.11 Junction 8 - St James Retail Pk / Harrogate Bypass

### 7.11.1 Do Minimum Scenario Junction Performance

The performance of the junction using the 2035 Do Minimum flows from the VISUM model is presented in Table 7-8. As the traffic flows around the junction are relatively uneven, the junction has been modelled in 'lane simulation mode' which tests the capacities of individual lanes.

		Do Min AM Peak	Do Min PM Peak	Do Something AM Peak	Do Something PM Peak
		RFC	RFC	RFC	RFC
	A658 North nearside	0.999	0.937	1.001	0.992
	A658 North offside	0.000	0.000	0	0.000
Arm 2	Wetherby Rd East nearside	0.586	0.814	0.625	0.946

Table 7-8: St James Retail Park / Harrogate Bypass 2035 Existing Junction Performance



	Wetherby Rd East offside	0.598	0.555	0.504	0.551
Arm 3	A658 South nearside	0.599	0.590	0.622	0.585
	A658 South offside	0.718	0.732	0.834	0.695
Arm 4	B6164 West nearside	0.796	0.627	0.809	0.648
	B6164 West offisde	0.679	0.924	0.850	0.929

As can be seen from the above, the A698 Northern arm is overcapacity in all scenarios with the modelled traffic flows showing very uneven lane usage. The Local Plan development is also modelled to result in the eastern Wetherby Road arm going over capacity in the PM peak with Local Plan development in place whilst the western B6164 arm would go slightly further over capacity.

### 7.11.2 Mitigation

In the immediate vicinity around the junction there is land within the designated highway boundary. The initial modelling showed that lane usage on the northern A698 and eastern Wetherby Road arms was relatively uneven and therefore the Local Plan impacts can be mitigated by permitting ahead movements to use both lanes. This requires some widening of the exits of the respective arms as shown in the mitigation plan in Appendix E. The B6164 western arm showed a slight increase in RFC in the PM peak which can be mitigated to below the Do Minimum situation levels by increasing the flare length in both lanes on the approach to the junction. The resultant junction design is shown in Appendix E with the modelling results set out below.

		Do Something AM Peak	Do Something PM Peak
		RFC	RFC
A	A658 North nearside	0.745	0.712
Arm 1	A658 North offside	0.783	0.745
A	Wetherby Rd East nearside	0.602	0.711
AIIII Z	Wetherby Rd East offside	0.561	0.725
Arm 2	A658 South nearside	0.58	0.579
Anns	A658 South offside	0.835	0.698
Arm 4	B6164 West nearside	0.785	0.623
	B6164 West offisde	0.837	0.915

#### Table 7-9: St James Retail Park / Harrogate Bypass 2035 Proposed Junction Performance

# 7.12 Junction 9 – A61 Ripon Road / A59 Skipton Road

#### 7.12.1 Do Minimum Scenario Junction Performance

The A59 / A61 junction has a committed scheme in place for improvements to the roundabout which was put forward as part of the planning consent for the proposed adjacent supermarket development. The junction dimensions used in the modelling have thus been extracted from the junction capacity analysis carried out in the Transport Assessment.

The performance of the junction using the 2035 Do Minimum flows from the VISUM model is presented in Table 7-10.



		Do Min AM Peak		Do Min PM Peak		Do Something AM Peak		Do Something PM Peak	
		RFC	Q	RFC	Q	RFC	Q	RFC	Q
Arm 1	A59 Skipton Rd East	0.64	1.7	0.86	5.6	0.65	1.8	0.89	7
Arm 2	A61 Ripon Rd South	0.27	0.4	0.34	0.5	0.27	0.4	0.33	0.5
Arm 3	A59 Skipton Rd West	0.03	0	0.04	0	0.03	0	0.04	0
Arm 4	A61 Ripon Rd North	0.5	1	0.55	1.2	0.54	1.2	0.57	1.3

Table 7-10: Ripon Road / A59 2035 Do Minimum Scenario Junction Performance
--

As can be seen from the above, the eastern A59 arm is operating slightly overcapacity in the PM peak periods for the Do Minimum and Do Something Local Plan scenarios.

#### 7.12.2 Mitigation

The mitigation options for the eastern A59 arm are limited. Of the dimensions modelled in Junction 9, only the entry width (i.e. the width of the arm adjacent to the stopline at the roundabout) could easily be changed, with changes to any of the other modelled geometries requiring significant works. The modelled entry width for the proposed junction scheme is 7.76m (i.e. which produces an RFC of 0.89 is the Do Something scenario). The Junctions 9 analysis shows that if the entry width was widened to 7.84m, then the RFC for this arm would be reduced to 0.88, but the entry width would need to widen to 8.58m to reduce the RFC to 0.87 (which require more extensive works to be carried out at the junction).

Whilst the slight differences in entry widths are modelled to slightly reduce the RFC, it is likely that in real world conditions, these changes would actually have a negligible impact on the capacity of the junction. Thus given the junction is only slightly over capacity and the sensitivity of the modelling to relatively minor changes in the entry width, it is proposed that no junction mitigation measures are required for the A59/A61 junction.

### 7.13 Junction 10 - A59/B6164

Jacobs is working with HBC and NYCC to finalise a solution to this junction. The findings of this work will be published in due course.

### 7.14 Junction 11 - Westgate / Blossomgate

Jacobs is working with HBC and NYCC to finalise a solution to this junction. The findings of this work will be published in due course.

### 7.15 Junction 12 - North Street / Coltsgate Hill

Jacobs is working with HBC and NYCC to finalise a solution to this junction. The findings of this work will be published in due course.



# 7.16 Junction 13 - A658 / B6163

Jacobs is working with HBC and NYCC to finalise a solution to this junction. The findings of this work will be published in due course.

# 7.17 Junction 14 - A61 Leeds Road / Follifoot Road / Pannal Bank

Jacobs is working with HBC and NYCC to finalise a solution to this junction. The findings of this work will be published in due course.



# 8. Summary

HBC commissioned Jacobs to use the VISUM Harrogate District Transport Model to determine the impacts of three Local Plan growth options to determine the resultant transport impacts and future infrastructure requirements. The study builds on a high level modelling exercise undertaken previously which examined two test options – one test with development focussed in the main urban areas of Harrogate, Knaresborough and Ripon and the other option which would create a new settlement of up to 3,000 homes within the A1(M) corridor.

This stage has undertaken more depth modelling of a Do Minimum Scenario and three Local Plan options in 2025 and 2035 future year scenarios. The options considered are as follows:

- Do Minimum existing traffic and committed development only;
- Option 1 Local Plan option 1 Urban Growth and Do Minimum scenario traffic;
- Option 2 Local Plan Option 2 Flaxby and Do Minimum scenario traffic; and
- Option 3 Local Plan Option 3 Green Hammerton and Do Minimum scenario traffic.

The location and quantum of Local Plan and committed development was provided by HBC. The assumptions made to determine trip generations and trip distributions for each site are set out in section 5 along with the methodology used to develop the 2025 and 2035 future year traffic forecasts.

When analysing the increase in traffic in the future assessment years, all three Local Plan options show significant increases in traffic volumes and junction delay are present across all three tests.

In Harrogate there is a significant number of development sites coming forward in South West Harrogate with the resulting increase in traffic in all scenarios, particularly towards the town centre and heading south towards the A61 via Hill Top Lane and Burn Bridge Lane.

All three scenarios also experience a sustainable increase in volume of traffic on the A59 between the A658 and the Flaxby roundabout to the west of the A1. The increase in flow is equal across all three options westbound on this link and eastbound on the A59 between the Flaxby roundabout and the A1 (Approx 500 and 300-400 respectively). This is due to the Flaxby employment site being present in all scenarios and its access point being located at this junction. However, the degree of this uplift varies significantly across each scenario for the remaining links around this area. The development sites elsewhere in Harrogate and Knaresborough are smaller with relatively small increases in traffic.

The most notable difference between the scenarios is the strategic sites. The difference is particularly evident on the A59 between Flaxby and Harrogate/ Knaresborough. The modelling shows that capacity along the A59 corridor and at Junction 47 of the A1(M) is key to vehicle routing. The committed scheme to signalise this junction has been coded into the model but there is some rerouting of traffic from Harrogate and Knaresborough towards York via Junction 46 of the A1(M) to avoid congestion along the A59 corridor.

It should be noted that the analysis in the previous section showed that mitigation would also be required for Junction 47 of the A1(M). HBC is working with Highways England to explore the future operation of junction 47 of the A1(M) and potential solutions.



In Ripon the major increase in traffic is associated with the Local Plan development sites to the west of the town centre, resulting in increased traffic flows along North Road (for traffic heading towards Junction 50 of the A1(M)), B6265 Bondate Green (for traffic heading to Junction 48 of the A1(M) at Boroughbridge) and along Harrogate Road (for traffic using the A61 to travel to the south. This implies that junction 47 of the A1(M) will be a substantial constraint

A review of the operational capacities of junctions within the detailed model area was also undertaken in Section 6.4. In Harrogate the modelling of the 2035 Do Minimum scenario (i.e. with background traffic growth and committed development included) showed a number of junctions approaching capacity in both the AM and particularly the PM peaks. These junctions were mainly in the town centre with the A61 junction at Killinghall and the junctions on the Harrogate Southern Bypass also modelled to be approaching capacity.

The Local Plan sites in Harrogate were consistent across each option, resulting in similar modelling results within the town for each option. The development coming forward in Harrogate was also relatively limited and mainly concentrated to the South West of the town.

With traffic from Local Plan development sites added, these was an increase in the Volume Capacity Ratio (VCR) at the following junctions:

- The junctions in the town centre;
- The junctions around the South West Harrogate development sites;
- The Woodlands Junction; and
- The A61 corridor and the A61 / Otley Road (Killinghall) junction in particular.

In Knaresborough, the Do Minimum modelling shows there to be issues in the Do Minimum Scenario at the Bond End and A59 / B6164 Chain Lane junction. As for Harrogate, there are relatively few Local Plan development sites within the town. The addition of traffic from the Local Plan thus mainly affects the operation of the A59 within the town, particularly at the A59 / B6164 Chain Lane junction.

On the bypass, the Do Minimum modelling results show there to be congestions issues at the A59 / A658, A658 / B6164 Wetherby Road and A668 / A651 junction. The congestions issues intensify during the Local Plan scenarios, particularly options 2 and 3 which both include strategic housing sites at Flaxby and Green Hammerton, increasing the number of vehicles using the corridor.

In Ripon, the VCR is approaching capacity at some junctions within the town centre including the Clocktower junction, Allhallowgate / St Marygate and Skellbank / Water Skellgate / Low Skellgate junctions. As for Harrogate and Knaresborough, the quantum of Local Plan in Ripon is consistent across each option with similar modelling results for all options.

The modelling particularly shows an increase in VCR at the Skellbank / Water Skellgate / Low Skellgate, Allhallowgate / St Marygate and North Street / A6108 Palace Road junctions as per the AM peak modelling, with additional VCR increases at the A61 / Bondgate Green and North Street / College Road junctions.

To mitigate the impacts of the Local Plan, HBC and NYCC have identified fourteen junctions which require mitigation with further modelling undertaken in Junctions 9 or LinSig v3 modelling software which provides further detail of the impacts at the junction. The impacts at eight junctions have been shown to be within capacity or physical mitigation proposed for the junctions. Jacobs, HBC and NYCC will continue working on mitigation measures for the remaining six junctions which will be published in due course. Discounting the effects of traffic from committed developments and background traffic


growth, from the work undertaken thus far it does not appear that major new roads are required to deliver the Local Plan growth.



# **Appendix A. Committed Development Sites**

#### Committed Developments Included in Do Minimum Scenario

	A	ore (	No of Proportion of Trip Rates (Per 100m2)		2)	2035 Trip Generations				Development								
Application Number	Proposed Land Use	GFA (m2) of space	Site Area (Hectare)	Dwellings/	compl	pment ete in:	Source of Trip Rates	Weekday	y AM Rate	Weekda	y PM Rate	AM	Peak	PM	Peak	connected into	Zone connection assumptions	Changes to Distribution
-		-		Beds	2025	2035		Arr	Dept	Arr	Dept	Arr	Dept	Arr	Dept	zone		
11/02438/REPMAJ	Housing			130	1	1	taken from TA					33	133	93	56	4024	To be connected to 4024, the zone which it is situated	as no change to land use
11/02438/REPMA1	Offices			1	1	1	Trip Generations		1	1		22	2	3	18	4024	To be connected to 4024, the zone	Distribution of zone is kept the same
11/02450/11211005	Unices				-	-	taken from TA		ļ		ļ					4024	which it is situated	as no change to land use Distribution of zone is kent the same
11/02438/REPMAJ	B8 Warehousing	0			1	1	taken from TA					0	0	0	0	4024	which it is situated	as no change to land use
42/02764/500444	Holiday			405			Trip generations		[	1	1		1			4202	To be connected to 4203, the zone	Insignifican trips to alter distribution
12/03/04/POLIVIA	Accomidation			100	-	1	provided by HBC		<u> </u>		<u> </u>	3	-	°	<i>'</i>	4203	which it is situated	
42 (02050 (5111 848)							Trip generations		[	1	[	~ 4			25		To be connected to 1419, the zone	Distribution of zone is kept the same
12/03959/FULMAJ	Housing			74	1	1	provided by HBC					24	30	28	25	1419	which it is situated	as no change to land use
12/04462/FULMAJ	Hotels				1	1	Trip generations				1	19	29	44	28	1503	To be connected to 1503, the zone	Distribution of zone is kept the same
							provided by HBC Trip Generations		ł	·	ł		-	•			which it is situated To be connected to 2306, the zone	as no change to land use Distribution of zone is kent the same
13/00535/EIAMAJ	B1 Offices	10,323	-		1	1	taken from TA		Į		Į	44	8	61	15	2306	which it is situated	as no change to land use
13/00535/EIAMAJ	Primary School	-	· ·	126	1	1	Trip Generations		1	1	1	45	33	0	0	2306	To be connected to 2306, the zone	Distribution of zone is kept the same
12/00525/5144441	DR Marshouring	1.147			1	4	Trip Generations					2			1	2205	To be connected to 2306, the zone	Distribution of zone is kept the same
13/00333/Elikumid	bo wateriousing	1,147		ļ	-	1	taken from TA		Į	ļ	Į	3	ļ	.ļ	1	2300	which it is situated	as no change to land use
13/00535/EIAMAJ	Centre	-	•		1	1	taken from TA					0	0	0	0	2306	which it is situated	as no change to land use
							Trip Generations		[								To be connected to 2306, the zone	Distribution of zone is kept the same
13/00535/EIAMAJ	Housing	-		600	1	1	taken from TA		1	1	1	120	310	257	142	2306	which it is situated	as no change to land use
			1	1			Trip generations		1	1	1		1	1			To be connected to 1428, the zone	Distribution of zone is kent the same
13/02897/OUTMAJ	Housing			62	1	1	provided by HBC					14	54	38	20	1428	which it is situated	as no change to land use
	Choltorod		1	1			Trie concretions		1	1	1		1	1			To be connected to 2202, the sens	tasianifican trins to alter distribution
13/03623/FULMAJ	Accomodation			76	1	1	provided by HBC		1	1	1	11	11	11	11	2202	which it is situated	insignificant rips to arter astroation
12/04655/510 MAN	Detirement Flats			22	1	4	Trip generations					2			2	1020	To be connected to 1020, the zone	Distribution of zone is kept the same
13/04055/FULWAJ	Retirement Hats			33	1	1	provided by HBC		Į	ļ	Į	2	2	3	2	1020	which it is situated	as no change to land use
14/00128/OUTMAJ	Housing			65	1	1	Trip generations		1	1	1	16	35	32	20	1422	To be connected to 1422, the zone	Distribution of zone changed to suit
					-	-	provided by HBC		ļ	l	l						which it is situated	new land use
14/00259/OUTMAL	Housing			124	1	1	Trip generations					19	57	53	28	1027	To be connected to 1027, the zone	Distribution of zone is kept the same
- ,					-	-	provided by HBC										which it is situated	as no change to land use
14/00954/01/7444	Houring			210	1		Trip generations		1	1	1	21	06		53	1005	To be connected to 1005, the zone	Distribution of zone is kept the same
14/00854/001MAJ	Housing			210	1	1	provided by HBC					31	96	90	53	1005	which it is situated	as no change to land use
14/00969/FULMAJ	Food Superstore	1,536		]	1	1	Trips taken from TA	1.204	0.809	3.147	3.665	18	12	48	56	3111	To be connected to 3111, the zone	Distribution of zone is kept the same
							Trip generations			<u> </u>	<u></u>						which it is situated To be connected to 1608, the zone	as no change to land use Distribution of zone is kept the same
14/01179/FULMAJ	Exhibition Centre			ļ	1	1	provided by HBC	0.358	0.082	0.12	0.623	3	1	1	6	1608	which it is situated	as no change to land use
14/01253/FULMAJ	Nurses homes	-	-	55	1	11	Trip generations		1	1	1	16	4	3	9	1422	To be connected to 1422, the zone which it is situated	Distribution of zone is kept the same
14/01295/5185441	Sea Complay		·		4	4	Trip generations		f	1	f	25		10	20	4214	To be connected to 4212, the zone	Distribution of zone is kept the same
14/01385/FULMAJ	spa complex				1	1	provided by HBC					25	5	10	20	4214	which it is situated	as no change to land use
				1			Trip generations		1	1	1		1	1				
14/01697/FULMAJ	Cinema			1	1	1	provided by HBC for the AM neak and trics		1	1	1	10	0	57	44	1502	Use zone 1023 to represent parking area	Distribution of zone is kept the same as no change to land use
							used for the AM											
14/02260/51818441	Houring			26	4	4	Trip generations							- -	F	1114	To be connected to 1114, the zone	Distribution of zone is kept the same
14/02203/102405	nousing		ļ		-	-	provided by HBC				ļ		ļ				which it is situated	as no change to land use
14/02737/EIAMAJ	Housing			600	1	1	TA used for PM peak	0.159	0.42	1	1	95	252	266	142	1030	To be connected to 1030, the zone	Distribution of zone is kept the same
	-			ļ			TRICS used for AM		Į	ļ	Į		Į				which it is situated	as no change to land use
14/02804/OUTMAJ	Park and Rail			120	1	1	Trip generations provided by HBC					116	37	34	114	1227	To be connected to 1227, the zone which it is situated	Distribution of zone is kept the same as no change to land use
							Trip generations		<u> </u>	1	†			1			To be connected to 1005, the zone	Distribution of zone is kent the same
14/02944/OUTMAJ	Housing			135	1	1	provided by HBC					20	62	58	34	1005	which it is situated	as no change to land use
			1	1					1	1	1		1	1			use connector 2304 - conenctor	
14/03118/FULMAJ	Retail				1	1	Trip generations					8	4	14	16	2304	2304 distributed 25% of traffic to	Distribution of zone is kept the same
				1			provided by HBC		1	1	1		1	1			access	as no change to land use
14/03527/FULMAJ	Spa Complex		1		1	1	Trip Generations		1		1	27	0	0	27	1609	To be connected to 1609, the zone	Distribution of zone is kept the same
			ł				taken from TA Trip generations		ł		ł		ł	·}			Which it is situated To be connected to 3002, the zone	as no change to land use Distribution of zone is kept the same
14/03634/FULMAJ	Nurses homes			55	1	1	provided by HBC		Į	ļ	Į	1	-2	1	1	3002	which it is situated	as no change to land use
14/04002/01/TMAL	Housing			176	1	1	Trip generations		1	1	1	27	79	74	42	4022	To be connected to 4023, the zone	Distribution of zone is kept the same
14/04003/0011110	nousing			170	-	-	provided by HBC					27				4023	which it is situated	as no change to land use
14/04927/REMMA1	Housing			20	1	1	Trip generations					7	26	19	11	1021	Trips to be split 50:50 and connected	Distribution of zone is kept the same
14/04037/11/11/10/0	nousing		ļ		-	-	provided by HBC		Į	<u>[</u>	Į	<i>.</i>		10		1051	to zones 1031 and 1431	as no change to land use
/			1	<u> </u>			Trip generations		Ĩ	1	Ĩ	_	Ì	Ĩ			Trips to be split 50:50 and connected	Distribution of zone is kept the same
14/04837/REMIMAD_	Housing			39	1	1	provided by HBC		1	1	1		20	18	11	1431	to zones 1031 and 1432	as no change to land use
/ /			-				Trip generations				<u> </u>		l				To be connected to 2001, the zone	Zone distribution changed from
14/04929/REMMAJ	Housing			164	1	1	provided by HBC					53	66	66	61	2001	which it is situated	aggricultural to housing distribution
14/04991/REMMAL	Nurses homes		1	1	1	1	Trip generations		1	1	1	97	52	54	91	1224	To be connected to 1224, the zone	Distribution of zone is kept the same
14/04/01/11/11/00	Nurses nomes				-	-	provided by HBC										which it is situated	as no change to land use
14/04985/RG3MAJ	B1 Offices			1	1	1	provided by HBC		1	1	1	21	0	0	3	1018	which it is situated	as no change to land use
14/05165/01/7444	Houring			150	1		Trip generations		1	1	1	22	75	01	41	2005	To be connected to 3005, the zone	Distribution of zone is kept the same
14/03103/001004	Housing			130	-	1	provided by HBC					23	/3	01	41	3003	which it is situated	as no change to land use
14/05211/FULMAJ	Secondary School			]	1	1	Trip Generations		[		[	35	22	0	9	1424	To be connected to 1424, the zone	Distribution of zone is kept the same
							taken from TA Trip generations							· .			Which it is situated To be connected to 1424, the zone	as no change to land use Distribution of zone is kept the same
15/00937/FULMAJ	B8 Warehousing			ļ		1	provided by HBC		Į	ļ	Į	46	0	0	46	1424	which it is situated	as no change to land use
15/01330/FULMAJ	Retail				1	1	Trip generations provided by HBC					7	11	0	0	1606	To be connected to 1606, the zone which it is situated	Distribution of zone is kept the same as no change to land use
							Trip generations		[		[						To be connected to 1029, the zone	Distribution of zone is kent the same
15/03051/OUTMAJ	Housing			25	1	1	provided by HBC					2	4	4	2	1029	which it is situated	as no change to land use
							Trip generations				İ			1			To be connected to 1506, the zone	Distribution of zone is kent the same
14/02612/FULMAJ	Housing			56	1	1	provided by HBC		1	1	1	8	23	23	11	1506	which it is situated	as no change to land use
							Trip generations				f						To be connected to 1030, the sens	Distribution of some is boot the come
15/02228/OUTMAJ	Housing			80	1	1	provided by HBC					14	55	37	21	1029	which it is situated	as no change to land use
							Trip generations										To be connected to 4022 the sens	Distribution of some is boot the come
14/04315/FULMAJ	Housing			85	1	1	provided by HBC					2	27	35	12	4023	which it is situated	as no change to land use
			1	1			Trip concertions		1	1	1	1	1	1			To be connected to 1020, the se	Distribution of some is local the
15/04622/OUTMAJ	Housing			6	1	1	provided by HBC					3	3	6	6	1029	which it is situated	as no change to land use
							70.000000000000000000000000000000000000										7	
13/02786/EIAMAJ	Housing			180	1	1	taken from TA					26	78	72	38	1029	which it is situated	as no change to land use
									ł		ł							
13/02786/EIAMAJb	Housing			90	1	1	Trip Generations					13	39	36	19	1029	To be connected to 1029, the zone which it is situated	Distribution of zone is kept the same
									ł		ł							
13/02786/EIAMAJc	Housing			90	1	1	Trip Generations					13	39	36	19	1029	To be connected to 1029, the zone which it is situated	Distribution of zone is kept the same
							uncil india ind										When it is situated	as no change to hand use
13/02786/EIAMAJd	Housing			90	1	1	Trip Generations		1	1	1	13	39	36	19	1029	To be connected to 1029, the zone	Distribution of zone is kept the same
				ļ			taken from TA		ļ	ļ	Į		Į				which it is situated	as no change to land use
13/02786/EIAMAJ	Primary School				1	1	taken from TA					0	0	0	0	1029	which it is situated	as no change to land use
11/01047/5				~			trip generations	0.477	0.2777	0.455	0.00					2205	To be connected to 1029, the zone	Distribution of zone is kept the same
11/01947/FULMAJ	notels			60	1	1	determined via TRICS	0.135	0.277	0.192	0.091	8	17	12	5	3203	which it is situated	as no change to land use
				1	1		trip generations		Ĩ	Ϊ.	<b>1</b>	Ι		1			To be connected to 1003, the zone	Distribution of zone is kent the come
12/02099/FULMAJ	Housing			13	1	1	determined via TRICS	0.159	0.42	0.391	0.191	2	5	5	2	1003	which it is situated	as no change to land use
							trin generations										To be connected to 4211, the same	Distribution of zone is kent the come
12/04650/REMMAJ	Housing			12	1	1	determined via TRICS	0.159	0.42	0.391	0.191	2	5	5	2	4311	which it is situated	as no change to land use
			·				Anim Comments					h	·				To be seened to all the second	Distribution of annuality
12/04653/OUTMAJ	Housing			16	1	1	trip generations determined via TRICS	0.159	0.42	0.391	0.191	3	7	6	3	4209	vo be connected to 4209, the zone which it is situated	as no change to land use
							statistics via reits											as the shange to latin use
13/00437/REMMAJ	Housing			46	1	1	trip generations determined via TRICC	0.159	0.42	0.391	0.191	7	19	18	9	1028	To be connected to 1028, the zone which it is situated	Distribution of zone is kept the same as no change to lead use
			-				sector via relles		ł		ł						which is 5 situated	us no change to tanto use
13/00965/FULMAJ	Housing			10	1	1	trip generations	0.159	0.42	0.391	0.191	2	4	4	2	2002	To be connected to 2002, the zone which it is citizated	Distribution of zone is kept the same
							Secermined via TRICS				ļ						which it is situated	as no change to land use
13/01297/FULMAJ	Housing			20	1	1	trip generations	0.159	0.42	0.391	0.191	3	8	8	4	1606	To be connected to 1606, the zone	Distribution of zone is kept the same
			Į	ļ			uetermined via TRICS		Į	ļ	Į	ļ	Į				which it is situated	as no change to land use
13/02488/FUII MA	Housing			11	1	1	trip generations	0.159	0.42	0.391	0.191	2	5	4	2	1018	To be connected to 1018, the zone	Distribution of zone is kept the same
			ļ				determined via TRICS							<u> </u>		-510	which it is situated	as no change to land use
13/04942/PENANAA	Housing			50	1	1	trip generations	0.150	0.42	0.301	0 191	•	21	20	10	1102	To be connected to 1102, the zone	Distribution of zone is kept the same
20,00000 nEMIMAJ	nousing		ļ	30		1	determined via TRICS	0.139	0.42	J.551	3.131	°	21	20	10	1102	which it is situated	as no change to land use
14/02522/5							trip generations	0.455		0.201	0.451					+505	To be connected to 1506, the zone	Distribution of zone is kept the same
14/02523/FULMÅJ	Housing		1	13	1	1	determined via TRICS	0.159	0.42	0.391	0.191	2	5	5	2	1206	which it is situated	as no change to land use

14/03144/DVCMAJ	Holiday Accomidation			31	1	1	trip generations determined via TRICS	0.016	0.03	0.099	0.108	0	1	3	3	4024	Be connected to zone to north east - 4024	Distribution of zone is kept the same as no change to land use
14/03251/REMMAJ	Housing			88	1	1	trip generations determined via TRICS	0.159	0.42	0.391	0.191	14	37	34	17	1424	To be connected to 1424, the zone which it is situated	Distribution of zone is kept the same as no change to land use
14/03251/REMMAJ	Mixed Affordable Houses (Flats and Houses)			38	1	1	trip generations determined via TRICS	0.159	0.42	0.391	0.191	6	16	15	7	1424	To be connected to 1424, the zone which it is situated	Distribution of zone is kept the same as no change to land use
14/03510/FULMAJ	Housing			23	1	1	trip generations determined via TRICS	0.159	0.42	0.391	0.191	4	10	9	4	1503	To be connected to 1503, the zone which it is situated	Distribution of zone is kept the same as no change to land use
14/04648/REMMAJ	Housing			27	1	1	trip generations determined via TRICS	0.159	0.42	0.391	0.191	4	11	11	5	4206	To be connected to 4206, the zone which it is situated	Distribution of zone is kept the same as no change to land use
14/05219/FULMAJ	Housing			18	1	1	trip generations determined via TRICS	0.159	0.42	0.391	0.191	3	8	7	3	4307	To be connected to 4307, the zone which it is situated	Distribution of zone is kept the same as no change to land use
15/00429/FULMAJ	Housing			14	1	1	trip generations determined via TRICS	0.159	0.42	0.391	0.191	2	6	5	3	1402	To be connected to 1402 to the east, the zone which it is situated	Distribution of zone is kept the same as no change to land use
12/02099/FULMAJ	Tennis Club		0		1	1	trip generations determined via TRICS	1.656	0.993	5.629	4.305	0	0	-1	-1	1003	To be connected to 1003, the zone which it is situated	Distribution of zone is kept the same as no change to land use
12/02192/FULMAJ	Tennis Club		3		1	1	trip generations determined via TRICS	1.656	0.993	5.629	4.305	2	1	6	4	1424	To be connected to 1424, the zone which it is situated	Distribution of zone is kept the same as no change to land use
09/02704/FULMAJ	Food Superstore	7,345			1	1	trip generations determined via TRICS					0	0	19	21	1009	50% of traffic to be loaded on 1010 connector. Connector for 1009 to be relocated to A59 to the north and 50% of development traffic to be loaded on	Distribution of zone is kept the same as no change to land use
09/02704/FULMAJ_	Food Superstore						trip generations determined via TRICS					0	0	19	21	1010	50% of traffic to be loaded on 1010 connector. Connector for 1009 to be relocated to A59 to the north and 50% of development traffic to be loaded on	Distribution of zone is kept the same as no change to land use
11/01947/FULMAJ	Food Superstore	2,359			1	1	trip generations determined via TRICS Assumptions made on floor space	2.845	2.092	5.732	5.768	67	49	135	136	3203	To be connected to 3203, the zone which it is situated	Distribution of zone is kept the same as no change to land use
11/01947/FULMAJ	B1 Offices	4,088			1	1	trip generations determined via TRICS Assumptions made on floor space	1.819	0.242	0.195	1.463	74	10	8	60	3203	To be connected to 3203, the zone which it is situated	Distribution of zone is kept the same as no change to land use
11/01947/FULMAJ	B2 Industrial Unit	1,930			1	1	trip generations determined via TRICS Assumptions made on floor space	0.714	0.351	0.153	0.506	14	7	3	10	3203	To be connected to 3203, the zone which it is situated	Distribution of zone is kept the same as no change to land use
11/01947/FULMAJ	Car Show Rooms	400					trip generations determined via TRICS Assumptions made on floor space	0.986	0.613	1.464	1.629	4	2	6	7	3203	To be connected to 3203, the zone which it is situated	Distribution of zone is kept the same as no change to land use
11/01947/FULMAJ	DIY Superstore	4,237			1	1	trip generations determined via TRICS Assumptions made on floor space	0.986	0.613	1.464	1.629	42	26	62	69	3203	To be connected to 3203, the zone which it is situated	Distribution of zone is kept the same as no change to land use
13/02423/REPMAJ	B8 Warehousing	1,600			1	1	trip generations determined via TRICS	0.301	0.192	0.125	0.25	5	3	2	4	4023	To be connected to 4023, the zone which it is situated	Distribution of zone is kept the same as no change to land use
13/04031/FULMAJ	B1 Offices	568			1	1	trip generations determined via TRICS Assumptions made on floor space	1.819	0.242	0.195	1.463	10	1	1	8	2209	To be connected to 2209, the zone which it is situated	Distribution of zone is kept the same as no change to land use
13/04031/FULMAJ	B2 Industrial Unit	568			1	1	trip generations determined via TRICS Assumptions made on floor space	0.714	0.351	0.153	0.506	4	2	1	3	2209	To be connected to 2209, the zone which it is situated	Distribution of zone is kept the same as no change to land use
13/04031/FULMAJ	B8 Warehousing	568			1	1	trip generations determined via TRICS Assumptions made on floor space	0.301	0.192	0.125	0.25	2	1	1	1	2209	To be connected to 2209, the zone which it is situated	Distribution of zone is kept the same as no change to land use
14/03343/FULMAJ	B8 Warehousing	893			1	1	trip generations determined via TRICS	0.301	0.192	0.125	0.25	3	2	1	2	1424	To be connected to 1424, the zone which it is situated	Distribution of zone is kept the same as no change to land use
14/03343/FULMAJ	B1 Offices	1,341			1	1	trip generations determined via TRICS	1.819	0.242	0.195	1.463	24	3	3	20	1424	To be connected to 1424, the zone which it is situated	Distribution of zone is kept the same as no change to land use
14/05340/DVCMAJ	B8 Warehousing	8,511			1	1	trip generations determined via TRICS	0.301	0.192	0.125	0.25	26	16	11	21	4311	To be connected to the west of 4311, the zone which it is situated	Distribution of zone is kept the same as no change to land use
14/05340/DVCMAJ	B1 Offices	8,511			1	1	trip generations determined via TRICS	0.714	0.351	0.153	0.506	61	30	13	43	4311	To be connected to the west of 4311, the zone which it is situated	Distribution of zone is kept the same as no change to land use



## Appendix B. Local Plan Option Sites Committed Development Details

										Loca	al Plan	Develor	nments	include	d in Ontion	s	
Local Plan			GFA SQM		Propot	tion of		Trip R	ites	LUCA		2035 Trip 0	Generations	Include	Development	\$	
option number	Site Ref No.	Type of Development	of employme	No. of homes	comple	ete in:	AM	Peak	PM Pe	sak	AM	Peak	PM	Peak	connected into zone	Zone connection assumptions	Changes to distribution
	H3	Housing	nt	92	2025	2035	Arr 0.159	Dept 0.42	Arr 0.391	Dept 0.191	Arr 15	Dept 39	Arr 36	Dept 18	1422	Traffic from site added onto zone where site is located	As per existing distribution of existing zone
	H6 H6	Housing Housing		44 44	1	1	0.159 0.159	0.42	0.391 0.391	0.191 0.191	7	18 18	17 17	8 8	1206 1210	Traffic from site split between zones 1206 and 1210 to represent where different parts of site will acces the network	As per existing distribution of existing zone As per existing distribution of existing zone
	H17 H18	Housing Housing		11 25	1	1	0.159 0.159	0.42	0.391 0.391	0.191 0.191	2 4	5 11	4 10	2 5	1204 1603	Traffic from site added onto zone where site is located Traffic from site added onto zone where site is located	As per existing distribution of existing zone As per existing distribution of existing zone
	H21 H21	Housing Housing		73 100	0.178	1	0.159	0.42	0.391 0.391	0.191 0.191	12 16	31 42	29 39	14 19	1425 1425	Traffic from site added onto zone where site is located Traffic from site added onto zone where site is located	As per existing distribution of existing zone As per existing distribution of existing zone
	H36 H46	Housing		172	1	1	0.159	0.42	0.391	0.191	27	72 46	67 43	33 21	1208	Traffic from site added onto zone where site is located Traffic from site added onto zone where site is located	As per existing distribution of existing zone Zone changed to reflect distributions of nearby zone 1204
	H49	Housing		361	0.332	1	0.159	0.42	0.391	0.191	57	152	141	69	1027	Traffic from site added onto zone where site is located	As per existing distribution of existing zone
	H63	Housing		50	0	1	0.159	0.42	0.391	0.191	8	21	20	10	1027	Traffic from site added onto zone where site is located Traffic from site added onto zone where site is located	As per existing distribution of existing zone As per existing distribution of existing zone
	K21	Housing		81	1	1	0.159	0.42	0.391	0.191	13	34	32	15	2006	Traffic from site added onto zone where site is located	Rural zone, distributions changed to those of nearby residenital zone 2306
	K22	Housing		58	1	1	0.159	0.42	0.391	0.191	9	24	23	11	2006	Traffic from site added onto zone where site is located	Rural zone, distributions changed to those of nearby residenital zone 2306
	K25	Housing		402	0.448	1	0.159	0.42	0.391	0.191	64	169	157	77	2306	Traffic loaded onto connector west of junction with A59 to represent likely point of access	As per existing distribution of existing zone
	R1 R6	Housing Housing		10 20	1	1	0.159	0.42	0.391 0.391	0.191	2	4	4	2	3106 3002	Traffic from site added onto zone where site is located Traffic from site added onto zone where site is located	As per existing distribution of existing zone As per existing distribution of existing zone
	R8	Housing		150	0	1	0.159	0.42	0.391	0.191	24	63	59	29	3209	Traffic from site added onto zone where site is located	Rural zone, distributions changed to those of nearby zone 3201
	R8	Housing		280	0.643	1	0.159	0.42	0.391	0.191	45	118	109	53	3209	Traffic from site added onto zone where site is located	Rural zone, distributions changed to those of nearby zone 3201
	R23 R24	Housing		98 196	1 0.612	1	0.159	0.42	0.391	0.191	16 31	41 82	38	19 37	3101 3006	Traffic from site added onto zone where site is located Traffic from site added onto zone where site is located	As per existing distribution of existing zone Rural zone, distributions changed to those of nearby zone
	R25	Housing		270	0.444	1	0.159	0.42	0.391	0.191	43	113	106	52	3005		3004 Rural zone, distributions changed to those of nearby zone
	R25	Housing		270	0	1	0.159	0.42	0.391	0.191	43	113	106	52	3006	different access points from the site	3004 Rural zone, distributions changed to those of nearby zone
	B2	Housing		52	1	1	0.159	0.42	0.391	0.191	8	22	20	10	4003	Traffic from site added onto zone where site is located Site is located in zone 4024 however connector would not represent	As per existing distribution of existing zone
	B4	Housing		171	1	1	0.159	0.42	0.391	0.191	27	72	67	33	4009	movements on the A1(M) junction 48 roundbaout correctly. Traffic from via therefore added to relocated connector for nearby viral non	As per existing distribution of existing zone
	87	Housing		145	1	1	0.159	0.42	0.391	0.191	23	61	57	28	4002	4009 Traffic from site added onto zone where site is located	As per existing distribution of existing zone
																Site is located in zone 4022 however connector would not represent	
	811	Housing		10	1	1	0.159	0.42	0.391	0.191	2	4	4	2	4024	movements on the A1(M) junction 48 roundbaout correctly. Traffic from site therefore added to connector for nearby zone 4024	As per existing distribution of existing zone
	M4 M8	Housing Housing		80 49	1	1	0.159	0.42	0.391 0.391	0.191	13	34 21	31 19	15 9	4214 4214	Traffic from site added onto zone where site is located Traffic from site added onto zone where site is located	As per existing distribution of existing zone As per existing distribution of existing zone
80	P7 P1	Housing Housing		13 78	1	1	0.159	0.42	0.391 0.391	0.191	2	5 33	5 30	2	4210 4210	Traffic from site added onto zone where site is located Traffic from site added onto zone where site is located	As per existing distribution of existing zone As per existing distribution of existing zone
all opti	BW1 BW9	Housing Housing		27	1	1	0.159 0.159	0.42	0.391 0.391	0.191 0.191	4	11 8	11 7	5 3	4206 4206	Traffic from site added onto zone where site is located Traffic from site added onto zone where site is located	As per existing distribution of existing zone As per existing distribution of existing zone
i qu uo	BM2 BM4	Housing Housing		40 16	1	1	0.159	0.42	0.391 0.391	0.191	6	17	16 6	8	4307 4307	Traffic from site added onto zone where site is located Traffic from site added onto zone where site is located	As per existing distribution of existing zone As per existing distribution of existing zone
mmoo	DC1 DB1	Housing Housing		8 42	1	1	0.159	0.42	0.391 0.391	0.191 0.191	1 7	3 18	3 16	2	4209 4209	Traffic from site added onto zone where site is located Traffic from site added onto zone where site is located	As per existing distribution of existing zone As per existing distribution of existing zone
g sites	DR1 DR8	Housing Housing		9 88	1	1	0.159 0.159	0.42	0.391 0.391	0.191 0.191	1 14	4 37	4 34	2 17	4207 4207	Traffic from site added onto zone where site is located Traffic from site added onto zone where site is located	As per existing distribution of existing zone As per existing distribution of existing zone
Housin	HM7	Housing		30	1	1	0.159	0.42	0.391	0.191	5	13	12	6	4206	Traffic from site added onto zone where site is located Site is located in zone 1428 however trins have been assigned to zone	As per existing distribution of existing zone
_	KL6	Housing		72	1	1	0	0	0	0	11	30	28	14	1031	1031 which is more representative of likely site access	As per existing distribution of existing zone
	KL13	Housing		73	1	1	0	0	0	0	12	31	29	14	1431	Site is located in zone 1428 however trips have been assigned to zone 1431 which is more representative of likely site access	As per existing distribution of existing zone
							_				_					Site is located in zone 1428 however trips have been assigned to zone	
	KL4	Housing		43	1	1	0	0	0	0	7	18	17	8	1431	1431 which is more representative of likely site access	As per existing distribution of existing zone
	PN13	Housing		254	1	1	0	0	0	0	40	107	99	49	1606	Site is located in zone 1224 however trips have been assigned to zone 1606 which is more representative of likely site access	As per existing distribution of existing zone
	GB2	Housing		11	1	1	0	0	0	0	2	5	4	2	4408	Traffic from site added onto zone where site is located	As per existing distribution of existing zone
	KH4	Housing		4	1	1	0 159	0 42	0 391	0	1 6	2	2	1 7	4013	Trips loaded as per arrangements for GH11 site Traffic from site added onto zone where site is located	out in report
	MG6 DE2	Housing		10	1	1	0.159	0.42	0.391	0.191	2	4 26	4	2	4010	Traffic from site added onto zone where site is located Traffic from site added onto zone where site is located	As per existing distribution of existing zone As per existing distribution of existing zone
	DF4 KB1	Housing		43	1	1	0.159	0.42	0.391	0.191	7	18 15	17	8	4004 4007	Traffic from site added onto zone where site is located Traffic from site added onto zone where site is located	As per existing distribution of existing zone As per existing distribution of existing zone
	SH1 NS1	Housing Housing		52 54	1	1	0.159	0.42	0.391 0.391	0.191	8	22 23	20 21	10 10	3401 4203	Traffic from site added onto zone where site is located Traffic from site added onto zone where site is located	As per existing distribution of existing zone As per existing distribution of existing zone
	NS3 RN2	Housing		134 14	0.896	1	0.159	0.42	0.391 0.391	0.191	21	56 6	52 5	26	4203 4006	Traffic from site added onto zone where site is located Traffic from site added onto zone where site is located	As per existing distribution of existing zone As per existing distribution of existing zone
	RN3 SV1	Housing Housing		15 57	1	1	0.159	0.42	0.391 0.391	0.191 0.191	2	6 24	6 22	3 11	4006 4301	Traffic from site added onto zone where site is located Traffic from site added onto zone where site is located	As per existing distribution of existing zone As per existing distribution of existing zone
	KM4 SB5	Housing Housing		31 24	1	1	0.159	0.42	0.391 0.391	0.191 0.191	5	13 10	12 9	6 5	4215 4209	Traffic from site added onto zone where site is located Traffic from site added onto zone where site is located	As per existing distribution of existing zone As per existing distribution of existing zone
	SB1 SP5	Housing Housing		45 80	1	1	0.159	0.42	0.391 0.391	0.191 0.191	7 13	19 34	18 31	9 15	4209 4401	Traffic from site added onto zone where site is located Traffic from site added onto zone where site is located	As per existing distribution of existing zone As per existing distribution of existing zone
	SP4 H56	Housing		22	1	1	0.159	0.42	0.391	0.191	3	9	9	27	4401	Traffic from site added onto zone where site is located Site is located in zone 1008, traffic added to zone 1005 to better	As per existing distribution of existing zone As per existing distribution of existing zone
	TW8	Housing		126	1	1	0.159	0.42	0.391	0.191	20	53	49	24	4017	Traffic from site added onto zone where site is located	As per existing distribution of existing zone
	H70	Housing		193	1	1	0.159	0.42	0.391	0.191	31	81	75	37	1029	Zone connector relocated to be more representative of site access point	As per existing distribution of existing zone
	H70	Housing		0	0	1	0.159	0.42	0.391	0.191	0	0	0	0	1029	zone connector relocated to be more representative or site access point	As per existing distribution of existing zone
	H51	Housing		300	1	1	0.159	0.42	0.391	0.191	48	126	117	57	1029	Zone connector relocated to be more representative or site access point	As per existing distribution of existing zone
	H51 BM3	Housing		390	0	1	0.159	0.42	0.391	0.191	62	164	152	74	1029 4307	point Traffic from site added onto zone where site is located	As per existing distribution of existing zone
	KL2 KL2	Housing		19	1	1	0.159	0.42	0.391	0.191	3	8	7	4	1431 1031	Site is located in zone 1031. Traffic from site split between zones 1031 and 1431 to represent likely site access points and routes towards	As per existing distribution of existing zone As per existing distribution of existing zone
	H37 Windfall sites	Housing Housing		100	1 0.5	1	0.159	0.42	0.391	0.191	16 1	42	39 3	19 1	1506 within Harrogate B	Traffic from site added onto zone where site is located Traffic from site added onto zone where site is located	As per existing distribution of existing zone As per above assumptions
	H16	B1A office	18,800		1	1	1.819	0.242	0.195	1.463	342	45	37	275	1605	Traffic from site added onto zone where site is located	Rural zone, distributions changed to those of nearby zone
	R25-B1	B1A office	4,200		0.5	1	1.819	0.242	0.195	1.463	76	10	8	61	3005		3004 Rural zone, distributions changed to those of nearby zone
	R25-B1_	B1A office	4,200		0.5	1	1.819	0.242	0.195	1.463	76	10	8	61	3006		3004 Rural zone, distributions changed to those of nearby zone
Option	R25-B1C	B1c industrial	8,400		0.5	1	0.714	0.351	0.153	0.506	60	29	13	43	3005	Traffic split between zones 3005 and 3006 to represent likely different point of access for site	3004 Rural zone, distributions changed to those of nearby zone
Each	R25-B1C_	B1c industrial	8,400		0.5	1	0.714	0.351	0.153	0.506	60	29	13	43	3006		3004 Rural zone, distributions changed to those of nearby zone
non to	R25-B2	B2 Industrial	8,400		0.5	1	0.714	0.351	0.153	0.506	60	29	13	43	3005		3004 Rural zone, distributions changed to those of nearby zone
s Comr	R25-B2_ FX4-B1	B2 Industrial B1A office	8,400 55.300		0.5	1	0.714	0.351	0.153	0.506	60 1006	29 134	13 108	43 809	3006 44251	Given the number of trips generated by the site and the differences in	3004 Bespoke distribution provided by HBC - methodology set
nt Site	FX4-B8 MB3-B1C	B8 Warehousing B1c industrial	23,700 6,000		0.25	1	0.301 0.714	0.192	0.125 0.153	0.25	71 43	46 21	30 9	59 30	44251 4311	Distributions with the nearby FX3 residential site, an additional zone. Traffic from site added onto zone where site is located	out in report As per existing distribution of existing zone
loyme	MB3-B2 MB3-B8	B2 Industrial B8 Warehousing	4,500 4,500		1	1	0.714 0.301	0.351 0.192	0.153 0.125	0.506	32 14	16 9	7	23 11	4311 4311	Traffic from site added onto zone where site is located Traffic from site added onto zone where site is located	As per existing distribution of existing zone As per existing distribution of existing zone
6	H51-B1	B1A office	13,500		1	1	1.819	0.242	0.195	1.463	246	33	26	198	1212	Site acces likely to be via existing employment site in zone 1212	Zone changed to reflect distributions of nearby zone 1204
	H51-B1c	B1c industrial	1,500		1	1	0.714	0.351	0.153	0.506	11	5	2	8	1212	Site acces likely to be via existing employment site in zone 1212	Zone changed to reflect distributions of nearby zone 1204
	TW2-B1c TW2-B2	B1c industrial B2 Industrial	10,400		1	1	1	0	0	1	74	37 37	16 16	53 53	4017 4017	Traffic from site added onto zone where site is located Traffic from site added onto zone where site is located	As per existing distribution of existing zone As per existing distribution of existing zone
-	TW2-B8 KH11	Housing	5,200	6	1	1	0	0	0	0	16	10	7	13	4017 4015	Trattic from site added onto zone where site is located	As per existing distribution of existing zone
enarios	KH11_ GH2	Housing Housing		19 35	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	8 15	7	4	4013 4013		
d in Sco nd 2	GH2 GH4	Housing Housing		11 14	1	1	0	0	0	0	2	5	4	2	4015 4013	Trips loaded as per arrangements for GH11 site	Bespoke distribution provided by HBC - methodology set
include	GH4_ GH9	Housing Housing		4 34	1	1	0	0	0	0	1 5	2	2	1 6	4015 4013		out in report
Sites	GH9_	Housing		11	1	1	0	0	0	0	2	5	4	2	4015		
ario	EV2	Housies		1.276	0.264	1	0.150	0.42	0.201	0.101	219 635	577.6	537.636	262.635	4425		
in Scen 2 only	FA3	Housing		1,375	0.200	1	0.159	0.42	0.391	0.191	210.025	3/7.5	337.625	202.025	4425	Connected to zone connector where site is located	Bespoke distribution provided by HBC - methodology set out in report
Siltes	FX3_	Housing		1,375	0.266	1	0.159	0.42	0.391	0.191	218.625	577.5	537.625	262.625	4425		
in Sol	GH11	Housing		1,706	0.267	1	0.159	0.42	0.391	0.191	271.2747	716.5746	667.0968	325.8708	4013	To represent multiple access points (linked by an internal road) all eastbound movements to and from each site ware loaded actes	Bespoke distribution provided by NPC - methodology cot
Sites Scenar	GH11_	Housing		424	0.267	1	0.159	0.42	0.391	0.191	67.39533	178.0254	165.7332	80.95917	4015	4015. Trips associated with all other movements were loaded onto zone 4013.	out in report



## Appendix C. Local Plan Sites Location Plan Committed Development Details





## Appendix D. 2025 Forecast Volume to Capacity Ratio

Harrogate Borough Transport Model Local Plan Testing - Phase 2

Appendix – 2025 Junction Capacity Results

VCR - AM - Harrogate and Knaresborough- 2025 Do Minimum





VCR - AM - Harrogate and Knaresborough- 2025 Option 1



VCR - AM - Harrogate and Knaresborough- 2025 Option 3

#### Commentary on 2025 Harrogate AM peak junction capacity results:

The Do Minimum network shows delays and congestion at a number of junctions including the A59/A658, Bond End and A59 / B6164 junction in Knaresborough and the A658 / A661, Prince of Wales and A61 / Jenny Field Drive junctions in Harrogate and the A61 / Otley Road junction in Killinghall.

As relatively little development comes forward in the centre of Harrogate and Knaresborough, the differences between Option 1 of the Local Plan and the Do Minimum scenario are relatively limited and mainly around areas where development will be coming forward. The main changes as a result of the Local Plan Option 1 are as follows:

- The development sites coming forward in Pannal Ash result in some congestion at the B6162 Otley Road / Crag Lane / Beckwith Head junction although the impacts are limited compared to the 2035 scenario;
- General increases in the VCR at the Prince of Wales junction;
- The A61 / Otley Road corridor through Killinghall shows an increase in the overall VCR; and
- The Woodfield Road / Kings Road junction shows an increase in the overall VCR.

As for the 2035 scenario, given that the sites in Knaresborough and Harrogate are identical for each option, the differences between the options are limited to the A59 corridor and the A59 / A658 junction.

### VCR - AM - Ripon- 2025 Do Minimum



### VCR - AM - Ripon- 2025 Option 1



### VCR - AM - Ripon- 2025 Option 3



#### Commentary on 2025 Ripon AM peak junction capacity results:

In the 2035 Do Minimum scenario, the modelling shows that some junctions are approaching capacity, most notably the Clocktower junction, Allhallowgate / St Marygate and Skellbank / Water Skellgate / Low Skellgate and College Road / North Street junctions.

For the Local Plan option scenarios, the results in each instance are again similar given the distance of Ripon from Junction 47 of the A1(M) where the differences are between the options. The modelling highlights that the performance of the College Road / North Street and Skellbank / Water Skellgate / Low Skellgate junctions deteriorates to above the 85 VCR threshold in all Local Plan options.



VCR - PM - Harrogate and Knaresborough - 2025 Do Minimum



VCR - PM - Harrogate and Knaresborough- 2025 Option 1



VCR - PM - Harrogate and Knaresborough- 2025 Option 3

#### Commentary on 2025 Harrogate PM peak junction capacity results:

As for the AM peak period, the Do Minimum scenario, including background growth and committed development, shows delay at the same junctions in Knaresborough – the A59/A658, Bond End and A59 / B6164 junctions and in Harrogate the VCR is approaching capacity at the junctions in the town centre and the Prince of Wales, A59 / A61, the A658 / A661 and A61 / Jenny Field Drive junctions and along the A61 corridor in Killinghall.

As noted previously, all Local Plan options are identical in Harrogate and showed relatively little development coming forward in the main Harrogate and Knaresborough urban areas. The main changes as a result of the Local Plan Option 1 are as follows:

- The development sites coming forward in Pannal Ash result in some congestion (although less than shown in the AM peak) at the B6162 Otley Road / Crag Lane / Beckwith Head junction and the Beckwith Road / Howhill Road junction;
- An increase in the VCR at the Chain Lane / A59 junction in Knaresborough; and
- The A61 corridor in Killinghall and in particular the A61 / Otley Road junction shows an increase in the overall VCR.

As noted previously, the differences in development between Options 1, 2 and 3 is in the area around Junction 47 of the A1(M) and only around 25% of the major strategic developments are expected to come forward for the 2025 Intermediate Year scenario, thus meaning there are only limited difference between the options.

VCR - PM - Ripon- 2025 Do Minimum



### VCR - PM - Ripon- 2025 Option 1



### VCR - PM - Ripon- 2025 Option 3



#### Commentary on 2025 Ripon PM peak junction capacity results:

In the 2035 Do Minimum scenario, the VCR is approaching capacity at some junctions within the town centre including the Clocktower junction, Allhallowgate / St Marygate and Skellbank / Water Skellgate / Low Skellgate and College Road / North Street junctions.

The Local Plan option tests in Ripon show an increase in the VCR at the Clocktower junction, North Street / College Road and Skellbank / Water Skellgate / Low Skellgate junctions.



# **Appendix E. Junction Mitigation Plans**





Client       Harrogate Borough Council       Project       Harrogate Borough Transport Model       Drawing the       Otley Rd/Crag Ln Junction Redesign       Draft       Scale       Inticion @ A3       Convert Scale       Scale       Scale       Convert Scale       Scale       Convert Scale       Scale       Scale       Scale       Scale       Convert Scale       Scale	Notes No signal layout provided. Only increase in capacity is shown.           Rev         Rev. Date         Purpose of revision         Drawn         Checked         Rev/d         Monord           Stoodbridge House, Treible Gardens, Knewaste Upon Tyres, NET 244         Teibl-144(0)191 211 2411         Fac-44(0)191 211 2411         Fac-44(0)191 211 2411	Notes: Land taken from stray to allow space for two lanes on the Otley Road Eastern arm. Addition of a 30m right turn lane on Otley Road Eastern Arm. Addition of 12m right turn box on Otley Road Easern Arn. Addition of signals - Signals indicative layout has not yet been provided.	Key: Grey Lines are existing Junction layout Grey Lines are existing Junction layout





Junction Redesign	Rer         Date         Purpose of revision         Drawn         Oneode         Rev. / Approx           Stocharding Forum         Stocharding Forum	North Street Western arm left lane changed to left turning traffic only and right lane changed to ahead and right traffic 12m Right turn box added for turners from North St Western arm to Princess Road 15m Right turn box added for turners from North St eastern arm to A6108 after the stop line Change to signal sequence	Grey Lines are existing Junction layout	Key: